

Úvod

Poznámka (Organizační úvod)

Dnes česky, ale pravděpodobně časem přepneme do angličtiny.

Na webu přednášejícího jsou zápisky, česko-anglická skripta.

Taková bible pro lidi studující PDR je Evans (... PDE ...).

Zápočet bude za 2 velké domácí úkoly. Zkouška je písemná (požadavky jsou na stránkách): 3 části: A – nutné, B – teorie, C – praxe?

Poznámka (Konvence pro PDR)

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ je otevřená. Měřitelná = lebesgueovsky měřitelná.

$$\partial_t u := \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$$

Poznámka

Dále se ukazovali konkrétní parciální rovnice.

Poznámka (Je potřeba znát)

- Prostory funkcí a Lebesgueův integrál: $L^p(\Omega)$, $L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$, $\|u\|_p$, $C^k(\Omega)$, $C^k(\overline{\Omega})$,

$$C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) = \left\{ u \in C(\Omega) \mid \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} < \infty \right\}, \|u\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}.$$

- $\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} u n_i dS$, $\vec{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$.
- Funkcionální analýza 1: Banachův prostor, $u^n \rightarrow u$ silná konvergence, $u^n \rightharpoonup u$ slabá konvergence, Hilbertův prostor, Věta o reprezentaci (duálů), spektrální analýza operátorů, reflexivita (+ existence slabě konvergentní podposloupnosti v omezené podmnožině reflexivního prostoru).
- Separabilita (L^p jsou separabilní až na $p = \infty$, $C^k(\overline{\Omega})$ je separabilní, $C^{0,\alpha}$ není separabilní pro $\alpha \in (0, 1]$).

Poznámka (Motivace k pojmu slabé řešení (weak solution))

$$-\Delta u = f, f \notin C(\overline{\Omega})$$

A další ukázané na přednášce.

TODO?

1 Sobolevovy prostory

Definice 1.1 (Multiindex)

α je multiindex $\equiv d = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Délka α je $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d$. Pro $u \in C^k(\Omega)$ definujeme $D^\alpha u = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} u}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}$.

Definice 1.2 (Slabá derivace)

Buď $u, v_\alpha \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. Řekneme, že v_α je α -tá slabá derivace $u \equiv$

$$\equiv \int_{\Omega} u D^\alpha \varphi = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} v_\alpha \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Příklad

$u = \operatorname{sign} x$ nemá slabou derivaci.

Lemma 1.1 (O smysluplnosti)

Slabá derivace je nejvýše 1. Pokud existuje klasická derivace, tak obě splývají.

┌

Důkaz

v_α^1, v_α^2 dvě α -té derivace u .

$$(-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} v_\alpha^1 \varphi = \int_{\Omega} u D^\alpha \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$$

$$(-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} v_\alpha^2 \varphi = \int_{\Omega} u D^\alpha \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} (v_\alpha^1 - v_\alpha^2) \varphi = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$$

$\implies v_\alpha^1 = v_\alpha^2$ skoro všude v Ω .

Klasická derivace je zřejmě zároveň slabá, tedy z první části splývají. □

└

Definice 1.3 (Sobolevův prostor)

$\omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ otevřená, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $p \in [1, \infty]$.

$$W^{k,p}(\Omega) := \{u \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \forall \alpha, |\alpha| \leq k : D^\alpha u \in L^p(\Omega)\}.$$

$$\|u\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} \|u\|_{k,p} := \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & p < \infty, \\ \max_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_\infty, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

┌ Poznámka

Od teď D^α nebo $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$ nebo ∂_i značí slabou derivaci.

Lemma 1.2 (Základní vlastnosti slabých derivací a Sobolevových prostorů)

Nechť $u, v \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a α multiindex s délkou $\leq k$.

- $D^\alpha u \in W^{k-|\alpha|,p}(\Omega)$ a $D^\alpha(D^\beta u) = D^\beta(D^\alpha u) = D^{\alpha+\beta}u$, pro $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq k$.
- $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda u + \mu v \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ a $D^\alpha(\lambda u + \mu v) = \lambda D^\alpha u + \mu D^\alpha v$.
- $\forall \tilde{\Omega} \subseteq \Omega$ otevřená

$$u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega) \implies u \in W^{k,p}(\tilde{\Omega})$$

- $\forall \eta \in C^\infty(\Omega)$: $\eta u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ a $D^\alpha(\eta u) = \sum_{\beta_i \leq \alpha_i} D^\beta \eta D^{\alpha-\beta} u \binom{\alpha}{\beta}$, kde $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \prod_{i=1}^d \binom{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}$.

┌ Důkaz

└ Cvičení na doma. □

Věta 1.3 (Basic properties of Sobolev spaces)

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open set, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then

- $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space;
- if $p < \infty$ it is separable space;
- if $p \in (1, \infty)$ it is reflexive space.

