# Úvod

Poznámka (Organizační úvod)

K ukončení předmětu je třeba pouze udělat zkoušku: 2 příklady na definice, 2 věta-důkaz.

Literatura:

- L.C. Evans, R.F. Gariepy, Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1992.
- W. Rudin, Analýza v reálném a komplexním oboru, Academia, 2003.

## 1 Differentiation of measures

## 1.1 Covering theorems

## **Definice 1.1** (Vitali cover)

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we say that a system  $\mathcal{V}$  consisting of closed balls from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  forms Vitali cover of A, if

 $\forall x \in A \ \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists B \in \mathcal{V} : x \in B \land \operatorname{diam} B < \varepsilon.$ 

### Definice 1.2 (Notation)

 $\lambda_n$  is Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\lambda_n^*$  is outer Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a ball and  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $\alpha \cdot B$  stands for the ball, which is concentric with B and with  $\alpha$ -times greater radius than B.

### Věta 1.1 (Vitali)

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  be a system of closed balls forming a Vitali cover of A. Then there exists a countable disjoint subsystem  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\lambda_n(A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

First assume that A is bounded. Take an open bounded set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $A \subset G$ . We set

$$\mathcal{V}^* = \{ B \in \mathcal{V} | V \subset G \} .$$

Then  $\mathcal{V}^*$  is a Vitali cover of A. If there exists a finite disjoint subsystem of  $\mathcal{V}^*$  covering A, we are done. So Assume that there is no such subsystem. Mathematical induction:

First step: We set  $s_1 = \sup \{ \operatorname{diam} B | B \in \mathcal{V}^* \}$ . We choose a ball  $B_1 \in \mathcal{V}^*$  such that  $B_1 > \frac{1}{2}s_1$ .

k-th step: Suppose that we have already constructed balls  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{k-1}$ . We set

$$s_k = \sup \left\{ \operatorname{diam} B | B \in \mathcal{V}^* \wedge B \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i = \emptyset \right\}.$$

We find  $B_k \in \mathcal{V}^*$  such that diam  $B_k > \frac{1}{2}s_k > 0$ ,  $B_k \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{B_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . It is disjoint, it is countable, it holds  $\lambda_n(A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(B_i) = \lambda_n(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) \leqslant \lambda_n(G) < \infty \implies$$

$$\implies \lim_{i \to \infty} 0 \implies \lim_{i \to \infty} \operatorname{diam}(B_i) = 0 \implies \lim_{i \to \infty} s_i = 0.$$

We show that

$$\forall x \in A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A} \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \exists j \in \mathbb{N}, j > i : x \in 5 \cdot B_j$$
$$\Leftrightarrow A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{\infty} 5 \cdot B_j$$

Take  $x \in A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Denote  $\delta = \operatorname{dist}(x, \bigcup_{k=1}^{i} B_k) > 0$ . There exists  $B \in \mathcal{V}^*$  such that  $x \in B$  and diam  $B < \delta \implies B \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{i} B_k = \emptyset$ . Then we have diam  $B > s_p$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Therefore there exists j > i with  $B_j \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Let j be the smallest number with this property. Then we have  $s_j \geqslant \operatorname{diam} B$  since  $B \cap \bigcup_{l=1}^{j-1} B_l = \emptyset$ . Further we have  $\operatorname{diam} B_j > \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diam} B \implies 2 \operatorname{diam} B_j \geqslant \operatorname{diam} B$  This implies that  $x \in B \subset 5 \cdot B_j$ .

$$\lambda_n^*(A \setminus \bigcup A) \leqslant \lambda_n \left( \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{\infty} 5 \cdot B_j \right) \leqslant \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(5 \cdot B_j) = \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} 5^n \lambda_n(B_j) = 5^n \cdot \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(B_j) \to 0 \implies \lambda_n(A \setminus \bigcup A)$$

General case (A not bounded): Let  $(G_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of disjoint open sets such that  $\lambda_n(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} G_j) = 0$ . We define  $\mathcal{V}_j = \{B \in \mathcal{V}_i, B \subseteq G_j\}$ .  $\mathcal{V}_j$  is a Vitali cover of  $A \cap G_j \implies \exists \mathcal{A}_j \subseteq \mathcal{V}_j$  countable disjoint and  $\lambda_n(A \cap G_j \setminus \bigcup A_j) = 0$ . We set  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_j$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is countable, disjoint and  $\lambda_n(A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$ .

#### Definice 1.3

We say that a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies Vitali theorem, if for every Vitaly cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  there exists a disjoint countable  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{V}$  with  $\mu(M \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$ .

Poznámka

If  $\mu$  satisfies Vitali theorem and  $\nu \ll \mu$ , then  $\nu$  satisfies Vitali theorem.

### Věta 1.2

Set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be Lebesgue measurable and S be a finite system of closed balls covering E. Then there exists a disjoint system  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{S}$  such that  $\lambda_n(E) \leq 3^n \cdot \sum_{B \in \mathcal{L}} \lambda_n(B)$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

WLOG  $S \neq \emptyset$ . SUppose  $B_1 \in S$  with maximal radius among balls from S.

Suppose that we have already constructed  $B_1, \ldots, B_{k-1} \in \mathcal{S}$ . If possible, choose  $B_k \in \mathcal{S}$  disjoint with  $\bigcup_{j < k} B_j$  and with maximal radius among balls satisfying this property.

We set  $\mathcal{L} = \{B_1, \dots, B_N\}$ . We show  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{L}} 3 * B = \bigcup_{i=1}^N 3 * B_i$ .  $x \in E$ . Find  $B \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $x \in B$ . Find smallest k with  $B \cap B_k \neq \emptyset$ . This means  $rad(B) \leqslant rad(B_k) \implies x \in B \subseteq 3 * B_k$ .

Now 
$$\lambda_n(E) \leqslant \lambda_n\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N 3 * B_i\right) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_n(3 * B_i) = 3^n \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_n(B_i).$$

## Věta 1.3 (Besicovitch theorem)

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with the following property:

If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\Delta : A \to (0, \infty)$  is a bounded function, then there exist sets  $A_1, ..., A_N \subseteq A$  such that

- $\{\overline{B}(x,\Delta x)|x\in A_j\}$  is disjoint for every  $j\in[N]$ ;
- $A \subset \bigcup \left\{ \overline{B}(x, \Delta x) | x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} A_i \right\}.$

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$  (Case A is bounded)

Let  $R := \sup_A \Delta$ . Choose  $B_1 := \overline{B}(a_1, \Delta(a_1))$  such that  $a_1 \in A$  and  $r_1 := \Delta(a_1) > \frac{3}{4}R$ .

