# Úvod

Poznámka (Organizační úvod)

K ukončení předmětu je třeba pouze udělat zkoušku: 2 příklady na definice, 2 věta-důkaz.

Literatura:

- L.C. Evans, R.F. Gariepy, Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1992.
- W. Rudin, Analýza v reálném a komplexním oboru, Academia, 2003.

# 1 Differentiation of measures

## 1.1 Covering theorems

#### Definice 1.1 (Vitali cover)

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we say that a system  $\mathcal{V}$  consisting of closed balls from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  forms Vitali cover of A, if

 $\forall x \in A \ \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists B \in \mathcal{V} : x \in B \land \operatorname{diam} B < \varepsilon.$ 

#### Definice 1.2 (Notation)

 $\lambda_n$  is Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\lambda_n^*$  is outer Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a ball and  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $\alpha \cdot B$  stands for the ball, which is concentric with B and with  $\alpha$ -times greater radius than B.

#### Věta 1.1 (Vitali)

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  be a system of closed balls forming a Vitali cover of A. Then there exists a countable disjoint subsystem  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\lambda_n(A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

First assume that A is bounded. Take an open bounded set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $A \subset G$ . We set

$$\mathcal{V}^* = \{ B \in \mathcal{V} | V \subset G \} .$$

Then  $\mathcal{V}^*$  is a Vitali cover of A. If there exists a finite disjoint subsystem of  $\mathcal{V}^*$  covering A, we are done. So Assume that there is no such subsystem. Mathematical induction:

First step: We set  $s_1 = \sup \{ \operatorname{diam} B | B \in \mathcal{V}^* \}$ . We choose a ball  $B_1 \in \mathcal{V}^*$  such that  $B_1 > \frac{1}{2}s_1$ .

k-th step: Suppose that we have already constructed balls  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{k-1}$ . We set

$$s_k = \sup \left\{ \operatorname{diam} B | B \in \mathcal{V}^* \wedge B \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i = \emptyset \right\}.$$

We find  $B_k \in \mathcal{V}^*$  such that diam  $B_k > \frac{1}{2}s_k > 0$ ,  $B_k \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{B_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . It is disjoint, it is countable, it holds  $\lambda_n(A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(B_i) = \lambda_n(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) \leqslant \lambda_n(G) < \infty \implies$$

$$\implies \lim_{i \to \infty} 0 \implies \lim_{i \to \infty} \operatorname{diam}(B_i) = 0 \implies \lim_{i \to \infty} s_i = 0.$$

We show that

$$\forall x \in A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A} \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \exists j \in \mathbb{N}, j > i : x \in 5 \cdot B_j$$
$$\Leftrightarrow A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{\infty} 5 \cdot B_j$$

Take  $x \in A \setminus \bigcup A$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Denote  $\delta = \operatorname{dist}(x, \bigcup_{k=1}^{i} B_k) > 0$ . There exists  $B \in \mathcal{V}^*$  such that  $x \in B$  and  $\operatorname{diam} B < \delta \implies B \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{i} B_k = \emptyset$ . Then we have  $\operatorname{diam} B > s_p$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Therefore there exists j > i with  $B_j \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Let j be the smallest number with this property. Then we have  $s_j \geqslant \operatorname{diam} B$  since  $B \cap \bigcup_{l=1}^{j-1} B_l = \emptyset$ . Further we have  $\operatorname{diam} B_j > \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{diam} B \implies 2\operatorname{diam} B$  This implies that  $x \in B \subset 5 \cdot B_j$ .

$$\lambda_n^*(A \setminus \bigcup A) \leqslant \lambda_n \left( \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{\infty} 5 \cdot B_j \right) \leqslant \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(5 \cdot B_j) = \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} 5^n \lambda_n(B_j) = 5^n \cdot \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(B_j) \to 0 \implies \lambda_n(A \setminus \bigcup A)$$

General case (A not bounded): Let  $(G_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of disjoint open sets such that  $\lambda_n(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} G_j) = 0$ . We define  $\mathcal{V}_j = \{B \in \mathcal{V}_i, B \subseteq G_j\}$ .  $\mathcal{V}_j$  is a Vitali cover of  $A \cap G_j \implies \exists \mathcal{A}_j \subseteq \mathcal{V}_j$  countable disjoint and  $\lambda_n(A \cap G_j \setminus \bigcup A_j) = 0$ . We set  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_j$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is countable, disjoint and  $\lambda_n(A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$ .

#### Definice 1.3

We say that a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies Vitali theorem, if for every Vitaly cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  there exists a disjoint countable  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{V}$  with  $\mu(M \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$ .

Poznámka

If  $\mu$  satisfies Vitali theorem and  $\nu \ll \mu$ , then  $\nu$  satisfies Vitali theorem.

