

1. (a) Statement. False.
- (b) Statement. True.
- (c) Statement. False. Be careful, the universe is not declared here. For example,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
- (d) Statement. False. Solving the equations yields $x = 0$ or $x = \frac{1}{2}$, neither of which is a natural number.
- (e) Not a statement.
- (f) Statement. False. For instance $x = 10, y = \frac{1}{2}$.
- (g) Statement. True.
- (h) Statement. True.
- (i) Not a statement.

2. (a)

p	q	$\sim p$	$\sim p \vee q$	$\sim p \wedge q$	$(\sim p \vee q) \wedge q$	$(\sim p \wedge q) \vee q$
T	T	F	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	F	F

The last two columns are identical.

(b)

p	q	$\sim p$	$\sim p \vee q$	$\sim p \wedge q$	$(\sim p \vee q) \wedge p$	$(\sim p \wedge q) \vee p$
T	T	F	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	F	F	F

The last two columns are not identical; the hypothesis is false.

3. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sim (p \Rightarrow q) \vee (q \Rightarrow p) \\
& \quad \text{F} \\
& \sim (p \Rightarrow q) \vee (q \Rightarrow p) \\
& \quad \text{F} \quad \text{F} \\
& \sim (p \Rightarrow q) \vee (q \Rightarrow p) \\
& \quad \text{T} \quad \text{T} \quad \text{F} \\
& \sim (p \Rightarrow q) \vee (q \Rightarrow p) \\
& \quad \text{F} \quad \text{T}
\end{aligned}$$

No contradiction. Therefore, the statement is not a tautology.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
& (p \wedge q) \Rightarrow [\sim r \vee (p \rightarrow q)] \\
& \quad \text{F} \\
& (p \wedge q) \Rightarrow [\sim r \vee (p \rightarrow q)] \\
& \quad \text{T} \quad \text{F} \\
& (p \wedge q) \Rightarrow [\sim r \vee (p \rightarrow q)] \\
& \quad \text{T} \quad \text{T} \quad \text{F} \\
& (p \wedge q) \Rightarrow [\sim r \vee (p \rightarrow q)] \\
& \quad \text{T} \quad \text{T} \quad \text{T}
\end{aligned}$$

Contradiction. Therefore, the statement is a tautology.

4.

p	q	r	$q \wedge r$	$p \vee q$	$p \vee r$	$p \vee (q \wedge r)$	$(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	F	T	F	F	F
F	F	T	F	F	T	F	F
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

The last two columns are identical in every row. Therefore, they are equivalent statements.

5. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sim (p \Rightarrow q) &\equiv \sim (\sim p \vee q) && \text{(implication)} \\
 &\equiv \sim \sim p \wedge \sim q && \text{(De Morgan)} \\
 &\equiv p \wedge \sim q && \text{(double negation)}
 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 [(p \wedge \sim q) \rightarrow r] &\equiv \sim (p \wedge \sim q) \vee r && \text{(implication)} \\
 &\equiv (\sim p \vee \sim \sim q) \vee r && \text{(De Morgan)} \\
 &\equiv \sim p \vee (\sim \sim q \vee r) && \text{(associative)} \\
 &\equiv \sim p \vee (q \vee r) && \text{(double negation)} \\
 &\equiv p \rightarrow (q \vee r) && \text{(implication)}
 \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
 p \rightarrow (q \vee p) &\equiv \sim p \vee (q \vee p) && \text{(implication)} \\
 &\equiv \sim p \vee (p \vee q) && \text{(commutative)} \\
 &\equiv (\sim p \vee p) \vee q && \text{(associative)} \\
 &\equiv T \vee q \equiv T
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the statement is a tautology.

(d)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sim [(p \wedge q) \Rightarrow (\sim r \vee (p \Rightarrow q))] \\
 &\equiv \sim [\sim (p \wedge q) \vee (\sim r \vee (\sim p \vee q))] && \text{(implication)} \\
 &\equiv \sim [(\sim p \vee \sim q) \vee (\sim r \vee (\sim p \vee q))] && \text{(De Morgan)} \\
 &\equiv \sim (\sim p \vee \sim q) \wedge \sim (\sim r \vee (\sim p \vee q)) && \text{(De Morgan)} \\
 &\equiv (\sim \sim p \wedge \sim \sim q) \wedge (\sim \sim r \wedge \sim (\sim p \vee q)) && \text{(De Morgan)} \\
 &\equiv (p \wedge q) \wedge (r \wedge \sim (\sim p \vee q)) && \text{(double negation)} \\
 &\equiv (p \wedge q) \wedge (r \wedge (\sim \sim p \wedge \sim q)) && \text{(De Morgan)} \\
 &\equiv (p \wedge q) \wedge (r \wedge (p \wedge \sim q)) && \text{(double negation)} \\
 &\equiv (q \wedge \sim q) \wedge (p \wedge r \wedge p) && \text{(commutative and associative)} \\
 &\equiv F \wedge (p \wedge r \wedge p) \equiv F
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the statement is a fallacy.

6. (a) Let x be a positive integer greater than 1. Then, since 2 is the next smallest integer, we have $x^2 \geq 2^2 = 4$. So every element $x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ is such that $x^2 > 3$. Therefore, the only possibility is $x = 1$. In that case, $x^2 = 1 \leq 3$, so the statement is true.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} (\sim (x > 1) \vee \sim (y \leq 0)) &\leftrightarrow \sim ((x \leq 1) \wedge (y > 0)) \\ &\equiv ((x \leq 1) \vee (y > 0)) \leftrightarrow (\sim (x \leq 1) \vee \sim (y > 0)) \\ &\equiv ((x \leq 1) \vee (y > 0)) \leftrightarrow ((x > 1) \vee (y \leq 0)) \end{aligned}$$

The statement says that $x \leq 1$ or $y > 0$ if and only if $x > 1$ or $y \leq 0$, which is contingent.

7. (a)

$$\begin{aligned} \sim (x > 1) \rightarrow \sim (y \leq 0) &\equiv (x \leq 1) \rightarrow (y > 0) \\ &\equiv \sim (x \leq 1) \vee (y > 0) \\ &\equiv (x > 1) \vee (y > 0) \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} (y \leq 0) \rightarrow (x > 1) &\equiv \sim (y \leq 0) \vee (x > 1) \\ &\equiv (y > 0) \vee (x > 1) \end{aligned}$$