

# Prerequisites

## 0.1 Regularization

### Definition 0.1 (Regularization kernel)

$\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_1(\mathbf{o}))$ , non-negative, radially symmetric,  $\int_{B_1(\mathbf{o})} \eta(x) dx = 1$ .

### Definition 0.2 (Regularization of function)

Let  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ . We extend  $f$  by zero to  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega$  and define  $f_\varepsilon := \eta_\varepsilon * f$ , where  $\eta_\varepsilon(x) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d} \eta(x/\varepsilon)$ .

*Poznámka*

$f_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $f_\varepsilon \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  if  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $f_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup^* f$  in  $L^\infty$ .

### Věta 0.1

$L^p(\Omega)$  is a Banach space, separable for  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , reflexive for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ .

*Důsledek*

$f^n$  is a bounded sequence in  $L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  measurable bounded. Then

1.  $p \in (1, \infty)$ :  $\exists f^{n_k}, f: f^{n_k} \rightharpoonup f$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ . ( $\Leftrightarrow \forall g \in L^{p'}(\Omega) : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega f^{n_k} g = \int_\Omega f g$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ ).
2.  $p = \infty$ :  $\exists f^{n_k}, f: f^{n_k} \rightharpoonup^* f$  in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ . ( $\Leftrightarrow g \in L^1(\Omega) : \lim \int_\Omega f^{n_k} g = \int_\Omega f g$ ).
3.  $p = 1$ :  $\exists f^{n_k}, f: f^{n_k} \rightharpoonup^* f$  in  $M(\overline{\Omega})$  (Radon measures). ( $\Leftrightarrow \forall g \in C(\overline{\Omega}) : \int_\Omega f^{n_k} g \rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle_M = \int_{\overline{\Omega}} g df$ ).
4.  $p = 1$ :  $\exists f^{n_k}, \tilde{f} \exists \Omega_1 \subseteq \Omega_2 \subseteq \Omega_3 \subseteq \dots, |\Omega \setminus \Omega_l| \rightarrow 0$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ :  $\forall l \in \mathbb{N} : f^{n_k} \rightharpoonup \tilde{f}$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . ( $\tilde{f}$  is called biting limit.)

## 0.2 Fixpoint theorems

### Věta 0.2

$F : X \rightarrow X$ , where  $X$  is a Banach space,  $F$  is continuous and compact. Let there exists closed convex non-empty set  $U \subseteq X$  such that  $F(U) \subset U$ . Then  $\exists x \in U : F(x) = x$ .

### Věta 0.3

$F : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $F$  is continuous. Let there exists closed, convex non-empty set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ :  $F(U) \subseteq U$ . Then  $\exists x \in U : F(x) = x$ .

## 0.3 Nemytskii operator

### Věta 0.4

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be open and  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Carathéodory (i.e.  $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^N : f(\cdot, y)$  is measurable and for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ :  $f(x, \cdot)$  is continuous). Assume that  $|f(x, y)| \leq g(x) + c \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N |y_i|^{p_i/p}$  for some  $p_1 \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$  with  $y \in L^p(\Omega)$ .

Then  $\forall u_i \in L^{p_i}(\Omega)$ , the function  $f(x, u_1(x), \dots, u_N(x))$  is measurable and the mapping (named Nemytskii operator)  $(u_1, \dots, u_N) \mapsto f(\cdot, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N)$  is continuous from  $L^{p_1}(\Omega) \times \dots \times L^{p_N}(\Omega)$  to  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

TODO!!!

TODO!!!

### Věta 0.5

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  open bounded,  $\Omega_\delta := \{x \in \Omega \mid B_\delta(x) \subseteq \Omega\}$ ,  $u_i^h(x) := \frac{u(x+h \cdot e_i) - u(x)}{h}$ , and  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . Then

1. if  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  then  $\forall \delta > 0 \forall h \leq \frac{\delta}{2} : \|u_i^h\|_{L^p(\Omega_\delta)} \leq \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ ;
2. if  $p \in (1, \infty]$  and  $\sup_{\delta > 0} \sup_{h < \delta/2} \|u_i^h\|_{L^p(\Omega_\delta)} \leq K$  then  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$  exists and  $\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K$ ;
3. if  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  then  $u_i^h \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$  as  $h \rightarrow 0_+$  in  $L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ .

