

*Poznámka*

Credit for giving 'small lecture'. Oral exam.

# 1 Meromorphic functions

## Definice 1.1

We say that a function  $f$  is holomorphic in a set  $F \subset \mathbb{C}$  if there is an open  $G \supseteq F$  such that  $f$  is holomorphic on  $G$ .

In particular,  $f$  is holomorphic at  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  if  $f$  is holomorphic in some neighbour ( $= U(z_0) = U(z_0, \varepsilon)$ ) of  $z_0$ .

## Definice 1.2

Function  $f$  has at  $\infty$  a removable singularity, if  $f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$  has a removable singularity at 0. Similarly pole and essential singularity.

Function  $f$  is holomorphic at  $\infty$  if  $f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$  is holomorphic at 0.

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{S}$  be open. Then  $f$  is holomorphic on  $G$  if  $f$  is holomorphic at any  $z_0$ . Denote  $\mathcal{H}(G) := \{f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ holomorphic}\}$ .

┌

*Například*

From Liouville theorem  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{S}) = \text{constant functions}$ . So  $\mathcal{H}(G)$  is interesting only for  $G \subsetneq \mathbb{S}$ , so WLOG  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ .

└

## Definice 1.3 (Meromorphic function)

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{S}$  be open. Then a function  $f$  on  $G$  is called meromorphic if at any  $z_0 \in G$  the function  $f$  is either holomorphic at  $z_0$  or has a pole at  $z_0$ .

Denote  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  the set of meromorphic functions on  $G$ .

*Důsledek*

- $\mathcal{H}(G) \subset \mathcal{M}(G)$ .
- Denote  $P_f := \{z_0 \in G \mid f \text{ has a pole at } z_0\}$ . Then  $P_f$  has no limit points in  $G$ .
- If  $f = \infty$  on  $P_f$ , then  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$  is continuous. (We always assume, that  $f \in \mathcal{H}(G)$  has this property.)

*Například*

$$\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}), \quad e^{\frac{1}{z}} \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \Gamma \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}).$$

$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}) = \text{rational functions}$ . (One inclusion is clear, second: Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S})$ , then because  $\mathbb{S}$  is compact it holds that  $P_f$  is finite (has no limit point),  $P_f \cap \mathbb{C} = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ , so from theorem from last semester there exists  $h \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $f(z) = h(z) + \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \left( \frac{1}{z-z_j} \right)$  for some polynomials  $p_j$ .  $f$  has removable singularity or pole at infinity and  $p_j$  and  $\frac{1}{z-z_j}$  have removable singularity there, so  $h(z)$  is polynomial, otherwise  $h(z)$  has infinity Taylor polynom and  $h\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$  has essential singularity at 0.)

So  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  is interesting for  $G \subsetneq \mathbb{S}$ , WLOG  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ .

If  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  is domain,  $f, g \in \mathcal{H}(G)$  and  $g \equiv 0$ , then  $f/g \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ . The inverse is also true (we will prove it) (but not for  $G = \mathbb{S}$ ).

### Lemma 1.1

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  be open. Then there are compacts  $K_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in  $G$  such that  $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ ,  $K_n \subset \text{int}(K_{n+1})$  and for any compact  $K$  in  $G$ ,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : K \subset K_n$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

Set  $K_n := \{z \in G \mid \text{dist}(z, \mathbb{C} \setminus G) \geq \frac{1}{n}\} \cap U(0, n)$ . □

└

### Tvrzení 1.2

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{S}$  be open and  $M \subset G$  has no limit point in  $G$ . Then

- $G \setminus M$  is open;
- if  $K$  is a compact in  $G$ , then  $K \cap M$  is finite. In particular for  $G = \mathbb{S}$  we have  $M$  is finite;
- $M$  is at most countable. If  $M$  is infinite, then  $\emptyset \neq M' \subset \partial G$ ;
- if  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  is domain (connected), then  $G \setminus M$  is domain.

### Věta 1.3 (Uniqueness of meromorphic functions)

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a domain,  $f \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  and  $f \not\equiv 0$ . Then  $N_f := \{z \in G \mid f(z) = 0\}$  has no limit points in  $G$ .

