

ROUTLEDGE ADVANCES IN GAME STUDIES

Videogames, Identity and Digital Subjectivity

Rob Gallagher



Videogames, Identity and Digital Subjectivity

This book argues that videogames offer a means of coming to terms with a world that is being transformed by digital technologies. As blends of software and fiction, games are uniquely capable of representing and exploring the effects of digitization on day-to-day life. By modelling and incorporating new technologies (from artificial intelligence routines and data mining techniques to augmented reality interfaces), and by dramatizing the implications of these technologies for understandings of identity, nationality, sexuality, health and work, games encourage us to playfully engage with these issues in ways that traditional media cannot.

Rob Gallagher is a Postdoctoral Researcher based at King's College London, UK. As part of the Ego-Media team, his research addresses the impact of new technologies on notions of identity and practices of self-presentation. His work has appeared in *Games and Culture*, *Film Criticism* and *The New Inquiry*.

Routledge Advances in Game Studies

For a full list of titles in this series, please visit www.routledge.com.

- 3 Gender, Age, and Digital Games in the Domestic Context**
Alison Harvey

- 4 The Dark Side of Game Play**
Controversial Issues in Playful Environments
*Edited by Torill Elvira Mortensen, Jonas Linderöth,
and Ashley ML Brown*

- 5 Understanding Counterplay in Video Games**
Alan F. Meades

- 6 Video Game Policy**
Production, Distribution, and Consumption
Edited by Steven Conway and Jennifer deWinter

- 7 Digital Games as History**
How Videogames Represent the Past and Offer Access
to Historical Practice
Adam Chapman

- 8 New Perspectives on the Social Aspects of Digital Gaming**
Multiplayer 2
Edited by Rachel Kowert and Thorsten Quandt

- 9 Fans and Videogames**
Histories, Fandom, Archives
Edited by Melanie Swalwell, Helen Stuckey and Angela Ndalianis

- 10 Identity and Play in Interactive Digital Media**
Ergodic Ontogeny
Sara M. Cole

- 11 Videogames, Identity and Digital Subjectivity**
Rob Gallagher

Videogames, Identity and Digital Subjectivity

Rob Gallagher



Routledge
Taylor & Francis Group
NEW YORK AND LONDON

First published 2018
by Routledge
711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017

and by Routledge
2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

*Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group,
an informa business*

© 2018 Taylor & Francis

The right of Rob Gallagher to be identified as author of this work has been asserted by him in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
CIP data has been applied for.

ISBN: 978-1-138-22898-6 (hbk)

ISBN: 978-1-315-39094-9 (ebk)

Typeset in Sabon
by codeMantra

For Poppy

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	ix
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xi
1 Digital Subjects: Videogames, Technology and Identity	1
2 Datafied Subjects: Profiling and Personal Data	18
3 Private Subjects: Secrecy, Scandal and Surveillance	51
4 Beastly Subjects: Bodies and Interfaces	84
5 Synthetic Subjects: Horror and Artificial Intelligence	114
6 Mobile Subjects: Framing Selves and Spaces	141
7 Productive Subjects: Time, Value and Gendered Feelings	171
<i>Index</i>	205

This page intentionally left blank

List of Figures

2.1	Screenshot from <i>Papers, Please</i> .	31
3.1	Screenshot from <i>Cobra Club</i> .	70
6.1	Screenshot from <i>Killer 7</i> .	147
6.2	Screenshot from <i>Fez</i> . Here rotating the camera reveals a pier, creating a route to a hitherto inaccessible island.	153

This page intentionally left blank

Acknowledgements

This book is part of Ego-Media, a research project addressing the impact of new media on understandings of identity and practices of self-presentation, and was made possible by funding from the European Research Council. Thanks must go out to the rest of the Ego-Media team – Becky Roach, Max Saunders, Clare Brant, Alexandra Georgakopoulou, Rachael Kent, Stijn Peeters, Mikka Lene Pers Højholt, Leone Ridsdale and Charlotte Wu – for creating an environment so conducive to thinking about technology and identity, and to my colleagues and friends at King’s.

Many ideas pursued here stem from my PhD work, and the book owes much to the dazzling erudition of Steve Connor and the arcane wisdom of Tanya Krzywinska, my supervisors. I am also indebted to the London Consortium faculty – in particular Aura Satz, Barry Curtis, Laura Mulvey and Patrick Wright – and to my fellow Consortiumites. Several chapters first took shape during my year in Montreal, working alongside Bart Simon, Darren Wershler, Carolyn Jong, Kalervo Sinervo and Stephen Yeager on the IMMERSe project – an opportunity for which I am very grateful. Thanks are due to Gina Haraszti, Will Robinson, Skot Deeming, Jen Whitson, Thorsten Busch, Pierson Browne, Forest Scully-Blaker, Mia Consalvo, Jason Camlot and all at Concordia’s TAG and M-labs for making me feel so welcome in Québec.

It is impossible to list everyone who has helped to shape the book here, but those who have been particularly forthcoming with inspiration, support, editorial input and/or pdfs include Field Work’s Laurence, Lydia and Si, Zara Dinnen, Jack Wormell, Daniel Rourke, Tom Apperley, Ana Parejo Vadillo, James Svensson, Marc Halatsis, Paolo Ruffino, Liz Haines, Sophie Jones, Ashley M. L. Brown, Edwina Attlee, Joe Baxter-Webb, Graeme Kirkpatrick, Giles Richards, Andrew Burn, Ross Exo Adams, Barry Atkins and Janina Lange. Finally, thanks to Angela and Brian Gallagher, to Jess and Theo Peters and to Poppy Keeling.

This page intentionally left blank

1 Digital Subjects

Videogames, Technology and Identity

This book makes two claims. The first is that digital technologies are fostering new conceptions of subjectivity and identity. It holds that social networks and smartphones, augmented reality interfaces and biometric sensors, artificial intelligence systems and, indeed, videogames are all changing how we see ourselves, both as individuals and as human subjects. The second claim is that digital games have emerged as a fictional form uniquely equipped to address these changes. If videogames are often framed as symptoms or drivers of a shift towards digital subjectivity, then critics tend to be less willing to acknowledge that they might also be expressive works with things to *say* about this shift. Across close contextual readings of a wide range of titles, this book makes that case, showing how games get to grips with the implications of digitization in a range of complex and imaginative ways. Like other fictional forms, videogames use words and images, sounds, symbols and spatial metaphors to communicate. But they also ask players to cultivate an understanding of the rules and probabilities, interfaces and economies that structure their virtual worlds. They are simultaneously ludic systems, digital architectures and expressive fictions. If, as fictions, they adapt techniques, scenarios and figures familiar from literature, cinema, the visual arts, pop culture and myth, as software systems they incorporate or model a range of other digital forms (by, say, simulating social networks, mimicking smartphone interfaces or integrating image editing tools and digital shopfronts). By, for and about denizens of those parts of the overdeveloped world that have most enthusiastically adopted digital technologies, the particular games that this book addresses dramatize the effects of new technologies in ways that traditional media cannot, letting us playfully engage questions of identity and nationality, privacy and embodiment, work and space. What does this mean in practice? It means roleplaying games about becoming a reality TV star, gothic mysteries about haunted smartphones, social networking simulations set aboard space stations, augmented reality apps which project adorable monsters into everyday spaces, text adventures about training biomechanical horses and critiques of government surveillance in which we lock ourselves in a bathroom to take explicit selfies – to describe just a few of the games the book covers.

Defining Digital Subjectivity

In arguing that fictional forms, media technologies and models of the subject develop in symbiosis, this book is hardly without precedent. Ian Watt (1959) long ago argued that the emergence of the novel, for example, is intimately bound up with the rise of the modern subject and bourgeois individualism, both recording and helping to effect 'the transition from the objective, social and public orientation of the classical world to the subjective, individualist and private orientation of the life and literature of the last two hundred years' (176). Nor do critics like Crary (2001) consider it a coincidence that cinema should have been roughly coeval with the psychoanalytic subject. Jonathan Beller (2006) goes so far as to argue that 'the unconscious is cinema's product', proposing that film provided a 'precursor of and model for the unconscious as it has been theorized during the twentieth century' (17, 18). The storytelling strategies and the forms of subjectivation that the novel and the feature film favour were already being challenged before videogames entered the frame. With the advent of television, moving images began to inveigle themselves into audiences' domestic spaces and quotidian routines. Soaps and serials drew viewers into prolonged, open-ended engagements with fictional people and places as discrete beginning–middle–end narratives were subsumed within the general televisual 'flow' (Warhol 2003; Williams 2004 [1974]). Advertisements and, later, music videos pioneered forms of address calculated to elicit affective attunement rather than rational comprehension. With cable and satellite came the rise of channel hopping – embraced by theorists of postmodernity as the perfect metonym for a culture felt to be schizophrenic or attention deficient – and a more 'interactive' relationship with media (Featherstone 2007, 5). Home shopping channels, Ceefax and Teletext, video diaries and reality TV began a blurring of fiction and reality, production and consumption that would be accelerated and exacerbated by the Internet. With changes to intellectual property law and the emergence of media conglomerates, meanwhile, came a new emphasis on extending 'transmedia storyworlds' across comic books, movies, novels, TV shows, toys and merchandise, web portals, stage shows, live events and tourist attractions (Ryan and Thon 2014).

In the process, videogames have emerged as a fictional form particularly well equipped to help us understand how digital technologies are redrawing the contours of subjectivity and identity. If games retain and remediate techniques familiar from prior media forms, this only makes them better qualified for this task, reflecting the fact that cultural shifts are neither smooth nor sudden, that digital subjects continue to orient themselves using what might seem like obsolete or anachronistic concepts even as they embrace new ones. What, though, is a digital subject? While the nature of digital subjectivity should be clearer by the end of

the book, there are six propositions I want to advance at this stage – propositions that will give a sense of why videogames have a special claim when it comes to capturing important dimensions of contemporary experience.

- 1 Digital subjects belong to a culture of data collection and demography, which treats individuals as ever-expanding masses of information waiting to be aggregated and analysed. In the 1990s, the emergence of the Internet fuelled liberal fantasies of ‘colour-blind’ tolerance and post-structuralist dreams of polymorphous identity play. Today, however, digital subjects are perpetually being sorted and ‘cyber-typed’ along lines of gender, sexual orientation, ethnicity, nationality, age, taste, socio-economic status and medical history (Nakamura 2002; Galloway 2011, 135–137). In the process, the biography, which narrates the individual life as a developmental arc following a logic of cause and effect, is being superseded by the profile, a collection of taxonomic and behavioural data, which becomes a basis for constructing new categories and speculating about future actions. Some profiles deal in fixed, objective and/or empirically verifiable characteristics (height, blood type, eye colour and so on), others in characteristics that are subjective, qualitative or subject to change (tastes, political affiliations, age); in some cases (as with a Facebook page or a LinkedIn profile) individuals will fill in and maintain their own profiles, while in others (as with the profiles Google assembles on the basis of users’ browsing habits or the kill lists given to US drone pilots) this profiling will be automatic and covert.
- 2 Digital subjects express themselves via ‘autobiographical performances’ and playful acts of self-presentation, from selfies, blogposts and tweets to videogame ‘Let’s Play’ videos (Papacharissi 2015, 98). These activities manifest an understanding of the self as a reflexive project pursued before an audience of potential followers, subscribers, lovers, employers and contacts. As Alexander Galloway (2011) argues, digital culture is founded on a perhaps unlikely combination of ‘romanticism and cybernetic systems theory’ (26, 28); where the influence of romanticism can be seen in online culture’s celebration of ‘creative’ spontaneity and its emphasis on personal perspectives, its debt to systems theory is manifest in the multiplication of feedback mechanisms (likes, follows, subscriptions, replies, retweets) which sort social winners from losers. But where Romantic poets disavowed charges of egoism by pleading fealty to higher callings – divinity, nature, art, liberty and so on – digital culture inherits post-modernity’s suspicion of grand narratives, transcendent signifieds and hoary traditions, prizing innovation, improvisatory élan and the capacity to adapt oneself to new roles, styles and contexts. Flexibility

4 *Digital Subjects*

becomes a key attribute: as consumers, digital subjects are expected to seek out new products and experiences; as professionals, they are required to adapt to the changing needs of a volatile labour market; as performers they are expected to keep their personal brands fresh and relevant.

- 3 The digital subject is very much embodied. That body, however, is increasingly understood in cybernetic terms, by way of 'human-computer metaphors' that frame it as a form of 'wetware' or a quasi-Cartesian vehicle requiring technocratic management and maintenance (Franklin 2015, xv). As these ideas have gained traction, familiar notions of interiority and psychological depth have come under strain. Where Enlightenment philosophers argued that 'man' was essentially rational, and where Freud posited an unconscious realm to which unacceptable memories, wishes and ideas were banished, in the era of the 'new unconscious' attempts to explain (and indeed to influence) our behaviour are more likely to draw on cybernetics, behaviourism, big data, game theory, genetics, neuroscience and evolutionary biology (Galloway 2011, 28). Subjects become 'black boxes', signal-processing mechanisms whose workings are knowable only to the extent that given stimuli reliably elicit given responses (Galloway 2012, 242; Franklin 2015, 92–93).

These developments have important repercussions for our understanding of agency. For transhumanists, new technologies promise to grant digital subjects greater choice and control, enabling us to transcend the limits and circumvent the 'bugs' evolution has bequeathed us. Behind these fantasies, though, lie fears of being out of control or subject to another's control, of technologies being used to circumvent our rational decision-making faculties and trick us into behaving against our best interests. If we can be patched and upgraded like digital systems, can't we also be hacked and (re)programmed? Such fears are intensifying as more authority is granted to human-engineered but increasingly autonomous algorithms and artificial intelligences. Where it was once possible to see technology as a means of prosthetically extending human capacities, Mark B.N. Hansen (2015) argues that today this is no longer the case (221); rather, digital subjects must share their sovereignty with nonhuman agents whose modes of apprehending and acting upon reality are often very different to ours.

- 4 The digital subject is networked, a node linked to other nodes by strong or weak ties to form the 'social graphs' that are superseding more established models for understanding relationships and communities. While this emphasis on collectivity and connection might seem to be at odds with my claim that digital culture is individualistic, Wendy Hui Kyong Chun (2016) explains that the brilliance of what she calls 'N(YOU) media' lies in their capacity to address

a ‘you’ that hovers between the singular and the plural (3–4). In digital culture, personal success entails expanding and effectively mobilizing one’s contacts, and the winners are those who learn to navigate networks confidently. Digital subjects often express themselves through avatars, mouthpieces and aliases, using templates and stock formats (catchphrases, hashtags, fonts, memes) to mediate between the personal and the collective in ways calculated to foster connections and collective identities. As we will see in Chapter 3, they also seek to avoid ‘context collapse’ by tailoring their behaviour to the norms of the spaces in which they find themselves – be those spaces online, offline (or, as is increasingly the case) layered, hybrid environments (Marwick and boyd 2011).

