Additional Javascript

- This is not a Javascript course
 - We are using it to learn more about web dev
- We learn a lot, but still a minimal intro
- These slides cover a handful of bonus topics
 - Not used in class, but useful for interviews

Prototypes

JS is NOT a "classical object oriented" language

But it IS "object oriented"

Objects yes, Classes no.

Classes are a blueprint to describe what an object can do.

In JS, Objects are not nearly so restricted.

Inheritance

Objects can have "inheritance" - where an object can use the properties/methods of another object.

If the code tries to access a value on the object, and the object doesn't have it defined for itself, it will check to see if its **prototype** has it.

Because the prototype is an object, when asked for this value, if it doesn't have it, it will check to see if **its** prototype has it.

This continues until an object doesn't have a prototype.

Prototype is a concept

Note that we are discussing a concept.

A prototype is an object.

A prototype can be accessed.

A prototype is NOT a property named prototype

Just like how an Object has properties, but not a property named properties

Using a prototype

Inheritance from a prototype is automatic when you try to use the property.

Many built-in functions are accessed this way.

```
const name = "amit";
name.newProperty = "someVal";
console.log(name.newProperty); // not inherited
console.log(name.toUpperCase()); // inherited
console.log(name.length); // inherited
```

Accessing

If you need to access the prototype object itself, there are three main ways:

- use yourObject.__proto__ (DON'T DO THIS) Legacy code
- use Object returns the prototype object
- Modify the source of the prototype more on this later, such as with polyfills

Prototype Summary

- Prototypes are *objects*, not plans
 - This means the prototype can be modified after the fact, like any object
- The prototype of an object is not the prototype property of that object

This is the most confusing topic

this is the hardest part of JS

- Similar, but different than other languages
- Makes English hard to use to talk about "this"

Essential Truth

this is a special variable name

refers to a new value each time you enter a function

The object the this variable refers to is the **context**

usually a relevant object, but it can get confused.

DO NOT ASSUME this will be what you want

you have to make it happen

Implicit Binding

By default, this is **bound** to a value "implicitly" when you enter a function

Uses the value **BEFORE THE DOT** in the function call

```
const cat = {
  sound: 'meow',
  speak: function() {
    console.log( cat.sound );
  },
  implicit: function() {
    console.log( this.sound );
  }
};

cat.speak(); // 'meow'
  cat.implicit(); // 'meow'
```

When it works

Implicit binding works through copies and inheritance just fine:

```
const cat = {
  sound: 'meow',
  speak: function() {
    console.log( this.sound );
  }
};
const feline = { sound: 'purr' };
feline.speak = cat.speak; // copy assignment, not calling

cat.speak(); // meow
feline.speak(); // What do you expect? Why?
```

When it doesn't work

BUT implicit binding has problems.

99% of the time this is when the function with this is used as a callback.

```
function usesCallback( callback ) {
  callback();
}
usesCallback( cat.speak ); // passing, not calling
```

When the function is called, what is before the dot?

Result is different with/without 'use strict';

And Callbacks happen all the time!

```
const internet = {
  cats: [ 'Jorts', 'Jean' ],
  coolSite: 'tiktok',
  report: function() {
    const html = this.cats.map( function(name) {
      return `${name} uses ${this.coolSite}};
  }).join('');
  return html;
  },
};
console.log( internet.report() );
```

Explicit Binding

When your function is used as a callback

- you can **explicitly bind** that function
- to the value of this that you want

If your function doesn't use this, you don't care

• this is becoming increasingly common

Explicit Binding via .bind()

bind() is a method on the prototype of all functions

- it returns a new function
- returns the function it is called on, but bound

```
usesCallback( cat.speak.bind(cat) );
```

inside usesCallback()

- callback will be the explicitly bound function
- so this will be cat
- even though no dot when callback() called

Explicit Bind via Fat Arrow

Unlike other functions, Fat Arrow functions do not redefine this

Technically this is not explicit binding, so much as not re-binding at all

```
const internet = {
  cats: [ 'Jorts', 'Jean' ],
  coolSite: 'tiktok',
  report: function() { // Need to keep as function keyword!
    const html = this.cats.map( name => { // fat arrow here
      return `${name} uses ${this.coolSite}};
  }).join('');
  return html;
  },
};
console.log( internet.report() );
```

Avoiding this old-school

In ancient times devs would bypass this problem

• by copying the value of this into another variable

Usually called self or that

• Before defining an inline function as a callback.

