Spatial Transcriptomics Unveiled

Spatial transcriptomics reveals the physical location of gene expression within tissues, adding crucial spatial context to traditional molecular profiles.

Abstract

Spatial transcriptomics adds spatial context to gene expression data by mapping transcript distribution within intact tissue architecture. Studies report resolutions spanning from tissue sections to as fine as 2 m, enabling clear visualization of cellular heterogeneity and tissue organization. For example, High-Definition Spatial Transcriptomics achieved 2 m resolution in mouse brain and breast cancer tissues, while Slide-seq mapped gene expression at 10 m resolution in brain regions. In several studies, integration of histological images with gene expression data allowed prediction of transcript levels and revealed expression gradients—notably in prostate cancer and complex brain structures. The technical approaches fall into three categories:

1. Computational methods that reconstruct spatial patterns and, in some cases, enhance resolution to subspot levels (e.g., BayesSpace achieved an equivalent of approximately three cells per subspot).
2. Methods using barcoded oligonucleotides that capture transcriptomes at defined spatial spots.
3. Techniques employing barcoded bead arrays that push the limits of resolution to the micrometer scale.

These findings underscore that spatial transcriptomics supplies detailed, location-specific insights into gene expression, from cancer heterogeneity to developmental biology, thus adding the essential "where" to molecular profiles.

Paper search

Using your research question "Spatial Transcriptomics: Adding the Where to Gene Expression", we searched across over 126 million academic papers from the Semantic Scholar corpus. We retrieved the 50 papers most relevant to the query.

Screening

We screened in papers that met these criteria:

- **Spatial Transcriptomics Focus**: Does the study investigate spatial transcriptomics methods or technologies that analyze gene expression in a spatial context?
- **Primary Research**: Is this a primary research article presenting original experimental data on spatial gene expression analysis?
- **Tissue/Cellular Context**: Does the study examine tissue samples or cellular organizations while maintaining spatial context?
- **Method Development**: Does the study present method development, validation, or application of spatial transcriptomics techniques?
- **Beyond Bulk RNA-seq**: Does the study include spatial information beyond conventional bulk RNA sequencing?
- **Spatial Context**: Does the study provide spatial context for gene expression data (not just single-cell RNA sequencing without spatial information)?
- **Transcriptional Analysis**: Does the study include transcriptional analysis (not solely protein localization)?

We considered all screening questions together and made a holistic judgement about whether to screen in each paper.

Data extraction

We asked a large language model to extract each data column below from each paper. We gave the model the extraction instructions shown below for each column.

- **Spatial Transcriptomics Method**:

  Identify and describe the specific spatial transcriptomics technique used in the study. Include:

- Name of the method/technology
- Key technical approach (e.g., barcoded primers, bead-based transfer, computational reconstruction)
- Unique features or innovations of the method
- Resolution of spatial mapping (e.g., micrometers, cell-level, tissue section)

If multiple methods are described, list all. If method details are unclear or incomplete, note "insufficient information" and provide any available partial details.

- **Tissue Type and Sample Characteristics**:

  Extract information about the biological samples used in the study:

- Type of tissue (e.g., mouse brain, human breast cancer, cerebellum)
- Tissue preparation method
- Sample size (number of tissue sections or samples)
- Species and/or tissue source (human, mouse, specific organ/region)

If multiple tissue types are analyzed, list all. If any details are missing, indicate "not specified" for that particular characteristic.

- **Computational and Analytical Techniques**:

  Describe the key computational or analytical approaches used:

- Specific computational methods for spatial gene expression analysis
- Bioinformatics tools or algorithms employed
- Any novel statistical or machine learning techniques
- Resolution enhancement methods (if applicable)

If multiple analytical approaches are used, list them in order of importance. If details are incomplete, note "partial information available" and provide what can be extracted.

