

# 1 Area formula and coarea formula

## Věta 1.1

Let  $(P_1, \varrho_1)$ ,  $(P_2, \varrho_2)$  be metric spaces,  $s > 0$ , and  $f : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$  be  $\beta$ -Lipschitz. Then  $\varkappa^s(f(P_1)) \leq \beta^s \varkappa^s(P_1)$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

Choose  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $P_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_j$ ,  $\text{diam } A_j < \delta$ . Then we have  $f(P_1) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} f(A_j)$ ,  $\text{diam } f(A_j) < \beta \cdot \delta$ .

$$\varkappa^s(f(P_1), \beta \cdot \delta) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\text{diam } f(A_j))^s \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^s \cdot (\text{diam } A_j)^s = \beta^s \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\text{diam } A_j)^s.$$

It holds for all possible choices of  $(A_j)$ , so we can take infimum:

$$\varkappa^s(f(P_1)) \leftarrow \varkappa^s(f(P_1), \beta \cdot \delta) \leq \beta^s \inf_{(A_j)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\text{diam } A_j)^s = \beta^s \varkappa^s(P_1, \delta) \rightarrow \beta^s \varkappa^s(P_1).$$

└

□

## Lemma 1.2

Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \leq n$ , and  $L : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an injective linear mapping. Then for every  $\lambda_k$ -measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  it holds  $H^k(L(A)) = \sqrt{\det(L^T L)} \lambda_k(A)$ .

┌

*Důkaz* ( $\dim L(\mathbb{R}^k) = k$ )

We find linear isometry  $Q$  of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  onto  $L(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , from last semester

$$H^k(L(A)) = H^k(Q^{-1} \circ L(A)) = \lambda^k(Q^{-1} \circ L(A)) = |\det(Q^{-1} L)| \cdot \lambda_k(A).$$

$$(\det(Q^{-1} L))^2 = \det((Q^{-1} L)^T) \cdot \det(Q^{-1} L) = \det((Q^{-1} L)^T \cdot (Q^{-1} L)) = \det((\langle Q^{-1} L e^i, Q^{-1} L^T e^j \rangle)_{i,j}).$$

And because  $Q$  is isometry ( $\implies Q^{-1}$  is isometry), we can remove  $Q^{-1}$  from scalar product and we get  $\det(L^T L)$ . □

└

## Lemma 1.3

Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \leq n$ ,  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  be an open set,  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an injective regular mapping,  $x \in G$ , and  $\beta > 1$ . Then there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of the point  $x$  such that

- the mapping  $y \mapsto \varphi(\varphi'(x)^{-1}(y))$  is  $\beta$ -Lipschitz on  $\varphi'(x)(V)$ ;
- the mapping  $z \mapsto \varphi'(x)(\varphi^{-1}(z))$  is  $\beta$ -Lipschitz on  $\varphi(V)$ .

┌ *Důkaz*

$x, \beta$  fixed. We know, that there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$\forall v \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|\varphi'(x)(v)\| \geq \eta \cdot \|v\|.$$

We find  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\eta)$  such that  $\frac{2\varepsilon}{\eta} + 1 < \beta$ . We find a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $\forall y \in V : \|\varphi'(x) - \varphi'(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$ .

We show that for every  $u, v \in V$  we have

$$\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v) - \varphi'(x)(u - v)\| \leq \varepsilon \|u - v\|.$$

Fix  $v \in V$  and consider the mapping

$$g : w \mapsto \varphi(w) - \varphi(v) - \varphi'(x)(w - v).$$

For  $w \in V$  we have  $g'(w) = \varphi'(w) - \varphi'(x)$ :

$$\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v) - \varphi'(x)(u - v)\| = \|g(u) - g(v)\| \leq \sup \{\|g'(w)\| \mid w \in V\} \cdot \|u - v\| \leq \varepsilon \cdot \|u - v\|.$$