┌ *Důkaz*

BS means linear normed space, which is complete. Linearity and norm? are easy. Completeness: u^n is Cauchy in $L^p(\Omega)$ so $\exists u \in L^p : u^n \rightarrow u$ in L^p . $D^\alpha u^n$ is Cauchy in $L^p(\Omega)$ $\forall |\alpha| < k$ so $\exists v_\alpha \in L^p : D^\alpha u^n \rightarrow v_\alpha \in L^p$. It remains prove that $D^\alpha u = v_\alpha$.

TODO

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (v_\alpha - D^\alpha u^n) \varphi \right| \leq \|v_\alpha - D^\alpha u^n\|_p \|\varphi\|_{p'} \leq C \|v_\alpha - D^\alpha u^n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (u^n - u) D^\alpha \varphi \right| \leq \|u^n - u\|_p \|D^\alpha \varphi\|_{p'} \leq C \|u^n - u\|_p \rightarrow 0.$$

„2+3“: $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \simeq X \subseteq L^p(\Omega) \times \dots \times L^p(\Omega)$ ($d+1$ times), X closed subspace from first property. Lemma: if $X \subseteq Y$ is closed subspace then Y separable $\implies X$ separable and Y reflexive $\implies X$ reflexive. (From functional analysis and topology.) \square

└

2 Approximation of Sobolev function

Věta 2.1

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, $p \in [1, \infty)$.

$$\overline{\{u \in C^\infty(\Omega)\}}^{\|\cdot\|_{k,p}} = W^{k,p}(\Omega).$$

Pozor

$$\overline{\{u \in C^\infty(\Omega)\}}^{\|\cdot\|_{k,p}} \subsetneq W^{k,p}(\Omega).$$

┌ *Důkaz*

└ Summer semester. \square

Věta 2.2 (Local density)

$$\begin{aligned} \forall u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega) \exists \{u^n\}_{n=1}^\infty \\ u^n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \forall \tilde{\Omega} \text{ open}, \bar{\tilde{\Omega}} \subseteq \Omega \\ u^n \rightarrow u \text{ in } W^{k,p}(\tilde{\Omega}) \end{aligned}$$

┌

Důkaz u is extended by 0 to $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega$.

$$u^\varepsilon = u * \eta^\varepsilon \quad \eta^\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\eta(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}{\varepsilon^d} \quad \eta \in C_0^\infty(B_1), \eta \geq 0, \eta(x) = \eta(|x|), \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta(x) dx = 1.$$

$$u \in L^p(SET) \quad u^\varepsilon \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^p(SET).$$

We need: $D^\alpha u^\varepsilon \rightarrow D^\alpha u$ in $L^p(\tilde{\Omega}) \forall \alpha, |\alpha| \leq k$. Essential step: $D^\alpha u^\varepsilon = (D^\alpha u)^\varepsilon$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$ for $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ (so that ball of radius ε_0 and center in $\tilde{\Omega}$ is in Ω):

$$\begin{aligned} (D^\alpha u)^\varepsilon(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D^\alpha u(y) \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) dy = \int_{B_\varepsilon(x)} D^\alpha u(y) \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) dy = \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{B_\varepsilon(x)} u(y) D_y^\alpha \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(y) D_x^\alpha \eta(x-y) dy. \\ D^\alpha u^\varepsilon &= D_x^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(y) \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(y) D_x^\alpha \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

└

□

Tvrzení 2.3

Ω is open connected set, $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$, then $u = \text{const.} \Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} = 0 \forall i \in [d]$.

$W^{1,1}(I) \hookrightarrow C(I)$ for I interval.

$W^{d,1}(B_1) \hookrightarrow C(B_1)$.

┌ *Důkaz*

„1. \implies “ trivial. „1. \Leftarrow “: $\tilde{\Omega} \subseteq \Omega$ connected ε_0 as before and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$. u^ε -modification of u is smooth, so

$$\frac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}$$

$$\implies u^\varepsilon = \text{const}(\varepsilon) \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} c(\varepsilon) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} c(\varepsilon) \eta_\delta(x-y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^\varepsilon(y) \eta_\delta(x-y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(z) \eta_\varepsilon(y-z) \eta_\delta(x-y) dz dy = \\ &= \int \int u(z+y) \eta_\varepsilon(z) \eta_\delta(y-x) dz dy = \int \int u(z+x+y) \eta_\varepsilon(z) \eta_\delta(u) dz dw = \\ &= \int \int u(z+x+y) \eta_\varepsilon(z) \eta_\delta(u) dw dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^\delta(z+x) \eta_\varepsilon(z) dz = \int c(\delta) \eta_\varepsilon(z) dz = c(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

„2.“: WLOG $I = (0, 1)$. Define $v(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(y) dy$. We show: $v \in W^{1,1}(I)$, $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$.

$$|v(x)| \leq \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right| \leq \|u\|_{1,1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi \in C_0^1(0, 1) \quad \int_0^1 v(x) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^x \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(y) dy \right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x) dx = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x} \chi_{0 < y < x} dy dx = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x} \chi_{0 < y < x} dx dy = \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\int_y^1 \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x} dx \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(y) dy = - \int_0^1 \varphi(y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(y) dy \Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}. \end{aligned}$$