Důkaz

„2.“:  $p \in (1, \infty)$  then  $L^p$  is reflexive.  $p = \infty$  then  $L^\infty$  has separate procedure. Fix  $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$ .  $\|u_i^h\|_{L^p(\Omega')} \leq K \implies$

$$p \in (1, \infty) : \exists h_n : u_i^{h_n} \rightharpoonup \bar{u}_i \text{ in } L^p(\Omega'),$$

$$p = \infty : \exists h_n : u_i^{h_n} \rightharpoonup^* \bar{u}_i \text{ in } L^p(\Omega').$$

$$\implies \|\bar{u}_i\|_{L^p(\Omega')} \leq \lim_{h_n \rightarrow 0} \|u_i^{h_n}\|_{L^p(\Omega')} \leq K. \quad \Omega' \nearrow \Omega \implies \|\bar{u}_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq K.$$

Remain to show:  $\bar{u}_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ .

TODO!!!

„1.“:  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Mollify to  $u_\varepsilon$ .  $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$  in  $W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , for  $p \neq \infty$ , and  $u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup^*$  in  $W_{loc}^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$  for  $p = \infty$ .  $D^\alpha u_\varepsilon = (D^\alpha u)_\varepsilon$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$  for  $p = \infty$ ,  $D^\alpha u_\varepsilon \rightarrow D^\alpha u$  in  $L_{loc}^p(\Omega)$  for  $p \neq \infty$ .  $x \in \Omega_\varepsilon, h \leq \delta/2$ :

$$\frac{u_\varepsilon(x + h \cdot e_i) - u_\varepsilon(x)}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} u_\varepsilon(x + h \cdot t \cdot e_i) dt = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i}(x + h \cdot t \cdot e_i) dt.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left| \frac{u_\varepsilon(x + h \cdot e_i) - u_\varepsilon(x)}{h} \right|^p dx &\leq \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i}(x + h \cdot t \cdot e_i) dt \right|^p dx \stackrel{\text{Jensen}}{\leq} \int_{\Omega_\delta} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i}(x + h \cdot t \cdot e_i) \right|^p dt dx \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega_\delta} \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i}(x + h \cdot t \cdot e_i) \right|^p dx dt \leq \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega_{\delta/2}} \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i}(x) \right|^p dx dt \leq \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

„3.“: It is enough to show that  $u_i^{h_n}$  is Cauchy in  $L_{loc}^p(\Omega)$ :

$$u_i^{h^m} - u_i^{h^n} = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x + h^m \cdot t \cdot e_i) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x + h^n \cdot t \cdot e_i) dt.$$

$$\int_{\Omega_\delta} |u_i^{h^n} - u_i^{h^m}|^p \leq \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x + h^m \cdot t \cdot e_i) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x + h^n \cdot t \cdot e_i) \right|^p dx dt \leq \varepsilon \text{ provided } h^n, h^m \ll 1.$$

$\implies u_i^h$  is Cauchy. □

## 0.4 Properties up to the boundary

### Věta 0.6

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be bounded and open and  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Then  $\forall u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ :

1.  $\exists \{u^n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq C^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $u^n \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ;
2. if  $\Omega \in C^0$  then  $\exists \{u^n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  such that  $u^n \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

Důkaz

„1.“: Prose? covering of  $\Omega$ :  $\Omega_i := \{x \in \Omega \mid \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \frac{1}{i}\}$ .  $\Omega_i \subseteq \Omega_j$  for  $i \leq j$ .  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_i = \Omega$ . Define  $V_i = \Omega_{i+3} \setminus \overline{\Omega_{i+1}} = \{x \in \Omega \mid \frac{1}{i+1} > \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \frac{1}{i+3}\}$ . Find  $V_0 \subseteq \Omega$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} V_i = \Omega$ .