Assume that we already constructed  $B_1, \ldots, B_{j-1}, j \ge 2$ .  $B_{j-1} = \overline{B}(a_{j-1}, \Delta(a_{j-1})) = \overline{B}(a_{j-1}, r_{j-1})$ . Let  $F_j := A \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} B_i$ . If  $F_j = \emptyset$  we set J := j. If not  $B_j := \overline{B}(a_j, \Delta(a_j)) = \overline{B}(a_j, r_j)$ ,  $a_j \in F_j$  and  $r_j > \frac{3}{4} \sup_{F_j} \Delta$ .

If  $F_j \neq \emptyset$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we set  $J := \infty$ . So we have  $(B_j)_{j < J}$ . If  $J < \infty$ , then we covered A. "If  $J = \infty$ , then  $A \subset \bigcup_{j < J} B_j$ ":

 $\lim_{i\to\infty} r_i = 0$ ": because A is bounded

$$||a_i - a_j|| \geqslant r_i = \frac{1}{3}r_i + \frac{2}{3}r_i > \frac{1}{3}r_i + \frac{1}{2}r_j > \frac{1}{3}r_i + \frac{1}{3}r_j = \frac{1}{3}(r_i + r_j) \implies \frac{1}{3} * B_i \cap \frac{1}{3} * B_j = \emptyset.$$

 $\left\{\frac{1}{3}B_j|j< J\right\}$  is a disjoint family  $\implies \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(\frac{1}{3}*B_j) < \infty$ .

If  $A \in A \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j$ , then  $a \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$ . We find  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $r_{j_0} \leqslant \frac{3}{4}\Delta(a)$ . 4.

Fix k < J. We set  $I = \{i < k | B_i \cap B_k \neq \emptyset\}$ ,  $I_1 = \{i < k_i | B_i \cap B_k \neq \emptyset \land r_i < 10r_k\}$ ,  $I_2 = \{i < k_i | B_i \cap B_k \land r_i \geqslant 10r_k\}$ . The estimate of  $I_1$ : "We have  $\frac{1}{3}B_i \subseteq 15 * B_k$  for every  $i \in I_1$ ": Take  $x \in \frac{1}{3} * B_i$ . Then

$$||x - a_k|| \le ||x - a_j|| + ||a_i - a_k|| \le \frac{1}{3}r_i + r_i + r_k \le \frac{10}{3}r_k + 10r_k + r_k \le 15r_k$$

$$\lambda_n(\frac{1}{3}*B_i) = \lambda(\overline{B}(0,1)) \cdot (\frac{1}{3}r_i)^n \geqslant \lambda_n(\overline{B}(0,1)) \cdot (\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{4}r_k)^n = \lambda_n(\overline{B}(0,1)) \cdot \frac{1}{4^n}r_k^n =$$

$$= \frac{1}{60^n}\lambda_n(15*B_k) \implies |I_1| \leqslant 60^n.$$

Denote  $b_i = a_i - a_k$ , vector between centers of balls. Take a family  $\{Q_m | 1 \le m \le (22n)^n\}$  of closed cubes with edge length  $\frac{1}{11n}$  which cover  $[-1,1]^n$ . We claim that "for each  $1 \le m \le (22n)^n$  there is at most one  $i \in I_2$  with  $\frac{b_i}{\|b_i\|} \in Q_m$ ":

$$i, j \in I_2, i < j, \left\| \frac{b_i}{\|b_i\|} - \frac{b_j}{\|b_j\|} \right\| \leqslant \frac{1}{11}.$$

We have  $r_i < \|b_i\| \le r_i + r_k$  and  $r_j < \|b_j\| \le r_j + r_k$ . So  $\|b_i\| - \|b_j\| \le |r_i - r_j| + r_k$ .  $\|b_j\| \le r_j + r_k \le r_j + \frac{1}{10}r_j = \frac{11}{10}r_j$ .

$$||a_i - a_j|| = ||b_i - b_j|| \le ||b_i - \frac{||b_j||}{||b_i||} b_i|| + ||\frac{||b_j||}{||b_i||} b_i - b_j|| \le |||b_i|| - ||b_j||| + \frac{1}{11} ||b_j|| \le ||r_i - r_j|| + r_k + \frac{1}{11} \cdot \frac{11}{10} r_j \le |r_i - r_j|| + \frac{1}{5} r_j.$$

We distinguish two cases:

$$(1)r_{i} > r_{j} : \|a_{i} - a_{j}\| \leqslant r_{i} - \frac{4}{5}r_{j} < r_{i};$$

$$(2)r_{i} \leqslant r_{j} : \|a_{i} - a_{j}\| \leqslant -r_{i} + r_{j} + \frac{1}{5}r_{j} = -r_{i} + \frac{6}{5}r_{j} \leqslant -r_{i} + \frac{8}{5}r_{i} < r_{i} \implies a_{j} \in \overline{B}(a_{i}, r_{i}) = B_{i}, 4.$$

Důkaz (Case A is not bounded) Let  $A^l := A \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | 3(l-1)R \leq ||x|| < 3lR\}, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . We get  $A^l_i$ ,  $i \in [M]$  by the previous.  $A_i = \bigcup_{l=2k+1} A^l_i$ ,  $A_{M+i} = \bigcup_{l=2k} A^l_i$ .

### **Definice 1.4** (Radon measure)

Let P be a locally compact Hausdorff space and S a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of P. We say that  $\mu$  is a Radon measure if

- $\mathcal{S}$  contains all Borel sets,
- $\mu(K) < \infty$  for every compact  $K \in P$ ,
- $\mu(G) = \sup \{\mu(K) | K \subset G \text{ is compact} \} \text{ for every } G \subset P \text{ open,}$
- $\mu(A) = \inf \{ \mu(K) | A \subset G \text{ is open} \} \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{S},$
- $\mu$  is complete.

### Lemma 1.4

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on X and  $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be an increasing sequence of subsets of X. Then  $\lim \mu^*(A_j) = \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right)$ .

