

Poznámka

There will be homework. We will discuss it on practicals (particular solutions are good).

Poznámka (What it is about)

Functional analysis generalizes Linear Algebra. This lecture generalizes (real) Analysis in \mathbb{R}^n ($Df(x_0) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is linear) by replacing \mathbb{R}^n with Banach spaces.

Příklad (Calculus of variations)

Know things: $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, differentiable has minimizer at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \implies f'(x_0) = 0$ (in \mathbb{R}^n : $Df(x_0) = 0$). Generalize it:

┌

Řešení

Trick: For example $F : u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - f u dx$, $W_g^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (g means bounded values). For any $\varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ consider $\varepsilon \mapsto F(u + \varepsilon\varphi)$, $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} F(u + \varepsilon\varphi) = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u + \varepsilon \nabla \varphi|^2 - f \cdot (u + \varepsilon\varphi) dx = \\ &= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - f u dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi - f \varphi dx + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx \right] = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi - f \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega)$:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \overset{\text{P.I.}}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}} \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} (\Delta u + f) \varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

$$\underset{\text{Fundamental lemma}}{\Delta} u + f = 0.$$

└

Příklad (Mapping degree)

Consider $f \in \mathcal{C}([-1, 1]; \mathbb{R})$. How many zeroes does f have? Let assume $f(-1) < 0 < f(1)$. Let assume $f \in \mathcal{C}^1$. And 0 is a regular value ($f(x_0) = 0 \implies f'(x_0) \neq 0$).

Řešení

From 0 to ∞ . After assumption: by intermediate value theorem at least 1. After second assumption: odd and finitely many. Moreover, the number of zeros with positive derivative minus the number of zeros with the negative one is 1, which is called degree of f .

Observation: In one dimension $\deg(f) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. $\deg(f)$ is invariant under perturbations. $\deg f$ depends on boundary values. Can be extended from \mathcal{C}^1 to \mathcal{C} (we take smooth perturbation).

Ad second observation: homotopy: $h : [0, 1] \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(s, x) \mapsto h_s(x)$ continuous $h_0 = f$, $h_1 = g$. And it is admissible if $h_s(-1) \neq 0$ and $h_s(1) \neq 0$ for all s .

There is generalization to \mathbb{R}^n , to Manifolds, and to Banach spaces. And we get „corollaries“: Fix point theorems, topological statements, inability to comb a hedgehog,

1 Derivatives in Banach spaces

1.1 The notion of a derivative

Poznámka (In \mathbb{R}^n)

Partial derivative, directional derivative, total derivative.

Definition 1.1 (Directional and Gateaux derivative)

Let X, Y be Banach spaces, $A \subset X$ open, $f : A \rightarrow Y$. For any $x_0 \in A$, $v \in X$ if

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}(x_0) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + hv) - f(x_0)}{h}$$

exists, we call it directional derivative (at x_0 , in direction v).

If $v \mapsto \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}(x_0)$ is a continuous linear operator from X to Y , we denote it by $\partial f(x_0)$ and call it the Gateaux derivative (at x_0).

Poznámka (Notation)

Some authors omit continuous and linear, i.e. for them directional \Leftrightarrow Gateaux.

Some use df or Df instead of ∂f .

We will write $\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}(x_0) = \partial f(x_0) \langle v \rangle$. ($\langle \cdot \rangle$ for linear arguments.)

Například

Consider $F : L^2([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^2([0, 1])$, $u \mapsto F(u)$, $F(u)(x) := \sin(u(x))$. It is continuous ($\|F(u) - F(v)\|_{L^2}^2 = \int |\sin(u(x)) - \sin(v(x))|^2 \leq \int |u(x) - v(x)|^2$). Fix $\varphi \in L^2([0, 1])$ and calculate:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi}(u) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin(u(\cdot) + h\varphi(\cdot)) - \sin(u(\cdot))}{h} = \cos(u(\cdot)) \cdot \varphi(\cdot)$$

point-wise almost everywhere and by domain convergence everywhere.

$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi}$ is linear in φ and bounded $\implies F$ is Gateaux differentiable. Consider $u \mapsto \frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi}(u)$ for fixed φ . It is continuous.

Is ∂F a good linear approximation? I.e. $\|F(u + \varphi) - F(u) - \partial F(u) \langle \varphi \rangle\|_{L^2} \stackrel{?}{=} o(\|\varphi\|_{L^2})$.
No: Pick $u = 0$ $\varphi_k = \pi \chi_{[0, \frac{1}{k}]}$, then $\|\varphi_k\|_2 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{k} \pi^2} \rightarrow 0$.

$$F(u + \varphi_k)(x) = \begin{cases} \sin(0), & x > \frac{1}{k}, \\ \sin(\pi), & x \leq \frac{1}{k}. \end{cases} = 0.$$

$$\|\dots\| = \|0 - 0 - \partial F(0) \langle \varphi_k \rangle\|_{L^2} = \|\varphi_k\|_{L^2} \notin o(\|\varphi_k\|_{L^2}).$$

Definice 1.2 (Fréchet derivative)

Let X, Y be Banach, $A \subset X$ open $f : A \rightarrow Y$. For any $x_0 \in A$ if there exists $Df(x_0) \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ such that

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x_0 + v) - f(x_0) - Df(x_0) \langle v \rangle\|_Y}{\|v\|_X} = 0$$

then $Df(x_0)$ is called Fréchet derivative.