Further we show that for every  $u, v \in V$  we have

$$\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\| \geq \frac{1}{2}\eta \|u - v\|.$$

For  $u - v \in V$  we compute

$$\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\| \geq -\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v) - \varphi'(x)(u - v)\| + \|\varphi'(x)(u - v)\| \geq -\varepsilon \|u - v\| + \eta \|u - v\| \geq \frac{1}{2}\eta \|u - v\|.$$

„First point“: TODO (řádek nebyl k přečtení)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(x)(a)) - \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(x)(b))\| = \|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\| \leq \\ & \leq \|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v) - \varphi'(x)(u - v)\| + \|\varphi'(x)(u - v)\| \leq \\ & \leq \varepsilon \cdot \|u - v\| + \|\varphi'(x)(u - v)\| \leq \varepsilon \frac{1}{\eta} \|a - b\| + \|a - b\| = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta} + 1\right) \|a - b\| \leq \beta \cdot \|a - b\|. \end{aligned}$$

„Second point“:  $k, q \in \varphi(V)$ . We find  $u, v \in V$  such that  $\varphi(u) = p$  and  $\varphi(v) = q$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\varphi'(x)(\varphi^{-1}(p)) - \varphi'(x)(\varphi^{-1}(q))\| = \|\varphi'(x)(u) - \varphi'(x)(v)\| = \\ & = \|\varphi'(x)(u - v)\| \leq \|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v) - \varphi'(x)(u - v)\| + \|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\| \leq \\ & \leq \varepsilon \cdot \|u - v\| + \|p - q\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\eta} \|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\| + \|p - q\| = \left(\frac{2\varepsilon}{\eta} + 1\right) \|p - q\| \leq \beta \|p - q\|. \end{aligned}$$

└

□

### Lemma 1.4

Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \leq n$ ,  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  be an open set,  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an injective regular mapping,  $x \in G$ , and  $\alpha > 1$ . Then there exists a neighbourhood of  $x$  such that for every  $\lambda^k$ -measurable  $E \subset V$  we have

$$\alpha^{-1} \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \leq H^k(\varphi(E)) \leq \alpha \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t).$$

┌

*Důkaz*

Find  $\beta > 1$ ,  $\tau > 1$  such that  $\beta^k \tau < \alpha$ . By previous lemma we find a neighbourhood  $V_1$  of  $x$  such that the conclusion of the lemma holds for  $\beta$ . We find a neighbourhood  $V_2$  of  $x$  such that

$$\forall t \in V_2 : \tau^{-1} \text{vol } \varphi'(t) \leq \text{vol } \varphi'(x) \leq \tau \text{vol } \varphi'(x).$$

Set  $V = V_1 \cap V_2$ .

Assume that  $E \subset V$  is a  $\lambda^k$ -measurable set. We have

$$\tau^{-1} \text{vol } \varphi'(x) \cdot \lambda^k(E) \leq \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \leq \tau \text{vol } \varphi'(x) \lambda^k(E).$$

By lemma above we have  $\text{vol } \varphi'(t) \lambda^k(E) = H^k(\varphi'(x)(E))$ :

$$\tau^{-1} H^k(\varphi'(x)(E)) \leq \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \leq \tau H^k(\varphi'(x)(E)).$$

By previous lemma we get

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(\varphi(E)) &= H^k((\varphi \circ (\varphi'(x))^{-1} \circ \varphi'(x))(E)) \leq \beta^k H^k(\varphi'(x)(E)) \leq \beta^k H^k(\varphi'(x)(E)) \leq \\ &\leq \beta^k \tau \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \leq \alpha \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t). \end{aligned}$$

By lemma above we get

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(\varphi(E)) &\geq \beta^{-k} H^k((\varphi'(x) \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi)(E)) = \beta^{-k} H^k(\varphi'(x)(E)) \geq \\ &\geq \beta^{-k} \tau^{-1} \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \geq \alpha^{-1} \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t). \end{aligned}$$