- **Key Findings and Biological Insights**:

  Summarize the primary scientific findings:

- Main discoveries about spatial gene expression
- Significant gene expression patterns identified
- Biological or clinical implications of the findings
- Any novel insights into tissue structure or cellular organization

Focus on the most significant findings directly related to spatial transcriptomics. If findings are complex, extract the most important 2-3 key points. If no clear findings are identifiable, note "findings not clearly stated".

- **Validation and Comparative Methods**:

  Extract information about method validation:

- Comparison with other spatial transcriptomics or gene expression techniques
- Validation using alternative methods (e.g., immunohistochemistry, single-cell RNA-seq)
- Accuracy and reliability metrics
- Limitations of the method acknowledged by the authors

If no explicit validation is described, note "no validation method reported". Prioritize direct comparisons or quantitative validation approaches.

Results

Characteristics of Included Studies

Study	Technical Approach	Spatial Resolution	Sample Type	Key Findings	Full text retrieved
Bergenstråhle et al., 2020	Deep generative model for spatial data fusion	Micrometer-scale	Mouse olfactory bulb, human squamous cell carcinoma, human small intestine, human breast cancer	Enhanced resolution of spatial transcriptomics data; ability to predict gene expression from histology images	Yes
Berglund et al., 2018	Spatial Transcriptomics (ST) method using barcoded oligonucleotides	100 m spot diameter, 200 m center-to-center distance	Human prostate cancer	Revealed gene expression heterogeneity in prostate cancer; identified gene expression gradients in tumor microenvironment	Yes

Study	Technical Approach	Spatial Resolution	Sample Type	Key Findings	Full text retrieved
Rodriques et al., 2019	Slide-seq using DNA-barcoded beads	10 micrometers	Mouse brain (cerebellum, hippocampus), postmortem human cerebellum	Achieved near-cellular resolution; mapped cell types and gene expression patterns in brain tissues	Yes
Satija et al., 2015	Seurat computational method integrating single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) with in situ data	Cell cluster level (bins of ~40-120 cells)	Zebrafish embryos	Generated transcriptome-wide map of spatial patterning; identified rare subpopulations	Yes
Srivatsan et al., 2021	sci-Space using grid of barcoded oligos	Single-cell level	Mouse embryo (E13 to E16)	Enabled whole-embryo spatial transcriptomics at single-cell resolution; revealed spatially expressed genes across cell types	Yes
Ståhl et al., 2016	Spatial Transcriptomics using barcoded reverse transcriptase primers	Individual tissue sections	Mouse brain, human breast cancer	Allowed visualization and quantitative analysis of spatially resolved transcriptomes	No

Study	Technical Approach	Spatial Resolution	Sample Type	Key Findings	Full text retrieved
Sun et al., 2019	SPARK statistical method for spatial expression analysis	Spot-level (260 spots for mouse olfactory bulb, 250 spots for human breast cancer)	Mouse olfactory bulb, human breast cancer, mouse hypothalamus, mouse hippocampus	Identified significantly more spatially expressed genes than existing methods; revealed biological insights in tissue structure	Yes
Svensson et al., 2018	SpatialDE computational method	Varies by platform (Visium: tissue section level, GeoMx: region of interest (ROI) level, CosMx-SMI: cell-level)	Brain motor cortex, glioblastoma	Identified spatially variable genes; performed automatic expression histology	Yes
Vickovic et al., 2019	High-Definition Spatial Transcriptomics (HDST) using dense barcoded bead array	2 micrometers	Mouse brain (main olfactory bulb), human breast cancer	Achieved highest resolution (2 m) in spatial transcriptomics; identified layer-specific expression signatures	Yes
Zhao et al., 2021	BayesSpace computational method	Enhances to approximately three cells per subspot	Human dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, melanoma, invasive ductal carcinoma, ovarian adenocarcinoma, skin squamous cell carcinoma	Enhanced resolution of spatial transcriptomics data to subspot level; revealed tissue structures not detectable at original resolution	Yes

Based on the included studies, we identified three main categories of technical approaches:

- Computational methods (5 studies)
- Methods using barcoded oligonucleotides (3 studies)
- Methods using barcoded beads (2 studies)

Spatial resolution varied widely across studies:

- 4 studies reported micrometer-scale resolution (ranging from 2 to 100 m)
- Other resolutions included cell cluster, single-cell, tissue section, spot, and subspot levels
- 1 study reported resolution varying by platform

Sample types used in the studies:

- 8 studies used human samples
- 6 studies used mouse samples
- 1 study used zebrafish samples
- Several studies used multiple sample types

The included studies used a variety of approaches and resolutions, indicating diversity in spatial transcriptomics methods.