Lemma 1.1 (Fréchet \implies Gateaux)

X, Y Banach spaces, $A \subset X$ open, $f : A \rightarrow Y$. If F is Fréchet differentiable at x_0 , it is also Gateaux differentiable with $\partial f(x_0) = Df(x_0)$.

┌ *Důkaz*
└ Trivial. □

Definice 1.3 (Gradient)

Let H be a Hilbert space, $A \subset H$ open $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If f is Gateaux differentiable at $x_0 \in A$, then the unique $\nabla f(x_0) \in H$ such that $\langle \nabla f(x_0), v \rangle_H = \partial f(x_0) \langle v \rangle \quad \forall v \in H$ is called the gradient of f at x_0 .

Poznámka (Gradients in different spaces)

Derivatives are „independent“ of the space used: $X_1 \hookrightarrow X_2$, $Y_1 \hookrightarrow Y_2$ Banach, $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$, $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ such that $f_2|_{X_1} = f_1$. Then $Df_2(x_0)|_{X_1} = Df_1(x_0)$, if both exist.

For Hilbert spaces $H_1 \hookrightarrow H_2$:

$$\langle a, v \rangle_{H_1} = \langle b, v \rangle_{H_2} \quad \forall v \in H_1 \Rightarrow a = b.$$

$\Rightarrow \nabla f$ depends on the space! Notation $\nabla_H f(x_0)$.

One can define „formal gradients“: Let X Banach, H Hilbert, $X \hookrightarrow H$. $f : A \subset X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Gateaux differentiable. Then there might be $\nabla f(x_0) \in H$ such that

$$\langle v, \nabla f(x_0) \rangle_H = Df(x_0)(v) \quad \forall v \in X.$$

If X is dense in H , then $\nabla f(x_0)$ is unique.

Classically gradients are associated inner product, but principle works with dual pairings, $(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^p \times L^q}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1)$.

1.2 Calculation rules

Tvrzení 1.2 (Chain rule)

Let X, Y, Z be Banach, $A \subset X$, $B \subset Y$ open, $f : B \rightarrow Z$, $g : A \rightarrow B$, $x_0 \in A$, $y_0 := g(x_0)$.

1. If f is Fréchet differentiable at y_0 and g is Gateaux differentiable at x_0 , then $f \circ g$ is Gateaux differentiable at x_0 with $\forall v \in X : \partial(f \circ g)(x_0) \langle v \rangle = Df(y_0) \langle \partial g(x_0) \langle v \rangle \rangle$.
2. If g is additionally Fréchet differentiable, then so is $f \circ g$.

┌
Důkaz (1.)

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{f(g(x_0 + hv)) - f(g(x_0))}{h} - Df(y_0) \langle \partial g(x_0) \langle v \rangle \rangle \right\|_Z \leq \\ & \leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{f(g(x_0 + hv) + y_0 - g(x_0)) - f(y_0) - Df(y_0) \langle g(x_0 + hv) - g(x_0) \rangle}{h} \right\|_Z + \\ & \quad + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \underbrace{\left\| Df(y_0) \left\langle \partial g(x_0) \langle v \rangle - \frac{g(x_0 + hv) - g(x_0)}{h} \right\rangle \right\|_Z}_{\rightarrow 0} = \\ & = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x_0 + g(x_0 + hv) - g(x_0)) - f(y_0) - Df(y_0) \langle g(x_0 + hv) - g(x_0) \rangle\|_Z}{\|g(x_0 + hv) - g(x_0)\|_Y} \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot \frac{\|g(x_0 + hv) - g(x_0)\|_Y}{h} = 0 \cdot \|\partial g(x_0) \langle v \rangle\|. \end{aligned}$$

└

┌
Důkaz (2.)