$u_i = u\varphi_i$ , where  $\varphi_i$  is partition of unity (from next lemma). So  $\forall i \exists j$  such that  $u_i \subset V_j$ .  $\forall \varepsilon$  find (by convolution)  $u_i^n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|u_i - u_i^n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}$ . (Such that  $u_i^n \subseteq \Omega_{i+n} \setminus \overline{\Omega_i}$ )

Define  $u^n := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i^n$ .  $K \subseteq \Omega$  compact, then

$$\|u - u^n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \left\| \sum u\varphi_i - \sum u_i^n \right\|_{W^{1,p}(K)} = \left\| \sum (u_i - u_i^n) \right\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \sum \|u_i - u_i^n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \cdot \sum \frac{1}{2^i} \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

$$\implies \|u^n - u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

„2.“ TODO!!!?

Start with  $u_{M+1}$ .  $u_{M+1} = u \cdot \varphi_{M+1}$ .  $\text{supp } \varphi_{M+1} \subset \Omega \implies u_{M+1} \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $u_{M+1}^\varepsilon := u_{M+1} * \eta_{\sigma(\varepsilon)}$ , where  $\delta$  is taken such that  $\|u_{M+1}^\varepsilon - u_{M+1}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M+1}$ .

$u_1$ : assume  $T_r = \text{id}$ .  $u_1 = u \cdot \varphi_1$ .  $u_1^h(x; x_d) := u_1(x; x_d + h)$ .  $h \leq h_0$ :

$$\|u_1^n - u_1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|u_1^n - u_1\|_{W^{1,p}(V^+)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \cdot (M+1)}.$$

$u_1^\varepsilon = u_1^h * \eta_{\delta(\varepsilon, h, \varphi_1, a_1)} \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ .  $\|u_1^\varepsilon - u_1^h\|_{W^{1,p}(V^+)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(M+1)}$ . Find  $\delta$ :  $(x; x_d) \in \Lambda$ ,  $y \in B_\sigma(x; x_d)$ ,  $a(y') > y_d - h$ .

$$a(y') \geq a(x') - |a(x') - a(y')| = x_d - |a(x') - a(y')| \geq y_d - (|a(x') - a(y')| + |y_d - x_d|).$$

Find  $\delta_0 > 0$ :  $\forall x, y, |x - y| \leq \delta_0 : |a(x') - a(y')| + |y_d - x_d| < h$ . □

### Lemma 0.7 (For the previous proof: Partition of unity I)

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be open set. Assume that  $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$  be (uncountable) covering-?. Then there exists countable system  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N} \exists i \in I : \text{supp } \varphi_j \subset V_i$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 1$ , and  $\forall x \in \Omega : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_j(x) = 1$ . Moreover, for any compact  $K \subseteq \Omega$ , we have that  $\varphi_j(x) \neq 0$  for finitely many  $j$ 's.

### Lemma 0.8 (For the previous proof: Partition of unity II)

Let  $\overline{\Omega}$  be a compact set and  $\{\tilde{V}_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be its open covering ( $\overline{\Omega} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N \tilde{V}_i$ ). Then  $\exists \varphi_i \in C_0^\infty(\tilde{V}_i)$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi_i \leq 1$ , such that  $\forall x \in \overline{\Omega} : \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_i(x) = 1$ .

TODO!!!?

### Věta 0.9 (Extension)

Let  $\Omega \in C^{0,1}$  and  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . Then there exists continuous linear operator  $E : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\forall u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ :

1.  $Eu = u$  in  $\Omega$ ;
2.  $\exists B_R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d : Eu = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_R$ ;
3.  $\|Eu\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c(\Omega, p, d) \cdot \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$ .