- 5 For the digital subject, work and play may be hard to separate – a prospect by no means as utopian as it might sound. For Kirkpatrick (2013), videogames are a product of the shift towards a more ‘ludic’ model of capitalism, a shift which saw employers attempting to address growing dissatisfaction with the stultifying monotony and rigid hierarchies of the Fordist workplace by recasting work as more creative, fulfilling and flexible (27–30). This entailed capitalizing on the capabilities of information technologies to reorient overdeveloped economies away from industry and towards forms of immaterial, intellectual and affective labour in the creative and service sectors. The promise was of entrepreneurial individuals liberated to pursue forms of ‘passionate work’ suited to their abilities, interests and personal commitments (McRobbie 2016, 36). The reality, however, has been one of aggressive deskilling, greater precarity, fiercer competition and widening inequality, as training programmes, jobs for life, opportunities for advancement, pension funds and employment benefits are scrapped or curtailed along with the other perks and concessions put in place to reconcile workers to the Fordist order of things. As this suggests, while ‘ludic capitalism’ might sound more agreeable than its forebears, it is not just about ‘paidiaic’ playfulness and creativity but also the drive to game the system, maximize advantages and exploit rivals’ weaknesses (Caillois 2001 [1958], 27). Whether they are scrabbling for the next pitch, gig or short-term contract, striving to survive the next downsizing or attempting to build a lucrative personal brand, digital subjects are always playing the game. Meanwhile data mining has turned what were once leisure activities into a form of unpaid work, allowing companies like Google, Microsoft and Netflix to extract value from activities like browsing the web, playing games or watching movies. If videogames promise an escape from the stresses of professional life they also recapitulate ludic capitalism’s logic of productivity and entrepreneurial endeavour – as we shall see in Chapter 7.

6 *Digital Subjects*

- 6 Finally, digital subjects play digital games. Where videogames were once a comparatively niche pursuit, today this is no longer the case. Retirees play *Scrabble* with family members via Facebook; commuters while away their train journeys with rounds of *Candy Crush*; Norwegian prime ministers are caught playing *Pokémon GO* in the middle of parliamentary debates (Cresci 2016). But while most people now have *some* experience of playing digital games, this does not necessarily mean they would describe themselves as ‘gamers’. It’s to this distinction and its implications that I want to turn now.

Ludo Ergo Sum

When I refer to ‘gamers’ and ‘gamer culture’, I am talking about subjects who have made digital gaming a core part of their lives and identities. The term gamer brings with it a range of associations, and like all collective identities, it fits some of those it is applied to more snugly than others. Gaming enthusiasm also comes in many flavours: devotees of certain genres and platforms might spurn others, and passionate subcultures and fan communities often form around franchises and practices in which the majority of gamers might have no interest. There are, however, some important traits and tendencies that have long been characteristic of ‘gamer culture’ as a whole – or, at least of Anglophone gamer culture, on which this book focuses. Here Graeme Kirkpatrick’s recent work on the genealogy of the ‘gamer’ archetype and of gaming as a cultural field becomes useful. He proposes that one of the key concepts around which gamer culture coalesces is that of ‘gameplay’, held to be the key quality that elevates some games above others and differentiates videogames as a form from other media (2013, 78–81). To appreciate ‘good gameplay’ is to appreciate digital games as finely tuned ludic structures, platforms for competition and opportunities to acquire and demonstrate a mastery of technology. As this suggests, while gamers certainly appreciate lore and trivia, pretty graphics, catchy soundtracks and memorable character designs, gamer culture is (as I discuss in Chapter 6) highly invested in the idea of seeing through the interface to discern the systems and mechanics that lie beneath. Gamer culture is also Janus-faced: rife with nostalgic affection for ‘retro’ games that become ciphers for lost childhoods, it also enthusiastically embraces new technologies, showing an unwavering faith in the ability of new hardware to open the way to play experiences more involving and rewarding than those that have come before. Beyond its privileging of gameplay, its fascination with new technologies and its nostalgic investment in the ‘retro’, however, gaming culture’s key defining trait is its intimate but awkward relationship with the figure of the ‘geeky’ white, middle-class male (Thornham 2011, 50, 71; Kirkpatrick 2012; Shaw 2014, viii). For a long time, digital games were dismissed as the preserve of children, or else of nerds and

loners seeking consolation for their empty ‘real’ lives in fantasy worlds full of spaceships, sports cars, talking mushrooms and buxom ninja princesses. Significantly, Kirkpatrick (2012) shows that while we might have expected videogame enthusiasts to balk at the medium’s ongoing association with juvenility, sexual inadequacy, flawed masculinity, ‘social isolation and addiction’, in fact the truth is more complex. Over its history, gamer culture has veered between rejecting and (more or less ironically) embracing these associations, which continue to shape it today. Indeed, I would argue that the ‘constitutive tensions’ and ‘constitutive ambivalences’ that Kirkpatrick highlights have only become more pronounced over the period this book covers (*ibid.*; 2013, 91).

That period begins in 2001. If the September 11 attacks on the World Trade Centre and the Pentagon were a cultural turning point in all sorts of ways, there are, as Chapter 2 discusses, good reasons to see them as an important watershed for digital culture in particular. In gaming terms, meanwhile, 2001 saw an event that, while hardly as cataclysmic as 9/11, came as a blow to many gamers: the discontinuation of Sega’s Dreamcast console and their withdrawal from the videogame hardware business. A forward-looking platform in many ways (and even an ‘avant-garde’ one (Montfort and Consalvo 2012)), the Dreamcast’s demise capped a Cambrian decade for the games industry, a decade which saw new technologies driving an explosion of new genres, styles and concepts. Games colonized the third dimension, and play moved online. Budgets, production values and studio sizes ballooned in step with processor speeds and storage capacities. Control pads mutated rapidly, sprouting strange new appendages and assuming unwieldy shapes. With the PlayStation 2 (PS2) and the Xbox, however, the ‘core’ or ‘triple A’ games industry¹ began to settle into the shape it retains today (as, indeed, did console control pads). Having vanquished the Dreamcast, the PS2 would go on to become the best-selling console ever released, boasting a raft of influential titles that sought to integrate gaming’s staples of combat, exploration, puzzle solving and traversal into unprecedentedly ‘cinematic’ narratives and sprawling open worlds. The Xbox, meanwhile, was significant as an American incursion into a console sector that had been dominated by Japanese companies since the 1980s, and began a process which would see the hitherto fairly distinct spheres of console gaming and Western PC gaming becoming increasingly intertwined. To compare the 2001 entries in the *Halo*, *Grand Theft Auto* or *Metal Gear Solid* series with their most recent counterparts is to see the extent to which the game industry has been content to refine the same core concepts for the same ‘gamer’ audience over the intervening years.

At the same time, however, the period since 2001 has seen the emergence of new platforms, genres, interface technologies and distribution channels that have radically expanded gaming’s demographic reach while flaunting triple A orthodoxies. Nintendo’s Wii, with its simple,

family-friendly games and its gesture-based interface proved hugely popular, fostering an image of gaming as an activity open to all ages and a means of cultivating togetherness and physical well-being; smartphones and tablets connected to online stores have removed the need for specialist hardware and fostered pick-up-and-play game concepts suited to touchscreen interfaces and the stop-start rhythms of contemporary life; the integration of gaming and social networking has made games seem like less of a lonely pursuit, while cheap, free and free-to-play² games have lowered financial barriers to entry (though, as we'll see, players of such games end up paying in other ways, using data, attention and contacts as proxy currencies). Juul (2010) frames these developments as part of a 'casual revolution' that has profoundly altered the games industry, proving that simple, upbeat, technologically primitive games can reach larger audiences (and generate bigger profits) than the photorealistic story-driven adventures, expansive 'open worlds' and mechanically intricate competitive multiplayer games favoured by those who define themselves as gamers.

Another challenge to the status quo has come from a florescence of 'indie', avant-garde and DIY game development, facilitated by new development tools and distribution platforms. Where triple A games are the product of large teams of professionals, with tens of millions of dollars behind them, working with publishers to produce technologically accomplished experiences meant to last players weeks if not months, 'indie' games, alt-games, artgames and notgames (to use a few of the terms which have gained momentum in recent years) adopt different approaches and address different audiences. The many forms these titles take speak to the ways in which gaming culture has developed and diversified over the last decade or so: some cater to a self-appointed 'hardcore' who feel that mainstream games have become too forgiving, or introduce ingenious mechanical wrinkles into familiar genres; some aim to stir nostalgia in veteran players or to address audiences turned off by the pervasive violence and/or the aesthetic conservatism of mainstream games; some tackle experiences of economic exploitation, gender dysphoria or mental illness; some function as toys, creative tools, stress relievers or artistic statements rather than 'games' per se. As gaming culture has expanded and diversified, however, it has also fragmented. We can see as much from the frequent, often fractious debates about what counts as a 'real' game and who counts as a 'real' gamer that have followed in the wake of Juul's casual revolution – debates that resonate throughout this book, but which are particularly central to Chapters 3, 6 and 7.

Like all identity work, the construction of gamer culture involved its fair share of othering and 'symbolic violence' (Kirkpatrick 2013, 91): in order to forge an image of 'gamers' as 'young, male and "cool"' subjects who 'appreciate gameplay and are good at it', early gaming culture began to define itself in opposition to those who did not meet these

criteria. If this meant jokes at the expense of women and older people, it also meant striving to differentiate gamers from enthusiasts next to whose *truly* nerdy hobbies (hobbies like trainspotting, stamp collecting or tabletop gaming) digital gaming could be considered exciting and subversive (ibid. 87). More than that, though, it entailed mockery of insufficiently skilled would-be-gamers, and of would-be-gamers wont to prize dazzling graphics or an absorbing plot above that ineffable but all-important criterion of compelling gameplay (ibid. 80). This kind of sniping continues today, and has become more visible and arguably more vituperative thanks to social media. ‘Hardcore gamers’ denigrate ‘casual gamers’; PC gamers make fun of console owners; Xbox fans tease PlayStation fans; connoisseurs and collectors tut at ‘jock’ and ‘bro’ gamers who buy the same creatively bankrupt shooters and sports games year after year; right-wing gamers castigate leftists, liberals, hipsters and ‘social justice warriors’ for being pretentious or puritanical. The 2014 #gamergate farrago represented an alarming escalation of this tendency. As Golding and van Deventer (2016) recount, the controversy grew out of online gossip concerning indie designer Zoe Quinn. Amidst a welter of rumour and recrimination, orchestrated in part by Quinn’s ex-boyfriend Eron Gjoni and amplified by Breitbart News and Adam Baldwin (who helped to propagate the hashtag), #gamergate became the rallying cry for a ‘movement’ which claimed to be fighting against journalistic corruption and what they saw as underhand attempts to force a liberal, progressive agenda on an unwilling games industry. In practice, this entailed bullying, harassing and issuing rape and death threats to female developers, academics, cultural critics and journalists accused of attempting to emasculate gamers and ‘ruin’ videogames (a claim it is hard to credit given how fond the triple A gaming sector remains of cars, guns, gore and gratuitous titillation).

The image of the gamer as a white, straight, cisgendered, middle-class male has never told the whole story, even in the days when gaming culture had a much narrower demographic reach (Shaw 2014, viii). Today it would be absurd and inaccurate to claim that all gamers are men, misogynists, addicts, adolescents or hermits. But it would be equally absurd to deny that, whatever individual gamers might feel about it, triple A videogaming in particular remains a bastion of hegemonic white heteromascularity. Nor is there any point in denying the cultural purchase that the stereotype of the gamer as an overinvested male loner retains. Made by designers of various nationalities, ethnicities, gender identities, ages and sexualities, the games this book discusses can all be seen as reckoning with this notion of ‘the gamer’ in one way or another. And, whether they embrace this archetype, challenge it, queer it or seek to transcend it, their modes of doing so have consequences for our understanding of identity that resonate far beyond the confines of gamer culture. Indeed, one of the arguments this book makes is that

the figure of ‘the gamer’ also serves a number of important functions in digital culture, providing a pattern for how digital subjects ought, or ought not, to be. ‘Gamification’ evangelists see gameplay in terms of problem solving and teamwork, even as others associate it with solipsism and shiftlessness; gamers are sometimes portrayed as skilled operators of sophisticated technologies, sometimes as addicts at the mercy of devious cybernetic honey traps; on certain occasions, they embody digital culture’s tendency towards playful exploration, experimentation and roleplay, while on others they incarnate its ruthlessness, its cynicism, its concern with statistics over stories.

Studying Games Today

But perhaps we could forget about gamers for the moment and get back to games? Admittedly, this suggestion goes against the prevailing tendency in game studies. The discipline’s early years have long been cast as a struggle between ‘narratologists’ for whom games were cultural texts amenable to critical methodologies adapted from film studies and literary theory and ‘ludologists’ adamant about the need to develop new modes of analysing and discussing videogames as ludic systems. If this framework has been criticized for reductively and inaccurately framing the field as a tug of war (Frasca 2003), one of the things it obscures is the extent to which both sides of the debate were focused on games rather than their players. As Miguel Sicart argued in a 2011 critique of Ian Bogost’s (2007) notion of ‘procedural rhetoric’ – an influential bid to advance a critical framework capable of accounting for games as *both* expressive works and ludic systems – early game studies was often focused ‘on how the designer or design team creates experiences by means of *designing systems*’ rather than ‘the presence of the player and play’ (emphasis in original). In the years since Sicart’s intervention there has arguably been a movement in the other direction, with many scholars focusing on how players use games as a basis for forging communities, constructing identities and originating new forms of play and creative expression that go beyond anything designers can have foreseen. While I draw liberally on this work, this book is primarily about videogames rather than their players. More than that, while it is alive to the many other things that videogames are (commodities, hobbies, systems, communications platforms, economies and Trojan horses for data mining software, to name a few), it mostly addresses them as fictions in which to play is to affect not merely the balance of a ludic system but also the conditions of a fictional world.

This may seem counterintuitive to many in game studies. It is, as such, worth explaining why I am taking this stance. The first thing to note is that I am less concerned with games as vehicles for more or less linear plots than I am in how they work as what Barry Atkins (2003) calls ‘game-fictions’ (20) – how they present scenarios that render ludic

outcomes significant or evocative. Some of the titles I analyse are more game than fiction, others (like the visual novels and text adventures addressed in Chapters 3, 4 and 7) are arguably more fiction than game. In both cases, though, it is what happens across that hyphen – be it graceful or awkward or complex – that renders them noteworthy as commentaries on digital subjectivity and identity. Atkins coined the term game-fictions in his book *More Than A Game*, released right in the middle of the putative ludology/narratology conflict. Revisiting it today, one is struck by its tone of exasperation and embarrassment, feelings tempered by the hope that perhaps games will grow into a form worthier of serious study. Towards the end of the book Atkins observes

there is something truly radical here, something significantly novel, something that demands that we rethink the ways in which we view the artwork ... until, that is, we boot the computer, insert the CD and confront the banality of what is currently realized within an intersection between text and technology that promises so much and delivers so little.