└ Last convergence in 1. is independent of v .
└

Lemma 1.3 (Mean value)

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, Y Banach, $f : I \rightarrow Y$ differentiable, $a \in Y$. Then $\forall x, y \in I$, $x > y$, $\exists \xi \in [y, x]$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} - a \right\|_Y \leq \|f'(\xi) - a\|_Y.$$

┌

Důkaz

By Hahn–Banach $\exists \varphi \in Y^*$ such that

$$* := \left\| \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} - a \right\|_Y = \varphi \left\langle \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} - a \right\rangle \wedge \|\varphi\|_{Y^*} = 1.$$

Define $\Psi : [y, x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $s \mapsto \varphi \langle f(s) - s \cdot a \rangle$. Then

$$* = \frac{\varphi \langle f(x) \rangle - \varphi \langle f(y) \rangle}{x - y} - \frac{x - y}{x - y} \varphi \langle a \rangle = \frac{\psi(x) - \psi(y)}{x - y} \stackrel{\text{Mean value theorem}}{=} \psi'(\xi) \stackrel{\text{Chain rule}}{=}$$

$$\varphi \langle f'(\xi) - a \rangle \leq \|f'(\xi) - a\|_Y.$$

└

□

Tvrzení 1.4 (Product spaces)

Let X_1, X_2, Y be Banach, $f : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y$. Let $x_1 \in X_1$, $x_2 \in X_2$, and denote by $\partial_1 f(x_1, x_2)$ the Gateaux derivative of $x \mapsto f(x, x_2)$ at x_1 , by $\partial_2 f(x_1, x_2)$ the Gateaux derivative of $x \mapsto f(x_1, x)$ and similarly $D_1 f(x_1, x_2)$ and $D_2 f(x_1, x_2)$.

1. If f is Gateaux differentiable at (x_1, x_2) then $\partial_1 f(x_1, x_2)$, $\partial_2 f(x_1, x_2)$ exists and we have

$$\forall v_1 \in X_1, v_2 \in X_2 : \partial f(x_1, x_2) \langle (v_1, v_2) \rangle = \partial_1 f(x_1, x_2) \langle v_1 \rangle + \partial_2 f(x_1, x_2) \langle v_2 \rangle.$$

2. If $\partial_1 f$ and $\partial_2 f$ exists at (x_1, x_2) and one of them is continuous (as a function $X_1 \times X_2 \mapsto \mathcal{L}(X_i; Y)$) then f is Gateaux differentiable.

3. The previous points hold also for Fréchet derivation.

┌

Důkaz (1.)

From definition:

$$\partial_1 f(x_1, x_2) = \partial f(x_1, x_2) \langle (v_1, 0) \rangle = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2) - f(x_1, x_2)}{h}.$$

└

□

Důkaz (2.)

WLOG $\partial_2 f$ is continuous.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2 + hv_2) - f(x_1, x_2)}{h} - \partial_1 f(x_1, x_2) \langle v_1 \rangle - \partial_2 f(x_1, x_2) \langle v_2 \rangle \right\|_Y \leq \\
& \leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \underbrace{\left\| \frac{f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2) - f(x_1, x_2)}{h} - \partial_1 f(x_1, x_2) \langle v_1 \rangle \right\|_Y}_{\rightarrow 0} + \\
& + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \underbrace{\left\| \frac{f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2 + hv_2) - f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2)}{h} - \partial_2 f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2) \langle v_2 \rangle \right\|_Y}_{*} + \\
& + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \underbrace{\| \partial_2 f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2) \langle v_2 \rangle - \partial_2 f(x_1, x_2) \langle v_2 \rangle \|_Y}_{\rightarrow 0} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Consider $\psi : s \mapsto f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2 + sv_2)$.

$$* \leq \sup_{\xi \in [0, h]} \| \partial_2 f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2 + \xi v_2) \langle v_2 \rangle - \partial_2 f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2) \langle v_2 \rangle \| \rightarrow 0$$

by continuous of $\partial_2 f$. □

Důkaz (3.)

Similarly. □

1.3 Inverse and implicit function theorem

Věta 1.5 (Inverse function theorem)

Let $X, Y, A \subset X$ open, $f : A \rightarrow Y$ continuously Fréchet differentiable. If $x_0 \in A$ such that $Df(x_0) : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism then there exists $U \subset A, V \subset Y$ such that $f|_U : U \rightarrow V$ is bijection and $(f|_U)^{-1}$ is Fréchet differentiable with

$$D(f^{-1})(y_0) = (Df(x_0))^{-1}, \quad y_0 := f(x_0).$$

Důkaz (Inverse function theorem)

Given \hat{y} close to $f(x_0)$ find \hat{x} such that $f(\hat{x}) = \hat{y}$. Idea: fix \hat{y} try x : error in y is $f(x) - \hat{y}$ and error in x is $(Df(x_0))^{-1} \langle f(x) - \hat{y} \rangle$. Therefore try iteration:

$$F_{\hat{y}}(x) := x - (Df(x_0))^{-1} \langle f(x) - \hat{y} \rangle.$$

If $F_{\hat{y}}$ has fix point \hat{x} then $\hat{x} = F_{\hat{y}}(\hat{x}) = \hat{x} - (Df(x_0))^{-1} \langle f(\hat{x}) - \hat{y} \rangle \implies f(\hat{x}) = \hat{y}$. So we use Banach fixed point theorem: „ $F_{\hat{y}}$ is contraction“: $(x_1, x_2 \in B_{\delta}(x_0))$