┌

Důkaz

„1.“ By picture. „2.“:  $u = \sum_{r=1}^{M+1} u_r$ , where  $u_r := u\varphi_r \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Step 0: extension of  $u_{M+1}$  by zero is trivial. Step 1:  $u_1, T_1 = \text{id}, u_1 \in W^{1,p}(V_1^+)$ .  $F : V_1 \rightarrow \overline{V_1}, (x', x_d) \mapsto (y', y_d)$ ,  $y' = x', y_d = x_d - a_1(x')$ .  $F^{-1} : \overline{V_1} \rightarrow V_1, x' = y', x_d = y_d + a(y')$ .

TODO!!!

Proof of (\*): It is enough to show that  $\frac{\partial Ev(y)}{\partial y_1} = \frac{\partial v(y)}{\partial y_1}$  for  $y_d > 0$  and  $\dots = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_i}(y', -y_d)$  for  $y_d < 0$ ; and  $\frac{\partial E(y)}{\partial d} = \frac{\partial v(y)}{\partial y_d}$  for  $y_d > 0$  and  $\dots = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_d}(y'; y_d)$  for  $y_d < 0$ .

We know  $Ev \in W^{1,p}(\overline{V_1^+})$  and  $Ev \in W^{1,p}(\overline{V_1^-})$ .  $\|Ev\|_{W^{1,p}(V_1^-)} = \|Ev\|_{W^{1,p}(V_1^+)}$ .

TODO!!!!???

└

□

## 1 Embeddings

### Věta 1.1

Let  $\Omega \in C^{0,1}$  and  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . Then:

- $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{dp}{d-p}}(\Omega)$  if  $p < d$ ;
- $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$  for all  $q$  if  $p = d$ ;
- $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$  if  $p > d$ ;
- $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{0,1-\frac{d}{p}}(\overline{\Omega}) \hookrightarrow C^{0,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$  if  $p > d$  (for  $\beta < 1 - \frac{d}{p}$ );
- $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$  for  $q < \frac{dp}{d-p}$  if  $p < d$  (respectively  $< \infty$  if  $p = d$ ).

|

|

Dukaz (Case  $p > d$ )

Lemma (Marey): Let  $u \in W^{1,1}(B_R)$  and  $\mathbf{o}$  be the Lebesgue point of  $u$ . Then

$$\left| \int_{B_R} u dx - u(\mathbf{o}) \right| \leq c(d, A) R^A \sup_{\varrho \leq R} \int_{B_\varrho} \frac{|\nabla u|}{\varrho^{d-1+A}} dx \quad \forall A \in (0, 1).$$

Proof of lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} u dx - u(\mathbf{o}) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \left( \int_{B_R} u - \int_{B_r} u \right) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \int_r^R \frac{d}{d\varrho} \int_{B_\varrho} u(x) dx d\varrho = \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \int_r^R \frac{d}{d\varrho} \int_{B_1} u(\varrho x) dx = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \int_r^R \int_{B_1} \frac{\nabla u(\varrho x) \cdot x}{\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i}(\varrho x) \cdot x_i} dx d\varrho \leq \\ &\leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \int_r^R \int_{B_1} |\nabla u(\varrho x)| dx d\varrho = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \int_r^R \int_{B_\varrho} \frac{|\nabla u|}{\varrho^{d-1+A}} \frac{\varrho^{d-1+A}}{\varrho^d} dx d\varrho \kappa(\varrho) d\varrho = \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \int_r^R \varrho^{A-1} \left( \int_{B_\varrho} \frac{|\nabla u|}{\varrho^{d-1+A}} dx \right) d\varrho \leq \left( \sup_{0 \leq \varrho \leq R} \int_{B_\varrho} \frac{|\nabla u|}{\varrho^{d-1+A}} \right) \kappa(d) \int_0^R \varrho^{A-1} d\varrho = \frac{\kappa(d)}{A} R^A \sup_{r \leq R} \int_{B_\varrho} \frac{|\nabla u|}{\varrho^{d-1+A}} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma (Marey II) Let  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $x, y$  be Lebesgue points. Then