└

□

### Věta 1.5

Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \leq n$ ,  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  be an open set,  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an injective regular mapping and  $f : \varphi(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $H^k$ -measurable. Then we have

$$\int_{\varphi(G)} f(x) dH^k(x) = \int_G f(\varphi(t)) \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t),$$

| *if the integral at the right side converges.* |

Důkaz

„ $\varphi^{-1}$  is well defined“: If  $H \subset G$  is open, then we can write  $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ , where  $K_n$  is compact for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have  $\varphi(H) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\varphi(K_n)}_{\text{compact}}$  is  $F_\sigma$ . This implies that

$\varphi^{-1}$  is Borel. The mappings  $\varphi, \varphi^{-1}$  are locally Lipschitz by lemma above. ( $\varphi(G)$  is Borel.)  $\varphi(G)$  is  $H^k$ - $\sigma$ -finite.

1. „ $f = \chi_L, L \subset \varphi(G)$  is  $H^k$ -measurable“: We show  $H^k(L) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(L)} \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t)$ . Choose  $\alpha > 1$ . By previous lemma we find for every  $y \in G$  neighbourhood  $V_y \subset G$  of the point  $y$  such that for every  $\lambda^k$ -measurable set  $E \subset V_y$  we have

$$\alpha^{-1} \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \leq H^k(\varphi(E)) \leq \alpha \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t).$$

We have  $\bigcup \{V_y | y \in G\} = G$ . There exists a sequence  $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} V_{y_j} = G$ . Using lemma from previous semester we find Borel sets  $B, N \subset \varphi(G)$  such that  $B \subset L \subset B \cup N$ ,  $H^k(N) = 0$ .

$\lambda^k(\varphi^{-1}(N)) = 0$ .  $\varphi^{-1}(B) \subset \varphi^{-1}(L) \subset \varphi^{-1}(B) \cup \varphi^{-1}(N) \implies \varphi^{-1}(L)$  is  $\lambda^k$ -measurable. We set

$$A_j = \varphi^{-1}(L) \cap \left( V_{y_j} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} V_{y_i} \right).$$

Then we have

- $A_j$  is  $\lambda^k$ -measurable;
- $A_j \subset V_{y_j}$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- $\forall j, j' \in \mathbb{N}, j \neq j' : A_j \cap A_{j'} = \emptyset$ ;
- $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j = \varphi^{-1}(L)$ ;
- for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\alpha^{-1} \int_{A_j} \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \leq H^k(\varphi(A_j)) \leq \alpha \int_{A_j} \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t).$$

From all except for second point we have

$$\alpha^{-1} \int_{\varphi^{-1}(L)} \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \leq \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^k(\varphi(A_j))}_{=H^k(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(A_j))=H^k(L)} \leq \alpha \int_{\varphi^{-1}(L)} \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t).$$

2. „ $f \geq 0$  simple  $H^k$ -measurable“: From linearity of integrals. 3. „ $f \geq 0$   $H^k$ -measurable“: we approximate  $f$  by  $0 \leq f_j \leq f_{j+1}$  simple functions and from Levi

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\varphi(G)} f_j(x) dH^k(x) = \int_{\varphi(G)} f(x) dH^k(x), \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_G f_j(\varphi(t)) \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) = \int_G f(\varphi(t)) \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t)$$

3. „ $f$   $H^k$ -measurable“: We add positive and negative part. □

### Věta 1.6 (Coarea formula)

Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k > n$ ,  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be Lipschitz mapping,  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $\lambda^k$ -integrable function. Then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(x) \sqrt{\det(\varphi'(x) \cdot (\varphi'(x))^T)} d\lambda^k(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\{y\})} f(x) dH^{k-n}(x) d\lambda^k(y)$$

### Věta 1.7

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $\lambda^k$ -integrable function. Then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(x) d\lambda^k(x) = \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^k, \|x\|=z} f(x) dH^{k-1}(x) \right) d\lambda^1(z).$$