### Věta 1.5

Let  $\mu$  be a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a collection of closed balls in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let A denote the set of centers of balls in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Assume  $\inf\{r|B(a,r)\in\mathcal{F}\}=0$  for each  $a\in A$ . Then there exists a countable disjoint system  $\mathcal{G}\subset\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mu(A\setminus\bigcup\mathcal{G})=0$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$  (The case  $\mu^*(A) < \infty$ )

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be the constant from Besicovitch theorem. We find  $\Theta$  such that  $1 - \frac{1}{N} < \Theta < 1$ . Claim: "Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. Then there exists a disjoint finite system  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{H} \subset U$  and

$$\mu^*((A \cap U) \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}) \leqslant \Theta \cdot \mu^*(A \cap U).$$

"

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_1 = \{ B \in \mathbb{F}, \operatorname{diam} B < 1 \land B \subset U \}$$

By theorem above there exists disjoint families  $\mathcal{G}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{G}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_1$  such  $A \cap U \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N \bigcup \mathcal{G}_i$ . Thus  $\mu^*(A \cap U) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^N \mu^*(A \cap U \cap \bigcup \mathcal{G}_i)$ . Consequently, there exists an integer  $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$  such that

$$\mu^*(A \cap U \cap \bigcup \mathcal{G}_j) \geqslant \frac{1}{N} \mu^*(A \cap U) > (1 - \Theta)\mu^*(A \cap U).$$

Using lemma above we find a finite system  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}_j$  such that

$$\mu^*(A \cap U \cap \mathcal{H}) > (1 - \Theta)\mu^*(A \cap U).$$

The set  $\bigcup \mathcal{H}$  is  $\mu$ -measurable

$$\mu^*(A \cap U) = \mu^*(A \cap U \cap \bigcup \mathcal{H}) + \mu(A \cap U \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}) \geqslant (1 - \Theta)\mu^*(A \cap U) + \mu(A \cap U \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}).$$

Set  $U_1 = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Using claim we find a disjoint finite system  $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{H}_1 \subset U_1$  and  $\mu^*(A \cap U_1 \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}_1) \leq \Theta \mu^*(A \cap U_1)$ . Continuing by induction we construct a sequence of open sets  $(U_j)$  and a sequence of disjoint finite families  $(\mathcal{H}_j)$  such that  $U_{j+1} = U_j \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}_j$ ,  $\bigcup \mathcal{H}_j \subset U_j$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_j \subset \mathcal{F}$  and

$$\mu^*(A \cap U_{j+1}) = \mu^*((A \cap U_j) \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}_j) \leqslant \Theta \mu^*(A \cap U_j).$$

Since  $\mu^*(A) < \infty$  we get  $\mu^*(A \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \cup \mathcal{H}_j) = 0$ , since  $\mu^*(A \cap U_{j+1}) \leq \Theta^j \mu^*(A)$ .

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_j$$

Důkaz (General case)

We find a sequence  $(G_j)$  of open sets, which are disjoint and  $\mu(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} G_j) = 0$ .

## 1.2 Differentiation of measures

Poznámka (Notation)

 $\mathcal{B}$  is set of closed balls in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## **Definice 1.5** (Derivative of measure)

Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we define

• upper derivative of  $\nu$  with respect to  $\mu$  and x by

$$\overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) = \lim_{r \to 0_+} \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}, \operatorname{diam} B < r} \frac{\nu(B)}{\mu(B)},$$

if the term at the right side is well-defined;

• lower derivative of  $\nu$  with respect to  $\mu$  and x by

$$\underline{D}(\nu,\mu,x) = \lim_{r \to 0_+} \inf_{B \in \mathcal{B}, \operatorname{diam} B < r} \frac{\nu(B)}{\mu(B)},$$

if the term at the right side is well-defined;

• derivative of  $\nu$  with respect to  $\mu$  and x by

$$D(\nu, \mu, x) = \overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) = \underline{D}(\nu, \mu, x),$$

if they are equal.

#### Věta 1.6

Let  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  be Radon measures and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mu$  satisfy Vitali theorem. Then  $\overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x)$  and  $\underline{D}(\nu, \mu, x)$  exist  $\mu$ -almost everywhere.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

 $M := \{x \in \mathbb{R} | \nexists \overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x)\}$  and  $\mathcal{V} := \{B \in \mathcal{B} | \mu(B) = 0\}, \ \mathcal{V}$  is a Vitali cover of M. Then there exists a disjoint countable family  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\mu(M \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$ .

$$\mu(\bigcup \mathcal{A}) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{A}} \mu(B) = 0 \implies \mu(M) = 0.$$

### Věta 1.7

Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be Radon measures,  $\mu$  satisfy Vitali theorem,  $C \in (0, \infty)$ , and  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- If for every  $x \in M$  we have  $\overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) > c$ , then  $\nu^*(M) \ge c\mu^*(M)$ .
- If for every  $x \in M$  we have  $\underline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) < c$ , then there exists  $H \subset M$  such that  $\mu(M \setminus H) = 0$  and  $\nu^*(H) \leq c\mu^*(M)$ .

Důkaz (1.)

We choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists an open set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $M \subset G$  and  $\nu(G) \leq \nu^*(M) + \varepsilon$ . We define

$$\mathcal{V} := \{ B \in \mathcal{B} | B \subset G, \nu(B) > c \cdot \mu(B) \}.$$

The family  $\mathcal{V}$  is a Vitali cover of M. There exists a disjoint countable family  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{V}$  with  $\mu(M \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$ . Then we have

$$\nu^*(M) + \varepsilon \geqslant \nu(G) \geqslant \nu(\bigcup \mathcal{A}) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{A}} \nu(B) \leqslant \sum_{B \in \mathcal{A}} c\mu(B) = c\mu(\bigcup \mathcal{A}) \geqslant c\mu^*(M)$$

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$  (2.)