$$\|F_{\hat{y}}(x_1) - F_{\hat{y}}(x_2)\|_X = \|x_1 - x_2 - (Df(x_0))^{-1} \langle f(x_1) - f(x_2) \rangle\|_X =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \|(Df(x_0))^{-1} \langle Df(x_0) \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle + f(x_1) - f(x_2) \rangle\|_X \leq \\
&\leq \|(Df(x_0))^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y, X)} \cdot \|Df(x_0) \langle x_1 - x_2 \rangle + f(x_1) - f(x_2)\|_Y = *
\end{aligned}$$

Consider $a := Df(x_0) \langle x_1 - x_2 \rangle$. $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$, $f(1 - \xi)x_1 + \xi x_2$ and apply Mennroltz? lemma.

$$\begin{aligned}
* &\leq \|(Df(x_0))^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y, X)} \cdot \|Df(x_0) \langle x_1 - x_2 \rangle - Df((1 - \xi)x_1 + \xi x_2) \langle x_2 - x_1 \rangle\|_Y \leq \\
&\leq \|(Df(x_0))^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y, X)} \cdot \sup_{x \in B_0(x_0)} \|Df(x_0) - Df(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \cdot \|x_1 - x_2\|_X \ll 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\|F_{\hat{y}}(x) - x_0\|_X = \|F_{\hat{y}}(x) - F_{\hat{y}}(x_j)\|_X + \|F_{\hat{y}}(x_0) - x_0\|_X \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x - x_0\|_X + \|(Df(x_0))^{-1}\| \cdot \|\hat{y} - x_0\|$$

$\|\hat{y} - x_0\|$ can chosen to be small $\implies F_{\hat{y}}$ maps $\overline{B_\delta(x_0)}$ to $\overline{B_\delta(x_0)}$ $\implies F_{\hat{y}}$ has unique fix point.

Next „regularity“: ($y_1 := f(x_1)$, $y_2 := f(x_2)$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|f^{-1}(y_1) - f^{-1}(y_2)\|_X = \|F_{y_1}(x_1) - F_{y_2}(x_2)\|_X \leq \\
&\leq \|F_{y_1}(x_1) - F_{y_1}(x_2)\|_X + \|F_{y_1}(x_2) - F_{y_2}(x_2)\|_X \leq \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\|x_1 - x_2\|_X + \|(Df(x_0))^{-1} \langle y_1 - y_2 \rangle\|_X \leq \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\|x_1 + x_2\|_X}_{= \|f^{-1}(y_1) - f^{-1}(y_2)\|} + c \cdot \text{TODO!!!} \\
&\implies \frac{1}{2}\|f^{-1}(x_1) - f^{-1}(x_2)\|_X \leq c \cdot \|y_1 - y_2\|_Y \implies f^{-1} \text{ is Lipschitz.}
\end{aligned}$$

Pick δ so small that

$$\|Df(x) - Df(x_0)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\|(Df(x_0))^{-1}\|} \quad \forall x \in B_\delta(x_0).$$

$\implies (Df(x))^{-1}$ exists and is uniformly bounded (from functional analysis).

$$\| \underbrace{f^{-1}(y + w) - f^{-1}(y)}_{=:v} - (Df(x))^{-1} \langle w \rangle \|$$

$$(f(x + v) + f(x) = f(f^{-1}(y + w)) - y = w)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v - (Df(x)) \langle f(x + v) - f(x) \rangle\| &= \|(Df(x))^{-1} \langle Df(x) \langle v \rangle - f(x + v) + f(x) \rangle\| \leq \\
&\leq \|(Df(x))^{-1}\| \cdot \sigma(\|v\|) \leq \sigma(\|w\|)
\end{aligned}$$

because f^{-1} is Lipschitz.

„Continuity of Df^{-1} “ follows from continuity of f^{-1} , $Df(\cdot)$ and $(\cdot)^{-1}$. □

Věta 1.6 (Global inverse function theorem)

Let X, Y Banach, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ continuously Fréchet differentiable and $(Df(x))^{-1}$ exists, depends continuously on X and $c > 0$ such that $\|(Df(x))^{-1}\| < c \ \forall x \in X$. Then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a diffeomorphism.