TODO.

$$x \rightarrow y \implies \int_y^x \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right|^\alpha \rightarrow 0 \implies |u(x) - u(y)| \rightarrow 0$$

$$\|u\|_{C(I)} \leq \|v + c\|_{C(I)} \leq \|u\|_{1,1} + |c| = \|u\|_{1,1} + |u(x) - v(x)| \forall x \in I$$

$$\|u\|_{C(I)} \leq \|u\|_{1,1} + \int_0^1 |u(x) - v(x)| dx \leq -|| - + \int_0^1 |u| + \int_0^1 |v| \leq \|u\|_{1,1}.$$

„3.“ was shown without proof. □

└

3 Characterization of Sobolev function

Věta 3.1

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $p \in [1, \infty]$, $\delta > 0$, $\Omega_\delta := \{x \in \Omega \mid \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \delta\}$. Then

$$\forall u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : \|\Delta_i^h u\|_{L^p(\Omega_\delta)} \leq \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \quad \forall h, i, \delta$$

$$\Delta_i^h u(x) = \frac{u(x + he_i) - u(x)}{h}.$$

$$u \in L^p \implies \forall \delta, h : \|\Delta_i^h u\|_{L^p(\Omega_\delta)} \leq c.$$

$$p > 1 \implies \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \text{ exists and } \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c.$$

Definition 3.1 (Class $C^{k,\mu}$)

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open bounded set. We say that $\Omega \in C^{k,\mu}$ ($\partial\Omega \in C^{k,\mu}$) iff:

- there exist M coordinate systems $\mathbf{x} = (x_{r_1}, \dots, x_{r_d}) = (x'_r, x_{r_d})$ and functions $a_r : \Delta_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $\Delta_r = \{x'_r \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \mid |x_{r_i}| \leq \alpha\}$ such that $a_r \in C^{k,\mu}(\Delta_r)$,
- denoting tr the orthogonal transformation from (x'_r, x_{r_d}) to (x', x_d) , then $\forall x \in \partial\Omega \exists r \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ such that $x = \text{tr}(x'_r, a(x_{r_d}))$,
- $\exists \beta > 0$, if we define

$$V_r^+ := \{(x'_r, x_{r_d}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x'_r \in \Delta_r, a(x'_r) < x_{r_d} < a(x'_r) + \beta\}$$

$$V_r^- := \{(x'_r, x_{r_d}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x'_r \in \Delta_r, a(x'_r) - \beta < x_{r_d} < a(x'_r)\}$$

$$\Lambda_r := \{(x'_r, x_{r_d}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x'_r \in \Delta_r, a(x'_r) = x_{r_d}\}$$

Then $\text{tr}(V_r^+) \subset \Omega$, $\text{tr}(V_r^-) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{\Omega}$, $\text{tr}(\Lambda_r) \subseteq \partial\Omega$ and $\bigcup_{r=1}^M \text{tr}(\Lambda_r) = \partial\Omega$.

Věta 3.2 (Density of smooth functions)

Let $\Omega \in C^0$. Then $W^{k,p}(\Omega) = \overline{C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})}^{\|\cdot\|_{k,p}}$, $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Věta 3.3 (Extension of Sobolev functions)

Let $\Omega \in C^{0,1}$ (Ω is Lipschitz) and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then there exists a continuous linear operator $E : W^{k,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that:

- $\|Eu\|_{W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|Eu\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)}$ (C is independent of u)
- $Eu = u$ almost everywhere in Ω .

Věta 3.4 (Trace theorem)

Let $\Omega \in C^{0,1}$, $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then there exists a continuous linear operator $\text{tr} : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\partial\Omega)$ such that:

- $\|\operatorname{tr} u\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)} \leq c \|u\|_{1,p},$
- $\forall u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}) : \operatorname{tr} u|_{\partial\Omega} = u|_{\partial\Omega}.$

Definice 3.2

$$W_0^{k,p}(\Omega) = \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{||\cdot||_{k,p}}.$$

Věta 3.5

Let $\Omega \in C^{0,1}$ and let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then

- if $p < d$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ for all $1 \leq \frac{dp}{d-p}$,
- if $p = d$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ for all $q < \infty$,
- if $p > d$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{0,1-\frac{d}{p}}(\overline{\Omega})$.