Thematic Analysis

Technical Advances in Spatial Resolution

The included studies reported advancements in spatial resolution for transcriptomics:

- Evolution of techniques:
  - Original Spatial Transcriptomics method (Ståhl et al., 2016): Tissue section level
  - Slide-seq (Rodriques et al., 2019): 10 m resolution
  - High-Definition Spatial Transcriptomics (HDST) (Vickovic et al., 2019): 2 m resolution
- Drivers of improvement:
  - Experimental innovations: High-density barcoded bead arrays (e.g., HDST)
  - Computational methods: BayesSpace (Zhao et al., 2021) enhances resolution from existing lower-resolution data
- Implications for research:
  - Identification of fine-scale tissue structures
  - Detection of rare cell populations
  - Observation of gene expression gradients

For example, Vickovic et al. (2019) reported identifying layer-specific expression signatures in the mouse brain at 2 m resolution.

Integration with Histological Data

A key trend reported in the studies is the integration of gene expression data with histological information:

- Bergenstråhle et al. (2020) developed a method that:
  - Enhances resolution of spatial transcriptomics data

    - Enables prediction of gene expression from histology images
- Applications in cancer research:
    - Berglund et al. (2018) used spatial transcriptomics in prostate cancer, revealing:
        * Gene expression heterogeneity
        * Expression gradients in the tumor microenvironment not apparent from histology alone

Computational Methods for Spatial Analysis

The studies reported various computational methods for spatial transcriptomics analysis:

Method	Type	Key Capabilities	Application
Seurat (Satija et al., 2015)	Computational reconstruction	Integrates scRNA-seq with in situ data; identifies rare subpopulations	Zebrafish embryo development
SPARK (Sun et al., 2019)	Statistical analysis	Identifies spatially expressed genes with high sensitivity	Mouse brain, human breast cancer
SpatialDE (Svensson et al., 2018)	Computational analysis	Identifies spatially variable genes; performs automatic expression histology	Brain motor cortex, glioblastoma
BayesSpace (Zhao et al., 2021)	Resolution enhancement	Enhances resolution to subspot level; reveals hidden tissue structures	Various human tissues including brain and cancer
Deep generative model (Bergenstråhle et al., 2020)	Computational enhancement	Enhances resolution; predicts gene expression from histology	Mouse and human tissues

Key capabilities of these methods included:

- 2 methods focused on enhancing resolution
- 1 method each for: integrating scRNA-seq, identifying rare subpopulations, identifying spatially expressed genes, identifying spatially variable genes, performing automatic expression histology, revealing hidden tissue structures, and predicting gene expression

Regarding applications:

- 4 studies included human tissues
- 2 studies included mouse tissues
- 1 study focused on zebrafish

We didn't find any methods that were used across multiple studies in this table.

Biological Applications and Insights

The studies reported applications of spatial transcriptomics to various biological questions:

1. Cancer heterogeneity:
    - Berglund et al. (2018): Revealed complex gene expression patterns in prostate cancer, including gradients in the tumor microenvironment
    - Vickovic et al. (2019): Applied HDST to breast cancer samples
2. Brain architecture:
    - Rodriques et al. (2019): Used Slide-seq to map cell types and gene expression in the cerebellum and hippocampus
    - Vickovic et al. (2019): Identified layer-specific expression signatures in the mouse olfactory bulb
3. Developmental biology:
    - Srivatsan et al. (2021): Applied sci-Space to whole mouse embryos, providing a view of gene expression patterns during development
    - Satija et al. (2015): Used Seurat to reconstruct spatial gene expression in zebrafish embryos, identifying rare subpopulations and their locations
4. Disease processes:
    - Sun et al. (2019): Identified spatially expressed genes in the mouse hippocampus relevant to neurodegenerative diseases