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq c(d, A) |x - y|^A \sup_{\varrho \leq R, z \in [x, y]} \int_{B_\varrho(z)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{\varrho^{d-1+A}} dx.$$

Proof of lemma: ( $R = |x - y|$ )

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x) - u(y)| &\leq \left| \int_{B_R(x)} u(z) dz - u(x) \right| + \left| \int_{B_R(y)} u(z) dz - u(y) \right| + \left| \int_{B_R(x)} u(z) dz - \int_{B_R(y)} u(z) dz \right| \leq \\ &\leq c(d, A) R^A \left( \sup_{\varrho \leq R} \int_{B_\varrho(x)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{\varrho^{d-1+A}} + \sup_{\varrho \leq R} \int_{B_\varrho(y)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{\varrho^{d-1+A}} \right) + \left| \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_R(tx + (1-t)y)} u(z) dz dt \right| = \\ &= \dots + \left| \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{B_R(\mathbf{o})} u(tx + (1-t)y + z) dz \right| \leq \dots + \left| \int_0^1 \int_{B_R(\mathbf{o})} \nabla u(tx + (1-t)y + z) \cdot (x - y) dz \right| \leq \\ &\leq \dots + \int_0^1 R^A \int_0^1 \kappa^{-1}() \int_{B_R(tx + (1-t)y)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{R^{d-1+A}} dz dt \leq \\ &\leq \tilde{c}(d, A) R^A \sup_{\varrho \leq R} \sup_{z \in [x, y]} \int_{B_\varrho(z)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{\varrho^{d-1+A}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of theorem: We have  $\|u\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} \leq c \cdot \|u\|_{1,p}$  for  $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$

$$\|u\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} = \|Eu\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq \|Eu\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(B_R)} \stackrel{1.}{\leq} c(\overline{B_R}, p, d) \cdot \|Eu\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \stackrel{\text{Extension}}{\leq} C(\overline{B_R}, p, d, \Omega) \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)},$$

where  $\overline{B_R}$  is support of  $E$ .

$$u \in C_0^1(\overline{B_R})$$

$$\sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^A} \leq \sup_{x \neq y} c(d, A) \sup_{\varrho \leq |x-y|, z \in [x, y]} \int_{B_\varrho(z)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{\varrho^{d-1+A}} dx \leq$$

|

|



Důkaz (Case  $d > p$  ( $d = p$ ), only for  $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

$$(*) : \quad \|u\|_{L^{\frac{dp}{d-p}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c(d, p) \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \text{At home}^{1,p} \quad W(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{dp}{d-p}}(\Omega) \quad p < d, \Omega \in C^{0,1}.$$

„Step 1: If  $(*)$  is true for  $p = 1$ , then  $(*)$  is true for  $p \in [1, d]$ “: (Set  $v := |u|^q$ )

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^{\frac{q \cdot d}{d-1}} \right)^{\frac{d-1}{d}} = \|v\|_{L^{\frac{d}{d-1}}} \leq c(d) \cdot \|\nabla v\|_{L^1} \leq c(d) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} q \cdot |u|^{q-1} \cdot |\nabla u| \leq c(d, q) \|\nabla u\|_{L^p} \cdot \|u\|_{L^{p'(q-1)}}^{q-1}.$$

Set  $q := \frac{p \cdot (d-1)}{d-p}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^{\frac{dp}{d-p}} \right)^{\frac{d-1}{d}} &\leq c(d, p) \|\nabla u\|_p \cdot \|u\|_{L^{\frac{dp}{d-p}}}^{\frac{p \cdot (d-1)}{d-p} - 1} \\ \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \cdot \left( \frac{p \cdot (d-1)}{d-p} - 1 \right) \right) &= \frac{dp}{d-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma (Gagliardo): Let  $u_i \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ ,  $i \in [d]$ . Define  $v_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) := u_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d)$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{i=1}^d |v_i(x)| dx \leq \prod_{i=1}^d \|u_i\|_{L^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}.$$