Moreover

- if $p < d$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookleftrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ for all $1 \leq \frac{dp}{d-p}$,
- if $p = d$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookleftrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ for all $q < \infty$,
- if $p > d$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookleftrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for all $\alpha < 1 - \frac{d}{p}$.

$$X \hookleftrightarrow Y \Leftrightarrow X \leq Y \wedge (A \subseteq X \text{ is bounded in } X \implies A \text{ is precompact in } Y).$$

$$X \hookleftrightarrow Y \implies X \subseteq Y \wedge (\{u^n\}_{n=1}^\infty, \exists c : \|u^n\|_{1,p} \leq c \implies \exists u^{n_j} : u^{n_j} \rightarrow u \text{ in } Y).$$

Důsledek (Trace theorem)

Let $\Omega \in C^{0,1}$. Then $\forall u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $v \in W^{1,p'}(\Omega)$ we have integration by parts:

$$\int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx = - \int_{\Omega} v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} uv|_{u=\operatorname{tr} u, v=\operatorname{tr} v} n_i ds.$$

Věta 3.6 (Poincaré)

Let $\Omega \in C^{0,1}$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$. Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subseteq \Omega$, $|\Omega_i| > 0$ and $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \subseteq \partial\Omega$, $|\Gamma_i|_{d-1} > 0$. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2 \geq 0$ and at least one of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$.

Then there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $\forall u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$

$$c_1 \|u\|_{1,p}^p \leq \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \alpha_1 \int_{\Omega_1} |u|^p + \alpha_2 \int_{\Omega_2} |u|^p + \beta_1 \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^p + \beta_2 \int_{\Gamma_2} |u|^p \leq c_2 \|u\|_{1,p}^p.$$

$$(\|u\|_{1,p}^p = \|u\|_p^p + \|\nabla u\|_p^p.)$$

┌
Důkaz (Of the first (the only difficult) inequality)
└ TODO!!!

□

4 Linear elliptic PDEs

Definice 4.1 (Elliptic)

Let $a_{ij}, b, c_i, d_i \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded. We say that L is elliptic if $\exists c_1 > 0$ such that $\forall \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and almost all $x \in \Omega$

$$A\zeta \cdot \zeta \geq c_1 |\zeta|^2.$$

Lemma 4.1

If u is classical solution, then $\forall \varphi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}), \varphi = 0$ on Γ_1 : $B_{L,\delta}(u, \varphi) = \int_{\Omega} f\varphi + \int_{\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3} g\varphi$.

┌
Důkaz
└ TODO!!!

□

Lemma 4.2

If $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ and $A, b, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}$ are smooth and previous lemma holds $\forall \varphi \in C^1, \varphi|_{\Gamma_1} = 0$ and $u = u_0$ on Γ_1 , then u is a classical solution.

┌
Důkaz
└ TODO!!!

□

Definice 4.2 (Weak solution)

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ Lipschitz, L be an elliptic operator, $u_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, $f \in (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*$, $g \in L^2(\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3)$. We say that $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution iff

- $\text{tr } u = \text{tr } u_0$ on Γ_1 and
- $B_{L\sigma}(u, \varphi) = \langle f, \varphi \rangle + \int_{\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3} g\varphi, \forall \varphi \in V$, where $V := \{\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) | \text{tr } \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1\}$.

4.1 Existence of solution for coercive operators

Definice 4.3 (Elliptic form)

Let $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bilinear nad V be a Hilbert space, $c_1, c_2 > 0$. We say that B is elliptic if it is

- V -bounded $\Leftrightarrow |B(u, \varphi)| \leq c_2 \|u\|_V \|\varphi\|_V$ and
- V -coercive $\Leftrightarrow B(u, u) \geq c_1 \|u\|_V^2$.

Věta 4.3 (Lax-Milgram)

Let B be a bilinear elliptic form. Then

$$\forall F \in V^* \exists! u \in V \forall \varphi \in V : B(u, \varphi) = \langle F, \varphi \rangle.$$

Definice 4.4

Let $B : V \rightarrow V^*$. We say that B is

- Lipschitz $\equiv \forall u, v \in V : \|B(u) - B(v)\|_{V^*} \leq c_2 \|u - v\|_V, c_2 > 0$;
- Uniformly monotone $\equiv \forall u, v \in V : \langle B(u) - B(v), u - v \rangle_V \geq c_1 \|u - v\|_V^2, c_1 > 0$.

Věta 4.4 (Non-linear Lax-Milgram)

Let B be Lipschitz continuous and uniformly monotone. Then

$$\forall F \in V^* \exists! u \in V \forall \varphi \in V : \langle B(u), \varphi \rangle = \langle F, \varphi \rangle.$$

┌
Důkaz
└ TODO!!!

□

Důkaz (Lax-Milgram)
TODO!!!

□

Věta 4.5

If $B_{L,\sigma}$ is bilinear, V -bounded and V -elliptic. Then there exists a unique weak solution u .

┌
Důkaz
└ TODO!!!

□

4.2 Existence via Fredholm alternative

TODO!!!

