

Poznámka
Topology...

Definice 0.1 (Topological vector space (TVS))

A Topological vector space over \mathbb{F} is a pair (X, τ) , where X is a vector space over \mathbb{F} and τ is a topology on X with the following two properties:

1. The mapping $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$ is a continuous mapping of $X \times X$ into X ;
2. The mapping $(t, x) \mapsto tx$ is a continuous mapping of $\mathbb{F} \times X$ into X ;

We also denote Hausdorff topological vector space by HTVS. And the symbol $\tau(\mathbf{o})$ will denote the family of all the neighbourhoods of \mathbf{o} in (X, τ) .

Definice 0.2 (Locally convex (LCS, HLCS))

Let (X, τ) be a TVS. The space X is said to be locally convex, if there exists a base of neighbourhoods of zero consisting of convex sets.

Poznámka
Two homework (in Moodle) and one presentation.

Například

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space. Let τ be the topology induced by $\|\cdot\|$. The (X, τ) is HLCS.

┌

Důkaz

$\varrho(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ metric induced by $\|\cdot\|$. τ induced by ϱ . This τ is Hausdorff. Continuity of the operations: (from Funkcionalka)

$$x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y, t_n \rightarrow t \implies x_n + y_n \rightarrow x + y \wedge t_n x_n \rightarrow tx.$$

└

So, it is a HTVS. Base of neighbourhood of \mathbf{o} is e. g. $U(0, r), r > 0$, which is convex. \square

Let Γ be any nonempty set, $X = \mathbb{F}^\Gamma$ (= all functions $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$) with point-wise operations, so it is a vector space over \mathbb{F} . It is a HLCS.

┌

Důkaz

„Continuity of addition:“ $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma$, U a neighbourhood of $x + y \implies \exists F \subset \Gamma$ finite $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$U_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid \forall \gamma \in F : |z(\gamma) - (x(\gamma) + y(\gamma))| < \varepsilon\} \subset U$$

$$U_x = \left\{z \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid \forall \gamma \in F : |z(\gamma) - x(\gamma)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}$$

$$U_y = \left\{z \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid \forall \gamma \in F : |z(\gamma) - y(\gamma)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}$$

$\implies V_x$ is neighbourhood of x , and V_y is neighbourhood of y , and $U_x + U_y \subset U_0 \subset U$.
Thus $z_1 \in V_x, z_2 \in V_y \implies z_1 + z_2 \in U_0 \subset U$.

„Continuity of multiplication:“ $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}, x \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma, U$ a neighbourhood of $\lambda x \implies \exists F \subset \Gamma$ finite $\exists \mu > 0$ such that

$$U_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid \forall \gamma \in F : |z(\gamma) - \lambda x(\gamma)| < \varepsilon\} \subset U$$

$$|\mu z(\gamma) - \lambda x(\gamma)| \leq |\mu| \cdot |z(\gamma) - x(\gamma)| + |\mu - \lambda| \cdot |x(\gamma)|.$$

$$M := \max_{\gamma \in F} |x(\gamma)|.$$

$$V = \left\{\mu \in \mathbb{F} \mid |\mu - \lambda| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(M+1)}\right\}, \quad W = \left\{z \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid \forall \gamma \in F : |z(\gamma) - x(\gamma)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(|\lambda| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2(M+1)})}\right\}.$$

$$\mu \in V, z \in W \implies \mu z \in U_0 \subset U.$$

„Local convexity“: Base of neighbourhoods of \mathbf{o} : $\{x \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid \forall \gamma \in F : |x(\gamma)| < \varepsilon\}$, $F \subset \Gamma$ finite, $\varepsilon > 0$, consists of convex sets.

„Hausdorff“: $x \neq y \implies \exists \gamma \in \Gamma : x(\gamma) \neq y(\gamma)$. Take $\varepsilon = \frac{|x(\gamma) - y(\gamma)|}{2}$.

$$U = \{z \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid |z(\gamma) - x(\gamma)| < \varepsilon\}, V = \{z \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid |z(\gamma) - y(\gamma)| < \varepsilon\} \implies U \cap V = \emptyset.$$

└

□

$$X = C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{F}) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \text{ continuous}\},$$

$$\varrho(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \min \left\{ 1, \max_{t \in [-n, n]} |f(t) - g(t)| \right\} =: \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^N} \min \{1, p_N(f - g)\}$$

is translation invariant (that implies addition is continuous, see lecture) metric.