(Ibid. 53)

Such statements are a reminder of another thing that ludologists and narratologists had in common: both were *embarrassed* by videogames' attempts at storytelling. For the former, stories were irrelevant anyway, an extraneous wrapper that stood in the way of a mature understanding of the videogame's true function and its significance as a cultural form; for the latter, meanwhile, there was something profoundly disappointing about the way that game designers 'remain[ed] fixed in narrative traditions', making clumsily conservative attempts to ape extant narrative forms when they could have been attempting a 'truly radical break with the ways in which we have previously told ourselves our stories' (ibid. 10). Since 2003, many fascinating and accomplished game-fictions have been released. Independent and avant-garde designers have experimented with more radical storytelling strategies, while with more experience, bigger budgets, more capable hardware and the help of professional actors and writers, triple A developers' attempts to ape and incorporate older narrative forms have become more assured. But if I am arguing for the validity (and indeed the importance) of studying games as fictions, it is not because the problem of reconciling gameplay and storytelling has suddenly been 'solved', nor because games' attempts at storytelling have stopped being awkward or embarrassing – far from it. In fact, the games I will be analysing succeed as accounts of identity and subjectivity precisely because, whether knowingly or inadvertently, they witness the tension between the modes of organizing and understanding experience familiar from traditional narrative forms, and those which are emerging in digital culture.

Here it is helpful to step back a bit. As we will see in the next chapter, scholars like Lash (2002) argue that narrative has long faced competition from information as our dominant form of ‘cultural inscription’ (130–137). Furthermore, while game studies is wont to frame the tussle between story- and system-oriented approaches as an in-house affair, the ludology/narratology debate is really a symptom of a much larger epistemological brouhaha. As I’ve already remarked, for thinkers like Alexander Galloway (2012), the post-Second World War era can be characterized by its embrace of a cybernetic ‘black-box epistemology’ informed by ‘behaviourism, game theory, operations research’, systems theory and information theory (241). As Galloway argues, this shift has had enormous implications for our understanding of ‘critical inquiry’, which has traditionally been seen as a matter of ‘objects [being] unveiled or denaturalized to reveal their inner workings’ – a description that holds true for Descartes, Kant, Marx, Freud and all their innumerable followers (ibid. 241–242). Today, however, this methodology is under increasing threat from an ‘approach to knowledge ... that abdicate[s] any requirement for penetration into the object in question, preferring instead to keep the object opaque and to make all judgements based on the object’s observable comportment’ (ibid. 242). In short, while game studies was busy arguing about the validity of applying techniques from literary theory, cultural studies, critical theory, philosophy and film studies to videogames, others were questioning the validity of hermeneutics and qualitative analysis *tout court*.

Inevitably, these ideas have begun to shape vernacular understandings of the self. But if behaviourism, neuroscience and evolutionary biology have become readier-to-hand as means of accounting for our actions and experiences, and if one index of the success of neoliberalism is the way that all aspects of life can now be understood in terms of the entrepreneurial individual’s struggle to realize her potential, this does not mean that humanist philosophy, cod-Freudian pop psychology, Romantic individualism and eugenicist pseudo-science have entirely lost their purchase as frameworks for understanding selfhood and subjectivity, for better or worse. Thus, while Atkins’ (2003) complaint that videogames have ‘not made the same kind of radical departure from nineteenth-century realism’ that other artforms have remains true (144), I would argue that the way that games cling to obsolescent and outmoded models of subjecthood and storytelling even as they incarnate the logic of technoculture makes them more, not less rewarding as objects of study. This book holds that there is still value in close contextual analyses of individual videogames, the expressive strategies they employ and the aesthetic effects they produce. It also acknowledges the shifts Galloway highlights, however. Drawing on game studies, and on work on literature, film and visual culture, it also engages closely with the work of scholars whose accounts of digital culture and new media represent attempts to develop forms of critical inquiry suited to the cybernetic culture in which games play an important role.

Structure

Following this introductory chapter, the remainder of the book is divided into three sections, each consisting of two chapters. The first section concerns profiling and privacy. Chapter 2, *Datafied Subjects*, addresses three games about collective identity and security: in Sega's *Valkyria Chronicles*, we are the captain of a squad of soldiers defending their homeland from foreign invaders; in Lucas Pope's *Papers, Please*, we are a passport inspector in a fictional 1980s Eastern Bloc state; in Mitu Khandaker-Kokoris' *Redshirt*, we must escape an embattled space station by using social media to make powerful friends. Highlighting the differences between biographical narratives and data-based profiles as modes of expressing identity, these games show that videogames' often awkward attempts to reconcile storytelling with simulation need to be understood in the context of a cultural shift away from stories and towards information. Chapter 3, *Private Subjects*, considers two games about smartphone use and secrecy: the gothic mystery *Silent Hill: Shattered Memories* and Robert Yang's *Cobra Club*, a playful 'dick pic simulator' with a serious message about government surveillance. The games put forward very different understandings of privacy and subjectivity, understandings that can help us to unpack what is at stake in critical debates about online privacy.

The second section of the book looks at a range of games that use evocative figures – animals, robots, spectres, zombies and monsters – to allegorize the relationship between gamer and game, the embodied digital subject and their tech. Both chapters expand on the idea that 'the gamer' remains a cipher for fears of technologies duping or enslaving us. Chapter 4, *Beastly Subjects*, looks at games in which players are put in command of animal bodies, so that player, avatar and hardware become the poles of a 'cybernetic triangle' (Pettman 2011, 5). The action game *Tokyo Jungle* aims to create a seamless (or seamless-seeming) connection between the player and a range of agile zoo creatures; *Octodad* and its sequel use an intentionally unintuitive control scheme to foster a hands-on relationship with an octopus struggling to 'pass' as a human paterfamilias; Tom McHenry's interactive fiction *Horse Master* puts a human-animal relationship at the centre of a remorselessly bleak cyberpunk satire. All three pose questions about embodiment, immersion, identity, agency and control. Chapter 5, *Synthetic Subjects*, addresses the 'survival horror' genre. Where critics have traditionally turned to film theory and Freudian psychoanalysis to understand survival horror games' unsettling qualities, I take a different approach. Tracking the course of the genre from 2001's *Silent Hill 2* through the *Siren/Forbidden Siren* series to 2014's *Alien: Isolation*, the chapter argues that a key aspect of survival horror games is the way that they draw players into close relationship with networks of artificially intelligent nonplayer characters, using gothic tropes to mediate anxieties about our place in a culture of autonomous machines.

The final section of the book addresses the digital subject's experience of space and time. In Chapter 6, *Mobile Subjects*, space takes precedence. While gaming's history is often understood in terms of a progression from abstract two-dimensional mazes to photorealistic three-dimensional gameworlds, this misrepresents the variety of styles, techniques and representational traditions with which game designers engage – not to mention the range of subject positions that games accommodate. This chapter turns to *Killer 7*, *Fez* and *Pokémon GO* to offer a more nuanced account of how games frame space and orient players within it. Foregrounding the extent to which gaming has been shaped by a dialogue between Japan and North America, these games also show how rapidly and radically new media have transformed the digital subject's experience of space. Chapter 7, *Productive Subjects*, tackles questions of time. Drawing on the work of cultural theorist Lauren Berlant and feminist narratologist Robyn Warhol, it uses the networked shooter *Destiny* and the smash smartphone game *Kim Kardashian: Hollywood* to show how modern videogames encourage players to understand and manage the flow of time, incorporating play into their everyday lives and cultivating gendered habits of feeling. The chapter concludes with two texts that articulate alternative understandings of gaming, habit, value and time: Porpentine's interactive fiction *Skullhabit* and Dennis Cooper's novel *God Jr.*

It is impossible to do justice to the full range of experiences that now exist under the banner of 'videogames', and the selection of titles considered over the course of the next six chapters is no doubt skewed by both my preoccupations as a researcher and my preferences as a gamer. These caveats notwithstanding, the book covers a wide array of platforms, genres and modes of production, attending to the particular conjunctions of circumstances (technological, cultural, economic, etc.) from which particular games arise rather than attempting to account for 'videogames' in general. It looks at DIY, indie and triple A games, Japanese, European and American games, 'casual' and 'hard-core' games, multiplayer and singleplayer games, 2D and 3D games, free, 'free-to-play' and commercial games. The titles it addresses engage with a wide range of genres, aesthetic traditions and fictional forms, drawing on science fiction, high school drama, reality TV, cyberpunk anime, slasher cinema, *poliziotteschi* movies, gothic literature, sitcom and surrealism. They also incorporate or model social networks, photography apps, online message boards, digital marketplaces, profiling algorithms, targeted advertisements and artificial intelligence routines. Many address questions of digital subjectivity and identity directly, offering comparatively realistic portrayals of characters using digital devices and platforms. Others take a more roundabout approach. In both cases, they tend to be striking less for their smooth integration of these multiple influences and elements than for arresting anachronisms, jarring switches in register and bathetic juxtapositions that

witness gaming's status as a protean and promiscuous cultural field while also speaking to the tensions and contradictions at the heart of digital subjectivity.

Notes

- 1 Essentially the industry's equivalent of Hollywood in terms of budgets, production values and orientation towards a mass audience.
- 2 That is, games with no upfront costs, funded via advertising or optional 'microtransactions'.

References

- Alien: Isolation*. 2014. Creative Assembly. Horsham: Sega. Various.
- Atkins, Barry. 2003. *More than a Game: The Computer Game as Fictional Form*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Beller, Jonathan. 2006. *The Cinematic Mode of Production: Attention Economy and the Society of the Spectacle*. Lebanon: Dartmouth College Press.
- Bogost, Ian. 2007. *Persuasive Games: The Expressive Power of Videogames*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Caillois, Roger. 2001 [1958]. *Man, Play, and Games*. Chicago: University of Illinois Press.
- Chun, Wendy Hui Kyong. 2016. *Updating to Remain the Same: Habitual New Media*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Cobra Club*. 2015. Robert Yang. New York: self-published. OSX, PC.
- Cooper, Dennis. 2005. *God Jr*. New York: Black Cat.
- Crary, Jonathan. 2001. *Suspensions of Perception: Attention, Spectacle and Modern Culture*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Cresci, Elena. 2016. 'Norway's PM Caught Playing Pokémon GO in Parliament'. *The Guardian*. 5 October. Accessed 2 February 2017. www.theguardian.com/technology/2016/oct/05/norway-prime-minister-caught-playing-pokemon-go-parliament-erna-solberg.
- Destiny*. 2014. Bungie. Bellevue, WA: Activision. Various.
- Featherstone, Mike. 2007. *Consumer Culture & Postmodernism*. London: Sage.
- Fez*. 2012. Polytron Corporation/Phil Fish. Canada: Trapdoor. Various.
- Franklin, Seb. 2015. *Control: Digitality as Cultural Logic*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Frasca, Gonzalo. 2003. 'Ludologists Love Stories, Too: Notes from a Debate that Never Took Place'. In Copier, M. and Raessens, J. (eds.). *Level Up: Digital Games Research Conference Proceedings*. Utrecht: Utrecht University. Accessed 28 August 2016. www.ludology.org/articles/Frasca_LevelUp2003.pdf.
- Galloway, Alexander R. 2011. *The Interface Effect*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- . 2012. 'Black Box Black Bloc'. In Noys, B. (ed.). *Communization and Its Discontents: Contestation, Critique and Contemporary Struggles*. New York: Minor Compositions.
- Golding, Dan, and van Deventer, Leena. 2016. *Game Changers*. New York: Simon and Schuster.

- Grand Theft Auto* series. 1997–2015. DMA Design/Rockstar North. Edinburgh: Rockstar. Various.
- Halo* series. 2001–2015. Bungie/343 Industries. Redmond, Bellevue, WA: Microsoft. Xbox, PC, Xbox 360, Xbox One.
- Hansen, Mark B.N. 2015. *Feed-Forward: On the Future of Twenty-First-Century Media*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Horse Master: The Game of Horse Mastery*. 2013. Tom McHenry. Unknown: self-published. Web.
- Juul, Jesper. 2010. *A Casual Revolution: Reinventing Video Games and their Players*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Killer 7*. 2005. Grasshopper Manufacture. Tokyo: Capcom. GameCube, PlayStation 2.
- Kim Kardashian: Hollywood*. 2014. Glu Mobile. San Francisco, CA: self-published. Various.
- Kirkpatrick, Graeme. 2012. 'Constitutive Tensions of Gaming's Field: UK Gaming Magazines and the Formation of Gaming Culture 1981–1995'. *Games Studies* 12(1). Accessed 10 January 2017. <http://gamestudies.org/1201/articles/kirkpatrick>.
- . 2013. *Computer Games and the Social Imaginary*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Lash, Scott. 2002. *Critique of Information*. London: Sage.
- Marwick, Alice E. and boyd, danah. 2011. 'I Tweet Honestly, I Tweet Passionately: Twitter Users, Context Collapse, and the Imagined Audience'. *New Media & Society* 13(1): 114–133.
- McRobbie, Angela. 2016. *Be Creative: Making a Living in the New Culture Industries*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Metal Gear Solid* series. 1998–2015. Kojima Productions. Tokyo: Konami. Various.
- Montfort, Nick, and Consalvo, Mia. 2012. 'The Dreamcast, Console of the Avant-Garde'. *Loading...* 6(9). Accessed 5 January 2015. <http://journals.sfu.ca/loading/index.php/loading/article/viewArticle/104>.
- Nakamura, Lisa. 2002. *Cybertypes: Race, Ethnicity, and Identity on the Internet*. New York: Routledge.
- Octodad*. 2010. De Paul University Students. Chicago, IL: self-published. OSX.
- Octodad: Dadliest Catch*. 2014. Young Horses. Chicago, IL: self-published. Various.
- Papacharissi, Zizi. 2015. *Affective Publics: Sentiment, Technology, and Politics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Papers, Please*. 2013. Lucas Pope. Saitama, Japan: 3909 LLC. OSX, PC.
- Pettman, Dominic. 2011. *Human Error: Species Being and Media Machines*. Bristol: University of Minnesota Press.
- Pokémon GO*. 2016. Niantic. San Francisco, CA: self-published. Mobile.
- Redshirt*. 2013. Mitu Khandaker-Kokoris/The Tiniest Shark. London: Positech. OSX, PC.
- Ryan, Marie-Laure, and Thon, Jan-Noël. 2014. 'Introduction'. In Ryan, M-L. and Thon, J-N. (eds.). *Storyworlds across Media: Toward a Media-Conscious Narratology*. London: University of Nebraska Press.
- Shaw, Adrienne. 2014. *Gaming at the Edge: Sexuality and Gender at the Margins of Gamer Culture*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

- Sicart, Miguel. 2011. 'Against Procedurality'. *Game Studies* 11(3). Accessed 3 January 2017. http://gamestudies.org/1103/articles/sicart_ap.
- Silent Hill 2*. 2001. Team Silent. Tokyo: Konami. PlayStation 2.
- Silent Hill: Shattered Memories*. 2009. Climax Studios. Portsmouth: Konami Digital Entertainment. Wii, PlayStation 2, PlayStation Portable.
- Siren/Forbidden Siren* series. 2003–2008. Team Siren. Tokyo: Sony Computer Entertainment. PlayStation 2, PlayStation 3.
- Skulljhabit*. 2014. Porpentine. Oakland: self-published. Web.
- Thornham, Helen. 2011. *Ethnographies of the Videogame: Gender, Narrative and Praxis*. Farnham: Ashgate.
- Tokyo Jungle*. 2012. Crispy's. Tokyo: Sony Computer Entertainment. PlayStation 3.
- Valkyria Chronicles*. 2008. Sega WOW. Tokyo: Sega. PlayStation 3.
- Warhol, Robyn. 2003. *Having a Good Cry: Effeminate Feelings and Pop-Culture Forms*. Columbus: Ohio State University Press.
- Watt, Ian. 1959. *The Rise of the Novel: Studies in Defoe, Richardson and Fielding*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Williams, Raymond. 2004 [1974]. *Television: Technology and Cultural Form*. London: Routledge.