For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we find an open set  $G_k > M$  and  $\mu(G_k) \leq \mu^*(M) + \frac{1}{k}$ .

$$\mathcal{V}_k := \{ B \in \mathcal{B} | B \subset G_k \wedge \nu(B) < c \cdot \mu(B) \} .$$

TODO(1 řádek)!!! a countable disjoint system  $\mathcal{A}_k \subset \mathcal{V}_k$  such that  $\mu(M \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}_k) = 0$ . Set  $H_k = M \cap \bigcup \mathcal{A}_k$ . Then  $\mu(M \setminus H_k) = 0$ ,  $H_k \subset M$ . We have

$$\nu^*(H_k) \leqslant \nu(\bigcup \mathcal{A}_k) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{A}_k} \nu(B) \leqslant c \sum_{B \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mu(B) = c\mu(\bigcup \mathcal{A}_k) \leqslant c \cdot \mu(G_k) \leqslant c(\mu^*(M) + \frac{1}{k}).$$

$$H := \bigcap H_k : \qquad \nu^*(H) \leqslant c\mu^*(M).$$

$$\mu(M\backslash H) \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\mu(M\backslash H_k)}_{=0} = 0.$$

#### Věta 1.8

Let  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  be Radon measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and mu satisfies Vitali theorem. Then  $D(\nu, \mu, x)$  exists finite  $\mu$  almost everywhere.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

$$D:=\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n|D(\nu,\mu,x)\in[0,\infty)\right\}$$
 
$$N_1:=\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n|\overline{D}(\nu,\mu,x)\text{ is not defined}\right\},\qquad N_3=\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n|\overline{D}(\nu,\mu,x)=\infty\right\},$$
 
$$N_2:=\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n|\underline{D}(\nu,\mu,x)\text{ is not defined}\right\},\qquad N_4=\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n|\underline{D}(\nu,\mu,x)=\infty\right\}.$$

We already showed that  $\mu(N_1) = \mu(N_2) = 0$ .

$$A_k := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \middle| \overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) > k \right\}, k \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$A(r, s) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \middle| \underline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) < s < r < \overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) \right\}, \qquad s, r \in \mathbb{Q}^+, s < r$$

$$N_3 = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k, \qquad N_4 = \bigcup \left\{ A(r, s), r, s \in \mathbb{Q}^+, s < r \right\}$$

 $\mu(N_3) = 0$ : Choose  $Q \subset N_3$  bounded. By previous theorem (1.)  $\mu^*(Q) \leq \nu^*(Q)$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\implies \mu^*(Q) = 0 \implies \mu^*(N_3) = 0 \implies \mu(N_3) = 0.$$

 $\mu(N_4) = 0$ ": It is sufficient to prove  $\mu(A(r,s)) = 0$  for any  $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ , r > s. Choose  $Q \subset A(r,s)$  bounded. By previous theorem (2.) there exists  $H \subset Q$  such that  $\mu(Q \setminus H) = 0$  and  $\nu^*(H) \leq s\mu^*(Q)$ . Vy previous theorem (1.) we have  $r\mu^*(H) \leq \nu^*(H)$ .

$$r\mu^*(Q) = r\mu^*(H) \leqslant \nu^*(H) \leqslant s\mu^*(Q) < \infty.$$

$$\implies \mu^*(Q) = 0 \implies \mu(A(r,s)) = 0.$$

#### Lemma 1.9

Let  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  be as before. Then the mappings  $x \mapsto \overline{D}(\nu,\mu,\lambda)$ ,  $x \mapsto \underline{D}(\nu,\mu,\lambda)$  are  $\mu$ -measurable.

 $\Box$ Důkaz

$$M(r,\alpha) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \exists B \in \mathcal{B} : \operatorname{diam} B < r, x \in B, \frac{\nu(B)}{\mu(B)} < \alpha \right\}, \qquad r > 0, \alpha > 0.$$

" $M(r,\alpha)$  is open": Assume  $x \in M(r,\alpha)$  we find  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ s>0$  such that  $x \in \overline{B}(y,s),$  2s < r,

$$\frac{\nu(\overline{B}(y,s))}{\mu(\overline{B}(y,s))}.$$

We find s' > s, 2s' < r,  $\frac{\nu(\overline{B}(y,s'))}{\mu(\overline{B}(y,s'))} < \alpha$ . Then  $B(y,s') \subset M(r,\alpha)$ .

$$D := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \underline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) \text{ exists finite} \}.$$

For every  $x \in D$  we have

$$\underline{D}(\nu,\mu,x) < \alpha \Leftrightarrow \exists \tau \in \mathcal{Q}, \tau > 0 \ \forall r \in \mathcal{Q}, r > 0 \ \exists B \in \mathcal{B} : \operatorname{diam} B < r, x \in B, \frac{\nu(B)}{\mu(B)} < \alpha - \tau,$$

$$\underline{D}(\nu,\mu,x) < \alpha \Leftrightarrow \exists \tau \in \mathcal{Q}, \tau > 0 \ \forall r \in \mathcal{Q}, r > 0 : x \in M(r,\alpha-\tau).$$

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \underline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) < \alpha\}$$
 is  $\mu$ -measurable.

Věta 1.10

Let  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  be as before,  $\nu \ll \mu$ , and  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $\mu$ -measurable. Then we have  $\nu(B) = \int_B D(\nu, \mu, x) d\mu(x)$ .

Důkaz

Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be  $\mu$ -measurable. Choose  $\beta > 1$ .

$$B_k := \left\{ x \in B | \beta^k < D(\nu, \mu, x) \leqslant \beta^{k+1} \right\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$N := \left\{ x \in B | D(\nu, \mu, x) = 0 \right\}.$$

$$\mu(B \setminus (\bigcup_{k = -\infty}^{\infty} B_k \cup N)) = 0.$$

$$\int_B D(\nu, \mu, x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{k = -\infty}^{\infty} \int_{B_k} D(\nu, \mu, x) d\mu(x) \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{k = -\infty}^{\infty} \beta^{k+1} \mu(B_k) = \sum_{k = -\infty}^{\infty} \beta^{k+1} \cdot \beta^{-k} \nu(B_k) = \beta \cdot \sum_{k = -\infty}^{\infty} \nu(B_k) \leqslant \beta \cdot \nu(B).$$

$$\beta \to 1_+ : \int_B D(\nu, \mu, x) d\mu(x) \leqslant \nu(B).$$

Using absolute continuity:  $\nu(B\setminus (\bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} B_k \cup N)) = 0$ . We use theorem above to get  $\nu^*(Q) \leqslant C\mu^*(Q)$  for any c > 0 and  $Q \subset N$  bounded.  $\Longrightarrow \nu * (Q) = 0 \Longrightarrow \nu(N) = 0$ .

$$\int_{B} D(\nu, \mu, x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{B_{k}} D(\nu, \mu, x) d\mu(x) \geqslant$$

$$\geqslant \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta^{k} \cdot \mu(B_{k}) \geqslant \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta^{k} \cdot \beta^{-(k+1)} \nu(B_{k}) = \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \nu(B).$$

$$\beta \to 1_{+} : \int_{B} D(\nu, \mu, x) d\mu(x) \geqslant \nu(B).$$

## 1.3 Lebesgue points

## Definice 1.6 $(\mathcal{L}_{loc}^1)$

Let  $\mu$  be a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The symbol  $\mathcal{L}^1_{loc}(\mu)$  denotes the set of all functions  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ , which are  $\mu$ -measurable and for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  there exists r > 0 such that  $\int_{B(x,r)} |f| d\mu < \infty$ .