#### Věta 1.2

Set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be Lebesgue measurable and S be a finite system of closed balls covering E. Then there exists a disjoint system  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{S}$  such that  $\lambda_n(E) \leq 3^n \cdot \sum_{B \in \mathcal{L}} \lambda_n(B)$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

WLOG  $S \neq \emptyset$ . SUppose  $B_1 \in S$  with maximal radius among balls from S.

Suppose that we have already constructed  $B_1, \ldots, B_{k-1} \in \mathcal{S}$ . If possible, choose  $B_k \in \mathcal{S}$  disjoint with  $\bigcup_{j < k} B_j$  and with maximal radius among balls satisfying this property.

We set  $\mathcal{L} = \{B_1, \dots, B_N\}$ . We show  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{L}} 3 * B = \bigcup_{i=1}^N 3 * B_i$ .  $x \in E$ . Find  $B \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $x \in B$ . Find smallest k with  $B \cap B_k \neq \emptyset$ . This means  $\operatorname{rad}(B) \leqslant \operatorname{rad}(B_k) \Longrightarrow x \in B \subseteq 3 * B_k$ .

Now 
$$\lambda_n(E) \leqslant \lambda_n\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N 3 * B_i\right) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_n(3 * B_i) = 3^n \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_n(B_i).$$

### Věta 1.3 (Besicovitch theorem)

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with the following property:

If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\Delta : A \to (0, \infty)$  is a bounded function, then there exist sets  $A_1, ..., A_N \subseteq A$  such that

- $\{\overline{B}(x,\Delta x)|x\in A_j\}$  is disjoint for every  $j\in[N]$ ;
- $A \subset \bigcup \left\{ \overline{B}(x, \Delta x) | \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} A_i \right\}.$

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$  (Case A is bounded)

Let  $R := \sup_A \Delta$ . Choose  $B_1 := \overline{B}(a_1, \Delta(a_1))$  such that  $a_1 \in A$  and  $r_1 := \Delta(a_1) > \frac{3}{4}R$ .

Assume that we already constructed  $B_1, \ldots, B_{j-1}, j \ge 2$ .  $B_{j-1} = \overline{B}(a_{j-1}, \Delta(a_{j-1})) = \overline{B}(a_{j-1}, r_{j-1})$ . Let  $F_j := A \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} B_i$ . If  $F_j = \emptyset$  we set J := j. If not  $B_j := \overline{B}(a_j, \Delta(a_j)) = \overline{B}(a_j, r_j)$ ,  $a_j \in F_j$  and  $r_j > \frac{3}{4} \sup_{F_j} \Delta$ .

If  $F_j \neq \emptyset$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we set  $J := \infty$ . So we have  $(B_j)_{j < J}$ . If  $J < \infty$ , then we covered A. "If  $J = \infty$ , then  $A \subset \bigcup_{j < J} B_j$ ":

 $\lim_{i\to\infty} r_i = 0$ ": because A is bounded

$$||a_i - a_j|| \geqslant r_i = \frac{1}{3}r_i + \frac{2}{3}r_i > \frac{1}{3}r_i + \frac{1}{2}r_j > \frac{1}{3}r_i + \frac{1}{3}r_j = \frac{1}{3}(r_i + r_j) \implies \frac{1}{3} * B_i \cap \frac{1}{3} * B_j = \emptyset.$$

 $\left\{\frac{1}{3}B_j|j < J\right\}$  is a disjoint family  $\implies \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(\frac{1}{3}*B_j) < \infty$ .

If  $A \in A \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j$ , then  $a \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$ . We find  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $r_{j_0} \leq \frac{3}{4}\Delta(a)$ . 4.

Fix k < J. We set  $I = \{i < k | B_i \cap B_k \neq \emptyset\}$ ,  $I_1 = \{i < k_i | B_i \cap B_k \neq \emptyset \land r_i < 10r_k\}$ ,  $I_2 = \{i < k_i | B_i \cap B_k \land r_i \geqslant 10r_k\}$ . The estimate of  $I_1$ : "We have  $\frac{1}{3}B_i \subseteq 15 * B_k$  for every  $i \in I_1$ ": Take  $x \in \frac{1}{3} * B_i$ . Then

$$||x - a_k|| \le ||x - a_j|| + ||a_i - a_k|| \le \frac{1}{3}r_i + r_i + r_k \le \frac{10}{3}r_k + 10r_k + r_k \le 15r_k$$

$$\lambda_n(\frac{1}{3}*B_i) = \lambda(\overline{B}(0,1)) \cdot (\frac{1}{3}r_i)^n \geqslant \lambda_n(\overline{B}(0,1)) \cdot (\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{4}r_k)^n = \lambda_n(\overline{B}(0,1)) \cdot \frac{1}{4^n}r_k^n = \frac{1}{6O^n}\lambda_n(15*B_k) \implies |I_1| \leqslant \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{4}r_k^n = \frac{1}{6O^n}\lambda_n(15*B_k)$$