┌

Důkaz

Last theorem $\implies f$ is a local diffeomorphism. Left to show: f is bijective. „Surjectivity“: Fix $x_0 \in X, y_0 \in Y$. Let $y \in Y, \varphi(t) = y_0 + t(y - y_0), t \in [0, 1]$. Goal: find $\psi(t)$ continuous, such that $\varphi(t) = f(\psi(t))$ (then $y = f(\varphi(t))$) (so called lifting). Local diffeomorphism implies ψ exists on $[0, \delta]$, in fact if Y is defined on $[0, t_0]$, it can be extended to $[0, t_0 + \delta]$. Similarly, if ψ is defined on $[0, t_0]$, per chain rule:

$$\|\psi'(t)\| = \|Df^{-1}(\varphi(t))\langle\varphi'(t)\rangle\| < c.$$

ψ is Lipschitz, $\lim_{t \nearrow t_0} \psi(t)$ is well defined and ψ can be extended to $[0, t_0]$. From Zorn lemma Ψ is defined on $[0, 1]$.

„Injectivity“: Assume $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = y$. Pick $\psi_1(t) := x_1 + t(x_2 - x_1)$. $\varphi_1(t) = f(\psi_1(t))$. Define $\varphi_s(t) = s\varphi_1(t) + (1 - s)y$ ($t, s \in [0, 1]$). Similar to before (homework) $\exists \psi_s(t)$ continuous in s and t , such that $f(\psi_s(t)) = \varphi_s(t)$. But then

$$x_1 = \psi_1(0) = \psi_s(0) = \psi_0(0) = \psi_0(t) = \psi_0(1) = \psi_s(1) = \psi_1(1) = x_2.$$

└

□

Věta 1.7 (Implicit function theorem)

Let X_1, X_2, Y Banach, $A_1 \subset X_1, A_2 \subset X_2$ open, $f : A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow Y$ continuously Fréchet differentiable and exists $\hat{x}_1 \in A_1$ and $\hat{x}_2 \in A_2$ with $f(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = 0$. If $D_2f(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)$ is an isomorphism (between X_2 and Y), then are neighbourhoods U_1, U_2 of x_1, x_2 such that $\forall \hat{x}_1 \in U_1 \ \exists! \hat{x}_2 \in U_2$ with $f(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = 0$.

If we call $\hat{x}_2 = g(x_1)$, then g is continuously Fréchet differentiable with $Dg(x) = -(D_2f(x, g(x)))^{-1} \circ D_1f(x, g(x))$.

┌

Důkaz

Apply the inverse function theorem to

$$F(x_1, x_2) := (x_1, (D(f(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)))^{-1}\langle f(x_1, x_2) \rangle).$$

└

□

2 Classical calculus of variations

2.1 The first variation

Definice 2.1 (Local minimum/maximum, critical point)

Let X be a Banach space, $\mathcal{A} \subset X$ and $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a functional. We call a point $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ a local minimum/maximum of \mathcal{F} if there is a neighbourhood U of x_0 in \mathcal{A} such that $\inf_{x \in U} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(x_0)$ or $\sup_{x \in U} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(x_0)$ respectively.

We call $x_0 \in \text{int } \mathcal{A}$ a critical point of \mathcal{F} if \mathcal{F} is Gateaux differentiable at x_0 and $\partial \mathcal{F}(x_0) = 0$.

Lemma 2.1 (Extremas are critical points)

Let X be a Banach space, $\mathcal{A} \subset X$ open and $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a functional. Assume that $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ is a local minimum or maximum of \mathcal{F} at which \mathcal{F} is Gateaux-differentiable. Then x_0 is also a critical point.

┌

Důkaz

If we replace \mathcal{F} with $-\mathcal{F}$, the roles of minimum and maximum switch, while the concept of a critical point stays the same. Thus WLOG we have local minima. Pick $v \in X$. Then the definition of local minimum $\mathcal{F}(x_0 + \varepsilon \cdot v) \geq \mathcal{F}(x_0)$ for all ε small enough ($|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_0 > 0$). Thus the map $\Psi : [-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon \mapsto \mathcal{F}(x_0 + \varepsilon \cdot v)$ needs to have a local minimum at 0. So by the definition of Gateaux differentiability of \mathcal{F} we then have $0 = \Psi'(0) = \delta \mathcal{F}(x_0) \langle v \rangle$. Since v was arbitrary, $\delta \mathcal{F}(x_0) = 0$ and it is the definition of critical point. \square

└

Lemma 2.2 (Fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain and $g \in C^0(\Omega)$. If $\int_{\Omega} g(x) \cdot \varphi(x) dx = 0$ for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, then $g = 0$.

┌

Důkaz

We proceed by contradiction. If $g \neq 0$ we can without loss of generality assume that we have $g(x_0) > 0$ for some point $x_0 \in \Omega$, otherwise we would just consider $-g$ in place of g . Since we assumed g to be continuous, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $g(x) > \frac{1}{2}g(x_0)$ for all $x \in B_\delta(x_0) \subset \Omega$.