Entering the new function

- this would be redefined
- self would keep the previous value of this

DON'T DO THIS. It's unnecessary and visually noisy.

Demonstration of old school way

```
const internet = {
  cats: [ 'Jorts', 'Jean' ],
  coolSite: 'tiktok',
  report: function() {
    const self = this; // self unchanged below
    const html = this.cats.map( function(name) {
      return `${name} uses ${self.coolSite}};
    }).join('');
  return html;
  },
};
console.log( internet.report() );
```

Additional notes

Other methods of setting the context (this) for a function exist

- such as .call() or .apply()
- these come up fairly rarely

this Summary

- this is a variable name that gets redefined when entering a function call
- Implicit binding is to "what is before the dot"
 - this can be a problem if the function is used as a callback
- Explicit binding is possible via .bind()
- A fat-arrow function can avoid the redefinition
- If you use a non-OOP programming style you can avoid this entirely
- Don't use work-arounds like that or self

Why Inheritance

Don't overuse Inheritance

 Modern best practices, even for OOP, favor Composition over Inheritance

Inheritance can provide common functionality

Inheritance can be a problem if you have many instances but then need to change half of them

• We change code more than we write new

How to create Inheritance

JS has 4 ways to create inheritance

Really 4 ways to create a prototype

- Constructor Function
- Object.create
- ES6 classes
- Brute Force Prototype Assignment

Constructor Function - Older style, still works

Using new keyword on a function call:

- creates a new object
- calls the function with this set to the new object
- runs the function
- sets the prototype of the returned object to be the prototype property of the function
 - prototype object, not prototype of the function itself

Such functions are MixedCase, not camelCase

• by convention, not code-enforced

Constructor Function Demo

```
const Cat = function(name) { // MixedCase function name
    this.name = name; // `this` is the new object
};

Cat.prototype.beNice = function() {
    console.log(`${this.name} silently maintains eye contact`);
};

const jorts = new Cat('Jorts');
jorts.beNice();
```

- jorts.beNice IS inherited
- jorts.name is NOT inherited
 - is property of the object itself

Object.create - for the Functional Programmers

Object.create() gives you a new object

- New object's prototype set to passed object
- No initialization code runs (no constructor)
- Popular among functional programmers (FP)

```
const cat = {
  beNice: function() {
    console.log(`${this.name} maintains eye contact`);
  }
};
const jorts = Object.create(cat);
jorts.name = 'Jorts';
jorts.beNice();
```

ES6 Classes

- Use new on a **class** call
- Was hotly debated, now reaction is meh
- More comfortable for those from other languages
- Can mislead, only defines starting state

```
class Cat {
  constructor(name) {
    this.name = name;
  }
  beNice() {
    console.log(`${this.name} pretends not to hear`);
  }
}

const jorts = new Cat('Jorts');
jorts.beNice();
```

Brute Force - set the prototype directly

Usually a bad idea (messy/unclear)

- listed for educational purposes!
- use any of the other methods instead

```
const cat = {
  beNice: function() {
    console.log(`${this.name} says 'No'`);
  }
};
const jorts = { name: 'Jorts' };
Object.setPrototypeOf(jorts, cat);
jorts.beNice();
```

Hoisting

"Hoisting"

- var variables
- function keyword functions not as a value
- JS engine treats as declared at top of function
 - not assigned, but declared
 - allows you to make references

Create global variables when run in the global scope

- not inside a function
- only a browser issue
- hoisted values create global variables