┌
Důkaz

$f_n \rightarrow f$ in $\varrho \Leftrightarrow \forall N : f_n \rightrightarrows f$ on $[-N, N]$.

„ $f_n \rightarrow f, \lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda \implies \lambda_n f_n \rightarrow \lambda f$ “: Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We will show $\lambda_n f_n \rightrightarrows \lambda f$ in $[-N, N]$.
 $x \in [-N, N]$:

$$|\lambda_n f_n(x) - \lambda f(x)| \leq |\lambda_n| \cdot |f_n(x) - f(x)| + |\lambda_n - \lambda| \cdot |f(x)| \leq c \cdot p_N(f_n - f) + |\lambda_n - \lambda| \cdot p_N(f) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, X is HTVS. „Local convexity“: $U_{N,\varepsilon} = \{f \in X | p_N(t) < \varepsilon\}$, clearly $U_{N,\varepsilon}$ is a convex set and $U_{N,\varepsilon}$ is neighbourhood of \mathbf{o} . If $\varepsilon < \lambda$, then $\{f | \varrho(f, \mathbf{o}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^N}\} \subset U_{N,\varepsilon}$, because for $\varrho(f, \mathbf{o}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^N}$ it is $\frac{1}{2^N} p_N(f) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^N}$. „they form a base“: $f \in U_{N,\varepsilon} \implies \varrho(f, \mathbf{o}) < \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^N}$. Hence fix $r > 0$ and take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{2^N} < \frac{r}{2}$. Then $U_{N,\frac{r}{2}} \subset \{f | \varrho(f, \mathbf{o}) < r\}$ \square

┌
 (Ω, Σ, μ) a measure space, $p \in (0, 1)$. $L^p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \text{ measurable} | \int |f|^p d\mu < \infty\}$ (we identify functions equal almost everywhere). $\varrho(f, g) = \int |f - g|^p d\mu$ is a metric making $X = L^p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ a HTVS (but not locally convex).

┌
Důkaz

„ ϱ is a metric“: „ Δ -inequality“: $a, b \in [0, \infty) : (a + b)^p \leq a^p + b^p$. (Fix $a \geq 0$, take $\varphi_a(b) = (a + b)^p - a^p - b^p \implies \varphi_a$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$, $\varphi_a(0) = 0$. For $b > 0$: $\varphi_a(b) = p(a + b)^{p-1} - pb^{p-1} = p \cdot ((a + b)^{p-1} - b^{p-1}) < 0$ as $p - 1 < 0 \implies \varphi_a$ decreasing on $[0, \infty)$ and $\varphi_a \leq 0$.)

φ is translation invariant \implies addition is continuous. „Multiplication“: We can see that $\varrho(\lambda f, \mathbf{o}) = |\lambda|^p \varrho(f, \mathbf{o})$. $f_n \rightarrow f, \lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$:

$$\varrho(\lambda_n f_n, \lambda f) \leq \varrho(\lambda_n f_n, \lambda_n f) + \varrho(\lambda_n f, \lambda f) = |\lambda_n|^p \varrho(f_n, f) + |\lambda_n - \lambda|^p \varrho(f, \mathbf{o}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, we have a HTVS. \square

Tvrzení 0.1 (Observation)

If (X, τ) is a LCS, then τ is translation invariant ($U \subset X, x \in X \implies (U \in \tau \Leftrightarrow x + U \in \tau)$). Hence τ is determined by $\tau(\mathbf{o})$.

Definice 0.3 (convex, symmetric, balanced, absolutely convex, and absorbing set)

X is a vector space, $A \subset X$. Then A is

- convex if $tx + (1 - t)y \in A$ for $x, y \in A, t \in [0, 1]$;
- symmetric if $A = -A$;
- balanced if $\alpha A \subset A$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}, |\alpha| \leq 1$;
- absolutely convex if it is convex and balanced;

- absorbing if $\forall x \in X \exists t > 0 : \{sX | s \in [0, t]\} \subset A$.

Definice 0.4

$\text{co}(A)$ = convex hull, $\text{b}(A)$ = balanced hull, $\text{aco}(A)$ = absolutely convex hull.