Důkaz

By Coarea formula. □

## 2 Semicontinuous functions

### Definice 2.1

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$ . We say that  $f$  is lower semicontinuous (lsc), if the set  $\{x \in X | f(x) > a\}$  is open for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $f$  is upper semicontinuous (usc) if the set  $\{x \in X | f(x) < a\}$  is open for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

### Tvrzení 2.1 (Fact)

$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$f \text{ is lsc} \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in \mathbb{R} : \liminf_{t \rightarrow x} f(t) \geq x.$$

### Věta 2.2

Let  $X$  be a metrizable topological space and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$  be a function bounded from below. Then  $f$  is lsc if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $f_0 \leq f_1 \leq \dots$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$ .

┌ *Důkaz*

„ $\Leftarrow$ “: Choose  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $f(x_0) > a$ . There exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f_k(x_0) > a$ . Then there is an open set  $G \subset X$  such that  $x_0 \in G$  and  $f_k|_G > a$ . Thus we have  $f|_G \geq f_k|_G > a$ . So  $\{x \in X | f(x) > a\}$  is open.

„ $\Rightarrow$ “ The case „ $f \equiv \infty$ “: Then we consider  $f_n \equiv n$ . The case „ $f \not\equiv \infty$ “: Fix a compatible metric  $\varrho$  on  $X$ . We set  $f_n(x) = \inf \{f(y) + n \cdot \varrho(x, y) | y \in X\}$ . Then we have  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_0 \leq f_1 \leq \dots$ . We have

$$|f_n(x) - f_n(z)| \leq n \cdot \varrho(x, z) \Leftarrow$$

$$\Leftarrow f_n(x) - f_n(z) \leq f(y) + n \cdot \varrho(x, y) - (f(y) + n \cdot \varrho(y, z)) + \varepsilon = n(\varrho(x, y) - \varrho(y, z)) + \varepsilon \leq n \cdot \varrho(x, z) + \varepsilon.$$

So  $f_n$  is continuous.

„ $f_n \rightarrow f$ “: There exists  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x) \geq K$  for every  $x \in X$ . Fix  $x \in X$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we find  $y_n \in X$  such that  $f(y_n) \leq f(x) + \varepsilon$ . Then we have

$$\varrho(x, y_n) \leq \frac{1}{n} (f_n(x) + \varepsilon - f(y_n)) \leq \frac{1}{n} (f_n(x) + \varepsilon - K).$$

$f_n(x) \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow f(x) = \infty$ , since  $f_n(x) \leq f(x)$ .  $f_n(x)$  is bounded  $\Rightarrow y_n \rightarrow x$ , so we can find  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n \geq n_0 : f(y_n) > f(x) - \varepsilon$ . Then we have  $f(x) < f(y_n) + \varepsilon \leq f_n(x) + 2\varepsilon$ ,  $\lim f_n(x) \leq f(x) \leq \lim f_n(x) + 2\varepsilon$ , thus  $\lim f_n(x) = f(x)$ .  $\square$

└

### 3 Function of Baire class 1

#### Definice 3.1

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metrizable topological spaces, a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is of Baire class 1 ( $B_1$ -function) if for every open set  $U \subset Y$  the set  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $F_\sigma$ .

#### Věta 3.1 (Lebesgue–Hasudorff–Banach)

Let  $X$  be a metrizable topological space and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $B_1$ -function. Then there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $f_n \rightarrow f$ .

#### Lemma 3.2

Let  $X$  be a metrizable topological space and  $A \subset X$  be  $G_\delta$  and  $F_\sigma$ . Then  $\chi_A$  is point-wise limit of a sequence of continuous functions.