## **Definice 1.7** (Lebesgue point)

Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1_{loc}(\mu)$ . We say that  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is Lebesgue point of f at x (with respect to  $\mu$ ) if we have

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0 \ \forall B \in \mathbb{B}, x \in B, \operatorname{diam} B < \delta : \frac{\int_{B} |f(t) - f(x)| d\mu(t)}{\mu(B)} < \varepsilon.$$

### ${ m V\'eta}~1.11$

Let  $\mu$  be a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying Vitali theorem and  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1_{loc}(\mu)$ . Then  $\mu$ -almost every point are Lebesgue point of f (with respect to  $\mu$ ).

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

WLOG  $\mu(\mathbb{R}^n) < \infty$  and  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ . Set  $(C_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of closed balls in  $\mathbb{C}$  forming a basis of topology in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We define

$$g_k(x) := \operatorname{dist}(f(x), C_k), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The function  $g_k$  is non-negative,  $\mu$ -measurable,  $g_k \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ . Set  $\nu_k = \int g_k d\mu$ . We set  $P_k := \{x \in f^{-1}(C_k) | \neg (D(\nu_k, \mu, x) = 0)\}$ . We have  $g_k = 0$  on  $f^{-1}(C_k) \Longrightarrow \mu(P_k) = 0$ .

$$\nu_k = \int D(\nu_k, \mu, x) d\mu(x).$$

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k$  we choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  and we find  $C_k$  such that  $f(x) \in C_k$  and  $C_k \subset B(f(x), \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)$ . For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$  it holds  $|f(t) - f(x)| \leq g_k(t) + \varepsilon$ .

$$x \in f^{-1}(C_k) \implies D(\nu_k, \mu, x) = 0$$
. We find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\forall B \in \mathbb{B}, x \in V, \operatorname{diam} B < \delta : \frac{\nu_k(B)}{\mu(B)} = \frac{\int_B g_k d\mu}{\mu(B)} < \varepsilon.$$

Let  $B \in \mathbb{B}$ ,  $x \in B$  and diam  $B < \delta$ . We get

$$\frac{\int_{B} |f(t) - f(x)| d\mu(t)}{\mu(B)} \leqslant \frac{\int_{B} (g_{k}(t) + \varepsilon) d\mu(t)}{\mu(B)} < \varepsilon + \varepsilon = 2\varepsilon.$$

## 1.4 Density theorem

#### Definice 1.8

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be  $\mu$ -measurable and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that  $c \in [0,1]$  is  $\mu$ -density of A at x if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0 \ \forall B \in \mathcal{B}, x \in B, \operatorname{diam} B < \delta : \left| \frac{\mu(A \cap B)}{\mu(B)} - c \right| < \varepsilon.$$

## Věta 1.12 (Density theorem)

Let  $\mu$  be a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying Vitali theorem and  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be  $\mu$ -measurable. Then

$$d_{\mu}(x, M) = 1 \text{ for almost every } x \in M,$$

$$d_{\mu}(x, M) = 0$$
 for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash M$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

Define  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by  $\nu(A) = \mu(A \cap M)$  for every  $\mu$ -measurable  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus we have  $d_{\mu}(M,X) = D(\nu,\mu,X)$ , if at least one term is well-defined,  $\nu \ll \mu$ ,  $\nu = \int \chi_M d\mu$ . From theorem above  $\nu = \int D(\nu,\mu,x) d\mu(x) \implies \chi_M = D(\nu,\mu,x) \mu$ -almost everywhere.

## 1.5 AC and BV functions

#### Věta 1.13

Let  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ , a < b. Then f is absolutely continuous on [a,b] if and only if f is difference of two non-decreasing absolutely continuous functions on [a,b].

Důkaz

"  $\Longrightarrow$  " choose  $c \in (a,b)$ . We define  $v(x) = V_c^x f$ ,  $x \in [c,b]$ , and  $v(x) = -V_x^c f$ ,  $x \in [a,c)$ . For every  $y,d \in [a,b]$ , y < d, we have  $v(d) - v(y) = V_u^d f$ . The function v is non-decreasing.

 $x, y \in [a, b], x < y$ :

$$(v(y) - f(y)) - (v(x) - f(x)) = (v(y) - v(x)) - (f(y) - f(x)) = V_x^y f - (f(y) - f(x)) \ge 0.$$

 $v \in AC([a,b])$ : Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{m} |f(b_j) - f(a_j)| < \varepsilon$ , whenever  $a \leqslant a_1 < b_1 \leqslant a_2 < b_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant a_m < b_m \leqslant b$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{m} (b_j - a_j) < \delta$ . Assume that  $a \leqslant A_1 < B_1 \leqslant A_2 < B_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant A_p < B_p \leqslant b$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{p} (B_j - A_j) < \delta$ . For each  $j \in [p]$  we find points

$$A_j = a_1^j < b_1^j = a_2^j < b_2^j < \dots < a_{m_j}^j < b_{m_j}^j = B_j.$$

TODO!!!