Tvrzení 0.2

X is a metric space over \mathbb{F} , $A \subset X$. Then:

- (a) If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, it holds A is absolutely convex $\Leftrightarrow A$ is convex and symmetric.
- (b) $\text{co } A = \{t_1x_1 + \dots + t_kx_k | x_1 \dots x_k \in A, t_1 \dots t_k \geq 0, t_1 + \dots + t_k = 1, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
- (c) $\text{b}(A) = \{\alpha x | x \in A, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}, |\alpha| \leq 1\}$.
- (d) $\text{aco}(A) = \text{co}(\text{b}(A))$.
- (e) A is convex $\Leftrightarrow (s+t)A = sA + tA$ for all $s, t > 0$.

Důkaz (a)

„ \Rightarrow “: trivial (and it also holds for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$). „ \Leftarrow “: Assume A is convex and symmetric. We show that A is balanced:

$$x \in A, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, |\alpha| \leq 1 \implies \alpha x \in A.$$

And $x \in A, -x \in A$, so the segment from x to $-x$ is contained in A ($\alpha x = \frac{1-\alpha}{2}(-x) + \frac{1+\alpha}{2}x \in A$). \square

Důkaz (b)

„ \subseteq “: by induction on k :

$$t_1x_1 + \dots + t_{k+1}x_{k+1} = (t_1 + \dots + t_k) \frac{t_1x_1 + \dots + t_kx_k}{t_1 + \dots + t_k} + t_{k+1}x_{k+1}.$$

„ \supseteq “: the set on the RHS is convex and contain A . \square

Důkaz (c)

„ \supseteq “: clear. „ \subseteq “: RHS is a balanced set. \square

Důkaz (d)

„ \supseteq “: clear. „ \subseteq “ the set on the RHS is absolutely continuous (Clearly RHS is convex. „balanced“: using (b) and (c): $\text{co}(\text{b}(A)) = \{t_1\alpha_1x_1 + \dots + t_k\alpha_kx_k | x_1, \dots, x_k \in A, |\alpha_j| \leq 1, t_j \geq 0, t_1 + \dots + t_k = 1\}$ is clearly balanced.) \square

┌

Důkaz (e)„ \implies “: „ \subseteq “: always, „ \supseteq “: $sa_1 + ta_2 = (s+t) \cdot \left(\frac{s}{s+t}a_1 + \frac{t}{s+t}a_2\right)$.„ \impliedby “: in particular $\forall t \in (0, 1): tA + (1-t)A \subset A$, it is the definition of convexity. \square

└

Tvrzení 0.3*Let (X, τ) be a LCS, $U \in \tau(\mathbf{o})$. Then**(i) U is absorbing.**(ii) $\exists V \in T(0) : V + V \subset U$.**(iii) $\exists V \in \tau(\mathbf{o})$ absolutely convex, open: $V \subset U$.*

┌

Důkaz (i) $x \in X \implies 0 \cdot x = \mathbf{o} \in U \implies \exists V$ a neighbourhood of 0 in $\mathbb{F} : V \cdot x \subset U \implies \exists t > 0 : [0, t] \subset V$

└

┌

Důkaz (ii) $\mathbf{o} + \mathbf{o} = \mathbf{o} \in U \implies \exists W_1, W_2$ neighbourhoods of $\mathbf{o} : W_1 + W \subset U$.Take $V = W_1 \cap W_2$. \square

└

┌

Důkaz $\exists U_0 \in \tau(\mathbf{o})$ convex, $U_0 \subset U : \mathbf{o} \cdot \mathbf{o} = \mathbf{o} \in U_0 \implies \exists c > 0 \exists W \in \tau(\mathbf{o})$ open : $\forall \lambda, |\lambda| < c : \lambda W \subset U_0$. $V_1 := \bigcup_{0 < |\lambda| < 1} \lambda W$. Then $V_1 \in \tau(0)$ open, balanced, $V_1 \subset U_0$. Let $V := \text{co } V_1$. Then V is absolutely convex (the previous proposition (d)), $V \subset U_0 \subset U$ (as V_0 is convex). $V \in \tau(\mathbf{o})$ as $V \supset V_1$. „ V is open“:

$$V = \bigcup \{t_1 x_1 + \dots + t_n x_n + t_{n+1} V_1 \mid t_1, \dots, t_{n+1} \geq 0, t_1 + \dots + t_{n+1} = 1, x_1, \dots, x_n \in V_1\}$$

└

 \square