Digital Subjects

- Alien: Isolation . 2014. Creative Assembly. Horsham: Sega. Various.
- Atkins, Barry . 2003. *More than a Game: The Computer Game as Fictional Form*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Beller, Jonathan . 2006. *The Cinematic Mode of Production: Attention Economy and the Society of the Spectacle*. Lebanon: Dartmouth College Press.
- Bogost, Ian . 2007. *Persuasive Games: The Expressive Power of Videogames*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Caillois, Roger . 2001 [1958]. *Man, Play, and Games*. Chicago: University of Illinois Press.
- Chun, Wendy Hui Kyong . 2016. *Updating to Remain the Same: Habitual New Media*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Cobra Club . 2015. Robert Yang. New York: self-published. OSX, PC.
- Cooper, Dennis . 2005. *God Jr.* New York: Black Cat.
- Crary, Jonathan . 2001. *Suspensions of Perception: Attention, Spectacle and Modern Culture*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Cresci, Elena . 2016. Norway's PM Caught Playing Pokémon GO in Parliament. *The Guardian*. 5 October. Accessed 2 February 2017 .
www.theguardian.com/technology/2016/oct/05/norway-prime-minister-caught-playing-pokemon-go-parliament-erna-solberg.
- Destiny . 2014. Bungie. Bellevue, WA: Activision. Various.
- Featherstone, Mike . 2007. *Consumer Culture & Postmodernism*. London: Sage.
- Fez . 2012. Polytron Corporation/Phil Fish. Canada: Trapdoor. Various.
- Franklin, Seb . 2015. *Control: Digitality as Cultural Logic*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Frasca, Gonzalo . 2003. Ludologists Love Stories, Too: Notes from a Debate that Never Took Place. In Copier, M. and Raessens, J. (eds.). *Level Up: Digital Games Research Conference Proceedings*. Utrecht: Utrecht University. Accessed 28 August 2016 .
www.ludology.org/articles/Frasca_LevelUp2003.pdf.
- Galloway, Alexander R. 2011. *The Interface Effect*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Galloway, Alexander R. 2012. *Black Box Black Bloc*. In Noys, B. (ed.). *Communization and Its Discontents: Contestation, Critique and Contemporary Struggles*. New York: Minor Compositions.
- Golding, Dan , and van Deventer, Leena . 2016. *Game Changers*. New York: Simon and Schuster.16
- Grand Theft Auto series. 1997-2015. DMA Design/Rockstar North. Edinburgh: Rockstar. Various.
- Halo series. 2001-2015. Bungie/343 Industries. Redmond, Bellevue, WA: Microsoft. Xbox, PC, Xbox 360, Xbox One.
- Hansen, Mark B.N. 2015. *Feed-Forward: On the Future of Twenty-First-Century Media*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Horse Master: The Game of Horse Mastery . 2013. Tom McHenry. Unknown: self-published. Web.
- Juul, Jesper . 2010. *A Casual Revolution: Reinventing Video Games and their Players*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Killer 7 . 2005. Grasshopper Manufacture. Tokyo: Capcom. GameCube, PlayStation 2.
- Kim Kardashian: Hollywood . 2014. Glu Mobile. San Francisco, CA: self-published. Various.
- Kirkpatrick, Graeme . 2012. Constitutive Tensions of Gaming's Field: UK Gaming Magazines and the Formation of Gaming Culture 1981-1995. *Games Studies* 12(1). Accessed 10 January 2017 . <http://gamestudies.org/1201/articles/kirkpatrick>.
- Kirkpatrick, Graeme . 2013. *Computer Games and the Social Imaginary*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Lash, Scott . 2002. *Critique of Information*. London: Sage.
- Marwick, Alice E. and boyd, danah . 2011. "Tweet Honestly, I Tweet Passionately: Twitter Users, Context Collapse, and the Imagined Audience." *New Media & Society* 13(1): 114-133.
- McRobbie, Angela . 2016. *Be Creative: Making a Living in the New Culture Industries*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Metal Gear Solid series. 1998-2015. Kojima Productions. Tokyo: Konami. Various.
- Montfort, Nick , and Consalvo, Mia . 2012. *The Dreamcast, Console of the Avant-Garde*. Loading(9). Accessed 5 January 2015 .
<http://journals.sfu.ca/loading/index.php/loading/article/viewArticle/104>.

Nakamura, Lisa . 2002. *Cybertypes: Race, Ethnicity, and Identity on the Internet*. New York: Routledge.

Octodad . 2010. De Paul University Students. Chicago, IL: self-published. OSX.

Octodad: Dadliest Catch . 2014. Young Horses. Chicago, IL: self-published. Various.

Papacharissi, Zizi . 2015. *Affective Publics: Sentiment, Technology, and Politics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Papers, Please . 2013. Lucas Pope. Saitama, Japan: 3909 LLC. OSX, PC.

Pettman, Dominic . 2011. *Human Error: Species Being and Media Machines*. Bristol: University of Minnesota Press.

Pokémon GO . 2016. Niantic. San Francisco, CA: self-published. Mobile.

Redshirt . 2013. Mitu Khandaker-Kokoris/The Tiniest Shark. London: Positech. OSX, PC.

Ryan, Marie-Laure , and Thon, Jan-No . 2014. Introduction . In Ryan, M.L. . and Thon, J.N. . (eds.). *Storyworlds across Media: Toward a Media-Conscious Narratology*. London: University of Nebraska Press.

Shaw, Adrienne . 2014. *Gaming at the Edge: Sexuality and Gender at the Margins of Gamer Culture*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.17

Sicart, Miguel . 2011. Against Procedurality . *Game Studies* 11(3). Accessed 3 January 2017 . http://gamestudies.org/1103/articles/sicart_ap.

Silent Hill 2 . 2001. Team Silent. Tokyo: Konami. PlayStation 2.

Silent Hill: Shattered Memories . 2009. Climax Studios. Portsmouth: Konami Digital Entertainment. Wii, PlayStation 2, PlayStation Portable.

Siren/Forbidden Siren series. 2003-2008. Team Siren. Tokyo: Sony Computer Entertainment. PlayStation 2, PlayStation 3.

Skulljhabit . 2014. Porpentine. Oakland: self-published. Web.

Thornham, Helen . 2011. *Ethnographies of the Videogame: Gender, Narrative and Praxis*. Farnham: Ashgate.

Tokyo Jungle . 2012. Crispy . Tokyo: Sony Computer Entertainment. PlayStation 3.

Valkyria Chronicles . 2008. Sega WOW. Tokyo: Sega. PlayStation 3.

Warhol, Robyn . 2003. *Having a Good Cry: Effeminate Feelings and Pop-Culture Forms*. Columbus: Ohio State University Press.

Watt, Ian . 1959. *The Rise of the Novel: Studies in Defoe, Richardson and Fielding*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Williams, Raymond . 2004 [1974]. *Television: Technology and Cultural Form*. London: Routledge.

Datafied Subjects

Agamben, Giorgio . 2005. *State of Exception*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Ahm, Kristian Redhead . 2016. Strategic Ambiguity in Papers, Please . *First-Person Scholar*. 6 July. Accessed 3 January 2017 . <http://www.firstpersonscholar.com/strategic-ambiguity-in-papers-please/>.48

Ajana, Btihad . 2013. *Governing through Biometrics: The Biopolitics of Identity*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Alien Isolation . 2014. Creative Assembly. Horsham: Sega. Various.

Arendt, Hannah . 1994 [1963]. *Eichmann in Jerusalem: A Report on the Banality of Evil*. London: Penguin.

Azuma, Hiroki . 2009. *Japan's Database Animals*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Barrett, Duncan , and Barrett, Michal . 2016. *Star Trek: The Human Frontier*. London: Routledge.

Bauman, Zygmunt , and Lyon, David . 2013. *Liquid Surveillance: A Conversation*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Berardi, Franco . 2009. *The Soul at Work: From Alienation to Autonomy*. Los Angeles, CA: Semiotext(e).

Bergson, Henri . 1984 [1900]. *Laughter*. In Sypher, W. (ed.). *Comedy: An Essay by George Meredith and Laughter by Henri Bergson*. London: The Johns Hopkins University Press.

Bogost, Ian . 2007. *Persuasive Games: The Expressive Power of Videogames*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Bogost, Ian . 2009. *Videogames Are a Mess* . Accessed 2 January 2017 . http://bogost.com/writing/videogames_are_a_mess/.

Browne, Simone . 2015. *Dark Matters: On the Surveillance of Blackness*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Champion, Larry S. 1980. *Perspective in Shakespeare* . English Histories. Athens: University of Georgia Press.

Chun, Wendy Hui Kyong . 2016. *Updating to Remain the Same: Habitual New Media*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Cubitt, Sean . 1998. *Digital Aesthetics*. London: Sage.

Cullen, Johnny . 2014. *Lucas Pope: I'm Kind of Sick to Death of Papers, Please* . VG247. 3 May. Accessed 3 January 2017 . <http://www.vg247.com/2014/03/05/lucas-pope-im-kind-of-sick-to-death-of-papers-please/>.

Dead Space . 2008. EA Redwood Shores. Redwood Shores: Electronic Arts. PC, PlayStation 3, Xbox 360.

Deleuze, Gilles . 1992. *Postscript on the Societies of Control* . OCTOBER 59: 37

Ensslin, Astrid . 2014. *Literary Gaming*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Ferreday, Debra . 2009. *Online Belongings: Fantasy, Affect and Web Communities*. Oxford: Peter Lang.

Franklin, Seb . 2015. *Control: Digitality as Cultural Logic*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Fuller, Matthew , and Goffey, Andrew . 2012. *Evil Media*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Grabham, Emily . 2011. *Transgender Temporalities and the UK Gender Recognition Act* . In Davies, B. and Funke, J. (eds.). *Sex, Gender and Time in Fiction and Culture*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Grosser, Benjamin . 2014. *What Do Metrics Want? How Quantification Prescribes Social Interaction on Facebook* . Computational Culture 4. Accessed 4 January 2017 . <http://computationalculture.net/article/what-do-metrics-want>.

Hansen, Mark B.N. 2015. *Feed-Forward: On the Future of Twenty-First-Century Media*. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.

Hayles, N. Katherine . 2012. *How We Think: Digital Media and Contemporary Technogenesis*. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press. 49

Hocking, Clint . 2007. *Ludonarrative Dissonance in Bioshock* . Click Nothing. 7 October. Accessed 2 January 2017 . http://www.clicknothing.com/click_nothing/2007/10/ludonarrative-d.html.

Ikeda, Riyoko . 1972. *The Rose of Versailles*. Tokyo: Shueisha.

Jones, Steven E. 2008. *The Meaning of Video Games: Gaming and Textual Studies*. London: Routledge.

Jordan, Tim . 2015. *Information Politics: Liberation and Exploitation in the Digital Society*. London: Pluto Press.

Juul, Jesper . 2005. *Half-Real: Video Games between Real Rules and Fictional Worlds*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Juul, Jesper . 2014. *High-Tech Low-Tech Authenticity: The Creation of Independent Style at the Independent Games Festival* . Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on the Foundations of Digital Games. Accessed 3 January 2017 . <http://www.jesperjuul.net/text/independentstyle/>.

Kelly, Peter . 2015. *Approaching the Digital Courting Process in Dragon Age 2* . In Enevold, J. and MacCallum-Stewart, E. (eds.). *Game Love: Essays on Play and Affection*. Jefferson, NC: McFarland & Company, pp. 4662.

Khandaker-Kokoris, Mitu . 2015. *NPCs Need Love Too: Simulating Love and Romance, from a Game Design Perspective* . In Enevold, J. and MacCallum-Stewart, E. (eds.). *Game Love: Essays on Play and Affection*. Jefferson, NC: McFarland & Company, pp. 8295.

Kirkpatrick, Graeme . 2013. *Computer Games and the Social Imaginary*. Cambridge: Polity.

Lash, Scott . 2002. *Critique of Information*. London: Sage.

Lash, Scott , and Lury, Celia . 2007. *Global Culture Industry: The Mediation of Things*. Cambridge: Polity.

Lovink, Geert . 2011. *Networks without a Cause: A Critique of Social Media*. Cambridge: Polity.

Lyon, David . 2007. *Surveillance Studies: An Overview*. Cambridge: Polity.

McRobbie, Angela . 2016. *Be Creative: Making a Living in the New Culture Industries*. Cambridge: Polity.

Murray, Janet . 1997. *Hamlet on the Holodeck: The Future of Narrative in Cyberspace*. New York: Simon and Schuster.

Nakamura, Lisa . 2008. *Digitizing Race: Visual Cultures of the Internet*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Papers, Please . 2013. Lucas Pope. Saitama, Japan: 3909 LLC. Various.

Psyclone . 2015. *Nightmare Fuel/Papers, Please* TV Tropes. 2 January. Accessed 3 February 2017 . <http://tvtropes.org/pmwiki/pmwiki.php/NightmareFuel/PapersPlease>.

Pugliese, Joseph . 2012. *Biometrics: Bodies, Technologies, Biopolitics*. London: Routledge.

Raessens, Joost . 2006. *Playful Identities, or the Ludification of Culture* Games and Culture 1(1): 52-67.

Redshirt . 2013. *Mitu Khandaker-Kokoris/The Tiniest Shark*. London: Positech. OSX, PC.

Rettberg, Jill Walker . 2014. *Seeing Ourselves through Technology: How We Use Selfies, Blogs and Wearable Devices to See and Shape Ourselves*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Rheingold, Howard . 2000 [1993]. *The Virtual Community: Homesteading on the Electronic Frontier*. London: MIT Press.50

Ricoeur, Paul . 1992. *Oneself as Another*. London: University of Chicago Press.

Ryan, Marie-Laure , and Thon, Jan-No (eds.). 2014. *Storyworlds across Media: Toward a Media-Conscious Narratology*. London: University of Nebraska Press.

Saito, Chiho . 1996. *Revolutionary Girl Utena*. Tokyo: Shogakukan.

Sakura Wars series . 1996-present. Taruhisa Hiroi/Red Entertainment. Tokyo: Sega. Various.

Valkyria Chronicles . 2008. Sega WOW. Tokyo: Sega. PlayStation 3.

Valkyria Chronicles II . 2010. Sega. Tokyo: Sega. PlayStation Portable.

Walker, Austin . 2013. *Papers, Please and The Borders of Game Literacy* Clockwork Worlds. 1 April. Accessed 3 January 2017 . <http://clockworkworlds.com/post/46886987998/papers-please-and-the-borders-of-game-literacy>.

Wardrip-Fruin, Noah . 2009. *Expressive Processing: Digital Fictions, Computer Games, and Software Studies*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Ware, Nicholas . 2015. *Iterative Romance and Button-Mashing Sex* In Wysocki, M. and Lauteria, E. (eds.). *Rated M for Mature: Sex and Sexuality in Videogames*. London: Bloomsbury, pp. 225-239.

Zuboff, Shoshana . 2015. *Big Other: Surveillance Capitalism and the Prospects of an Information Civilization* Journal of Information Technology 30: 75-89.