Věta 4.6

Let $\Omega \in C^{0,1}$, L be an elliptic operator and $\Gamma_1 = \partial\Omega$. Then

1. Σ is at most countable and if infinite $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \implies \lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$;
2. $(\lambda \notin \Sigma) \Leftrightarrow \forall f \in L^1 \exists! u : Lu = f + \lambda u$;
3. $\forall \lambda \notin \Sigma \exists C > 0 \forall f \in L^2 \exists! u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : Lu = f + \lambda u$ and $\|u\|_{1,2} \leq C\|f\|_2$;

┌

Důkaz

3) TODO improve convergence of u^{n_k} and show

$$u^{n_k} \rightarrow u \text{ in } W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \text{ Strongly!};$$

show $\{u^{n_k}\}$ is Cauchy in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$

$$v^{n,m} = u^n - u^m$$

$$C_1 \|\nabla(u^n - u^m)\|_2^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} A \nabla v^{n,m} \nabla v^{n,m} = V_l(v^{n,m}, v^{n,m}) -$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{c} \nabla v^{n,m} v^{n,m} - b(v^{n,m})^2 + \mathbf{d} \nabla v^{n,m} v^{n,m} =$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} (f^n - f^m) v^{n,m} + \lambda (v^{n,m})^2 \pm - \leq$$

$$\leq \|v^{n,m}\|_2 (\|f^n - f^m\|_2 + \lambda \|v^{n,m}\|_2 + \|\mathbf{c}\|_{\infty} \|\nabla v^{n,m}\|_2 + \|\mathbf{d}\|_{\infty} \|\nabla v^{n,m}\|_2 + \|b\|_{\infty} \|v^{n,m}\|_2) \leq$$

$$\leq \|v^{n,m}\| C(\lambda) \stackrel{u^n \text{ is Cauchy}}{\leq} C(\lambda) \varepsilon$$

$$\implies \nabla u^n \text{ is Cauchy sequence} \implies u^n \rightarrow u \text{ in } W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \implies \|?\|_{n_k} = 1$$

$$\int_{\Omega} A \nabla a u^n \nabla a \varphi + b u^n \varphi + \mathbf{c} \nabla u^n \varphi - \mathbf{d} \nabla u^n \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f^n \varphi + \lambda u^n \varphi.$$

$$n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\int_{\Omega} A \nabla u \nabla \varphi + b u \varphi + \mathbf{c} \nabla u \varphi - \mathbf{d} \nabla u \varphi = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \varphi \Leftrightarrow Lu = \lambda u$$

└ But $\lambda \notin \Sigma$.

□

Poznámka

Next we discussed homework.

4.3 Variational approach – minimization

Poznámka

$B_{L,\sigma}(u, v)$ must be symmetric! ($B_{L,\sigma}(u, v) = B_{L,\sigma}(v, u)$)

$$L = - \operatorname{div} (A \nabla u) + bu + \mathbf{c} \nabla u + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{d}u)$$

$$B_{L,\sigma}(u, v) := \int_{\Omega} A \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + Buv + \mathbf{c} \cdot \nabla uv - \mathbf{d} \nabla v u + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma uv$$

$$B_{L,\sigma}(v, u) := \int_{\Omega} A \nabla v \cdot \nabla u + Bvu + \mathbf{c} \cdot \nabla vu - \mathbf{d} \nabla uv + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma vu$$

$$\implies A = A^T, \quad \mathbf{c} = -\mathbf{d}$$

Věta 4.7

Let $B_{L,\sigma}$ be linear symmetric V -elliptic and V -bounded. $f \in V^*$, $g \in L^2(\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3)$, $u \in V$. Then the following is equivalent:

- $u - u_0 \in V$ and $B_{L,\sigma}(u, v) = \langle f, \varphi \rangle + \int_{\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3} g \varphi$;
- $u - u_0 \in V \quad \forall v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad v, u_0 \in V$

$$\frac{1}{2} B_{L,\sigma}(u, u) - \langle f, u \rangle - \int_{\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3} gu \leq \frac{1}{2} B_{L,\sigma}(v, v) - \langle f, v \rangle - \int_{\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3} gv.$$

┌
Důkaz („1 \implies 2“)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\stackrel{V\text{-elliptic}}{\leq} \frac{1}{2} B_{L,\sigma}(v-u, v-u) \stackrel{\text{linearity}}{=} \frac{1}{2} B_{L,\sigma}(v, v) + \frac{1}{2} B_{L,\sigma}(u, u) - \frac{1}{2} B_{L,\sigma}(u, v) - \frac{1}{2} B_{L,\sigma}(v, u) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (B_{L,\sigma}(v, v) - B_{L,\sigma}(u, u)) + B_{L,\sigma}(u, u) - B_{L,\sigma}(u, v) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (B_{L,\sigma}(v, v) - B_{L,\sigma}(u, u)) + B_{L,\sigma}(u, u - v) \stackrel{\text{weak formulation}}{=} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (B_{L,\sigma}(v, v) - B_{L,\sigma}(u, u)) + \langle f, u - v \rangle + \int_{\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3} g(u - v) \end{aligned}$$

