02471 Machine Learning for Signal Processing

Solution

Exercise 2: Parameter Estimation

2.1 Linear Models

Exercise 2.1.2

The book uses column vectors, so we get the following dimensions for the vectors: $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$, $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{(l+1) \times 1}$ where l is the number of dimensions in the input data. X then needs to be a $\mathbb{R}^{N \times (l+1)}$ matrix. We define these as

$$m{y} = egin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{bmatrix}, \qquad X = egin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,l} & 1 \\ x_{2,1} & \cdots & x_{2,l} & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{N,1} & \cdots & x_{N,l} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

If we write the sum for the first data-point we get:

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N=1} (y_n - \boldsymbol{\theta}_b^T \boldsymbol{x}_n - \theta_0)^2$$
$$= (y_1 - \theta_1 x_{1,1} - \dots - \theta_l x_{1,l} - \theta_0)^2$$

Similarly, the first component of the vector $(\boldsymbol{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is

$$y_1 - \theta_1 x_{1,1} - \cdots - \theta_l x_{1,l} - \theta_0 \cdot 1$$

Since the inner product of a vector is the sum of all the components squared, we have shown the relation.

Exercise 2.1.3

We first make the following rewrites:

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\boldsymbol{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta})^{T}(\boldsymbol{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$= (\boldsymbol{y}^{T} - (X\boldsymbol{\theta})^{T})(\boldsymbol{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$= \boldsymbol{y}^{T}\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{y}^{T}X\boldsymbol{\theta} - (X\boldsymbol{\theta})^{T}\boldsymbol{y} + (X\boldsymbol{\theta})^{T}X\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{y}^{T}\boldsymbol{y} - (X\boldsymbol{\theta})^{T}\boldsymbol{y} - (X\boldsymbol{\theta})^{T}\boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{\theta}^{T}X^{T}X\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{y}^{T}\boldsymbol{y} - 2(X\boldsymbol{\theta})^{T}\boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{\theta}^{T}X^{T}X\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

We can now use the following rules from appendix A

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{a}^T \boldsymbol{x}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{a}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{a}$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}^T A \boldsymbol{x}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} = (A + A^T) \boldsymbol{x}$$

We get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} 2(X\boldsymbol{\theta})^T \boldsymbol{y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \boldsymbol{\theta}^T X^T X \boldsymbol{\theta}$$
$$= -2\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \boldsymbol{\theta}^T X^T \boldsymbol{y} + (X^T X + (X^T X)^T) \boldsymbol{\theta}$$
$$= -2X^T \boldsymbol{y} + 2X^T X \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

Note that $X^T \boldsymbol{y}$ results in a $(l+1\times 1)$ sized vector, so $\boldsymbol{\theta}^T X^T \boldsymbol{y}$ is indeed an inner product between two vectors.

2.2 Estimation

Exercise 2.2.1

Assume the model $y = g(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{\eta}$, then we have (since $\mathbf{\eta}$ is zero-mean, and \mathbf{x} is observed, that is $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$), then

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{x}] = \mathbb{E}[g(\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{\eta}]$$

$$= g(\boldsymbol{x}) + \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\eta}]$$

$$= g(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$MSE = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{x}])^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(g(\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{x}])^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(g(\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{\eta} - g(\boldsymbol{x})^2]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\eta}^2]$$

The variance is defined as $var[\eta] = \mathbb{E}[(\eta - \mathbb{E}[\eta])^2]$, so for a zero mean variable we have $var[\eta] = \mathbb{E}[\eta^2]$. Hence we get the result

$$MSE = \mathbb{E}[\eta^2] = \sigma_n^2$$

Exercise 2.2.2

Since we are dealing with unbiased estimator, we know that:

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{m{ heta}_i}] = m{ heta}$$

We also know that estimators are uncorrelated and all have the variance:

$$\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)^T (\boldsymbol{\theta}_i - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)]$$
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_i$$

Putting this together yields:

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{E}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_i] = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

Next, assuming that estimators are uncorrelated, meaning:

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_i - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)^T(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_j - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)\Big] = \sigma^2 \delta_{ij}, \text{ where } \delta_{ij} = 1 \text{ when } i = j \text{ and zero otherwise.}$$

Use substitution to obtain:

$$\begin{split} \sigma_c^2 &= \mathbb{E}\Big[(\hat{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)^T(\hat{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)\Big] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\Big[\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m \hat{\theta}_i - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o\right)^T \left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^m \hat{\theta}_j - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o\right)\Big] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\Big[\frac{1}{m^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \hat{\theta}_i - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o\right)^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \hat{\theta}_j - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o\right)\Big] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\Big[\frac{1}{m^2}\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\hat{\theta}_i - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o\right)^T \left(\hat{\theta}_j - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o\right)\Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2}\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{E}\Big[(\hat{\theta}_i - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)^T (\hat{\theta}_j - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)\Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2}m\sigma^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{m}\sigma^2 \end{split}$$

Exercise 2.2.3

We know that $\hat{\theta}_u$ is an unbiased estimator, so that $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_u] = \theta_0$. For this exercise, the biased estimator is defined as $\hat{\theta}_b := (1 + \alpha)\hat{\theta}_u$. Further, we assume that $\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_b) > 0$ (this is only zero if we have zero noise and a perfect fit) and that $\theta_o > 0$ (since $\theta_o = 0$ is the trivial case of a null-fit).