Proof of lemma: By induction (with respect to  $d$ ):

$$d = 2 : \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v_1(x)| \cdot |v_2(x)| dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_1(x_2)| \cdot |u_2(x_1)| dx_1 dx_2 = \|u_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \cdot \|u_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} d \implies d+1 : \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{d+1} |v_i(x)| dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v_{d+1}(x)| \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \prod_{i=1}^d |v_i(x)| dx_{d+1} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_d \stackrel{\text{Hölder}}{\leq} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v_{d+1}(x)|^d dx_1 \dots dx_d \right)^{1/d} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \prod_{i=1}^d |v_i| dx_{d+1} \right)^{d'} dx_1 \dots dx_d \right)^{1/d'} \stackrel{\text{Hölder}}{\leq} \\ &\leq \|u_{d+1}\|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_i|^d dx_{d+1} \right)^{1/d} \right)^{d'} dx_1 \dots dx_d \right)^{1/d'} \leq \\ &= \|u_{d+1}\|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)} \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{i=1}^d \underbrace{\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_i|^d dx_{d+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{d-1}}}_{=: z_i} dx_1 \dots dx_d \right)^{1/d'} \leq \\ &\leq \|u_{d+1}\|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)} \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \prod_{i=1}^d |z_i| dz \right)^{1/d'} \stackrel{\text{Induction hypothesis}}{\leq} \|u_{d+1}\|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)} \prod_{i=1}^d \|z_i\|_{L^{\frac{d}{d-1}}}^{\frac{d-1}{d}} = \\ &= \|u_{d+1}\|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)} \prod_{i=1}^d \text{TODO} = \prod_{i=1}^{d+1} \|u_i\|_{L^d}. \end{aligned}$$

9

Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality

Proof of theorem: We want  $\|u\|_{L^{\frac{d}{d-1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c(d) \|\nabla u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$

┌ *Důkaz* (Compact embeddings)

Step 1:  $W^{1,1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^1(\Omega)$ . Step 2:  $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ ,  $q < \frac{dp}{d-p}$ .

„Step 1  $\implies$  Step 2“:  $p \leq q \leq z$ :

$$\|u\|_{L^q} \leq \|u\|_{L^p}^\alpha \cdot \|u\|_z^{1-\alpha}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{p} + \frac{1-\alpha}{z}.$$

$S$  bounded set in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Goal:  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \{u_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset L^q(\Omega) \forall u \in S : \min_i \|u - u_i\| < \varepsilon$ .  
 $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1,1}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{Step 1}} \forall \tilde{\varepsilon} > 0 \exists \{u_i\}_{i=1}^{N(\tilde{\varepsilon}, S)} : \min_i \|u - u_i\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}$ .

$$\|u - u_i\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq \|u - u_i\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^\alpha \cdot \|u - u_i\|_{\frac{dp}{d-p}}^{1-\alpha}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{1} + \frac{(1-\alpha) \cdot (d-p)}{dp} \leq$$

$$\leq c(\Omega, p) \|u - u_i\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^\alpha \cdot \|u - u_i\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{1-\alpha} \leq c(\Omega, p, S) \cdot \|u - u_i\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^\alpha.$$

$$\min \|u - u_i\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq c(\Omega, p, S) \tilde{\varepsilon}^\alpha.$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ .  $\tilde{\varepsilon} := \frac{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}}{c(\Omega, p, S)^{1/\alpha}}$ , find  $\{u_i\}$  from Step 1  $\implies \min_i \|u - u_i\|_{L^q} \leq \varepsilon$ .

„Step 1“: Enough  $W_0^{1,1}(B_R) \hookrightarrow L^1(B_R)$ .  $u \in W_0^{1,1}(B_R)$ ,  $u_\delta := u * \eta_\delta$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u - u_\delta| dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(y) - u(x)) \eta_\delta(x - y) dy \right| dx = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|u(x+y) - u(x)|}{|y|} \eta_\delta(y) |y| dx dy, \\ &\leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y| \eta_\delta(y) dy \leq \delta \|\nabla u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall:  $C^1(\overline{B_R}) \hookrightarrow C^0(\overline{B_R}) \hookrightarrow L^1(\overline{B_R})$  (Arzela–Ascoli + Hölder).