└

□

┌ *Důkaz („2 \implies 1“)*

u is minimizer, so set $v = u + \varepsilon\varphi$, $\varphi \in V$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}B_{L,\sigma}(u, u) - \langle j, u \rangle - \int gu &\leq \frac{1}{2}B_{L,\sigma}(u + \varepsilon\varphi, u + \varepsilon\varphi) - \langle j, u + \varepsilon\varphi \rangle - \int g(u + \varepsilon\varphi) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}B_{L,\sigma}(u, u) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\frac{1}{2}B_{L,\sigma}(\varphi, \varphi) + \varepsilon B_{L,\sigma}(u, \varphi) - \langle f, u \rangle - \varepsilon \langle f, \varphi \rangle - \int ga - \varepsilon \int g\varphi \end{aligned}$$

divide by ε and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+$

$$0 \leq B_{L,\sigma}(u, \varphi) - \langle j, \varphi \rangle - \int_{\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3} g\varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in V$$

(Euler-Lagrange inequality?), which is true also for $-\varphi \implies 0 = -||- \implies u$ is weak solution. \square

Věta 4.8 (Duel formulation)

Let $Lu = -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u)$ with A elliptic, bounded and symmetric, $\Gamma_1 \neq \emptyset$, $\Gamma = \emptyset$, $f \in V^*$, $g \in L^2(\Gamma_2)$, $u_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Then the following are equivalent:

- u is a weak solution;
- $\nabla u = A^{-1}\mathbf{T}$, where \mathbf{T} minimizes $\int \frac{A^{-1}\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T}}{2} = \nabla u_0 \mathbf{T}$ over the set $\tilde{V} := \{\mathbf{T} \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)\}$, $\forall \varphi \in V$.

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{T} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \langle f, \varphi \rangle + \int_{\Gamma_2} g\varphi \Leftrightarrow -\operatorname{div} \mathbf{T} = f \text{ in } \Omega, T\mathbf{u} = g \text{ on } \Gamma_2$$

┌ *Důkaz („1 \implies 2“)*

Let $\mathbf{V} \in \tilde{V}$ and $\mathbf{T} := A\nabla u \in \tilde{V}$.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} A^{-1}(\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{T}) \cdot (\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{T}) = \int \frac{A^{-1}\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}}{2} - \frac{A^{-1}\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T}}{2} \int_{\Omega} A^{-1}\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T} - A^{-1}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{V} = \\ &= \int \left(\frac{A^{-1}\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}}{2} - \nabla u_0 \mathbf{V} \right) - \int \left(\frac{A^{-1}\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T}}{2} - \nabla u_0 \mathbf{T} \right) + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_0(\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{T}) + A^{-1}\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{V})) = \\ &= \int \left(\frac{A^{-1}\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}}{2} - \nabla u_0 \mathbf{V} \right) - \int \frac{A^{-1}\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T}}{2} - \int_{\Omega} (A^{-1}\mathbf{T} - \nabla u_0) \cdot (\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{T}) = \\ &\quad \int \left(\frac{A^{-1}\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}}{2} - \nabla u_0 \mathbf{V} \right) - \int \frac{A^{-1}\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T}}{2} - \int_{\Omega} \nabla(u - u_0) \cdot (\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{T}) = \\ &\quad \int \left(\frac{A^{-1}\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}}{2} - \nabla u_0 \mathbf{V} \right) - \int \frac{A^{-1}\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T}}{2} + 0. \end{aligned}$$

┌ So \mathbf{T} is minimizer of the formula above. \square

┌

Důkaz („2 \implies 1“)
 $\mathbf{T} \in \tilde{V} \quad \forall V \in \tilde{V}: \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} A^{-1} \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T} - \nabla u_0 \mathbf{T} \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{A^{-1} \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V}}{2} - \nabla u_0 \mathbf{V}, \quad \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{T} + \varepsilon \mathbf{W}, \quad \mathbf{W} \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$
 $\forall \varphi \in V: \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{W} \cdot \nabla \varphi = 0.$

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{A^{-1} \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T}}{2} - \nabla u_0 \mathbf{T} \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{A^{-1} \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{T} + \varepsilon^2 A^{-1} \mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{W} + 2\varepsilon A^{-1} \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{W}}{2} - \nabla u_0 \mathbf{T} - \varepsilon \nabla u_0 \mathbf{W}$$

divide by ε and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+$:

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} A^{-1} \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{W} - \nabla u_0 \cdot \mathbf{W}.$$

This also holds for $-\mathbf{W}$, co $0 = -||-$.

Now we find unique $u \in W^{1,2}$ $u - u_0 \in V: \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega} A^{-1} \mathbf{T} \cdot \nabla \varphi$ ($\langle F, \varphi \rangle_V$).

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |A^{-1} \mathbf{T} - \nabla u|^2 &= \int_{\Omega} (A^{-1} \mathbf{T} - \nabla u)(A^{-1} \mathbf{T} - \nabla u) = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (A^{-1} \mathbf{T} - \nabla u_0) \cdot (A^{-1} \mathbf{T} - \nabla u) + \int_{\Omega} \nabla(u_0 - u)(A^{-1} \mathbf{T} - \nabla u) = 0 + 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

└

□

Lemma 4.9

Let X be a reflexive space and $\{u^n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence, $\|u^n\|_X \leq c < \infty$. Then $\exists u^{n_k}, \exists u \in X: u^{n_k} \rightharpoonup u$ ($\forall F \in X^*: \langle F, u^{n_k} \rangle \rightarrow \langle F, u \rangle$).