Now pick $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; [0, \infty))$ with $\text{supp } \varphi \subset B_\delta(x_0)$ and $\int_{B_\delta(x_0)} \varphi dx = 1$. Then

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} g(x) \varphi(x) dx = \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} g(x) \varphi(x) dx \geq \int_{B_\delta(x_0)} \frac{g(x_0)}{2} \varphi(x) dx = \frac{g(x_0)}{2} > 0. \quad \text{!}$$

└

\square

Tvrzení 2.3 (Euler–Lagrange equation)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain with Lipschitz boundary and $\mathcal{F} : C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x), Du(x)) dx$ a functional such that f is in $C^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$. If $u \in C^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ is a critical point of \mathcal{F} for fixed boundary data, then u solves the following system of partial

differential equations:

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{ji}}(x, u(x), Du(x)) \right) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(x, u(x), Du(x)) \quad \forall j \in [m].$$

┌

Důkaz

Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$. From the definition of critical point and the chain rule, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta \mathcal{F}(u) \langle \varphi \rangle = \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x) + \varepsilon \cdot \varphi, Du(x) + \varepsilon \cdot D\varphi) dx = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} f(x, u(x) + \varepsilon \cdot \varphi, Du(x) + \varepsilon \cdot D\varphi) dx = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(x, u(x), Du(x)) \varphi_j(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{ji}}(x, u(x), Du(x)) \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial x_i}(x) dx = *, \end{aligned}$$

where we are allowed to exchange integration and differentiation by the dominated convergence theorem, as all partial derivatives of f are bounded.

Now, since the functions are differentiable once more, we can perform a partial integration to get

$$\begin{aligned} * &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(x, u(x), Du(x)) \varphi_j(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{ji}}(x, u(x), Du(x)) \right) \varphi_j(x) dx + \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{ji}}(x, u(x), Du(x)) \varphi_j(x) \nu_i(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

But since φ is compactly supported, that last boundary term vanishes and we are left with

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(x, u(x), Du(x)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{ji}}(x, u(x), Du(x)) \right) \right) \varphi_j(x) dx$$

to which we can apply the fundamental lemma (setting $\varphi_j = 0$ in all but one component each time). □

Poznámka (Weak solution to the Euler–Lagrange equation)

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{ji}}(x, u(x), Du(x)) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(x, u(x), Du(x)) dx \quad \forall \varphi \text{ reasonable.}$$

TODO? (Example: Brachistochrone problem)

2.2 Useful auxiliary results

Tvrzení 2.4 (Noether–type theorem)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $F(u) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du)$ with $f \in C^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ and $(\psi_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \subset C^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a smooth family with $\psi_0 = \text{id}$, such that

$$f(x, \psi_s \circ u, D(\psi_s \circ u)) = f(x, u, Du).$$

Then there exists a conservation $0 \neq Q : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\text{div}(Q(x, u, Du)) = 0 \forall$ critical points of u .

┌

Důkaz

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} f(x, \psi_s \circ u, D(\psi_s \circ u)) = \\ &= \sum_i \left. \frac{\partial \psi_s^i}{\partial s} \right|_{s=0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^i}(x, u, Du) + \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_s^j}{\partial s \partial y^j} \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p^{ij}}(x, u, Du) = \\ &= \sum_i \left. \frac{\partial \psi_s^i}{\partial s} \right|_{s=0} \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p^{ij}}(x, u, Du) \right) + \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_s^j}{\partial s \partial y^j} \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p^{ij}}(x, u, Du) = \\ &= \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left(\sum_i \left. \frac{\partial(\psi_s^i \circ u)}{\partial s} \right|_{s=0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p^{ij}}(x, u, Du) \right). \end{aligned}$$

└

□

Příklad (Particle in potential well)

$y : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ position of a particle, $V : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a physical potential. $F(u) := \int_I \frac{m}{2} |\dot{y}|^2 - V(y) dt$ (Physics: critical points are behaviour of a ion particle). El eg: $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} + \frac{d}{dt}(m\dot{y}^i) = 0 \implies m\ddot{y} = -\nabla V(y)$.

Assume that V is invariant under rotations, i.e. $V(R(\theta)y) = V(y)$, where $R(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$. And always $|\frac{d}{dt} R(\theta)y|^2 = y^T R(\theta)^T R(\theta)y$. \implies (Noether)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left. \frac{dR(\theta)}{d\theta} \right|_{\theta=0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p}(y, \dot{y}) \right) = \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} y \right) \cdot m\dot{y} = m(y_1\dot{y}_2 - y_2\dot{y}_1). \end{aligned}$$

(Which is angular momentum.)

Poznámka (Conservation law in $n + 1$ dimensions)

If we single out one direction as time, e.g. $(t, x) = (t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then the conservation law reads as (Q_0 – conserved quantity, \bar{Q} – conservation current.)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q_0 + \operatorname{div}_x(\bar{Q}) = 0. \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} Q_0 = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}_x \bar{Q}.$$

Tvrzení 2.5 (2nd Variation)

Let X be Banach space $A \subset X$ open, $F : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

1. If $x_0 \in A$ is local minimizer of F and F is twice Gateaux differentiable in x_0 , then $\partial^2 F(x) \langle v, v \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in X$;
2. If x_0 is critical point of F and F is twice Fréchet differentiable and $D^2 F(x_0) \langle v, v \rangle \geq c \cdot \|v\|^2 \quad \forall v \in X$ with c independent of v , then x_0 is a local minimum.