*Důkaz*

We know this holds for holomorphic functions. Set  $G_0 := G \setminus P_f$ . Then  $G_0 \subset \mathbb{C}$  is also domain and  $f \in \mathcal{H}(G)$  and  $f \not\equiv 0$  on  $G_0$ . Then  $N_f \subset G_0$  has no limit points in  $G_0$ , nor in  $P_f$ .  $\square$

### Věta 1.4 (Residue theorem)

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  be open,  $\varphi$  be a closed curve (or cycle) in  $G$  and  $\text{int } \varphi := \{z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \langle \varphi \rangle \mid \text{ind}_\varphi z_0 \neq 0\} \subset G$ . Let  $M \subset G \setminus \langle \varphi \rangle$  be finite and  $f \in \mathcal{H}(G \setminus M)$ . Then  $\int_\varphi f = 2\pi i \cdot \sum_{s \in M} \text{ind}_\varphi s \cdot \text{res}_s f$ .

*Poznámka*

This holds true even if instead of finiteness of  $M$ , we assume only that  $M \subset G \setminus \langle \varphi \rangle$  has no limit points in  $G$ . Indeed, we have  $M_0 = M \cap \text{int } \varphi$  is finite, because  $\langle \varphi \rangle \cup \text{int } \varphi$  is compact and  $G_0 := G \setminus (M \setminus M_0)$  is open and  $f$  is holomorphic on  $G_0 \setminus M_0$  and by R. theorem for  $G_0$  and  $M_0$  we get  $\int_\varphi f = 2\pi i \sum_{s \in M_0} \text{res}_s f \cdot \text{ind}_\varphi s$ .

## 1.1 Logarithmic integrals

### Definice 1.4 (Logarithmic integral)

Let  $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a (regular) curve and let  $f$  be a non-zero holomorphic function on  $\langle \varphi \rangle$ . Then we define logarithmic integrals integral as

$$I := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\varphi \frac{f'}{f} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \frac{f'(\varphi(t))\varphi'(t)}{f(\varphi(t))} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \frac{(f(\varphi(t)))'}{f(\varphi(t))} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{f \circ \varphi} \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\Phi(b) - \Phi(a)),$$

where  $\Phi$  is a branch (jednoznačná větev) of logarithm of  $f \circ \varphi$ . If  $\varphi$  is, in addition, closed, then  $I = \text{ind}_{f \circ \varphi} 0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\Theta(b) - \Theta(a)) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\Theta$  is a branch of argument of  $f \circ \varphi$ .

( $\frac{f'}{f}$  is called logarithmic derivative of  $f$ , because  $(\log f)' = \frac{f'}{f}$ .)

### Věta 1.5 (Argument principle)

Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a domain,  $\varphi$  be a closed curve in  $G$  and  $f \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ . Let  $\text{int } \varphi \subset G$  and  $\langle \varphi \rangle \cap N_f = \emptyset$ ,  $\langle \varphi \rangle \cap P_f = \emptyset$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\varphi \frac{f'}{f} = \sum_{s \in \text{int } \varphi, f(s)=0} n_f(s) \cdot \text{ind}_\varphi s - \sum_{s \in \text{int } \varphi, f(s)=\infty} p_f(s) \cdot \text{ind}_\varphi s,$$

where  $n_f(s)$  is multiplicity of the zero point  $s$  of  $f$  and  $p_f(s)$  is multiplicity of the pole  $s$  of  $f$ .

┌  
Důkaz

By Residua theorem, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\varphi} \frac{f'}{f} = \sum_{s \in \text{int } \varphi, s \in N_f \cup P_f} \text{res}_s \left( \frac{f'}{f} \right) \cdot \text{ind}_{\varphi} s.$$

If  $s \in N_f$  then on  $P(s)$ :

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{p \cdot c_p(z-s)^{p-1} + \dots}{c_p(z-s)^p + \dots} = \frac{p}{z-s} \cdot \frac{1 + \dots}{1 + \dots} \implies \text{res}_s \left( \frac{f'}{f} \right) = p = n_f(s).$$

If  $s \in P_f$  then on  $P(s)$

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{p \cdot c_p(z-s)^{p-1} + \dots}{c_p(z-s)^p + \dots} = \frac{p}{z-s} \cdot \frac{1 + \dots}{1 + \dots} \implies \text{res}_s \left( \frac{f'}{f} \right) = p = -p_f(s).$$

└

□

## Definice 1.5

$$\Sigma(f, \varphi) := \sum_{s \in \text{int } \varphi, f(s)=0} n_f(s) \cdot \text{ind}_{\varphi} s - \sum_{s \in \text{int } \varphi, f(s)=\infty} p_f(s) \cdot \text{ind}_{\varphi} s.$$

## Lemma 1.6

Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be closed curve and  $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\langle \varphi_1 \rangle \cup \langle \varphi_2 \rangle)$ . Assume, for  $t \in [a, b]$ ,  $|\varphi_1(t) - \varphi_2(t)| < |\varphi_1(t) - s|$ . Then  $\text{ind}_{\varphi_1} s = \text{ind}_{\varphi_2} s$ .