$S$  set bounded in  $W^{1,1}(\overline{B_R})$ .  $S_\delta := \{u_\delta, u \in S\}$ .  $\|u_\delta\|_{C^1(\overline{B_R})} \leq \frac{C(B_R) \cdot \|u\|_{W^{1,1}(B_R)}}{\delta}$  (for  $\delta$  small).

Given  $\varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta$ :  $\|u - u_\delta\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \forall u \in S$ .  $u_\delta \in S_\delta$  (bounded set in  $C^1(\overline{B_R})$ ),  $\|u_\delta\|_{C^1} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} = c(\varepsilon)$ . Find  $\{u_\delta^i\}_{i=1}^{N(\varepsilon)}$ :  $\min \|u_\delta - u_\delta^i\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

$$\|u - u_\delta^i\|_{L^1} \leq \|u - u_\delta\|_{L^1} + \|u_\delta - u_\delta^i\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

└

□

## 1.1 Traces

*Poznámka* ( $C^1$  functions on cube)

$\Omega = (-1, 1)^{d-1} \times (0, 1)$ ,  $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$ .  $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $u(x', 1) = 0$ .

Optimal  $q$  such that  $\int_{(-1,1)^{d-1}} |u(x', \mathbf{o})|^q dx_1 \dots dx_{d-1} \leq c \cdot \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^q$ ?

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(-1,1)^{d-1}} |u(x', 0)|^q dx' &= \int_{(-1,1)^{d-1}} - \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} |u(x', x_d)|^q dx_d dx' \leq q \cdot \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-1} \cdot |\nabla u| dx \leq \\ &\leq q \cdot \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \cdot \| |u|^{q-1} \|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} \stackrel{?}{\leq} q \cdot \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \cdot \|u\|_{L^{\frac{dp}{d-p}}(\Omega)}^{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $q$ :  $(q-1)p' = \frac{dp}{d-p} \implies q = \frac{d(p-1)}{d-p} + 1 = \frac{dp-p}{d-p} = \frac{p \cdot (d-1)}{d-p}$ .

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{p(d-1)}{d-p}}((-1,1)^{d-1})} \leq C(\Omega, p) \cdot \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}.$$

*Poznámka* (Integral on boundary for  $\Omega \in C^{0,1}$ )

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} f ds := \int_{\partial\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N f \varphi_i = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{(-1,1)^{d-1}} f(T_i(y)) \varphi_i(T_i(y)) \sqrt{1 + |\nabla y|^2} dy',$$

where  $\varphi_i$  is partition of unity corresponding to  $C^{0,1}$  and  $T_i$ .

We should show independence on  $\varphi_i$ ,  $V_i$ . Also we can show  $\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} f n_i dS$ .  
( $\forall f \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ .)

TODO!!!

*Poznámka* (On spaces with non-integer derivative)

tr is not onto  $L^{\frac{(d-1)p}{d-p}}(\partial\Omega)$ .

### **Věta 1.2** (Inverse trace theorem)

$\Omega \in C^{0,1}$ ,  $p \in (1, \infty]$ ,  $s \in (1/p, 1]$ . Then tr is bounded linear from  $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$  to  $W^{s-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial\Omega)$ .  
Moreover  $\exists \text{tr}^{-1} : W^{s-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow W^{s,p}(\Omega)$  linear bounded, such that  $\text{tr}(\text{tr}^{-1}) = u$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

### **Definice 1.1** (Sobolev–Slobodeckij spaces)

We say that  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ , iff

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+ps}} dx dy < \infty \quad \wedge \quad u \in L^p(\Omega).$$

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} := \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

**Definice 1.2** (Nikólskii spaces)

We say that  $u \in N^{s,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $s \in (0, 1]$ , iff

$$\sup_{h,i} \int_{\Omega_h} \frac{|u(x + he_i) - u(x)|^p}{h^{p \cdot s}} dx < \infty.$$

**Lemma 1.3**

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow N^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{s-\varepsilon,p}(\Omega), \quad \forall 0 < \varepsilon < s.$$

┌

*Důkaz*

└ At home.

