

P1

(a) Since **Merge**(L_1, L_2) takes $O(\frac{n}{3} + \frac{n}{3}) = O(n)$ running time. The new array merged is of length $\frac{n}{3} + \frac{n}{3} = \frac{2n}{3}$. Thus **Merge**($L_0, \text{Merge}(L_1, L_2)$) takes $O(\frac{n}{3} + \frac{2n}{3}) + O(n) = O(n)$ running time.

(b) $T(n) = 3T(\frac{n}{3}) + \Theta(n)$

(c) According to the **Master Theorem**, $T(n) = 3T(\frac{n}{3}) + \Theta(n^1)$, $1 = \log_3 3$. Thus we have $T(n) = \Theta(n \log n) = O(n \log n)$

P2

Assume the DP-state $c[i, j]$ represent the LCS between the first i characters in S_1 and the first j characters in S_2 . We have:

$$c[i, j] = \begin{cases} x = 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0 \\ c[i-1, j-1] + 1 & \text{if } i, j > 0 \text{ and } S_{1,i} = S_{2,j} \\ \max(c[i, j-1], c[i-1, j]) & \text{if } i, j > 0 \text{ and } S_{1,i} \neq S_{2,j} \end{cases}$$

The result is:

1	j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2	i	s2	'i'	'n'	'e'	'q'	'u'	'a'	'l'	'i'	't'	'y'
3	0	s1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	1	'q'	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
5	2	'u'	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
6	3	'a'	0	0	0	1	2	3	3	3	3	3
7	4	'n'	0	0	1	1	1	2	3	3	3	3
8	5	't'	0	0	1	1	1	2	3	3	4	4
9	6	'i'	0	1	1	1	1	2	3	3	4	4
10	7	't'	0	1	1	1	1	2	3	3	4	5
11	8	'y'	0	1	1	1	1	2	3	3	4	5

From the result, we can see that the **LCS** between S_1 and S_2 is "quality", whose length is 6.

P3

$O(n \log n)$ Algorithm:

We sort all the passenger's information with end_i ascending. According to their end_i , we can put the i_{th} person's information i in the set P_{end_i} . Note that we specially deal with passengers whose $start_i = end_i$. We do not put them in any set, but add their tip_i to S_{end_i} , which means we can obtain all their tips when we are available at end_i .

Formally speaking.

$$P_i = \{x : end_x = i \wedge start_x \neq end_x\}, S_i = \sum_{x \in \{x: end_x = i \wedge start_x = end_x\}} tip_x$$

We defined the DP-state function f_i represent the maximum number of dollars we can get when we are at point i with no passenger on the taxi (There can be a passenger drop off at point i).

$$f_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \max(f_{i-1}, \max_{p \in P_i}(f_{start_p} + (i - start_p) + tip_p)) & \text{if } i \neq 0 \end{cases} + S_i$$

Note that $\max_{p \in P_i}$ means we choose the max value for the result of all p in the set P_i .

$O(n)$ solution:

We can find that because the range of $start_i$ and end_i are within $1 \sim n$. So we do not need to sort in our previous solution. We can directly divide all the information of passengers in corresponding set.

P4

Algorithm:

First, for all the rectangles, we assumed that their length l_i are larger or equal to their width w_i . (If not, we can swap their length and width).

Sort all the rectangles with their **length** in ascending order.

We have the DP-state function F_i means the maximal number of rectangles ending with i_{th} rectangles.

$$F_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \max_{0 \leq j < i, w_j < w_i, l_j < l_i} \{F_j + 1\} & \text{if } i > 1 \end{cases}$$

And the final answer is $\max\{F_i\}$

Proof:

For the first step we swap the length and width for $w_i > l_i$. It can be proved that if a solution have a rectangle with $w_i > l_i$. The rectangle contains i is k , the rectangle be contained by i is j . We have $l_k > w_i > l_j$, $w_k > l_i > w_j$.

Since $l_k > w_i > l_i > w_j$, $l_j < w_i < l_i < w_k$, we have $l_k > l_i > l_j$, $w_k > w_i > w_j$. So, if we do rotate, we cannot find a better solution.

And for the second step, we sorted their l_i in ascending order. When we perform DP later, we have consider all the F_j whose $l_j < l_i$. Thus the correctness can be ensured.

Complexity:

For the first part we need to check each rectangle with complexity $O(n)$

For the next sorting part the complexity is $O(n \log n)$

And the DP part we need check all the previous j for each i . Thus the complexity is $O(n^2)$

The total complexity is $O(n) + O(n \log n) + O(n^2) = O(n^2)$