┌ *Důkaz*

$A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ ,  $X \setminus A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n$ ,  $F_n \subseteq F_{n+1}$ ,  $H_n \subseteq H_{n+1}$ . By Urysohn lemma there exists continuous function  $f_n : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f_n|_{H_n} = 0$  and  $f_n|_{F_n} = 1$ . Then  $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ .  $\square$

└

### Lemma 3.3

Let  $X$  be a metrizable topological space,  $p_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \in \omega$ , be a point-wise limit of a sequence of continuous functions. If the sequence  $\{p_n\}$  converges uniformly to  $p$ , then  $p$  is point-wise limit of continuous functions.

┌

*Důkaz*

Claim: If  $q_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \in \omega$ , is point-wise limit of continuous functions,  $\|q_n\|_\infty \leq 2^{-n}$ , then  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n$  is a point-wise limit of continuous functions.

Corollary: One can assume  $\|p - p_n\|_\infty \leq 2^{-(n+1)}$ .  $p = p_0 + \sum_{n=0}^\infty (p_{n+1} - p_n)$

$$\|p_{n+1} - p_n\|_\infty \leq \|p_{n+1} - p\| + \|p - p_n\| < 2^{-(n+2)} + 2^{-(n+1)} < 2^{-n}.$$

Proof of claim: For every  $n \in \omega$ , there exists a sequence of continuous functions  $\{q_i^n\}_{i=0}^\infty$  such that  $q_i^n \rightarrow q_n$  and moreover we may assume  $\|q_i^n\|_\infty \leq 2^{-n}$ . We set  $r_i = \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_i^n$ . The sum converges uniformly, so  $r_i$  is continuous for every  $i \in \omega$ .

Set  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We find  $N \in \omega$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty q_i^n(x) \right| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon, \quad \left| \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty q_n(x) \right| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

Then we have

$$\left| r_i(x) - \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n(x) \right| = \left| \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_i^n(x) - \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n(x) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{i=0}^N q_i^n(x) - q_n(x) \right| + \left| \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty q_i^n(x) - \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty q_n(x) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{n=0}^N (q_i^n - q_n)(x) \right|$$

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left| r_i(x) - \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n(x) \right| \leq \varepsilon \implies r_i(x) \rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n(x).$$

└

□

### Lemma 3.4 (Reduction theorem for $F_\sigma$ sets)

Let  $X$  be a metrizable topological space,  $A_n \subset X$  be an  $F_\sigma$  set for every  $n \in \omega$ . Then there are  $F_\sigma$  sets  $A_n^* \subset A_n$ , such that  $A_n^* \cap A_m^* = \emptyset$ , whenever  $n, m \in \omega$ ,  $n \neq m$ , and  $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty A_n = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty A_n^*$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

$A_n = \bigcup_{j=0}^\infty A_{n,j}$ ,  $A_{n,j}$  is closed.  $k \mapsto (k', k'')$  bijection of  $\omega \times \omega$  onto  $\omega$ .  $Q_k = A_{(k)_{0,(k)_j}} \setminus \bigcup_{l < k} A_{(l)_{0,(k)_1}}$ .  $(Q_k)_{k \in \omega}$  is sequence of  $F_\sigma$  sets, which is disjoint.  $A_n^* := \bigcup \{Q_k \mid (k)_0 = n\} \subseteq A_n$  is  $F_\sigma$  set,  $A_n^* \cap A_m^* = \emptyset$  if  $n \neq m$  and  $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty A_n^* = \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty Q_k = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty A_n$ . □

└

*Důkaz* (Of Lebesgue–Hasudorff–Banach theorem)

It is sufficient to prove result for  $g : X \rightarrow (0, 1)$ . Because if  $f \in B_1$ , then we set  $g = k \circ f$  where  $k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, 1)$  is homeomorphism. We find  $g_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , continuous and  $g_n \rightarrow g$ .



$$\tilde{g}_n := \min \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{1}{n}, g_n \right\}, 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right\}. \quad \tilde{g}_n(X) \subset \left( \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