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} |v(B_j) - v(A_j)| < \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m_j} |f(b_1^j) - f(a_i^j)| \right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \right) < \varepsilon + p \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{p} = 2\varepsilon.$$

$$f = v - (v - f).$$

### Lemma 1.14

Let  $f:(a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$ ,  $x_0\in(a,b)$ , and  $f'(x_0)\in\mathbb{R}$ . Then we have

$$\lim_{[x_1,x_2]\to[x_0,x_0],x_1\leqslant x_0\leqslant x_2,x_1\neq x_2}\frac{f(x_2)-f(x_1)}{x_2-x_1}=f'(x_0).$$

Důkaz

WLOG  $f'(x_0) = 0$   $(x \mapsto f(x) - f'(x_0) \cdot x)$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\forall x \in (a, b), 0 < |x - x_0| < \delta : \left| \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

For any  $x_1 \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0]$ ,  $x_2 \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$  we have

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_0)| \le \varepsilon |x_1 - x_0|, \qquad |f(x_2) - f(x_0)| \le \varepsilon |x_2 - x_0|.$$

We get

$$|f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \le |f(x_2) - f(x_0)| + |f(x_1) - f(x_0)| \le \varepsilon |x_1 - x_0| + \varepsilon |x_2 - x_0| \le \varepsilon |x_2 - x_1|.$$

$$\left| \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \right| \le \varepsilon, \qquad x_2 \ne x_1.$$

### Lemma 1.15

Let  $f:(a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$ , be non-decreasing on (a,b), C(f) be the set of all points of continuity of f, and  $A\in\mathbb{R}$ . Then for every  $x_0\in C(f)$  it hold:

$$f'(x_0) = A \Leftrightarrow \lim_{[x_1, x_2] \to [x_0, x_0], x_1 \leqslant x_0 \leqslant x_2, x_1 \neq x_2, x_1, x_2 \in C(f)} \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} = A.$$

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

 $, \Longrightarrow$  ": This follows from the previous lemma.

"  $\longleftarrow$  ": We check that  $f'_+(x_0) = A$ : We choose a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that

$$x_n \in (a,b) \setminus \{x_0\}, x_n > x_0, \qquad \lim_{x_n} = x_0.$$

We want:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(x_n) - f(x_0)}{x_n - x_0} = A.$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we find  $z_n$ ,  $y_n$  such that

$$y_{n} \leqslant x_{n} \leqslant z_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \frac{x_{n} - x_{0}}{y_{n} - x_{0}} \in B\left(1, \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \frac{x_{n} - x_{0}}{z_{n} - x_{0}} \in B\left(1, \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad y_{n}, z_{n} \in C(f).$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{f(y_{n}) - f(x_{0})}{y_{n} - x_{0}}}_{A} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{y_{n} - x_{0}}{x_{n} - x_{0}}}_{A} \leqslant \underbrace{\frac{f(x_{n}) - f(x_{0})}{x_{n} - x_{0}}}_{A} \leqslant \underbrace{\frac{f(z_{n}) - f(x_{0})}{z_{n} - x_{0}}}_{A} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{z_{n} - x_{0}}{x_{n} - x_{0}}}_{A}.$$

## Lemma 1.16

Let f be a distribution function of a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $x_0 \in C(f)$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$f'(x_0) = A \Leftrightarrow D(\mu, \lambda_1, x_0) = A.$$

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

We choose sequences  $\{x_n^1\}_n$ ,  $\{x_n^2\}_n$  such that

$$x_n^1 \leqslant x_0 \leqslant x_n^2$$
,  $\lim(x_n^2 - x_n^1) = 0$ ,  $x_n^1 \neq x_n^2$ .

We want:

$$\frac{\mu([x_n^1, x_n^2])}{\lambda([x_n^1, x_n^2])} \to A.$$

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we find  $y_n^1, y_n^2 \in C(f)$  such that

$$y_n^1 \leqslant x_0 \leqslant y_n^2$$
,  $\frac{y_n^2 - y_n^1}{x_n^2 - x_n^1} \in B\left(1, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ ,  $y_n^1 < x_n^1 \leqslant x_0 \leqslant x_n^2 < y_n^2$ ,  $\lim(y_n^2 - y_n^1) = 0$ .

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mu([y_n^1, y_n^2])}{y_n^2 - y_n^1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(y_n^2) - f(y_n^1)}{y_n^2 - y_n^1} = A.$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mu([x_n^1, x_n^2])}{x_n^2 - x_n^1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \underbrace{\frac{\mu([y_n^1, y_n^2])}{y_n^2 - y_n^1}}_{A} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{y_n^2 - y_n^1}{x_n^2 - x_n^1}}_{A} + \underbrace{\frac{\mu([x_n^1, x_n^2]) - \mu([y_n^1, y_n^2])}{x_n^2 - x_n^1}}_{A} \right) = A.$$

## Věta 1.17 (Lebesgue)

Let f be a monotone function on an interval I. Then we have

- f'(x) exists almost everywhere in I;
- f' is measurable and  $|\int_a^b f'| \le |f(b) f(a)|$ , whenever  $a, b \in I, a < b$ ;
- $f' \in L^1_{loc}(I)$

Důkaz

WLOG f is non-decreasing. Let  $a, b \in I$ , a < b. We define  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ :

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \lim_{t \to a+} f(t), & x \in (-\infty, a], \\ \lim_{t \to x+} f(t), & x \in (a, b), \\ f(b), & x \in [b, \infty). \end{cases}$$

g is non-decreasing and continuous from the right,  $\{x \in (a,b)|f(x) \neq g(x)\}$  is countable.

There exists a Radon measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\forall c, d \in \mathbb{R}, c < d : \nu((c, d]) = g(d) - g(c).$$

 $\nu = \mu + \sigma$ , where  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$  are Radon measures,  $\mu \perp \lambda$ ,  $\sigma \ll \lambda$ .

Claim: " $D(\mu, \lambda, x) = 0$   $\lambda$ -almost everywhere."  $N \subset \mathbb{R}$  measurable,  $\lambda(N) = 0$  and  $\mu(\mathbb{R}\backslash N) = 0$ . c > 0:  $D := \{x \in \mathbb{R}\backslash N | D(\mu, \lambda, x) > c\}$ .

$$0 = \mu(D) \geqslant c \cdot \lambda(D) \implies \lambda(D) = 0.$$

Previous lemma gives  $g'(x) = D(\nu, \lambda, x)$   $\lambda$ -almost everywhere, since g is continuous at each point of [a, b] except on countable set  $x_0 \in (a, b) \cap C(f)$ , then  $f'(x_0) = A \in \mathbb{R} \Leftrightarrow g'(x_0) = A \implies f'$ 1 exists almost everywhere in [a, b].

$$f(b) - f(a) \ge g(b) - g(a) = \nu((a, b]) \ge \sigma((a, b]) = \int_a^b D(\sigma, \lambda, x) d\lambda(x) =$$
$$= \int_a^b D(\nu, \lambda, x) d\lambda(x).$$

#### Věta 1.18

Let I be a nonempty interval and  $f \in BV(I)$ . Then f'(x) exists finite almost everywhere in I.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

 $f = f_1 - f_2$ , where  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  are non-decreasing. And we use previous.