Věta 4.10 (Spectrum of symmetric operator)

V Hilbert infinity-dimensional space. Let B be linear, symmetric, V -elliptic and V -bonded operator. Then there exist $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m$ and corresponding $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that

- $B(u^k, \varphi) = \lambda_k \int_{\Omega} u^k \varphi;$
- $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty;$
- $\{u^k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is basis in V and fulfils

$$\int_{\Omega} u^i u^j = \delta_{ij}, \quad B(u^i, u^j) = 0 \forall i \neq j;$$

- $P^n u := \sum_{i=1}^n u^i (\int_{\Omega} u u^i),$ then $\forall n: \|P^n u\|_2 \leq \|u\|_2$ and $B(P^n u, P^n u) \leq B(u, u).$

|

|

Dikaz

Step 1: Construct λ_k, u^k : $\lambda_1 := \inf_{u \in V, \|u\|_2=1} B(u, u)$ and denote u^1 function, where infimum is obtained. Then for $V^N = \{u \in V | \forall k \in [N] : B(u, u^k) = 0\}$ we do the same.

Step 2: The construction is OK:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \lambda_1 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B(u^n, u^n), \|u^n\|_2 = 1 \implies \\ &\implies \|u^n\|_V \leq C \implies u^{n_k} \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } V \\ V \hookrightarrow L^2 &\implies u^{n_k} \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(\Omega) \implies \|u\|_2 = 1 \\ \lambda_1 &= \lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} B(u^{n_k}, u^{n_k}) \geq B(u, u) \geq \lambda_1. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: λ_k, u^k eigenvalues, eigen functions: $\forall v \in V, \|v\|_2 = 1, \lambda_1 = B(u^1, u^1) \leq B(v, v), \|u^1\|_2 = 1$

$$v = \frac{u^1 + \varepsilon \psi}{\|u^1 + \varepsilon \psi\|_2}, \quad \varphi \in V, 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1.$$

$$\lambda_1 \leq B\left(\frac{u^1 + \varepsilon \psi}{\|u^1 + \varepsilon \psi\|_2}, \frac{u^1 + \varepsilon \psi}{\|u^1 + \varepsilon \psi\|_2}\right)$$

$$\lambda_1 \|u^1 + \varepsilon \psi\|_2 \leq B(u^1 + \varepsilon \psi, u^1 + \varepsilon \psi) = B(u_1, u_1) + \varepsilon^2 B(\psi, \psi) + 2\varepsilon B(u, \psi) \leq \lambda_1 \|u^1\|_2^2 + \lambda_1 \varepsilon^2 \|\psi\|_2^2 + 2\varepsilon \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u^1 \psi$$

$$\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+ \implies 2\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u^1 \psi \leq 2B(u, \psi).$$

So $\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u^1 \psi = B(u, \psi)$.

The same way we obtain $\lambda_k \int_{\Omega} u^k \psi \leq B(u, \psi)$ for $\psi \in V^N$.

$$u^1 : \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u^1 \psi = B(u^1, \psi) \implies \psi = u^k \int_{\Omega} u^1 u^k = V(u_1, u^k).$$

But $u^k \in V^k \implies B(u^k, u^i) = 0 \forall i \in [k-1]$, so $\int u^1 u^k = B(u^1, u^k) = 0$.

$$\implies \forall i \in [k-1] : \int_{\Omega} u^k u^1 = B(u^k, u^i) = 0.$$

Step 4: $\lambda_k \nearrow \infty$. We already know $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$. Assume a contradiction $\lambda_k \leq C < \infty$. $c_1 \|u^k\|_V^2 \leq B(u^k, u^k) = \lambda_k \|u^k\|_2^2 = \lambda_k < C$.

$$\implies u^k \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } V,$$

$$u^k \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2 \implies u^k \text{ is Cauchy in } L^2$$

$$\|u^n - y^m\|_2^2 = \|u^n\|_2^2 + \|u^m\|_2^2 - 2 \int u^n u^m =$$

$$= 2 - \frac{2}{\lambda_1 n} B(u^n, u^m) = 2 \implies \text{not Cauchy.}$$

Step 5: λ_k are all eigenvalues (u^k is basis of V and of L^2). Assume that $\lambda \neq \lambda_j$ is also eigenvalue, so $\exists u : B(u, \varphi) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \varphi \forall \varphi$. We can find $i \in \mathbb{N}$, so $\lambda_i < \lambda < \lambda_{i+1}$.

$$B(u, u^j) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u u^j \wedge B(u^j, u) = \lambda_j \int_{\Omega} u^j u \implies B(u, u_j) = 0$$

4.4 Regularity of weak solution

Poznámka

We assume that we have $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ a weak solution

$$-\operatorname{div} A \nabla u + Vu + \mathbf{c} \cdot \nabla u + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{d}u) = Lu = f.$$

When $u \in W_{loc}^{2,2}(\Omega)$, when $u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega)$, when $u \in W_{loc}^{k,2}(\Omega)$, $u \in W^{k,2}(\Omega)$.