┌

Důkaz

„1.“: Consider $\varphi : \varepsilon \mapsto F(x_0 + \varepsilon \cdot v)$, if x_0 is local minimum of F , then 0 is local minimum of $\varphi \implies$

$$\implies 0 \leq \varphi''(0) = \frac{d^2}{d\varepsilon^2} \big|_{\varepsilon} F(x_0 + \varepsilon v) = \partial^2 F(x_0) \langle v, v \rangle.$$

„2.“: By continuity $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $D^2 F(x) \langle v, v \rangle \geq \frac{c}{2} \|v\|^2 \quad \forall v \in X \quad \forall x \in B_{\delta}(x_0)$. Pick $x \in B_{\delta}(x_0)$, define $\psi(t) := x_0 + t(x - x_0)$, $H(t) := J(\psi(t))$.

$$H(j) - H(0) = \int_0^1 1 \cdot H'(t) dt \stackrel{BP'}{=} H'(0) + \int_0^1 (1-t) H''(t) dt = (*).$$

$$H'(t) = DF(\psi(t)) \langle x - x_0 \rangle \implies H'(0) = 0.$$

$$H''(t) = D^2 F(\psi(t)) \langle x - x_0, x - x_0 \rangle \geq 0.$$

$$\implies (*) \geq 0 \implies F(x) \geq F(x_0) \quad \forall x \in B_{\delta}(x_0).$$

└

□

Poznámka (Lebesgue–Hadamard)

If $F(u) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du)$, then $D^2 F(u) \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle$ includes

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{ijkl} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{ij}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{kl}}(x, u, Du) \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial x_l} ds.$$

This is the dominant term. Even more, its enough:

$$\sum_{ijkl} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{ij}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{kl}}(x, u, Du) \xi^i \xi^j \eta^k \eta^l \geq c \cdot |\xi|^2 \cdot |\eta|^2.$$

2.3 Lagrange multipliers

Tvrzení 2.6 (Lagrange multipliers)

Let X Banach, $A \subset X$ open $F, G : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous Fréchet differentiable. Let x_0 be a local minimizer of $F|_{\{G=0\}}$ with $DG(x) \neq 0$. Then $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $DF(x_0) + \lambda DG(x_0) = 0$.

λ is called the Lagrange multiplier, any x_0 that satisfies this equation is called critical point.

┌

Důkaz

Pick $\eta \notin \text{Ker } DG(x_0)$. Then any $x \in X$ can be decomposed into $x_0 + \tilde{x} + r \cdot \eta$, where $\tilde{x} \in \text{Ker } DG(x_0)$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right|_{(\tilde{x}, r) = \mathbf{o}} G(x_0 + \tilde{x} + r \cdot \eta) = DG(x_0) \langle \eta \rangle \neq 0 \implies$$

$$\implies \exists \varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ where } U \subset \text{Ker } DG(x_0), \mathbf{o} \in U \text{ and } G(x_0 + \tilde{x} + \varphi(\tilde{x}) \cdot \eta) = 0 \quad \forall \tilde{x} \in U.$$

Now pick $v \in \text{Ker } DG(x_0)$ and consider $\psi : [-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon \mapsto F(x_0 + \varepsilon \cdot v + \eta \cdot \varphi(\varepsilon \cdot v)) \in \{G(\cdot) = 0\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} \psi := DF(x_0) \langle v \rangle + DF(x_0) \langle \eta D\varphi(0) \langle v \rangle \rangle = \\ &= DF(x_0) \langle v \rangle + DF(x_0) \langle \eta \rangle D\varphi(0) \langle v \rangle. \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

$$0 = \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} G(x_0 + \varepsilon \cdot v + \eta \cdot \varphi(\varepsilon \cdot v)) = DG(x_0) \langle v \rangle + \underbrace{DG(x_0) \langle \eta \rangle}_{\neq 0} D\varphi(0) \langle v \rangle.$$

$$(*) = DF(x_0) \langle v \rangle - \underbrace{\frac{DF(x_0) \langle \eta \rangle}{DG(x_0) \langle \eta \rangle}}_{=\lambda} \cdot DG(x_0) \langle v \rangle.$$

└

□

Příklad (Principal eigenvalue of Δ)

Consider $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ domain, bounded. Minimize $F(u) := \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |Du|^2$, $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, under constraint $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 = 1$, i.e. $G(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int |u|^2 dx - 1 = 0$.