Let  $g : X \rightarrow (0, 1)$  be  $B_1$ . For  $N \in \omega$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , and  $i \in [N - 2]$  we set

$$A_i^N := g^{-1} \left( \frac{i}{N}, \frac{i+2}{n} \right) \dots F_\omega, \quad \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-2} A_i^N = X.$$

$B_i^N \subset A_i^N$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{N-2} B_i^N = X$ ,  $B_i^N$  is  $F_\sigma$  and  $B_i^N \cap B_{i'}^N = \emptyset$ , whenever  $i \neq i'$ .  
 $g_N(x) := \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} \frac{1}{N} \chi_{B_i^N}(x)$ .  $g_N \rightrightarrows g$  ( $\|g - g_N\|_\infty \leq \frac{2}{N}$ ).  $\square$

### Věta 3.5 (Baire)

Let  $X$  be a metrizable topological space,  $Y$  be separable metrizable topological space, and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be  $B_1$ -function. Then the set of points of continuity of  $f$  is  $G_\delta$  and residual.

┌

*Důkaz*

$\{V_n\}$  open countable basis of  $Y$ .  $f$  is not continuous at  $x \Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \omega : x \in f^{-1}(V_n) \setminus \text{int } f^{-1}(V_n)$ .  
 $D(f) = \{x \in X \mid f \text{ is not continuous at } x\} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \underbrace{(f^{-1}(V_n) \setminus \text{int } f^{-1}(V_n))}_{\in F_\omega}$ .

$B = (f^{-1}(V_n) \setminus \text{int } f^{-1}(V_n)) = \bigcup_{k \in \omega} F_{n,k}$  is closed and  $\text{int } F_{n,k} = \emptyset$ , so  $F_{n,k}$  is nowhere dense. So  $B$  is meager. And complement of meager is residual.  $\square$

└

TODO!!!

TODO!!!

TODO!!!

*Důsledek*

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded approximately continuous function. Then  $f$  has Darboux property and is in  $B_1$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

The previous theorem gives that there exists a function  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F'(x) = f(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . So  $f$  has Darboux property.

$$„f \in B_1“: f(x) = F'(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x + \frac{1}{n}) - F(x)}{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

└

### Věta 3.6

There exists a differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the sets  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f'(x) > 0\}$  and  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f'(x) < 0\}$  are dense.

*Důkaz*

Let  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$  be countable, dense, and disjoint.  $A = \{a_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $B = \{b_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Observe that  $A$  and  $B$  are d-closed. Using theorem above we find for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  approximately continuous  $g_n$  and  $h_n$  such that  $g_n(a_n) = 1$ ,  $0 \leq g_n \leq 1$ ,  $g_n|_B = 0$ , similarly  $h_n(b_n) = 1$ ,  $0 \leq h_n \leq 1$ ,  $h_n|_A = 0$ .

We define  $\psi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} g_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} h_n$ .  $\psi$  is bounded.  $\psi$  is approximately continuous.  $\psi$  is positive on  $A$  and negative on  $B$ . By the previous theorem  $\exists f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f' = \psi$ .  $\square$

*Poznámka*

We say that differentiable function  $g$  is of Köpcke type if  $g'$  is bounded and the sets  $\{g' > 0\}$ ,  $\{g' < 0\}$  are dense.

*Poznámka*

$A$  and  $B$  are countable disjoint  $\implies A$  and  $B$  are  $\tau_d$ -closed. Towards contradiction assume that there exists  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  approximately continuous such that  $f|_A = 0$  and  $f|_B = 1 \implies f \in B_1 \implies f$  has comeagerly many points of continuity.

## 4 More on derivatives

### Definition 4.1 (Notation)

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a nonempty open interval. We denote

$$\Delta'(I) = \{f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ has an antiderivative on } I\}$$

### Věta 4.1 (Den?-Clarkson)

Let  $I$  be a nonempty open interval and  $f \in \Delta'(I)$ . Then  $f$  has Denj TODO!!!

TODO!!!