Current Limitations and Challenges

The studies reported several limitations and challenges in spatial transcriptomics:

1. Trade-off between resolution and throughput: Methods like HDST achieve high spatial resolution but may be limited in the area of tissue that can be analyzed or the number of transcripts detected.
2. Tissue preparation and quality: The quality of spatial transcriptomics data can be highly dependent on tissue preparation methods. Factors such as RNA degradation and tissue integrity can affect results.
3. Data analysis complexity: The integration of spatial information with gene expression data creates complex datasets that require sophisticated analytical tools.
4. Validation and standardization: The studies reported a need for standardized benchmarking and validation approaches to compare different methods and ensure reproducibility.
5. Integration with other data types: While progress has been made in integrating histological data, there remains a challenge in effectively combining spatial transcriptomics with other types of spatial omics data.
6. Cost and accessibility: Many spatial transcriptomics methods require specialized equipment and reagents, which can limit their accessibility to some research groups.

References

E. Berglund, J. Maaskola, N. Schultz, S. Friedrich, M. Marklund, J. Bergenstråhle, F. Tarish, et al. "Spatial Maps of Prostate Cancer Transcriptomes Reveal an Unexplored Landscape of Heterogeneity." *Nature Communications*, 2018.

Edward Zhao, M. Stone, Xing Ren, Jamie Guenthoer, K. Smythe, T. Pulliam, Stephen R. Williams, et al. "Spatial Transcriptomics at Subspot Resolution with BayesSpace." *Nature Biotechnology*, 2021.

L. Bergenstråhle, B. He, J. Bergenstråhle, X. Abalo, R. Mirzazadeh, K. Thrane, A. Ji, et al. "Super-Resolved Spatial Transcriptomics by Deep Data Fusion." *Nature Biotechnology*, 2020.

Patrik L. Ståhl, Fredrik Salmén, S. Vickovic, Anna Lundmark, J. F. Navarro, J. Magnusson, Stefania Giacomello, et al. "Visualization and Analysis of Gene Expression in Tissue Sections by Spatial Transcriptomics." *Science*, 2016.

R. Satija, J. A. Farrell, David G Gennert, A. Schier, and A. Regev. "Spatial Reconstruction of Single-Cell Gene Expression." *Nature Biotechnology*, 2015.

S. Srivatsan, Mary C. Regier, Eliza R. Barkan, Jennifer M. Franks, Jonathan S. Packer, Parker Grosjean, Madeleine Duran, et al. "Embryo-Scale, Single-Cell Spatial Transcriptomics." *Science*, 2021.

S. Vickovic, Gökçen Eraslan, Fredrik Salmén, J. Klughammer, L. Stenbeck, D. Schapiro, Tarmo Äijö, et al. "High-Definition Spatial Transcriptomics for in Situ Tissue Profiling." *Nature Methods*, 2019.

Samuel G. Rodriques, Robert R. Stickels, A. Goeva, Carly A Martin, Evan Murray, C. Vanderburg, Joshua D. Welch, Linlin M Chen, Fei Chen, and Evan Z. Macosko. "Slide-Seq: A Scalable Technology for Measuring Genome-Wide Expression at High Spatial Resolution." *Science*, 2019.

Shiquan Sun, Jiaqiang Zhu, and Xiang Zhou. "Statistical Analysis of Spatial Expression Patterns for Spatially Resolved Transcriptomic Studies." *Nature Methods*, 2019.

Valentine Svensson, S. Teichmann, and O. Stegle. "SpatialDE: Identification of Spatially Variable Genes." *Nature Methods*, 2018.