Simplify $-\operatorname{div} A \nabla u = f - bu - \mathbf{c} \nabla u - u \operatorname{div} \mathbf{d} - \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{d} = \tilde{f}$. If $u \in W^{1,2}$, $f \in L^2$, $b \in L^\infty$, $\mathbf{d} \in W^{1,\infty} \implies \tilde{f} \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Problem is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u) &= f \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1, \\ (A \nabla u) \cdot \mathbf{v} &= g \text{ on } \Gamma_2, \\ (A \nabla u) \cdot \mathbf{v} + \sigma u &= g \text{ on } \Gamma_3. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.5 (Interior regularity)

$u \in W_{loc}^{2,2}(\Omega)$; assumptions: $A \in W^{k+1,\infty}$, $f \in W^{k,2}(\Omega) \implies u \in W_{loc}^{k+1,2}(\Omega)$.

Definition 4.6 (Boundary regularity)

$u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega)$; assumptions: on $\Omega \in C^{k+1,\infty}$, $g \in W^{\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial\Omega)$ and $\overline{\Gamma_2} \cap \overline{\Gamma_1} = \{\emptyset\} \implies u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega)$.

Věta 4.11 (Interior regularity)

Let A be an elliptic operator and $u \in W^{1,2}$ solves

$$\int_{\Omega} A \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \quad \forall f \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Then if $A \in W^{k+1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d,d})$, $f \in W^{k,2}(\Omega)$ then $u \in W_{loc}^{k+2,2}(\Omega)$.

Moreover $\forall \tilde{\Omega} \subset \overline{\tilde{\Omega}} \subseteq \Omega \exists c(\tilde{\Omega}, A)$:

$$\|u\|_{W^{k+2,2}(\tilde{\Omega})} \leq c(\|f\|_2 + \|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}).$$

Důkaz

$k = 0$: Recall $v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \Leftrightarrow \{v \in L^2(\Omega) \wedge \Delta_k^n v \in L^2(\Omega_h) \forall h\}$

$$\int_{\Omega_h} \frac{|v(x + he_k) - v(x)|^2}{h^2} \leq c.$$

$$u \in W^{2,2}(\tilde{\Omega}) \Leftrightarrow \left\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \wedge \Delta_k^n \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^2 \right\}.$$

We want:

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}_h} \frac{\left| \frac{\partial u(x+he_i)}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_j} \right|^2}{h^2} \leq c,$$

$$\int_{\Omega_h} \left| \frac{\nabla u(x + he_i) - \nabla u(x)}{h} \right|^2 \leq c.$$

$$\int_{\Omega} A \nabla u \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi$$

$$h > 0, \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \varphi(x) = 0 \text{ if } \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \subset h.$$

Set $\varphi(x) := \psi(x - he_k)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \int_{\Omega} A(x) \nabla u(x) \nabla \psi(x - he_k) &= \int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi(x - he_k) = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} A(x + he_k) \nabla u(x + he_k) \cdot \nabla \psi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\varphi(x) := \psi(x)$:

$$\int_{\Omega} A(x) \cdot \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla \psi(x) = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi(x) dx.$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} A(x + he_k) (\nabla u(x + he_k) - \nabla u(x)) \cdot \nabla \psi(x) = \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} (A(x + he_k) - A(x)) \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla \psi(x) + \int_{\Omega} f(x) (\psi(x - he_k) - \psi(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Set $\psi := (u(x + he_k) - u(x)) \tau^2(x)$, $\tau(x) = 0$, if $\text{dist} \in (x, \partial\Omega)$, $\tau \in C^1(\tilde{\Omega})$.

Evaluate all terms ($w^{h,i} = u(x + he^i) - u(x)$):

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} A(x + he_i) \nabla w^{h,i} \cdot (\nabla w^{h,i} \tau^2 + 2w^{h,i} \tau \nabla \tau) \geq \\ &\stackrel{ellip.}{\geq} c_1 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w^{hi}|^2 \tau^2 - \int_{\Omega} \frac{2 \|A\|_{\infty} |w^{h,i}| - |\nabla \tau| (|\nabla w^{hi}| \sqrt{c_1} \tau)}{\sqrt{c_1}} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{c_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w^{h,i}|^2 \tau^2 - \frac{2}{c_1} \|A\|_{\infty}^2 \|\nabla \tau\|_{\infty}^2 h^2 \int_{\Omega_h} \frac{|u(x + he_i) - u(x)|^2}{h^2} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{c_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w^{h,i}|^2 \tau^2 - \frac{2 \|A\|_{\infty}^2 \|\nabla \tau\|_{\infty}^2 h^2 c_1 \|\nabla u\|_2^2}{c_1} \end{aligned}$$