┌  
Důkaz

For  $t \in [a, b]$ , we have  $|(\varphi_1(t) - s) - (\varphi_2(t) - s)| < |\varphi_1(t) - s|$ . Divide by  $|\varphi_1(t) - s|$ :

$$|1 - \psi(t)| < 1, \quad \psi(t) := \frac{\varphi_2(t) - s}{\varphi_1(t) - s}.$$

Then  $\psi$  is a closed curve,  $\psi \subset U(1, 1)$ , and so

$$0 = \text{ind}_{\psi} 0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \frac{\psi'}{\psi} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \frac{\frac{\varphi_2'(\varphi_1-s) - \varphi_1'(\varphi_2-s)}{(\varphi_1-s)^2}}{\frac{\varphi_2-s}{\varphi_1-s}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \frac{\varphi_2'}{\varphi_2-s} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \frac{\varphi_1'}{\varphi_1-s} = \text{ind}_{\varphi_2} s - \text{ind}_{\varphi_1} s.$$

└

□

## Věta 1.7 (Rouché)

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a domain,  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  and  $\varphi$  be closed curve in  $G$  such that  $\text{int } \varphi \subset G$ . Assume  $\forall z \in \langle \varphi \rangle$ :

$$|f_1(z) - f_2(z)| < |f_1(z)| < +\infty$$

Then  $\Sigma(f_1, \varphi) = \Sigma(f_2, \varphi)$ .

┌ *Důkaz*

Set  $\varphi_j = f_j \circ \varphi$ . Then

$$\text{ind}_{\varphi_j} 0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\varphi} \frac{f'_j}{f_j} = \Sigma(f_j, \varphi).$$

└ By previous lemma we have for  $s = 0$ :  $\text{ind}_{\varphi_1} 0 = \text{ind}_{\varphi_2} 0$ . □

*Důsledek*

Let  $f_1, f_2$  be holomorphic functions on  $\overline{U(z_0, r)}$  and  $\forall z \in \partial U(z_0, r) : |f_1(z) - f_2(z)| < |f_1(z)|$ . Then  $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2$ , where  $\Sigma_j := \sum_{s \in U(z_0, r), f(s)=0} n_{f_j}(s)$ .

┌ *Důkaz*

└ Apply Rouché's theorem to  $\varphi(t) := z_0 + r \cdot e^{it}$ ,  $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ . □

*Příklad*

$f_2 = p$ ,  $f_1(z) = a_0 z^n$  and big enough  $U(0, r)$ .

### Definition 1.6 (Notation)

Let  $f$  be a function holomorphic at  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . We say that  $f(z_0) = w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$   $p$  times for  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $z_0$  is a zero point of  $f - w_0$  of order  $p$ .

┌ *Poznámka*

Following statements are equivalent to each other:

- $f(z_0) = w_0$   $p$  times;
- $f(z_0) = w_0$ ,  $f'(z_0) = 0 = \dots = f^{(p-1)}(z_0)$ ,  $f^{(p)}(z_0) \neq 0$ ;
- $f(z) = w_0 + \sum_{k=p}^{+\infty} c_k (z - z_0)^k$  on some neighbourhood of  $z_0$  and  $c_p \neq 0$ .

└ We say that  $f(z_0) = \infty$   $p$  times if  $z_0$  is a zero point of  $\frac{1}{f}$  of order  $p$ . (It's the same as  $z_0$  is pole of  $f$  of order  $p$ .) And we say that  $f(\infty) = w_0 \in \mathbb{S}$   $p$  times if  $f(1/z)$  attains  $w_0$   $p$  times at 0.

### Věta 1.8 (On a multiple value)

Let  $z_0, w_0 \in \mathbb{S}$ ,  $f$  be a holomorphic function on a  $P(z_0)$  and  $f(z_0) = w_0$   $p$  times for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\delta_0 > 0$ . Then there are  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$  such that, for any  $w \in P(w_0, \varepsilon)$  there are just  $p$  different points  $z_1, \dots, z_p$  in  $P(z_0, \delta)$  with  $f(z_j) = w$ . In addition,  $f(z_j) = 0$  once.

┌ *Důkaz*

WLOG, assume  $z_0 = 0 = w_0$ . Then  $z_0 = 0$  is a zero point of  $f$  of order  $p$ . Choose  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$  such that  $f \neq 0$  and  $f' \neq 0$  on  $P(0, 2\delta)$ . Set  $\varepsilon := \min_{|z|=\delta} |f(z)| > 0$ .