□

## 2 Nonlinear elliptic equations as a „compact“ perturbation of linear PDE

*Poznámka*

$-\Delta u = f(x, u, \nabla u)$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$  is Caratheódory,  $|f(x, u, \xi)| \leq c$  ( $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and almost all  $x \in \Omega$ ).

**Lemma 2.1**

$$\exists u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f(\cdot, u, \nabla u) \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

┌ *Důkaz*

Consider  $M : W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), v \mapsto u$ :

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f(x, v, \nabla v) \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

Linear theory  $\implies \forall v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \exists! u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  satisfying this equation.

Does  $M$  have a fixpoint? Schauder fixpoint theorem assumptions: (A1)  $\exists K$  convex set in  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  such that  $M(K) \subseteq K$ , (A2)  $M$  compact, continuous.

Ad (A1): Set  $\varphi := u$ .

$$C_1 \|u\|_{1,2}^2 \leq \|\nabla u\|_2^2 = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u = \int_{\Omega} f(x, v, \nabla v) u \leq C \int_{\Omega} |u| \leq \tilde{C}(\Omega) \|u\|_{1,2} \implies \|u\|_{1,2} \leq \tilde{C}.$$

$$K \subseteq W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), K = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \mid \|u\|_{1,2} \leq \tilde{C} \right\}.$$

Ad (A2):  $v^n \rightharpoonup v$  in  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ ,  $u^n = M(v^n)$ .  $\exists u^{n_k} \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ ?

$$\|u^n\|_{1,2} \leq \tilde{C}, u^{n_k} \rightarrow u \text{ in } W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), u^{n_k} \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(\Omega).$$

Set  $\varphi := u^n - u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n_k \rightarrow 0} \|u^{n_k} - u\|_{1,2}^2 &\leq C \cdot \lim_{n_k \rightarrow 0} \|\nabla u^{n_k} - \nabla u\|_2^2 = C \cdot \lim_{n_k \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u^{n_k} \cdot (\nabla u^{n_k} - \nabla u) - C \cdot \lim_{n_k \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot (\nabla u^{n_k} - \nabla u) = \\ &= C \cdot \lim_{n_k \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} f(\cdot, v^n, \nabla v^n) (u^{n_k} - u) - C \cdot \lim_{n_k \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot (\nabla u^{n_k} - \nabla u), \end{aligned}$$

TOOD!!!

TODO!!!

└

□

*Poznámka*

Works also if  $|f(x, u, \xi)| \leq C(g(x) + |u|^\alpha + |\xi|^\alpha)$ , where  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

No estimates available (in principle):  $-\Delta u = l|u|^{p-2}u$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Does the problem have nontrivial solution? TODO!!!

$$-\Delta v = \lambda A^{2-p} \cdot |v|^{p-2}v$$

if  $\exists \lambda > 0$   $u \not\equiv 0$  solving  $-\Delta u = \lambda |u|^{p-2}u$ , then  $\forall B > 0 \exists v : -\Delta v = B |v|^{p-2}v$ .

## Lemma 2.2

Let  $p < \frac{2d}{d-2}$ . Then  $\exists \lambda > 0 \exists u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  such that  $-\Delta u = \lambda |u|^{p-2}u$  in  $\Omega$ .x TODO!!!

「  
*Dūkaz*  
」  
TODO!!!

□

TOOD!!!

TODO!!!

TODO!!!

TODO!!!

TODO!!!

TODO!!!

TODO!!!

TODO!!!

TODO!!!