Private Subjects

Abidin, Crystal . 2016. *Are These Just Young, Rich Women Doing Vain Things Online?* Influencer Selfies as Subversive Frivolity Social Media + Society 2(2). doi:10.1177/2056305116641342.

Andrejevic, Mark . 2013. *Infoglut: How Too Much Information Is Changing the Way We Think and Know*. New York: Routledge.

Angry Birds . 2009. Rovio Entertainment. Espoo: Chillingo. Various.

Balmain, Colette . 2008. *Introduction to Japanese Horror Film*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Barthes, Roland . 1976. *Sade/Fourier/Loyola*. London: The Johns Hopkins University Press.

Barthes, Roland . 1989. *The Rustle of Language*. Los Angeles, CA: University of California Press.

Bauman, Zygmunt , and Lyon, David . 2013. *Liquid Surveillance: A Conversation*. Cambridge: Polity.

Birchall, Clare . 2011. *Introduction to Secrecy and Transparency* The Politics of Opacity and Openness Theory, Culture & Society 28(78): 7-25.

Birchall, Clare . 2014. *Radical Transparency?* Cultural Studies Critical Methodologies 14(1): 77-88.

Blas, Zach . 2013. *Informatic Opacity* Journal of Aesthetics and Protest 9. Accessed 6 January 2017 . www.joaap.org/issue9/zachblas.htm.

BMX XXX . 2002. *Z-Axis*. Redwood Shores: AKA Acclaim. PlayStation 2.80

boyd, danah . 2011. *Social Network Sites as Networked Publics: Affordances, Dynamics and Implications* In Papacharissi, Z. (ed.). *The Networked Self: Identity, Community and Culture on Social Network Sites*. London: Routledge, pp. 39-58.

Blas, Zach . 2014. *It's Complicated: The Social Lives of Networked Teens*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Brunton, Finn . 2013. *Spam: A Shadow History of the Internet*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Carb  Mascarell, Rosa . 2016. *Walking Simulators: The Digitisation of an Aesthetic Practice*   Proceedings of the 1st International Joint Conference of DiGRA and FDG. www.digra.org/wp-content/uploads/digital-library/paper_66.pdf.

Castle, Terry . 1995. *The Apparitional Lesbian: Female Homosexuality and Modern Culture*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Chen, Adrian . 2012. *Inside Facebook's Outsourced Anti-Porn and Gore Brigade, Where Camel Toes Are More Offensive Than Crushed Heads*  Gawker. 16 February. Accessed 8 January 2017 . <http://gawker.com/5885714/inside-facebooks-outsourced-anti-porn-and-gore-brigade-where-camel-toes-are-more-offensive-than-crushed-heads>.

Chess, Shira , and Shaw, Adrienne . 2015. *A Conspiracy of Fishes, or, How We Learned to Stop Worrying about #Gamegate and Embrace Hegemonic Masculinity*  Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media 59(1): 208  220.

Chun, Wendy Hui Kyong . 2011. *Programmed Visions: Software and Memory*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Chun, Wendy Hui Kyong . 2016. *Updating to Remain the Same: Habitual New Media*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Cibele . 2015. *Nina Freeman*. Portland: Star Maid Games. PC, OSX.

Cobra Club . 2015. Robert Yang. New York: self-published. PC, OSX.

Consalvo, Mia . 2007. *Cheating: Gaining Advantage in Videogames*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Crysis . 2007. Crytek. Frankfurt: Electronic Arts. PC.

Cybulski, Alexander Dean . 2014. *Playing Spy Games: A Surveillant Platform Study of Microsoft's Xbox 360 Master's Thesis*, University of Toronto.

Dean, Jodi . 2002. *Publicity's Secret: How Technoculture Capitalizes on Democracy*. London: Cornell University Press.

Dear Esther . 2012. *The Chinese Room*. Brighton: self-published. Various.

De Villiers, Nicholas . 2012. *Opacity and the Closet: Queer Tactics in Foucault, Barthes, and Warhol*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Dollimore, Jonathan . 1991. *Sexual Dissidence: Augustine to Wilde, Freud to Foucault*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Don't Take It Personally, Babe, It Just Ain't Your Story . 2011. Christine Love. Toronto: self-published. PC, OSX.

Everybody's Gone to the Rapture . 2015. *The Chinese Room*. Brighton: Sony Computer Entertainment. PlayStation 4.

FarmVille . 2009. Zynga. San Francisco, CA: Zynga. Various.

Fatal Frame . 2001. Tecmo. Tokyo: Tecmo. PlayStation 2.

Galloway, Alexander R. 2006. *Gaming: Essays on Algorithmic Culture*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. 81

Galloway, Alexander R. 2012. *Black Box Black Bloc*  In Noys, B. (ed.). *Communization and Its Discontents: Contestation, Critique, and Contemporary Struggles*. New York: Autonomedia, pp. 237  249.

Galloway, Alexander R. , and Thacker, Eugene . 2009. *On Narcolepsy*  In Parikka, J. and Sampson, T.D. (eds.). *The Spam Book: On Viruses, Porn and Other Anomalies from the Dark Side of Digital Culture*. Creskill, NJ: Hampton Press, pp. 251  263.

Georgakopoulou, Alexandra . 2016. *From Narrating the Self to Posting Self(ies): A Small Stories Approach to Selfies*  Open Linguistics 2(1). doi:10.1515/opli-2016-0014.

Gera, Emily . 2013. *Can Your Next Gen Console Spy on You?*  Polygon. 5 November. Accessed 3 January 2017 . www.polygon.com/2013/11/5/5054400/can-your-xbox-one-spy-on-you.

Gillette, Felix , and Soper, Spencer . 2015. *How Twitch Turned Video Game Voyeurism into Big Business*  Bloomberg. 19 November. Accessed 8 January 2017 . <http://www.bloomberg.com/features/2015-the-big-business-of-twitch/>.

Ginzburg, Carlo . 1980. *Morelli, Freud and Sherlock Holmes: Clues and Scientific Method*  History Workshop 9: 58  6.

Golding, Dan , and van Deventer, Leena . 2016. *Game Changers*. New York: Simon and Schuster.

Gone Home . 2013. *The Fullbright Company*. Portland: self-published. OSX.

Gray, Mary L. 2009. *Out in the Country: Youth, Media, and Queer Visibility in Rural America*. New York: New York University Press.

Hamilton, William A. , Garretson, Oliver , and Kerne, Andruid . 2014. *Streaming on Twitch: Fostering Participatory Communities of Play within Live Mixed Media* [Proceedings of the 32nd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems: 1315-1324.

Her Story . 2015. Sam Barlow. UK: self-published. OSX.

Hillis, Ken . 2009. *Online a Lot of the Time: Ritual, Fetish, Sign*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Huizinga, Johan . 1949. *Homo Ludens*. London: Taylor & Francis.

Jones, Steven E. , and Thiruvathukal, George K. 2012. *Codename Revolution: The Nintendo Wii Platform*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Jordan, Tim . 2015. *Information Politics: Liberation and Exploitation in the Digital Society*. London: Pluto Press.

Jrgensen, Kristine . 2013. *Gameworld Interfaces*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Juul, Jesper . 2010. *A Casual Revolution: Reinventing Video Games and Their Players*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Karen . 2015. *Blast Theory*. Brighton: self-published. Android.

Kellexx . 2010. *Silent Hill: Shattered Memories FAQ*. Gamefaqs. Accessed 3 December 2016 . www.gamefaqs.com/ps2/959241-silent-hill-shattered-memories/faqs/58761.

Keogh, Brendan . 2015. *Between Triple-A, Indie, Casual, and DIY: Sites of Tension in the Videogames Cultural Industries* [In Oakley, K. and O'Donnor, J. (eds.). *The Routledge Companion to the Cultural Industries*. New York: Routledge, pp. 152-162.

Kirkland, Ewan . 2009. *Resident Evil* [Typewriter: Survival Horror and Its Remediations] *Games and Culture* 4(2): 115-126.82

Kirkland, Ewan . 2015. *Bestless Dreams and Shattered Memories: Psychoanalysis and Silent Hill* [Bumal 3(1): 161-182.

McGrath, John Edward . 2004. *Loving Big Brother: Performance, Privacy and Surveillance Space*. London: Routledge.

Mendelson, Andrew L. and Papacharissi, Zizi A. 2011. *Look at Us: Collective Narcissism in College Student Facebook Photo Galleries* [In Papacharissi, Z. (ed.). *The Networked Self: Identity, Community and Culture on Social Network Sites*. London: Routledge, pp. 251-273.

Miller, D.A. 1988. *The Novel and the Police*. London: University of California Press.

Mountain, Gwarred . 2010. *Psychology Profiling in Silent Hill: Shattered Memories* [Paper presented at Paris Game AI Conference 2010. Accessed 14 January 2017 . <http://aigamedev.com/ultimate/presentation/silent-hill-psychology-profiling/>.

O'Donnell, Casey . 2014. *Getting Played: Gamification and the Rise of Algorithmic Surveillance* [Surveillance & Society 12(3): 349-359.

Paasonen, Susanna . 2011. *Carnal Resonance: Affect and Online Pornography*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Papacharissi, Zizi . 2010. *A Private Sphere: Democracy in a Digital Age*. Cambridge: Polity.

Papacharissi, Zizi . 2015. *Affective Publics: Sentiment, Technology, and Politics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Porno Studio Tycoon . 2016. *Zitrix Megalomedia*. Moscow: self-published. PC.

Pybus, Jennifer , Cot-Mark , and Blanke, Tobias . 2015. *Hacking the Social Life of Big Data* [Big Data & Society 2(2). doi:10.1177/2053951715616649.

Redshirt . 2014. *The Tiniest Shark/Khandaker-Kokoris*. London: Positech. PC, OSX.

Resident Evil series. 1996-2017. Osaka: Capcom. Various.

Ryan, Marie-Laure . 2006. *Avatars of Story*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.

Samyn, Michael . 2010. *About Page*. Notgames Blog. Accessed 20 March 2017 . <http://notgames.org/blog/about>.

Sedgwick, Eve Kosofsky , and Moon, Michael . 1990. *Divinity: A Dossier, A Performance Piece, A Little-Understood Emotion* [Discourse 13(1): 12-39.

Senft, Theresa M. 2008. *Camgirls: Celebrity and Community in the Age of Social Networks*. New York: Lang.

Sext Adventure . 2014. *Kara Stone*. Santa Cruz: self-published. Mobile.

Sigl, Rainer . 2012. *Notgames: Notagoodidea* [Videogame Tourism. 15 December. Accessed 5 January 2017 . <http://videogametourism.at/content/notgames-notagoodidea>.

Silent Hill . 1999. Team Silent. Tokyo: Konami. PlayStation.

Silent Hill 2 . 2001. Team Silent. Tokyo: Konami. PlayStation 2.

Silent Hill 3 . 2003. Team Silent. Tokyo: Konami. PlayStation 2.

Silent Hill: Shattered Memories . 2009. Climax Studios. Portsmouth: Konami Digital Entertainment. Wii, PlayStation 2, PlayStation Portable.

S. Pete . 2015. Cobra Club[BEST GAME OF ALL TIME EVER BY ANYONE EVER [Verified]] 4 June. Accessed 8 January 2017 . www.youtube.com/watch?v=oe78HuFTTrnY.83

Stenros, Jaakko . 2012. The Defence of a Magic Circle: The Social and Mental Boundaries of Play[Proceedings of DiGRA Nordic 2012 Conference: Local and Global Games in Culture and Society. www.digra.org/wp-content/uploads/digital-library/12168.43543.pdf.

Steyerl, Hito . 2014. Proxy Politics: Signal and Noise[E-Flux Journal 60. Accessed 8 January 2017 . www.e-flux.com/journal/60/61045/proxy-politics-signal-and-noise/.

Thomas, Keith . 1971. Religion and the Decline of Magic: Studies in Popular Beliefs in Sixteenth and Seventeenth Century England. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Whitson, Jennifer R. 2013. Gaming the Quantified Self[Surveillance & Society 11(1): 163-176.

Whitson, Jennifer R. , and Simon, Bart . 2014. Game Studies Meets Surveillance Studies at the Edge of Digital Culture[Surveillance & Society 12(3): 309-319.

Wii Fit . 2007. Nintendo EAD Group No. 5. Tokyo: Nintendo. Wii.

Wii Sports . 2006. Nintendo EAD. Tokyo: Nintendo. Wii.

Yang, Robert . 2015a. Cobra Club as Ouroboros[28 May. Accessed 8 January 2017 . www.blog.radiator.debacl.us/2015/05/cobra-club-as-ouroboros.html.

Yang, Robert . 2015b. On My Games being Twice Banned by Twitch[24 September. Accessed 8 January 2017 . www.blog.radiator.debacl.us/2015/09/on-my-games-being-twice-banned-by-twitch.html.

Yang, Robert . 2016. New and Improved: Cobra Club HD[Accessed 8 January 2017 . <https://radiatoryang.itch.io/cobraclub>.

YOGSCAST Lewis & Simon . 2016. DICK PIC GAME [Tuesdays with Simon!][YouTube. 8 June. Accessed 1 April 2017 . <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ji5phWjtQN8>

Zappavigna, Michele . 2012. Discourse of Twitter and Social Media: How We Use Language to Create Affiliation on the Web. London: Continuum.

Beastly Subjects

Allison, Anne . 2006. Millennial Monsters: Japanese Toys and the Global Imagination. London: University of California Press.

Ambinder, Mike . 2011. Biofeedback in Gameplay: How Valve Measures Physiology to Enhance Gaming Experience[Accessed 8 January 2017 . www.valvesoftware.com/publications/2011/ValveBiofeedback-Ambinder.pdf.

Apperley, Tom . 2010. Gaming Rhythms: Play and Counterplay from the Situated to the Global. Amsterdam: Institute of Network Cultures.

Apperley, Tom and Heber, Nicole . 2015. Capitalizing on Emotions: Digital Pets and the Natural User Interface[In Enevold, J. and MacCallum-Stewart, E. (eds.). Game Love: Essays on Play and Affection. Jefferson, NC: McFarland and Company, pp. 149-164.

Atkins, Barry . 2007. Killing Time: Time Past, Time Present and Time Future in Prince of Persia: Sands of Time[In Atkins, B. and Krzywinska, T. (eds.). Videogame, Player, Text. Manchester: Manchester University Press, pp. 237-253.

Barbie Horse Adventures: Mystery Ride . 2003. Blue Monkey Studios. Los Angeles, CA: Universal Interactive. PC.

Baron, Sean . 2012. Cognitive Flow: The Psychology of Great Game Design[Gamasutra. 22 March. Accessed 8 January 2017 . www.gamasutra.com/view/feature/166972/cognitive_flow_the_psychology_of_.php.

Bateman, Chris . 2009. Understand Patterns of Play[In Bateman, C. (ed.). Beyond Game Design: Nine Steps Towards Creating Better Video Games. Boston, MA: Cengage Learning, pp. 61-116.

Braidotti, Rosi . 2013. The Posthuman. Cambridge: Polity.

Butler, Judith . 1993. Bodies That Matter: On the Discursive Limits of Sex[New York: Routledge.

Calleja, Gordon . 2011. In-Game: From Immersion to Incorporation. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Carr, Diane . 2014. Ability, Disability and Dead Space [Game Studies 14(2). Accessed 10 January 2017 . <http://gamestudies.org/1402/articles/carr>.

