Cyclistic Case Study

Joseph Brockly-Anderson

2023-08-16

Contents

Executive Summary	1
Introduction	2
Data Cleaning	2
Analysis Data dictionary	3
Variable	3
Meaning	3
Key Findings	
Ride Times, Duration, Frequency, and Distance	
Seasonal Frequency	4
Recommendations	4
Conclusion	4
Acknowledgmements	5

Executive Summary

Cyclistic is a bike-sharing company based in Chicago on a mission to convert people who ride their bikes casually into annual paying members. By analyzing how Cyclistic members use their services and where overlap occurs with casual riders, I've identified key ways the company could achieve higher conversion rates.

Through the analysis, I've discovered that members have little preference between electric and classic bikes, take shorter rides more frequently than casual riders (especially on weekends), like to ride a little faster, and enjoy biking around Chicago's Central Lakefront and university district in Hyde Park. They also ride the most around the months of June and September. Based on these insights, I've proposed several recommendations for Cyclistic's marketing and executive teams.

- Focus on promotional content that highlights the versatility of Cyclistic's bike fleet with a nod to speed capacity of electric bikes
- Develop a marketing campaign that demonstrates the cost savings of an annual membership for frequent riders
- Promote the convenience and freedom of using Cyclistic's dockless capabilities with an annual membership

- Launch seasonal advertising that targets casual riders during peak frequency months
- Increase the availability of Cyclistic's bike fleet along Central Lakefront and around Hyde Park
- Reach out to local businesses with cross-promotional offers

Introduction

Cyclistic is a (fictional) bike-sharing service operating out of Chicago that successfully launched in 2016. Since their opening, their fleet has grown to 5824 bicycles, all of which are geotracked and connected to a network of nearly 700 stations across the city. Rides can begin and end anywhere in the city, unlockable for a small fee.

People wanting to use the service can either buy single-ride passes, full-day passes, or annual memberships. Those who buy single ride passes or full day passes are referred to as casual riders, Whereas those who purchase annual memberships are referred to as Cyclistic members. This flexibility of Cyclistic's pricing plans gave it a competitive edge when building the public's general awareness of the brand and marketing to a broad demographic of potential customers. Financial analysts at Cyclistic have demonstrated successfully that annual members are much more profitable to the company than casual riders, but why do some people buy single-ride or full-day passes while others purchase annual memberships? Does the length of rides have anything to do with this decision? What about bike preference or when people use bikes, how often, or where? How can analyzing the past twelve month's worth of ride-share data help Cyclistic create a more targeted campaign ad to convert casual riders into Cyclistic members?

By asking the right questions, keeping our sights focused on the business task, gathering and preparing relevant data for processing, analyzing it to look for any trends or helpful insights, and using simple maps and charts to visualize our analysis, I can inform Cyclistic's marketing team of potential next steps in their journey to activate annual members.

In order to provide Cyclistic, their marketing team, executive team, and other relevant stakeholders with valuable, accurate insights and data-driven suggestions to convert casual riders into annual members in pursuit of fiscal growth, I followed a programmatic analysis process that includes the following iterative steps:

$$Ask-Prepare-Process-Analyze-Share-Act$$

We've already begun asking solid questions: here's how the data answers them.

Data Cleaning

While working with the programming language R in R Studio, I stacked together 12 months of separate Cyclistic data into one dataset. This data set was quite large: it had 13 different qualities for almost six million bike rides, so I took a sufficient sample size that would ultimately render my insights accurate with a confidence level of 99% within a margin of error of 5. I use a variety of methods to ensure that the data contained within my sample set was indeed what I thought it would be, both in type and range. Upon finding a large amount null values, I performed some additional research to discover the context of these missing values and discovered they pose no threat to the analysis.

Analysis

The original dataset had 13 columns, but in order to perform a more thorough analysis it was necessary to produce additional columns that would display information about things like ride distance, speed, length, and type of day. All of the attributes in the finalized clean dataset are registered in the data dictionary below.

Data dictionary

Variable	Meaning
rideable_type ride_id_at	Whether the bike ridden was electric, classic, or docked Assignifier used to uniquely identify each bike ride The date and time the trip was started
ended at	The date and time the trip was ended
start_station_name	The name of the station where the trip began
$start_station_id$	A unique identifier given to the station where the trip
	began
end_station_name	The name of the station where the trip ended
$end_station_id$	A unique identifier given to the station where the trip ended
start lat	The latitude of the starting location
start_lng	The longitude of the starting location
end_lat	The latitude of the ending location
end_lng	The longitude of the ending location
member_casual	Whether a rider is casual or an annual member
ride_length	A calculated column containing the ride length in minutes
is_weekday	Whether the ride started on a weekday (TRUE) or
	weekend (FALSE)
computed_distance	The Haversine distance in miles between trip start and
_	end locations
ride_length_hours	A calculated column containing the ride length in hours
speed _mph	A calculated column displaying the
cluster	What cluster a starting point belongs to

Key Findings

Bike Preferences

Put yourself in the shoes of the average Cyclistic member. You may have a slight preference for electric bikes over classic bikes in regards to speed, but when it comes down to it, you just love the feeling of gliding down the road with the wind breezing by on a beautiful summer day. You enjoy riding Cyclistic's bikes because they provide you with the freedom to start and stop riding from wherever you're at to wherever you're going. This is exactly what electric and classic bikes do (and docked bikes don't). Taken together with the other key findings presented below, this is exactly what the data suggests about Cyclistic's annual members.