Let  $w \in P(0, \varepsilon)$ . Use Rouché's theorem for  $f_1 := f$ ,  $f_2 := f - w$  and  $\varphi := \delta e^{it}$ ,  $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Of course,  $|f_1 - f_2| = |w| < \varepsilon < |f_1|$  on  $\langle \varphi \rangle$ .

Since in  $U(0, \delta)$  the function  $f = f_1$  has the only zero point of order  $p$  at origin,  $f - w = f_2$  has just  $p$  simple zero points in  $P(0, \delta)$ . □

└

*Důsledek*

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{S}$  be a domain,  $f \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  and  $f$  be not constant on  $G$ . Then  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$  is an open map (for any open  $\Omega \subset G$ ,  $f(\Omega)$  is open).

┌ *Důkaz*

Let  $\Omega \subset G$  be open and  $w_0 \in f(\Omega)$ . Then there is a  $z_0 \in \Omega$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(z_0) = w_0$   $p$  times. Choose  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that  $U(z_0, \delta_0) \subset \Omega$ . By the previous theorem, there is  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$  such that  $P(w_0, \varepsilon) \subset f(P(z_0, \delta))$ , so  $U(w_0, \varepsilon) \subset f(U(z_0, \delta)) \subset f(\Omega)$ . □

└

┌ *Poznámka*

This is true for  $\mathcal{H}(G)$  too.

└

*Důsledek*

Let  $f$  be a function holomorphic at  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $f'(z_0) \neq 0$  if and only if there is  $U(z_0)$  such that  $f|_{U(z_0)}$  is one-to-one.

┌ *Důkaz*

„  $\implies$  “: Let  $f'(z_0) \neq 0$ . Then  $f(z_0) = w_0$  once, so we choose  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that  $f \neq w_0$  on a  $P(z_0, \delta_0)$ . By the previous theorem choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ . Moreover, due to the continuity of  $f$  at  $z_0$  choose  $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta)$  such that  $f(U(z_0, \delta_1)) \subset U(w_0, \varepsilon)$ . Then  $f|_{U(z_0, \delta_1)}$  is one-to-one.

„  $\impliedby$  “: Let  $f'(z_0) = 0$  and let  $f$  be not constant on any neighbourhood of  $z_0$ . Then  $f(z_0) = w_0$   $p$  times ( $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ ). By the previous theorem  $f$  is not one-to-one on any neighbourhood of  $z_0$ . □

└

### Věta 1.9 (On holomorphic inverse)

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  be open and  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a one-to-one holomorphic<sup>a</sup> function, then  $f' \neq 0$  on  $G$ ,  $\Omega := f(G)$  is open and  $f_{-1} : \Omega \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} G$  is holomorphic.

In addition,  $(f_{-1})' = \frac{1}{f' \circ f_{-1}}$  on  $\Omega$ .

┌ *Důkaz*

WLOG,  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a domain. By first „důsledek“ of previous theorem  $f$  is an open map, so  $\Omega := f(G)$  is open and  $f_{-1} : \Omega \rightarrow G$  is continuous. Let  $z_0 \in G$  and  $w_0 = f(z_0)$ . By second „důsledek“ we have  $f'(z_0) \neq 0$ , and

$$\frac{1}{f'(z_0)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{z - z_0}{f(z) - f(z_0)} \stackrel{*}{=} \lim_{w \rightarrow w_0} \frac{f_{-1}(w) - f_{-1}(w_0)}{w - w_0} = f'_{-1}(w_0).$$

The equality  $*$  follows from theorem on limits of composite functions because  $f_{-1}$  is continuous and  $f_{-1}(w) \neq f_{-1}(w_0)$  for  $w \neq w_0$ . □

---

└ <sup>a</sup>One-to-one holomorphic function is sometimes called conformal.

### Věta 1.10 (Hurwitz)

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a domain,  $f_n \in \mathcal{H}(G)$ ,  $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{loc.}} f$  on  $G$  and  $f \not\equiv 0$ . Let  $z_0 \in G$  be a zero point of  $f$ . Then  $\exists \{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset G$  and a subsequence  $\{f_{k_n}\}$  of  $\{f_n\}$  such that  $z_n \rightarrow z_0$  and  $f_{k_n}(z_n) = 0$ .

┌ *Poznámka*

└ Not true in  $\mathbb{R}$ ! The assumption  $f \not\equiv 0$  is important! ( $f_n(z) := z/n$ )

*Důsledek*

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a domain,  $f_n$  be one-to-one holomorphic functions on  $G$  and  $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{loc.}} f$  on  $G$ . Then  $f$  is either one-to-one and holomorphic, or constant.