Cart Life: A Retail Simulation for Windows . 2011. Richard Hofmeier. Seattle, WA: self-published. PC.

Chen, Jenova . 2007. Flow in Games (and Everything Else) *Communications of the ACM* 50(4): 3184.

CLOP . 2012. Bennett Foddy. Oxford: Foddy.net. Web.110

Cobra Club . 2015. Robert Yang. New York: self-published. OSX.

Consalvo, Mia . 2012. Confronting Toxic Gamer Culture: A Challenge for Feminist Game Studies Scholars *Ada* 1. Accessed 4 January 2017 . <http://adanewmedia.org/2012/11/issue1-consalvo/>.

Cook, Daniel . 2007. The Chemistry of Game Design *Gamasutra*. 19 July. Accessed 3 January 2017 . www.gamasutra.com/view/feature/129948/the_chemistry_of_game_design.php.

Crary, Jonathan . 2013. *24/7: Late Capitalism and the Ends of Sleep*. London: Verso Books.

Crogan, Patrick . 2011. *Gameplay Mode: War, Simulation, and Technoculture*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Csikszentmihalyi, Mihaly . 2002 [1990]. *Flow: The Psychology of Happiness*. London: Rider.

Csikszentmihalyi, Mihaly , and Nakamura, Jeanne . 2002. The Concept of Flow *In* Snyder, C.R. and Lopez, S.J. (eds.). *Handbook of Positive Psychology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 89-105.

Csikszentmihalyi, Mihaly , and Selega Csikszentmihalyi, Isabella . 1992. *Optimal Experience: Psychological Studies of Flow in Consciousness*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

De Peuter, Greig , and Dyer-Witheford, Nick . 2009. *Games of Empire: Global Capitalism and Video Games*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Don't Take It Personally, Babe, It Just Ain't Your Story . 2011. Christine Love. Toronto, ON: self-published. OSX.

Dovey, Jon , and Kennedy, Helen W. 2006. *Game Cultures: Computer Games as New Media*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.

Downs, Edward , and Smith, Stacy L. 2009. Keeping Abreast of Hypersexuality: A Video Game Character Content Analysis *Sex Roles* 62(11): 721-733.

Dragon Age: Inquisition . 2014. BioWare. Edmonton, AB: Electronic Arts. PlayStation 4.

Ecco the Dolphin . 1992. Novotrade International. Budapest: Sega. Mega Drive.

Foucault, Michel . 1980. *The History of Sexuality: An Introduction*. London: Vintage.

Gallagher, Rob . 2014a. Exaptation, Interpretation, PlayStation *Media-N* 10(2). Accessed 3 January 2017 . <http://median.newmediacaucus.org/case-study-4-exaptation-interpretation-playstation/>.

Gallagher, Rob . 2014b. From Camp to Kitsch: A Queer Eye on Console Fandom *GAME* 1(3). Accessed 3 January 2017 . http://www.gamejournal.it/3_gallagher/.

Geisler, Kevin . 2013. Octodad: Dadliest Catch F.A.Q. *6 May*. Accessed 4 January 2017 . <http://octodadgame.com/octodad-dadliest-catch-f-a-q/>.

Gibbs, Anna . 2010. After Affect: Sympathy, Synchrony and Mimetic Communication *In* Gregg, M. and Seigworth, G.J. (eds.). *The Affect Theory Reader*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, pp. 185-206.

Goat Simulator . 2014. Coffee Stain Studios. Skövde: self-published. PC.

Gray, Kishonna L. 2014. *Race, Gender, and Deviance in Xbox Live: Theoretical Perspectives from the Virtual Margins*. London: Routledge.

Greer, Stephen . 2013. Playing Queer: Affordances for Sexuality in Fable and Dragon Age *Journal of Gaming & Virtual Worlds* 5(1): 321-111

Grosz, Elizabeth A. 1994. *Volatile Bodies: Toward a Corporeal Feminism*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

Hansen, Mark B.N. 2004. The Time of Affect, or Bearing Witness to Life *Critical Inquiry* 30: 584-626.

Hansen, Mark B.N. 2015. *Feed-Forward: On the Future of Twenty-First-Century Media*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Haraway, Donna . 2008. *When Species Meet*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Hopson, John . 2001. Behavioural Game Design *Gamasutra*. 27 April. Accessed 8 January 2017 . www.gamasutra.com/view/feature/131494/behavioral_game_design.php.

Hopson, John . 2012. 10 Years of Behavioral Game Design with Bungie *Research Boss* *Gamasutra*. 15 June. Accessed 4 January 2017 . www.gamasutra.com/view/feature/172409/10_years_of_behavioral_game_design.php.

Horse Master: The Game of Horse Mastery . 2013. Tom McHenry. Unknown: self-published. Web. <https://tommchenry.itch.io/horse-master>.

Irigaray, Luce . 1985. *This Sex Which Is Not One*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Kinectimals . 2010. Frontier Developments. Cambridge: Microsoft Game Studios. Xbox 360.

Kirkpatrick, Graeme . 2009. Controller, Hand, Screen: Aesthetic Form in the Computer Game. *Games and Culture* 4(2): 127-143.

Kirkpatrick, Graeme . 2011. *Aesthetic Theory and the Videogame*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Kirschenbaum, Matthew G. 2008. *Mechanisms: New Media and the Forensic Imagination*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Krapp, Peter . 2011. *Noise Channels: Glitch and Error in Digital Culture*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Kristeva, Julia . 1982. *Powers of Horror: An Essay on Abjection*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Lada, Jenni . 2012. Young Horses Breaks Octodad Cover. Cheat Code Central. 25 October. Accessed 3 January 2017 . www.cheatcc.com/extra/younghorsesbreaksoctodadcover.html.

Lahti, Martti . 2003. As We Become Machines: Corporealized Pleasures in Video Games. In Perron, B. and Wolf, M.J.P. (eds.). *The Video Game Theory Reader*. London: Routledge, pp. 157-170.

Last Guardian, The . 2016. Team Ico. Tokyo: Sony Computer Entertainment. PlayStation 4.

Lauteria, Evan . 2011. Procedurally and Fictively Relevant. Exploring the Potential for Queer Content in Videogames. Berfrois. 20 December. Accessed 11 January 2017 . www.berfrois.com/2011/12/queer-gaming-evan-lauteria/.

LGBTQ Game Archive . 2017. Games by Decade. Accessed 3 April 2017 . <https://lgbtqgamearchive.com/>.

Lippit, Akira Mizuta . 2000. *Electric Animal: Toward a Rhetoric of Wildlife*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Lovecraft, Howard Phillips . 2013. *The Classic Horror Stories*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 112

Lury, Celia . 1998. *Prosthetic Culture: Photography, Memory and Identity*. London: Routledge.

McAllister, Graham . 2012. Testing Games with Science. Eurogamer Podcast 97. 26 January. Accessed 12 July 2012 . <http://www.eurogamer.net/articles/2012-01-26-eurogamer-net-podcast-97-testing-games-with-science>.

McAllister, Graham , Mirza-Babaei, Pejman and Nacke, Lennart . 2012. Biometric Storyboards: Toward a Better Understanding of Player Experience. In Proceedings of CHI 2012 Game User Research Workshop, Austin, TX, USA. Accessed 2 April 2017 . <http://gur.hcigames.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/Biometric-Storyboards%E2%80%93Toward-a-Better-Understanding-of-Player-Experience.pdf>.

McHugh, Susan . 2011. *Animal Stories: Narrating Across Species Lines*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Metal Gear Solid 2: Sons of Liberty . 2001. Konami Computer Entertainment Japan. Tokyo: Konami. PlayStation 2.

Metal Gear Solid V: The Phantom Pain . 2015. Kojima Productions. Tokyo: Konami Computer Entertainment. Various.

Middle, China . 2008. M.R. James and the Quantum Vampire: Weird; Hauntological: Versus and/or and and/or or? Collapse 4: 105-128.

Minotaur China Shop . 2008. Flashbang Studios. Tempe, AZ: Blurst. Web.

Moriarty, Brian . 2002. Entrain. Accessed 6 January 2017 . <http://ludix.com/moriarty/entrain.html>.

Nacke, Lennart , Bateman, Chris , and Mandryk, Regan L. 2014. BrainHex: A Neurobiological Gamer Typology Survey. *Entertainment Computing* 5(1): 55-62.

Nemorin, Selena . 2016. Neuromarketing and the Boor in World Consumer: How the Animalization of Thinking Underpins Contemporary Market Research Discourses. *Consumption Markets & Culture* 20(1): 59-80.

Nintendogs . 2005. Nintendo EAD. Tokyo: Nintendo. Nintendo DS.

Octodad . 2010. De Paul Students. Chicago, IL: self-published. PC, OSX.

Octodad: Dadliest Catch . 2014. Young Horses. Chicago, IL: self-published. Various.

Ossman, Susan . 2002. *Three Faces of Beauty: Casablanca, Paris, Cairo*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Panzer Dragoon series. 1995-2002. Team Andromeda/Smilebit. Japan: Sega. Saturn/Xbox.

Papers, Please . 2013. Lucas Pope. Saitama, Japan: 3909 LLC. PC, OSX.

Pettman, Dominic . 2011. *Human Error: Species-Being and Media*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Pokmon GO . 2016. Niantic. San Francisco, CA: self-published. Mobile.

Puar, Jasbir . 2007. *Terrorist Assemblages: Homonationalism in Queer Times*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Salen, Katie , and Zimmerman, Eric . 2004. *Rules of Play: Game Design Fundamentals*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Schiffman, Natasha Dow . 2014. *Addiction by Design: Machine Gambling in Las Vegas*. Oxford: Princeton University Press.

Scott-Tunkin, Devon . 2012. *Building a Better Tentacle Ragdoll* Accessed 5 January 2017 . www.irongeek.com/i.php?page=videos/notacon9/octodad-building-a-better-tentacle-ragdoll-devon-scott-tunkin.113

Sedgwick, Eve Kosofsky . 2003. *Touching Feeling: Affect, Pedagogy, Performativity*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Sedgwick, Eve Kosofsky . 2008. *Epistemology of the Closet*. London: University of California Press.

Serres, Michel . 1997. *Genesis*. Chicago, IL: University of Michigan Press.

Shukin, Nicole . 2009. *Animal Capital: Rendering Life in Biopolitical Times*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Siren/Forbidden Siren 2 . 2006. Team Siren. Tokyo: Sony Computer Entertainment. PlayStation 2.

Swink, Steve . 2008. *Game Feel: A Game Designer's Guide to Virtual Sensation*. Boston, MA: Elsevier.

Thornham, Helen . 2011. *Ethnographies of the Videogame: Gender, Narrative and Praxis*. Farnham: Ashgate.

Tokyo Jungle . 2012. Crispy. Tokyo: Sony Computer Entertainment. PlayStation 3.

Valkyria Chronicles . 2008. Sega WOW. Tokyo: Sega. PlayStation 3.

Vora, Kalindi . 2015. *Life Support: Biocapital and the New History of Outsourced Labor*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Walker, John . 2008. *Minotaur China Shop Is Live, We Talk to Flashbang* Rock, Paper, Shotgun. 11 December. Accessed 8 January 2017 . <http://www.rockpapershotgun.com/2008/12/11/minotaur-china-shop-is-live-we-talk-to-flashbang/>.

Yin-Poole, Wesley . 2015. *Shaking off Accusations of YouTube Bait* Eurogamer. 1 May. Accessed 8 February 2017 . www.eurogamer.net/articles/2015-05-01-shaking-off-accusations-of-youtube-bait.

Young Horses . 2013. *Why I Want to Emulate an Octopus* 28 March. Accessed 7 January 2017 . <http://octodadgame.com/why-i-want-to-emulate-an-octopus/>.

Synthetic Subjects

Aarseth, Espen J. 1997. *Cybertext: Perspectives on Ergodic Literature*. London: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Agre, Phillip E. 1997. *Computation and Human Experience*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Alien . 1979. Dir. Ridley Scott . UK.

Alien: Isolation . 2014. Creative Assembly. Horsham: Sega. Various.

Aliens . 1986. Dir. James Cameron . UK.

Aliens: Colonial Marines . 2013. Gearbox Software. Frisco: Sega. PC, PlayStation 3, Xbox 360.

Amnesia: The Dark Descent . 2010. Frictional Games. Helsingborg: Frictional Games. Various.

Bergson, Henri . 1984 [1900]. *Laughter* In Sypher, W. (ed.). *Comedy: An Essay by George Meredith and Laughter by Henri Bergson*. London: The Johns Hopkins University Press.

Bioshock 2: Minerva's Den . 2010. 2K Marin. Novato: 2K Games. Various.

Botting, Fred . 2013. *Limits of Horror: Technology, Bodies, Gothic*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Brenton, Howard . 1980. *Plays for the Poor Theatre*. London: Methuen.

Chappie . 2015. Dir. Neil Blomkamp . South Africa.

Connor, Stephen . 1999. *The Machine in the Ghost: Spiritualism, Technology and the Direct Voice* In Buse, P. (ed.). *Ghosts: Deconstruction, Psychoanalysis, History*. London: Macmillan, pp. 203-225.

Creed, Barbara . 2000 [1993]. *Alien and the Monstrous Feminine* In Hovenden, F. , Janes, L. and Kirkup, G. (eds.). *The Gendered Cyborg: A Reader*. New York: Routledge, pp. 122-135.

Dovey, Jon , and Kennedy, Helen . 2006. *Game Cultures: Computer Games as New Media*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.

Dyson, Frances . 2014. *The Tone of Our Times: Sound, Sense, Economy, Ecology*. London: MIT Press.

Ex Machina . 2015. Dir. Alex Garland . UK.

Frank, Adam , and Sedgwick, Eve Kosofsky . 1995. *Shame in the Cybernetic Fold: Reading Silvan Tomkins* Critical Inquiry 21(2): 496-522.

Franklin, Seb . 2015. *Control: Digitality as Cultural Logic*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Freud, Sigmund . 2001 [1917]. *A Difficulty in the Path of Psychoanalysis* The Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud, vol. 17. London: Vintage.

Halo series. 2001-2015. Bungie/343 Industries. Redmond, Bellevue, WA: Microsoft. Xbox, PC, Xbox 360, Xbox One.

Hansen, Mark B.N. 2015. *Our Predictive Condition, or Prediction in the Wild* In Grusin, R.A. (ed.). *The Nonhuman Turn*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, pp. 101-138.

Hayles, N. Katherine . 2012. *How We Think: Digital Media and Contemporary Technogenesis*. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.

Her . 2013. Dir. Spike Jonze . USA.

Hochschild, Arlie Russell . 1983. *The Managed Heart: Commercialization of Human Feeling*. Berkeley: University of California Press. 139

Hofstadter, Douglas . 1997. *Fluid Concepts and Creative Analogies: Computer Models of the Fundamental Mechanisms of Thought*. London: Allen Lane.

Hogarty, Steve . 2014. *How Creative Assembly Designed Alien: Isolation* Terrifyingly Clever Xenomorph PCGamesN. 9 January. Accessed 20 January 2014 . www.pcgamesn.com/interview-creative-assembly-alien-isolation-terrifyingly-alien-ai.

Humans . 2015. Sam Vincent and Jonathan Brackley. UK: Channel 4/AMC Studios/Kudos.