First calculate the MSE of biased estimator:

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_b) = \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\theta}_b - \theta_o)^2\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[((1 + \alpha)\hat{\theta}_u - \theta_o)^2\right]$$

The trick is to add and subtract term $\alpha \theta_o$:

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_b) = \mathbb{E}\left[((1+\alpha)\hat{\theta}_u + \alpha\theta_o - \alpha\theta_o - \theta_o)^2 \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[((1+\alpha)\hat{\theta}_u + \alpha\theta_o - \theta_o(\alpha+1))^2 \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[((1+\alpha)(\hat{\theta}_u - \theta_o) + \alpha\theta_o)^2 \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[(1+\alpha)^2(\hat{\theta}_u - \theta_o)^2 + \alpha^2\theta_o^2 + 2(1+\alpha)(\hat{\theta}_u - \theta_o)\alpha\theta_o \right]$$

Since α and θ_o are deterministic, we can narrow the scope of the expectations (expectations only needs to be taken wrt random variables):

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_b) = (1+\alpha)^2 \mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\theta}_u - \theta_o)^2\right] + \alpha^2 \theta_o^2 + 2\alpha(1+\alpha)(\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_u] - \theta_o)\theta_o$$

Taking into account that $\mathbb{E}\left[(\hat{\theta}_u - \theta_o)^2\right] = \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)$ and $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_u] = \theta_o$, we end up with:

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_b) = (1 + \alpha)^2 MSE(\hat{\theta}_u) + \alpha^2 \theta_o^2 + 2\alpha (1 + \alpha)(\theta_o - \theta_o)\theta_o$$
$$= (1 + \alpha)^2 MSE(\hat{\theta}_u) + \alpha^2 \theta_o^2$$

Now we have an expression for $MSE(\hat{\theta}_b)$. Next we seek the solution for α , so that

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_b) < MSE(\hat{\theta}_u)$$

By substitution, we get:

$$(1 + \alpha)^{2} \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_{u}) + \alpha^{2} \theta_{o}^{2} < \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_{u})$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 + \alpha^{2} + 2\alpha) \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_{u}) + \alpha^{2} \theta_{o}^{2} < \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_{u})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_{u}) + \alpha^{2} \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_{u}) + 2\alpha \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_{u}) + \alpha^{2} \theta_{o}^{2} < \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_{u})$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha^{2} \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_{u}) + 2\alpha \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_{u}) + \alpha^{2} \theta_{o}^{2} < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \left(\alpha \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_{u}) + 2 \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_{u}) + \alpha \theta_{o}^{2}\right) < 0$$

If we multiply both sides by $\frac{1}{\theta_s^2 + \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)}$ (which is a positive quantity), we get:

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\alpha \left(\alpha \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u) + 2 \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u) + \alpha \theta_o^2 \right)}{\theta_o^2 + \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)} < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \left(\frac{2 \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)}{\theta_o^2 + \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)} + \alpha \frac{\theta_o^2 + \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)}{\theta_o^2 + \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)} \right) < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \left(\frac{2 \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)}{\theta_o^2 + \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)} + \alpha \right) < 0$$

To proceed, we need to handle the three cases of α : $\alpha < 0$, $\alpha > 0$, and $\alpha = 0$. The case where $\alpha = 0$, does not really make sense to consider, since this means our biased estimator will be defined as our unbiased estimator and no bias is then induced.

For the case $\alpha > 0$: here the biased estimator "expands" $\hat{\theta}_u$ (by noting the definition of $\hat{\theta}_b$), and we get:

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \left(\frac{2\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)}{\theta_o^2 + \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)} + \alpha \right) < 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{2\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)}{\theta_o^2 + \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)} + \alpha < 0$$

Since the terms $MSE(\hat{\theta}_u)$ and θ_o^2 are both positive $MSE(\hat{\theta}_u)$, and α assumed positive, this inequality cannot hold, since there is no route to make the result on the left hand side below 0. Hence, $\alpha > 0$ will not result in a reduction in MSE.

For the case $\alpha < 0$: here the biased estimator "shrinks" $\hat{\theta}_u$, and we get

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \left(\frac{2\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)}{\theta_o^2 + \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)} + \alpha \right) < 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{2\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)}{\theta_o^2 + \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)} + \alpha > 0$$

The term on the left hand side, is only positive if

$$\frac{2\mathrm{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)}{\mathrm{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u) + \theta_o^2} > -\alpha \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad -\frac{2\mathrm{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)}{\mathrm{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u) + \theta_o^2} < \alpha$$

Additionally, we can establish a lower bound for α by analyzing $\frac{\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)}{\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u) + \theta_o^2}$. Since all the individual terms in $\frac{\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)}{\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u) + \theta_o^2}$ are assumed positive, we get:

$$0 < \frac{\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u)}{\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_u) + \theta_o^2} < 1$$

That means:

$$-2 < \alpha < 0 \Rightarrow -1 < 1 + \alpha < 1 \Rightarrow |1 + \alpha| < 1$$

and additionally

$$|\hat{\theta}_b| = |(1+\alpha)\hat{\theta}_u| = |1+\alpha||\hat{\theta}_u| < |\hat{\theta}_u|$$

2.3 Bias-variance trade-off

Exercise 2.3.1

Reusing the rewrites we made in exercise 2.1, we can readily see we can write the cost-function as

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\boldsymbol{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\boldsymbol{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \lambda \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

And using the same procedure for differentation we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -2X^T \boldsymbol{y} + 2X^T X \boldsymbol{\theta} + 2\lambda I \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

Equating the derivative to 0 and re-arrange yields

$$-2X^{T}\boldsymbol{y} + 2X^{T}X\boldsymbol{\theta} + 2\lambda I\boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{0} \qquad \Leftrightarrow$$

$$(2X^{T}X + 2\lambda I)\boldsymbol{\theta} = 2X^{T}\boldsymbol{y} \qquad \Leftrightarrow$$

$$(X^{T}X + \lambda I)\boldsymbol{\theta} = X^{T}\boldsymbol{y}$$