Figure 1.1

Figure 1.2

Figure 1.3

Ride Times, Duration, Frequency, and Distance

Our analysis shows that members feel less obligated to push a single trip to the limit because they've paid a flat rate. They bike more often and take shorter trips, as depicted by mean ride durations in green overlaying the graphs below. They are also more than three times as likely as casual riders to bike on a weekend when they have the free time away from work.

Figure 2.1

Figure 2.2

Figure 2.3

Figure 2.4

Seasonal Frequency

Seasonally, members typically ride the most leading up to and peaking in June, with a pretty dramatic decline as temperatures rise in July, kicking the pace back up in August and September. Casual riders also peak in September, a fortunate overlap that should not be overlooked by the marketing team. Running advertising campaigns during these peak times may capture the attention of casual riders seeking the benefits of a full membership. Because ridership falls flat across both groups almost entirely in February, Cyclistic should avoid wasting advertising and other resources promoting a campaign during the winter months.

Figure 3.1

Figure 3.2

Preferred Locations

Both annual members and casual riders alike typically begin their journeys along the Central Lakefront, the region in Chicago containing some of the most populated and popular destinations. Moreover, The top 10 most popular starting locations are all grouped in and around Hyde Park, the university district. These two locations represent prime real estate for advertising campaigns focused on converting casual riders into annual members and may present opportunities for cross-promotional negotiations with local businesses.

Figure 4.1

Figure 4.2

Figure 4.3

Recommendations

Based on the information that we've gathered through our analysis, here are six simple recommendations for the Cyclistic marketing team:

- Focus on promotional content that highlights the versatility of Cyclistic's bike fleet with a nod to speed capacity of electric bikes
- Develop a marketing campaign that demonstrates the savings of a Cyclistic membership for frequent riders compared to annual costs of gas, car maintenance, time in traffic, tax rides, etc.
- Promote the convenience of using Cyclistic's dockless bike fleet with an annual membership
- Launch seasonal advertising that targets casual riders during September
- Increase the availability of Cyclistic's bike fleet along Central Lakefront and around Hyde Park
- Reach out to local businesses in these areas with cross-promotional offers

Conclusion

By conducting a thorough analysis of Cyclistic's bike share data in the past year, I have developed a package of recommendations that will increase the revenue for Cyclistic and the positive user experience of their customers. Taking these suggestions seriously will increase the conversion rate between casual riders and annual members and open up a doorway towards increasing sales and inflating membership subscriptions. To further analyze how the marketing team can drive these conversions, Cyclistic could look towards gathering more types of data about their customers. A simple way to do this would be through customer surveys, which would enable Cyclistic to understand rider motivations and offer specialized feedback, or capturing demographic information, payment methods, website interaction, weather conditions, and more. A treasure trove of data analysis could take place if we aggregated all of this information together and I'm thrilled to get the chance to continue.

Acknowledgmements

- Dowle, Matt, and Arun Srinivasan. 2023. Data.table: Extension of 'Data.frame'. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=data.table.
- Fischetti, Tony. 2022. Assertr: Assertive Programming for r Analysis Pipelines. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=assertr.
- Grolemund, Garrett, and Hadley Wickham. 2011. "Dates and Times Made Easy with lubridate." *Journal of Statistical Software* 40 (3): 1–25. https://www.jstatsoft.org/v40/i03/.
- Hahsler, Michael, Matthew Piekenbrock, and Derek Doran. 2019. "dbscan: Fast Density-Based Clustering with R." *Journal of Statistical Software* 91 (1): 1–30. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v091.i01.
- Kahle, David, and Hadley Wickham. 2013. "Ggmap: Spatial Visualization with Ggplot2." The R Journal 5 (1): 144–61. https://journal.r-project.org/archive/2013-1/kahle-wickham.pdf.
- van der Loo, Mark P. J., and Edwin de Jonge. 2021. "Data Validation Infrastructure for R." *Journal of Statistical Software* 97 (10): 1–31. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v097.i10.
- Wickham, Hadley, Mara Averick, Jennifer Bryan, Winston Chang, Lucy D'Agostino McGowan, Romain François, Garrett Grolemund, et al. 2019. "Welcome to the tidyverse." *Journal of Open Source Software* 4 (43): 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686.
- Xie, Yihui. 2014. "Knitr: A Comprehensive Tool for Reproducible Research in R." In *Implementing Reproducible Computational Research*, edited by Victoria Stodden, Friedrich Leisch, and Roger D. Peng. Chapman; Hall/CRC.