#### Věta 1.19

Let  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ , a < B the following are equivalent:

- $f \in AC([a,b]);$
- We have  $\varphi \in L^1([a,b])$  such that  $f(x) = f(a) + \int_a^x \varphi(t)dt$ ,  $x \in [a,b]$ ;

• f'(x) exists almost everywhere  $f' \in L^1([a,b])$ , and  $f(x) = f(a) + \int_a^x f'(t)dt$ ,  $x \in [a,b]$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

"1.  $\Longrightarrow$  3." WLOG f is absolutely continuous and non-decreasing. We define an extension f (which we denote by f again) by a constant on  $(-\infty, a)$  and on  $(b, \infty)$  to keep continuity. Let  $\nu$  be a measure satisfying  $\nu([x,y]) = f(y) - f(x), \ x,y \in \mathbb{R}, \ x \leqslant y$ . Then we have  $\nu|_{[a,b]} \ll \lambda_1|_{[a,b]}$ .

Then

$$\nu([a,x]) = f(x) - f(a) = \int_{a}^{x} D(\nu, \lambda_{1}, t) d\lambda_{1}(t) = \int_{a}^{x} f'(t) d\lambda_{1}(t).$$

"3.  $\implies$  2." triviální. "2.  $\implies$  1.":  $\varphi=\varphi^+-\varphi^-,\,\varphi^+,\varphi^-\in L^1([a,b]).$  We set

$$f_1(x) := \int_a^x \varphi^+(t)dt, \qquad f_2(x) = \in_a^x \varphi^-(t)dt,$$

$$\nu(M) = \int_{M} \varphi^{+}(t)dt, \qquad M \subset [a, b] \text{ measurable.}$$

Then we have  $\nu \ll \lambda_1|_{[a,b]}$ ,  $\nu([x,y]) = \int_x^y \varphi^+(t)dt = f_1(y) - f_1(x)$ ,  $f_1, f_2 \in AC([a,b])$ ,  $f(x) = f(a) + f_1(x) - f_2(x) \implies f \in AC([a,b])$ .

## Věta 1.20 (Per partes for Lebesgue integral)

Let  $f, g \in AC([a, b]), a < b$ . Then  $\int_a^b f'g = [fg]_a^b - \int_a^b fg'$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

 $f', g' \in L^1([a, b])$ . (fg)' = f'g + fg' almost everywhere in [a, b].  $\int_a^b (fg)' = \int_a^b (f'g + fg') = \int_a^b f'g + \int_a^b fg'$ .

$$a \leqslant a_1 < b_1 \leqslant a_2 < b_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant a_n < b_n \leqslant b$$
:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(b_i)g(b_i) - f(a_i)g(a_i)| \leq M \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g(b_i) - g(a_i)| + M \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(b_i) - f(a_i)| \leq M \cdot \varepsilon$$

$$(|f(b_i)g(b_i) - f(b_i)g(a_i) + f(b_i)g(a_i) - f(a_i)g(a_i)| \le |f(b_i)| \cdot |g(b_i) - g(a_i)| + |g(a_i)| \cdot |f(b_i) - f(a_i)|)$$

П

## Věta 1.21

Let g be a non-negative function on [a,b] with  $g \in L^1([a,b])$  and f be a continuous function on [a,b]. Then there exists  $\xi \in [a,b]$  such that

$$\int_{a}^{b} fg = f(\xi) \int_{a}^{b} g.$$

 $\square$ 

We set  $m := \min_{[a,b]} f$ ,  $M := \max_{[a,b]} f$ .

$$mg(x) \leqslant f(x)g(x) \leqslant Mg(x), x \in [a, b].$$

$$m \int_{a}^{b} g \leqslant \int_{a}^{b} f g \leqslant M \int_{a}^{b} g.$$
$$m \leqslant \frac{\int_{a}^{b} f g}{\int_{a}^{b} g} \leqslant M.$$

If 
$$\int_a^b g = 0$$
, then we are done, else  $\exists \xi \in [a, b] : f(\xi) = \frac{\int_a^b fg}{\int_a^b g}$ .

Věta 1.22

Let  $f \in L^1([a,b])$  and g be a monotone function on [a,b]. Then there exists  $\xi \in [a,b]$  such that

$$\int_a^b = g(a) \int_a^{\xi} f + g(b) \int_{\xi}^b f.$$

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

WLOG g is non-decreasing.

First case " $g \in AC([a,b])$ ":  $F(z) = \int_a^b f$ ,  $F \in AC([a,b])$ ,  $\int_a^b fg = \int_a^b F'g =$ 

$$[Fg]_a^b - \int_a^b Fg' = F(b)g(b) - F(a)g(a) - F(\xi) \int_a^b g' = \left(\underbrace{\int_a^b}_{\int_a^\xi + \int_\xi^b} f\right) \cdot g(b) - \left(\int_a^\xi f\right) \cdot (g(b) - g(a)).$$

General case:  $(D_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  sequence of partition of [a,b],  $\nu(D_n) \to 0$ .  $g_n$  piece wise affine function:  $g_n(x_j^n) - g(x_j^n)$ ,  $j \in [k_n]$ .  $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n(x) = g(x)$ , whenever  $x \in [a,b]$  is a point of continuity of g.

Using first case we find for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  a point  $\xi_n \in [a, b]$ , such that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f g_{n} = g_{n}(a) \int_{a}^{\xi_{n}} f + g_{n}(b) \int_{\xi_{n}}^{b} f.$$

We may assume, by going to a subsequence, that  $\lim \xi_n = \xi \in [a, b]$ .

$$\sup \{ |g_n(x)| \mid x \in [a, b], n \in \mathbb{N} \} \leqslant \max \{ |g(a)|, |g(b)| \}$$

$$\int_{a}^{b} f g_{n} \to \int_{a}^{b} f g \stackrel{?}{=} g(a) \int_{a}^{\xi} f + g(b) \int_{\xi}^{b} g \leftarrow g_{n}(a) \int_{a}^{\xi} f + g_{n}(b) \int_{x_{n}}^{b} f = \int_{a}^{b} f g_{n}.$$

### Věta 1.23 (?)

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open nonempty and  $f: G \to \mathbb{R}$  be Lipschitz on G. Then f is differentiable almost everywhere on G.

