

02471 Machine Learning for Signal Processing

Solution

Exercise 7: Sparsity analysis models and time-frequency analysis

7.2 Iterative Shrinkage/thresholding (IST)

Exercise 7.2.1

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^l} \left\{ L(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_1 = J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_1 \right\}$$

From section 5.2, formula 5.3, we have the gradient decent update defined as

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)} - \mu_i J'(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)})$$

And using a constant step size μ , and taking the derivative of the means squared cost function, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)} &= \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)} - \mu J'(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)} - \mu X^T (X\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)} - \mathbf{y}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)} + \mu X^T \mathbf{e}^{(i-1)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{e}^{(i-1)} = \mathbf{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}$.

The gradient decent update that solves the MSE error can identically be written as the solution to the following optimization problem:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^l} \left\{ J(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}) + (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)})^T J'(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}\|_2^2 \right\}$$

This can easiest be shown by taking the derivative to the expression we are minimizing w.r.t $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, set equal to zero and then solve for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \left(J(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}) + (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)})^T J'(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}\|_2^2 \right) &= \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \boldsymbol{\theta}^T J'(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \|\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}\|_2^2 &= \\ J'(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}) + \frac{1}{2\mu} (2\boldsymbol{\theta} - 2\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}) & \end{aligned}$$

Equation to zero, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= J'(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}) + \frac{1}{2\mu} (2\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)} - 2\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}) \\ &= \mu J'(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}) + \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)} \Leftrightarrow \\ \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)} &= \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)} - \mu J'(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have validated the claim. Hence, by substitution, we rewrite our LASSO problem to

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^l} \left\{ J(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}) + (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)})^T \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_1 \right\}$$

To shorten the notation burden, define $\boldsymbol{\theta}' := \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)} &= \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^l} \left\{ J(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}) + (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)})^T \frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_1 \right\} \\ &= \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^l} \left\{ J(\boldsymbol{\theta}') + (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}')^T J'(\boldsymbol{\theta}') + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}'\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_1 \right\}\end{aligned}$$

Scaling a function (multiplication) or adding a constant to a function does not change the value of the minimizer, i.e. $\arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^l} \{f(\boldsymbol{\theta})\} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^l} \{\alpha f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + k\}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{R}$. Using this, we can further rewrite the optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)} &= \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^l} \left\{ J(\boldsymbol{\theta}') + (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}')^T J'(\boldsymbol{\theta}') + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}'\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_1 \right\} \\ &= \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^l} \left\{ \boldsymbol{\theta}^T J'(\boldsymbol{\theta}') + \frac{1}{2\mu} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\theta} - 2\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\theta}') + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_1 \right\} \\ &= \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^l} \left\{ \mu \boldsymbol{\theta}^T J'(\boldsymbol{\theta}') + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\theta} - 2\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\theta}') + \lambda \mu \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_1 \right\} \\ &= \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^l} \left\{ -\boldsymbol{\theta}^T (\boldsymbol{\theta}' - \mu J'(\boldsymbol{\theta}')) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \lambda \mu \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_1 \right\}\end{aligned}$$

Define $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} := \boldsymbol{\theta}' - \mu J'(\boldsymbol{\theta}')$ to obtain

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^l} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \lambda \mu \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_1 \right\}$$

To find the minimum of this function, we take the derivative if the function to minimize w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ to obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \lambda \mu \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_1 = \boldsymbol{\theta} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \lambda \mu \partial \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_1$$

where ∂ is the subdifferential set. The minimizer must satisfy

$$\mathbf{0} \in \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \lambda \mu \partial \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)}\|_1$$

What is very useful about this result, as compared to last week, is that no requirements was enforced on X . Last week we assumed that $X^T X = I$. All operations are only applied components wise, and we can therefore write, for the j 'th component

$$\begin{cases} \theta_j^{(i)} - \tilde{\theta}_j + \lambda \mu = 0 \Leftrightarrow \theta_j^{(i)} = \tilde{\theta}_j - \lambda \mu & \text{if } \theta_j > 0 \\ \theta_j^{(i)} - \tilde{\theta}_j - \lambda \mu = 0 \Leftrightarrow \theta_j^{(i)} = \tilde{\theta}_j + \lambda \mu & \text{if } \theta_j < 0 \end{cases}$$

These equations are only true for $\tilde{\theta}_j > \lambda \mu$ and $\tilde{\theta}_j < -\lambda \mu$ respectively. For $-\lambda \mu \leq \tilde{\theta}_j \leq \lambda \mu$ we get $\tilde{\theta}_j = 0$ (see the solution from last week for further details). These three conditions can be combined into one rule

$$\theta_j^{(i)} = \text{sign}(\tilde{\theta}_j^{(i)}) \max(|\tilde{\theta}_j^{(i)}| - \lambda \mu, 0)$$

where $\text{sign}(x)$ is

$$\text{sign}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Putting this together, we get the following update for the i 'th iteration, where we initialize $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)} = \mathbf{0}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{e}^{(i-1)} &= \mathbf{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)} \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i-1)} + \mu X^T \mathbf{e}^{(i-1)} \\ \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(i)} &= \text{sign}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \max(|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}| - \lambda\mu, 0)\end{aligned}$$

7.3 Signal representation using the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT)

Exercise 7.3.1

First we define $W_N := e^{-i2\pi/N}$ to rewrite the N-point DFT to

$$\tilde{x}_k = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n (W_N)^{kn}$$

This sum can be written as an inner product. If define a vector \mathbf{w}^k whose elements is $w_i^k = (W_N)^{ki}$, we get

$$\tilde{x}_k = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w}^k$$

If we want to calculate all the desired \tilde{x}_k components, we can do this using the matrix product

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \Phi^H \mathbf{x}$$

Where the matrix Φ^H has the following elements, $\Phi_{(i,j)}^H = (W_N)^{ij}$.