Jayemanne, Darshana , and Keogh, Brendan . 2016. *Game Over, Man, Game Over* Understanding the Limits of Ego-Centric Videogame Design and Analysis through Adaptations of Alien Proceedings of the First Joint Conference of DiGRA and FDG.

Jentsch, Ernst . 1997 [1906]. *On the Psychology of the Uncanny* Angelaki 2(1): 7-16.

Kirkland, Ewan . 2009a. *Horror Videogames and the Uncanny* Breaking New Ground: Innovations in Games, Play, Practice and Theory. Proceedings of DiGRA 2009. Accessed 16 April 2014 . www.digra.org/dl/db/09287.25453.pdf.

Kirkland, Ewan . 2009b. *Resident Evil* Typewriter: Survival Horror and Its Remediations Games and Culture 4(2): 115-126.

Kirkland, Ewan . 2015. *Restless Dreams and Shattered Memories: Psychoanalysis and Silent Hill* Brumal 3(1): 161-182.

Kirkpatrick, Graeme . 2011. *Aesthetic Theory and the Video Game*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Kittler, Friedrich . 1997. *Literature, Media Information Systems: Essays*. Amsterdam: G+B Arts.

Krzywinska, Tanya . 2002. *Hands-on Horror* Spectator 22(2): 12-23.

Liu, Lydia H. 2011. *The Freudian Robot: Digital Media and the Future of the Unconscious*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Luckhurst, Roger . 2014. *Alien*. London: BFI.

Metropolis . 1927. Dir. Fritz Lang . Germany.

Mitchell, William J.T. 2005. *What Do Pictures Want? The Lives and Loves of Images*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Moulthrop, Stuart . 2004. *From Work to Play: Molecular Culture in the Time of Deadly Games* In Harrington, P. and Wardrip-Fruin, N. (eds.). *First Person: New Media as Story, Performance and Game*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, pp. 56-69.

Ndalianis, Angela . 2004. *Neo-Baroque Aesthetics and Contemporary Entertainment*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Nead, Lynda . 2007. *The Haunted Gallery: Painting, Photography, Film, c.1900*. London: Yale University Press.

Niedenthal, Simon . 2009. *Patterns of Obscurity: Gothic Setting and Light in Resident Evil 4 and Silent Hill 2* □n Perron, B. (ed.). *Horror Videogames: Essays on the Fusion of Fear and Play*. London: McFarland and Company, pp. 168□B0.

Outlast . 2013. Red Barrels Studio. Montreal, QC: Red Barrels Studio. Various.

Peters, John Durham . 1999. *Speaking into the Air: A History of the Idea of Communication*. London: University of Chicago Press.

Portal . 2007. Valve Corporation. Bellevue, WA: Valve Corporation. Various.140

Power, Nina . 2014. *Soft Coercion, The City, and the Recorded Female Voice*□n Gandy, M. and Nilsen, B.J. (eds.). *The Acoustic City*. Berlin: Jovis, pp. 23□B.

Resident Evil . 1996. Capcom. Osaka: Capcom. Various.

Resident Evil 4 . 2005. Capcom. Osaka: Capcom. Various.

Santos, Marc C. , and White, Sarah E. 2005. *Playing with Ourselves: A Psychoanalytic Investigation of Resident Evil and Silent Hill* □n Garrelts, N. (ed.). *Digital Gameplay: Essays on the Nexus of Game and Gamer*. Jefferson, NC: McFarland and Company, pp. 69□B.

Sconce, Jeffrey . 2000. *Haunted Media: Electronic Presence from Telegraphy to Television*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Silent Hill . 1999. Team Silent. Tokyo: Konami. PlayStation.

Silent Hill 2 . 2001. Team Silent. Tokyo: Konami. PlayStation 2.

Siren: Blood Curse . 2008. Team Siren. Tokyo: Sony Computer Entertainment. PlayStation 3.

Siren/Forbidden Siren . 2003. Team Siren. Tokyo: Sony Computer Entertainment. PlayStation 2.

Siren/Forbidden Siren 2 . 2006. Team Siren. Tokyo: Sony Computer Entertainment. PlayStation 2.

Slender: The Eight Pages . 2012. Parsec Productions. Rio Rancho, NM: Parsec Productions. PC.

Soma . 2015. *Frictional Games*. Helsingborg: Frictional Games. Various.

Star Wars: Knights of the Old Republic . 2003. BioWare. Edmonton, AB: LucasArts. Xbox.

Surman, David . 2005. *Using the Game: The Guilty Pleasure of Games as Work*□Digra.org. 14 December. Accessed 6 April 2016 . www.digra.org/hardcore/hc6.

Thrift, Nigel . 2008. *Non-representational Theory: Space, Politics, Affect*. London; New York: Routledge.

Villiers de Ville-Adam , Auguste, comte de. 1982 [1886]. *Tomorrow□Eve*. London: University of Illinois Press.

Wardrip-Fruin, Noah . 2009. *Expressive Processing: Digital Fictions, Computer Games, and Software Studies*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Westworld . 2016. Jonathan Nolan and Lisa Joy. New York: HBO.

Mobile Subjects

Aarseth, Espen . 1997. *Cybertext: Perspectives on Ergodic Literature*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Abbott, Edwin A. 1884. *Flatland: A Romance of Many Dimensions*. London: Seeley and Company.

Allison, Anne . 2006. *Millennial Monsters: Japanese Toys and the Global Imagination*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Anderson, Ben . 2016. *Cultural Geography 1: Intensities and Forms of Power*□Progress in Human Geography 1(11). <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0309132516649491>.

Andrejevic, Mark . 2013. *Infoglut: How Too Much Information Is Changing the Way that We Think and Know*. New York: Routledge.167

Batman: Arkham Asylum . 2009. Rocksteady Studios. London: Eidos/Warner Bros. Interactive. Various.

Braid . 2008. Jonathan Blow/Number None Inc. USA: Number None Inc. Various.

Broforce . 2015. *Free Lives*. Capetown: Devolver Digital. Various.

Caillois, Roger . 2001 [1958]. *Man, Play, and Games*. Chicago: University of Illinois Press.

carrickature . 2016. *A Safety PSA when Playing Pok□mon GO!*□Accessed 2 February 2017 . http://www.reddit.com/r/pokemongoLondon/comments/4tkoi5/a_safety_psa_when_playing_pokemon_go/.

Cavanaugh, Carole . 2007. *Eroticism in Two Dimensions: Shinoda Masahiro's Double Suicide* . In Phillips, A. and Stringer, J. (eds.). *Japanese Cinema: Texts and Contexts*. Abingdon: Routledge, pp. 205-216.

Chan, Dean . 2007. *The Cultural Economy of Ludic Superflatness* . Situated Play, Proceedings of DiGRA 2007 Conference: 783-788.

Chinen, Lucy . 2014. *At Our Expense: Harun Farocki's Images at War* . Rhizome. 25 July. Accessed 3 February 2017 . <https://rhizome.org/editorial/2014/jul/25/harun-farocki/>.

Chun, Wendy Hui Kyong . 2016. *Updating to Remain the Same: Habitual New Media*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

Condemned: Criminal Origins . 2005. Monolith Productions. Kirkland: Sega. Xbox 360, PC.

Consalvo, Mia . 2012. *Osmo-Play: Japanese Videogames and Western Gamers* . In Embrick, D.G. , Talmadge, J.W. and Lukacs, A. (eds.). *Social Exclusion, Power, and Video Game Play: New Research in Digital Media and Technology*. Plymouth: Lexington Books, pp. 199-220.

Contra . 1987. Konami. Tokyo: Konami. Various.

Crogan, Patrick . 2011. *Gameplay Mode: War, Simulation, and Technoculture*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Dannah573 . 2016. *Places to Charge Your Phone in Central?* . Accessed 2 February 2017 . http://www.reddit.com/r/pokemongoLondon/comments/4spq1u/places_to_charge_your_phone_in_central/.

De Peuter, Greig , and Dyer-Witheford, Nick . 2009. *Games of Empire: Global Capitalism and Video Games*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Dix, Andrew , Jarvis, Brian , and Jenner, Paul . 2011. *The Contemporary American Novel in Context*. London: Continuum.

Echochrome . 2008. *Game Yarouze/SCE Japan Studio*. Tokyo: Sony Computer Entertainment. PlayStation 3, PlayStation Portable.

Errington, Shelly . 1995. *Myth and Structure in Disney World* . In Lavin, I. (ed.). *Meaning in the Visual Arts: Views from the Outside*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, pp. 85-105.

Fez . 2012. Polytron Corporation/Phil Fish. Canada: Trapdoor. Various.

Franklin, Seb . 2015. *Control: Digitality as Cultural Logic*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Friedberg, Anne . 2006. *The Virtual Window: From Alberti to Microsoft*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Gallagher, Rob . 2013. *The Metaphorics of Virtual Depth* . Alluvium. Accessed 3 February 2017 . www.alluvium-journal.org/2013/12/04/the-metaphorics-of-virtual-depth/.168

Galloway, Alexander R. 2006. *Gaming: Essays on Algorithmic Culture*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Godwin, Mike . 1994. *Meme, Counter-Meme* . Wired. 1 September. Accessed 3 February 2017 . <http://www.wired.com/1994/10/godwin-if-2/>.

Hanafi, Zakiya . 2000. *The Monster in the Machine: Magic, Medicine, and the Marvellous in the Time of the Scientific Revolution*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Hatherley, Owen . 2017. *However Brutal, The YoloCaust Website Gave Meaning to Berlin's Holocaust Memorial* . Dezeen. 2 February. Accessed 9 February . <http://www.dezeen.com/2017/02/02/owen-hatherley-opinion-yoloCaust-website-shahak-shapira-berlin-holocaust-memorial-peter-eisenman/>.

Heavy Rain . 2010. Quantic Dream. Paris: Sony Computer Entertainment. PlayStation 3.

Hjorth, Larissa . 2015. *Narratives of Ambient Play: Camera Phone Practices in Urban Cartographies* . In Foth, M. , Brynskov, M. and Ojala, T. (eds.). *Citizen's Right to the Digital City: Urban Interfaces, Activism, and Placemaking*. London: Springer, pp. 23-45.

Ingress . 2013. Niantic. San Francisco, CA: Niantic. Mobile.

Jay, Martin . 1993. *Downcast Eyes: The Denigration of Vision in Twentieth- Century French Thought*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Jenkins, Henry . 2004. *Game Design as Narrative Architecture* . In Harrigan, P. and Wardrip-Fruin, N. (eds.). *First Person: New Media as Story, Performance, and Game*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, pp. 118-130.

Jenkins, Henry . 2006. *Fans, Bloggers, and Gamers: Exploring Participatory Culture*. New York: NYU Press.

Juul, Jesper . 2014. *High-Tech Low-Tech Authenticity: The Creation of Independent Style at the Independent Games Festival* . Accessed 3 January 2017 . www.jesperjuul.net/text/independentstyle/independentstyle.pdf.

Kalata, Kurt . 2005. *Killer 7* . Hardcore Gaming 101. Accessed 17 January 2017 . www.hardcoregaming101.net/killer7/killer7.htm.

Killer 7 . 2005. Grasshopper Manufacture. Tokyo: Capcom. GameCube, PlayStation 2.

Kirkpatrick, Graeme . 2004. *Critical Technology: A Social Theory of Personal Computing*. Farnham: Ashgate.

Kirkpatrick, Graeme . 2012. *Constitutive Tensions of Gaming*Field: UK Gaming Magazines and the Formation of Gaming Culture 1981-1995Games Studies 12(1). Accessed 10 January 2017 . <http://gamestudies.org/1201/articles/kirkpatrick>.

Krause, Valentina Rozas . 2015. *Cruising Eisenman*Holocaust MemorialAnos 90 22(42): 5385.

Kubba, Sinan , and Orland, Kyle . 2012. *Practically Impossible: The Quest to Decipher Fez*Cryptic Final PuzzleArs Technica. 20 April. Accessed 11 February 2017 . <http://arstechnica.com/gaming/news/2012/04/why-it-took-almost-a-week-for-the-world-to-completely-finish-fez>.ars.

Litvak, Joseph . 1997. *Strange Gourmet: Taste, Waste, Proust*In Sedgwick, E.K. (ed.). *Novel Gazing: Queer Readings in Fiction*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, pp. 7483.

Lury, Celia . 1998. *Prosthetic Culture: Photography, Memory and Identity*. London: Routledge.

Mainichi . 2012. Mattie Brice. San Francisco, CA: self-published. PC, OSX.169

Manovich, Lev . 2007. *The Poetics of Augmented Space: The Art of Our Time*In von Borries, F. , Walz, S.P. and Bottger, M. (eds.). *Space, Time, Play: Computer Games, Architecture and Urbanism: The Next Level*. Basel: Birkhauser.

McWhertor, Michael . 2010. *The Way You Played Batman: Arkham Asylum Made One Man Very Sad*14 July. Kotaku. Accessed 20 January 2017 . <http://kotaku.com/5587286/the-way-you-played-batman-arkham-asylum-made-one-man-very-sad>.

Meyjes, Toby . 2016. *Koffing the Poison Gas Pokmon Found at Holocaust Museum*Metro. 12 July. Accessed 3 February 2017 . <http://metro.co.uk/2016/07/12/koffing-the-poison-gas-pokemon-found-at-holocaust-museum-6002503/>.

Millington, Brad . 2009. *Wii Has Never Been Modern: Active*Video Games and the Conduct of ConductNew Media and Society 11(4): 621640.

Mills, Jennifer . 2013. *Best We Forget: Grindr Remembers the Holocaust*Overland. 31 January. Accessed 17 January 2017 . <https://overland.org.au/2013/01/best-we-forget-grindr-remembers-the-holocaust/>.

Mirzoeff, Nicholas . 2011. *The Right to Look: A Counterhistory of Visuality*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Montola, Markus . 2009. *Games and Pervasive Games*In Montola, M. , Stenros, J. and Waern, A. (eds.). *Pervasive Games: Theory and Design*. Burlington, MA: Elsevier, pp. 723.

Montola, Markus , and Stenros, Jaakko . 2009. *Killer: The Game of Assassination*In Montola, M. , Stenros, J. and Waern, A. (eds.). *Pervasive Games: Theory and Design*. Burlington, MA: Elsevier, pp. 361

Morris, Dave , and Rollings, Andrew . 2000. *Game Architecture and Design*. Scottsdale: Coriolis.

Mubi Brighenti, Andrea . 2010. *New Media and the Prolongations of Urban Environments*Convergence 16(4): 471487.

Ndalianis, Angela . 2004. *Neo-Baroque Aesthetics and Contemporary Entertainment*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Nead, Lynda . 2007. *The Haunted Gallery: Painting, Photography, Film, c. 1900*. London: Yale University Press.

Nitsche, Michael . 2008. *Video Game Spaces: Image, Play, and Structure in 3D Game Worlds*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Papacharissi, Zizi . 2015. *Affective Publics: Sentiment, Technology and Politics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Parallax View, The . 1974. Dir. Alan Pakula. Los Angeles, CA: Paramount Pictures.

Peterson, Andrea . 2016. *Holocaust Museum to Visitors: Stop Catching Pokmon Here*The Washington Post. 12 July. Accessed 3 February 2017 . www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-switch/wp/2016/07/12/holocaust-museum-to-visitors-please-stop-catching-pokemon-here/?utm_term=.55f4dcd00ce3.