### Lemma 1.24

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ . Then the set

$$D_i := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \ exists \right\}$$

is Borel.

$$\exists \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(x) \Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 \,\exists \delta > 0 \,\forall t_{1}, t_{2} \in (-\delta, \delta) \setminus \{0\} : \left| \frac{f(x + t_{1}e^{i}) - f(x)}{t_{1}} - \frac{f(x + t_{2}e^{i}) - f(x)}{t_{2}} \right| < \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow \\
\Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^{+} \,\exists \delta \in \mathbb{Q}^{+} \,\forall t_{1}, t_{2} \in ((-\delta, \delta) \cap \mathbb{Q}) \setminus \{0\} : \left| \frac{f(x + t_{1}e^{i}) - f(x)}{t_{1}} - \frac{f(x + t_{2}e^{i}) - f(x)}{t_{2}} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

#### Lemma 1.25

Let  $\beta > 0$ . Let  $A \neq \emptyset$  open,  $f_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , be  $\beta$ -Lipschitz on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\sup_{\alpha \in A} f_{\alpha}(x) < \infty$ . Then the function  $z \mapsto \sup_{\alpha \in A} f_{\alpha}(z)$  is  $\beta$ -Lipschitz on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

Let  $u, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we have  $|f_{\gamma}(u) - f_{\gamma}(v)| \leq \beta \cdot ||u - v||$  for any  $\gamma \in A$ .

$$f_{\gamma}(u) \leqslant f_{\gamma}(v) + \beta \|u - v\|,$$

$$f_{\gamma}(u) \leqslant f_{\gamma}(x) + \beta \|u - v\| \leqslant \sup_{\alpha \in A} f_{\alpha}(x) + \beta \|u - x\| \implies \sup_{\gamma \in A} f_{\gamma}(u) \leqslant \sup_{\alpha \in A} f_{\alpha}(x) + \beta \|u - x\| < \infty,$$

So  $z \mapsto \sup_{\gamma \in A} f_{\gamma}(z)$  is well defined.

$$f_{\gamma}(u) \leqslant f_{\gamma}(v) + \beta \|u - v\| \leqslant \sup_{\alpha \in A} f_{\alpha}(v) + \beta \|u - v\|,$$
  
$$\sup_{\gamma \in A} f_{\gamma}(u) \leqslant \sup_{\alpha \in A} f_{\alpha}(v) + \beta \|u - v\|,$$

$$\sup_{\gamma \in A} f_{\gamma}(u) - \sup_{\alpha \in A} (v) \leqslant \beta \|u - v\| \wedge \sup_{\gamma \in A} f_{\gamma}(v) - \sup_{\alpha \in A} f_{\alpha}(u) \leqslant \beta \|v - u\| \implies \beta\text{-Lipschitzness}.$$

П

Lemma 1.26

Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be nonempty and  $f_n : E \to \mathbb{R}$  be  $\beta$ -Lipschitz. Then there exists  $\beta$ -Lipschitz function  $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\tilde{f}|_E = f$ .

Důkaz

 $\forall x \in E$  we define  $f_x : y \mapsto f(x) - \beta ||y - x||$ . " $f_x$  is  $\beta$ -Lipschitz":

$$|f_x(u) - f_x(v)| = |f(x) - \beta ||u - x|| - f(x) + \beta ||v - x||| =$$

$$= |\beta| \cdot ||v - x|| - ||u - x||| \le |\beta| \cdot ||v - u||.$$

$$\sup_{x \in E} (f(x) - \beta ||y - x||) = \sup_{x \in E} f_x(y) \leqslant f(y).$$

We set  $\tilde{f}(y) = \sup_{x \in E} f_x(y)$ . By previous lemma  $\tilde{f}$  is  $\beta$ -Lipschitz on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

It remains to prove that  $,\tilde{f}|_{E}=f^{"}:z\in E:\tilde{f}(z)\geqslant f_{z}(z)=f(z),$ 

$$f_x(z) = f(x) - \beta ||z - x|| \leqslant f(z) \implies \tilde{f}(z) \leqslant f(z).$$

Důkaz (Theorem?)

From previous lemma WLOG f is defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let E be the set of those points, where at least one partial derivative doesn't exist.

$$E := \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbb{R}^n \backslash D_i).$$

Using 1-dimensional Radmachor theorem, Fubini theorem, and measurability of  $D_i$ , we get

$$\lambda_n(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash D_i) = 0, \quad \forall i \in [n].$$

So  $\lambda_n(E) = 0$ .

$$p, q \in \mathbb{Q}^n, m \in \mathbb{N}$$
:

$$S(p,q,m) := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \forall i \in [n] \ \forall t \in \left( -\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m} \right) \setminus \{0\} : p_i \leqslant \frac{f(x + te_i) - f(x)}{t} \leqslant q_i \right\}.$$

S(p,q,m) is Borel.  $\tilde{S}(p,q,m)$  be the set of  $x \in S(p,q,m)$  such that x is a point of density of S(p,q,m). From theorem above  $\lambda_n(S(p,q,m)\backslash \tilde{S}(p,q,m))=0$ .

$$N := \bigcup \left\{ S(p, q, m) \backslash \tilde{S}(p, q, m) | p, q \in \mathbb{Q}, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} . \lambda_n(N) = 0.$$

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus (N \cup E), \ \varepsilon \in (0,1)$ . Choose  $p,q \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  such that  $q_i - \varepsilon \leqslant p_i < \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) < q_i$ ,  $i \in [n]$ . We can find  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in S(p,q,m) =: S$ . We find  $\delta \in \left(0,\frac{1}{m}\right)$  such that  $\lambda_n(B(x,r) \setminus S) \leqslant \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^n \lambda_n(B(x,r))$  for every  $r \in (0,2\delta)$ .

Notice that the set  $B(x,(1+\varepsilon)\tau)\backslash S$  does not contain a ball with radius  $\varepsilon\tau$  whenever  $\tau\in(0,\delta)$ . So for contradiction assume, that we can find ball with radius  $\varepsilon\tau$ .

$$C_n \cdot (\varepsilon \tau)^n = \lambda_n(B(d, \varepsilon \tau)) \le \lambda_n(B(x, (1+\varepsilon)\tau)\backslash S) \le \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^n C_n(1+\varepsilon)^n \tau^n$$
$$1 \le \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n (1+\varepsilon)^n < 1.$$

$$y^i := [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n], i \in [n].B_i := B(y^i, \varepsilon || y - x ||).$$

TODO?