Pokmon GO . 2016. Niantic. San Francisco, CA: self-published. Mobile.

Pokmon Snap . 1999. HAL Laboratory and Pax Softnica. Tokyo: Nintendo. Nintendo 64.

Ralphus16 . 2016. *Bluewater GPS*?Accessed 2 February 2017 . http://www.reddit.com/r/pokemongoLondon/comments/4wn14j/bluewater_gps/.

Schrape, Niklas . 2014. *Gamification and Governmentality*In Fuchs, M. , Fizek, S. , Ruffino, P. and Schrape, N. (eds.). *Rethinking Gamification*. Lüneburg, Germany: meson press, pp. 2146.170

Shapira, Shahak . 2017. Yolocaust. Accessed 3 January 2017 . <http://yolocaust.de/>.

Sloterdijk, Peter . 1987. Critique of Cynical Reason. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Stiegler, Bernard . 2014. The Re-enchantment of the World: The Value of Spirit against Industrial Populism. London: Bloomsbury Academic.

Super Mario Bros . 1985. Nintendo R&D4. Tokyo: Nintendo. Famicom/Nintendo Entertainment System.

Super Mario 64 . 1996. Nintendo EAD. Tokyo: Nintendo. Nintendo 64.

Super Meat Boy . 2010. Team Meat. USA: Team Meat. Various.

Surman, David . 2008. Notes on SuperFlat and Its Expression in Videogames□Refractory 13. Accessed 14 January 2017 . <http://refractory.unimelb.edu.au/2008/05/23/notes-on-superflat-and-its-expression-in-videogames-david-surman/>.

Thornham, Helen . 2011. Ethnographies of the Videogame: Gender, Narrative and Praxis. Farnham: Ashgate.

Tormey, Jane . 2013. Cities and Photography. London: Routledge.

Viewtiful Joe . 2003. Team Viewtiful. Tokyo: Capcom. GameCube, PlayStation 2.

Wariorware Inc. Mega Microgame\$! 2003. Nintendo R&D1. Tokyo: Nintendo. Game Boy Advance.

Wark, McKenzie . 2007. Gamer Theory. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

We Love Katamari . 2005. Namco. Tokyo: Namco. PlayStation 2.

Wolf, Mark J.P. 2010. Theorizing Navigable Space in Videogames□In G  zel, S. , Liebe, M. and Mersch, D. (eds.). Logic and Structure of the Computer Game. Potsdam: University Press, pp. 18  8.

Productive Subjects

Anable, Aubrey . 2013. Casual Games, Time Management, and the Work of Affect□Ada 2. Accessed 9 January 2017 . <http://adanewmedia.org/2013/06/issue2-anable/>.

Apperley, Tom . 2010. Gaming Rhythms: Play and Counterplay from the Situated to the Global. Amsterdam: Institute of Network Cultures.200

Arcane Kids . 2013. Ark Manifesto□Accessed 15 January 2017 . <http://arcanekids.com/manifesto>.

Atkins, Barry . 2006. What Are We Really Looking at? The Future-Oriented of Video Game Play□Games and Culture 1(2): 127  40.

Baxter-Webb, Joe . 2016. Divergent Masculinities in Contemporary Videogame Culture: A Tale of Geeks and Bros□In Joyce, L. and Quinn, B. (eds.). Mapping the Digital: Cultures and Territories of Play. Oxford: Interdisciplinary Press.

Berardi, Franco . 2009. The Soul at Work: From Alienation to Autonomy. Los Angeles, CA: Semiotext(e).

Berlant, Lauren . 2011. Cruel Optimism. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Bertelsen, Lone , and Murphie, Andrew . 2010. An Ethics of Everyday Infinities and Powers: Felix Guattari on Affect and the Refrain□In Gregg, M. and Murphie, A. (eds.). The Affect Theory Reader. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, pp. 138  57.

Bourdieu, Pierre . 1993. The Field of Cultural Production: Essays on Art and Literature. Cambridge: Polity.

Burroughs, Benjamin . 2014. Facebook and FarmVille: A Digital Ritual Analysis of Social Gaming□Games and Culture 9(3): 151  66.

Butler, Judith . 1993. Bodies that Matter: On the Discursive Limits of Sex□New York: Routledge.

Call of Duty 4: Modern Warfare . 2007. Infinity Ward. Los Angeles, CA: Activision. Various.

Cobra Club . 2015. Robert Yang. New York: self-published. PC, OSX.

Consalvo, Mia . 2007. Cheating: Gaining Advantage in Videogames. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Consalvo, Mia . 2012. Confronting Toxic Gamer Culture: A Challenge for Feminist Game Studies Scholars□Ada 1. Accessed 4 January 2017 . <http://adanewmedia.org/2012/11/issue1-consalvo/>.

Cooking Mama . 2006. Office Create. Japan: Taito Corporation/Majesco Entertainment/505 Games. Nintendo DS.

Cooper, Dennis . 2005. *God Jr.* New York: Black Cat.

Crogan, Patrick . 2010. *Play (for) Time* In Raessens, J. , Frissen, V. , De Mul, J. , Lammes, S. and De Lange, M. (eds.). *Playful Identities: The Ludification of Digital Media Cultures*. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press, pp. 225-244.

De Peuter, Greig , and Dyer-Witheford, Nick . 2009. *Games of Empire: Global Capitalism and Video Games*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Destiny . 2014. Bungie. Bellevue, WA: Activision. Various.

Deterding, Sebastian . 2016. Contextual Autonomy Support in Video Game Play: A Grounded Theory Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems: 3941-3943.

Deterding, Sebastian , Dixon, Dan , Khaled, Rilla , and Nacke, Lennart . 2011. From Game Design Elements to Gamefulness: Defining Gamification Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference: Envisioning Future Media Environments. doi:10.1145/2181037.2181040.

Dibbell, Julian . 2007. *Play Money: Or, How I Quit My Day Job and Made Millions Trading Virtual Loot*. New York: Basic Books.

Dinosuzuki . 2014. *Destiny and the Variable Ratio Schedule* Bungie.net. 4 December. Accessed 15 January 2017 . <http://www.bungie.net/en/Forums/Post/82167297?page=0&sort=0&showBanned=0&path=0.201>

Elmer, Greg . 2003. *Profiling Machines: Mapping the Personal Information Economy*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Enevold, Jessica . 2012. Domesticating Play, Designing Everyday Life: The Practice and Performance of Family, Gender and Gaming Proceedings of DiGRA Nordic 2012 Conference: Local and Global-Games in Culture and Society. Accessed 7 January 2017 . www.digra.org/wp-content/uploads/digital-library/12168.15073.pdf.

Evans, Elizabeth . 2015. The Economics of Free: Freemium Games, Branding and the Impatience Economy Convergence 22(6): 563-580.

FarmVille . 2009. Zynga. San Francisco, CA: self-published. Facebook.

Fortunati, Leopoldina . 2015. New Media, Play and Social Identities In Raessens, J. , Frissen, V. , De Mul, J. , Lammes, S. and De Lange, M. (eds.). *Playful Identities: The Ludification of Digital Media Cultures*. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press, pp. 293-305.

Galloway, Alexander R. 2011. *The Interface Effect*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Grand Theft Auto series. 1997-2015. DMA Design/Rockstar North. Edinburgh: Rockstar. Various.

Halo series. 2001-2015. Bungie/343 Industries. Bellevue, WA/Redmond, WA: Microsoft. Xbox, PC, Xbox 360, Xbox One.

Hjorth, Larissa . 2011. *Games and Gaming: An Introduction to New Media*. Oxford: Berg.

Hjorth, Larissa , and Richardson, Ingrid . 2016. *Games of Being Mobile: The Rise of Mobile Gaming in Japan* In Jin, D.Y. (ed.). *Mobile Gaming in Asia: Politics, Culture and Emerging Technologies*. Vancouver: Springer, pp. 21-34.

Huber, William . 2007. Some Notes on Aesthetics in Japanese Videogames In Clarke, A. and Mitchell, G. (eds.). *Videogames and Art*. Bristol: Intellect, pp. 211-218.

Hurst, Erik . 2016. Video Killed the Radio Star Chicago Booth Review. 1 September. Accessed 12 February 2017 . <http://review.chicagobooth.edu/economics/2016/article/video-killed-radio-star>.

Ingram, Mathew . 2010. Average Social Gamer Is a 43-Year-Old Woman Gigaom. 17 February. Accessed 8 February 2016 . <https://gigaom.com/2010/02/17/average-social-gamer-is-a-43-year-old-woman/>.

Juul, Jesper . 2010. *A Casual Revolution: Reinventing Video Games and Their Players*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Karlsen, Faltn . 2016. *A World of Excesses: Online Games and Excessive Playing*. London: Routledge.

Kietzman, Ludwig . 2011. Half Minute Halo: An Interview with James Griesemer Endgadget. 14 July. Accessed 8 January 2017 . <http://www.engadget.com/2011/07/14/half-minute-halo-an-interview-with-jaime-griesemer/>.

Kim Kardashian: Hollywood . 2014. Glu Mobile. San Francisco, CA: self-published. Various.

Kirkpatrick, Graeme . 2011. *Aesthetic Theory and the Videogame*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Kirkpatrick, Graeme . 2012. Constitutive Tensions of Gaming Field: UK Gaming Magazines and the Formation of Gaming Culture 1981-1995 Game Studies 12(1). Accessed 3 April 2017 . <http://gamestudies.org/1201/articles/kirkpatrick>.

Kirkpatrick, Graeme . 2013. *Computer Games and the Social Imaginary*. Cambridge: Polity. 202

Kölich, Julian . 2005. *Precarious Playbour: Modders and the Digital Games Industry* □ *Fibreculture* 5. Accessed 13 January 2017 . <http://five.fibreculturejournal.org/fcj-025-precious-playbour-modders-and-the-digital-games-industry/>.

Malsky, Bea . 2015. *Managing Hearts with Kim and Flo* □ *The New Inquiry*. 20 July. Accessed 3 December 2016 . <http://thenewinquiry.com/essays/managing-hearts-with-kim-and-flo/>.

Massanari, Andrea . 2015. *#Gamergate and The Fapping: How Reddit's Algorithm, Governance and Culture Support Toxic Technocultures* □ *New Media and Society*. Accessed 9 February 2017 . <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1461444815608807>.

McRobbie, Angela . 2016. *Be Creative: Making a Living in the New Culture Industries*. Cambridge: Polity.

Nemorin, Selena . 2016. *Neuromarketing and the Poor in World's Consumer: How the Animalization of Thinking Underpins Contemporary Market Research Discourses* □ *Consumption Markets & Culture* 20(1): 59-80.

Nieborg, David B. 2015. *Crushing Candy: The Free-to-Play Game in Its Connective Commodity Form* □ *Social Media + Society* 1(2). doi:10.1177/2056305115621932.

Nintendogs . 2005. Nintendo EAD. Tokyo: Nintendo. Nintendo DS.

Otogi: Myth of Demons . 2002. From Software. Tokyo: Sega. Xbox.

Phillips, Adam . 1993. *On Kissing, Tickling, and Being Bored: Psychoanalytic Essays on the Unexamined Life*. London: Faber.

Phillips, Tom . 2016a. *Destiny 2 Will Launch for PC, Characters May Be Left Behind* □ *Reports* □ *Eurogamer*. 28 September. Accessed 17 January 2016 . www.eurogamer.net/articles/2016-09-28-destiny-2-will-launch-for-pc-characters-may-be-left-behind-reports.

Phillips, Tom . 2016b. *Bungie Shuts down Destiny's Long-Running Omnigul Exploit* □ *Eurogamer*. 30 September. Accessed 8 January 2017 . www.eurogamer.net/articles/2016-09-30-bungie-shuts-down-destinys-long-running-omnigul-exploit.

Porpentine . 2014. *Thoughts on Skulljhabit* □ 24 June. Accessed 15 January 2017 . <http://porpentine.tumblr.com/post/89823813113/thoughts-on-skulljhabit>.

Power, Nina . 2013. *She's Just Not That Into You* □ *Radical Philosophy* 177. Accessed 15 January 2017 . www.radicalphilosophy.com/reviews/individual-reviews/rp177-shes-just-not-that-into-you.

Raessens, Joost . 2006. *Playful Identities, or the Ludification of Culture* □ *Games and Culture* 1(1): 52-67.

Redshirt . 2013. *Mitu Khandaker-Kokoris/The Tiniest Shark*. London: Positech. OSX, PC.

Ruggill, Judd Ethan , and McAllister, Ken S. 2011. *Gaming Matters: Art, Science, Magic, and the Computer Game Medium*. London: University of Alabama Press.

Schrape, Niklas . 2014. *Gamification and Governmentality* □ In Fuchs, M. , Fizek, S. , Ruffino, P. and Schrape, N. (eds.). *Rethinking Gamification*. Leburg: meson press, pp. 21-46.

Schulz, Natasha Dow . 2014. *Addiction by Design: Machine Gambling in Las Vegas*. Oxford: Princeton University Press. 203

Sennett, Richard . 2006. *The Culture of the New Capitalism*. London: Yale University Press.

Shadow of the Colossus . 2005. Team Ico. Tokyo: Sony Computer Entertainment. PlayStation 2.

Shaviro, Steven . 2010. *Post-Cinematic Affect*. Winchester: Zero Books.

Shaw, Adrienne . 2014. *Gaming at the Edge: Sexuality and Gender at the Margins of Gamer Culture*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Skulljhabit . 2014. Porpentine. Oakland: self-published. Web.

Stuart, Keith . 2014. *Player One: The Gamers Who Only Want to Play with Themselves* □ *The Guardian*, 14 July. Accessed 3 April 2017 . <http://www.theguardian.com/technology/2014/jul/14/single-player-gamers-destiny-solo-activation-grand-theft-forza>.

Swanson, Anna . 2016. *Why Amazing Video Games Could Be Causing a Big Problem for America* □ *Washington Post*. 23 September. Accessed 13 January 2017 . www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2016/09/23/why-amazing-video-games-could-be-causing-a-big-problem-for-america/.

Swink, Steve . 2008. *Game Feel: A Game Designer's Guide to Virtual Sensation*. Boston: Elsevier.

Taylor, T.L. 2012. *Raising the Stakes: E-Sports and the Professionalization of Computer Gaming*. London: MIT Press.

Thornham, Helen . 2011. *Ethnographies of the Videogame: Gender, Narrative and Praxis*. Farnham: Ashgate.

Tiqqun . 2012. *Preliminary Materials for a Theory of the Young-Girl*. Los Angeles, CA: Semiotext(e).

Tobin, Samuel . 2013. *Portable Play in Everyday Life: The Nintendo DS*. New York: Palgrave Pivot.

Tweedie, Steven . 2014. The Mastermind behind Kim Kardashian's Insanely- Popular App Explains How the Hit Game Was Created. *Business Insider*. 10 August. Accessed 15 January 2017 . www.businessinsider.com/kim-kardashian-hollywood-game-history-2014-8.

Warhol, Robyn . 2003. *Having a Good Cry: Effeminate Feelings and Pop-Culture Forms*. Columbus: Ohio State University Press.

World of Warcraft . 2004. Blizzard Entertainment. Irvine: Blizzard Entertainment. PC, OSX.