┌

Řešení

We are looking for $u_1 \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \varphi \in W_0^{2,2}(\Omega) : 0 &= DF(u_1) \langle \varphi \rangle + \lambda_1 DG(u_1) \langle \varphi \rangle = \\ &= \langle \nabla u_1, \nabla \varphi \rangle_{L^2} + \lambda_1 \langle u_1, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

I.e. a weak solution to $\Delta u_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$ in Ω and $u_1 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Additionally take $\varphi = u_1 \implies$

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^2}{\int_{\Omega} |u_1|^2} \implies \lambda_1 \text{ is largest eigenvalue.}$$

└

Příklad (Stokes problem)

Minimize $F(u) := \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - f u dx$ in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ under the constant $\operatorname{div}(u) = 0$.

┌

Poznámka

$X := \{u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \mid \operatorname{div} u = 0\}$ is a closed subspace. Thus we can decompose space $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) = X \oplus X^{\perp}$. If u is a minimizer, then $\langle P, \operatorname{rot} \varphi \rangle = \langle -\operatorname{rot} P, \varphi \rangle$.

└

TODO!!! (half of board)

$P \in (W^{1,2})^*$ try to identify P with a function $\operatorname{div} \varphi = 0 \implies P(\varphi) = 0$. Pick $\varphi := ?$. $P(\operatorname{rot} \psi) = 0 \implies \operatorname{rot} P$? dense of distribution.

\implies (Poincaré lemma) $\exists p \in ?$ $P = \nabla p$. So u is weak solution of

$$-\Delta u + \nabla p = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Poznámka (One-sided problems)

If we instead consider $G(x) \geq 0$ as a constraint, then let x_0 be local minimum:

$$i) G(x_0) > 0 \implies 0 = \delta F(x_0)$$

$$ii) G(x_0) = 0 \implies 0 = DF(x_0) + \lambda \cdot DG(x_0) \quad (*)$$

?: Pick v such that $DG(x_0) \langle v \rangle > 0$. $\psi : [0, \varepsilon_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon \mapsto F(x_0 + \varepsilon \cdot v) = G(x_0 + \varepsilon \cdot v) \geq 0$, then ψ has a local minimum in 0.

$$0 \leq \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon} \psi(\varepsilon) = DF(x_0) \langle v \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \lambda = \frac{-DF(x_0) \langle v \rangle}{DG(x_0) \langle v \rangle} \leq 0.$$

3 The direct method on convex integrands

3.1 Direct method

Tvrzení 3.1 (Direct method in the calculus of variations)

Let X be topological space, $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

1. All sublevel sets $(\{x \in X \mid F(x) \leq c\})$ are sequentially precompact;
2. F is sequentially lower-semi-continuous ($x_k \rightarrow x_0 \implies \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(x_k) \geq F(x_0)$.)

Then F has a minimizer in X .

┌

Důkaz

Let $s := \inf_X F$. Pick sequence $(x_k)_k \subset X$ such that $F(x_k) \rightarrow s$. For k_0 large enough $(x_i)_{i \geq k_0} \subset x \in X : F(x) \leq s + 1$. $\xrightarrow{1.} \exists$ subsequence (not relabeled) and $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_k \rightarrow x_0$. $s = \inf F \leq F(x_0) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(x_k) = s$. \square

└

Poznámka (The three c's of the direct method)

Equivalent conditions: Coercivity (sublevel sets are bounded with respect to metric), Compactness (bounded sets are compact with respect to some topology) and lower-semi-Continuity (As before.)

Sometimes also Convexity (if F is strictly convex, then the minimum is unique).

3.2 Interlude: Nemytskii operators

Definice 3.1 (Carathéodory function)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open. Then $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a Carathéodory function if $x \mapsto f(x, z)$ is measurable for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $z \mapsto f(x, z)$ is continuous for almost all $x \in \Omega$.

Lemma 3.2

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open. If $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Carathéodory function and $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is measurable, then $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; x \mapsto f(x, u(x))$ is a measurable function.

┌

Důkaz

Since u is measurable, there are functions $s_k : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $s_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \alpha_{i,k} \chi_{\Omega_{i,k}}(x)$ where $\alpha_{i,k} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\Omega_{i,k} \subset \Omega$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in [N_k]$ with $\Omega_{i,k} \cap \Omega_{l,k} = \emptyset$ if $i \neq l$ and where $s_k \rightarrow u$ almost everywhere in Ω .

Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the functions $x \mapsto f(x, s_k(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} f(x, \alpha_{i,k}) \chi_{\Omega_{i,k}}(x)$ are finite sums of measurable functions (by the measurability of f in its first argument) and thus themselves measurable. In addition by the continuity in the second argument, we have $f(x, s_k(x)) \rightarrow f(x, u(x))$ for almost all $x \in \Omega$.

Thus $x \mapsto f(x, u(x))$ is measurable as a limit of measurable functions. □

└

Věta 3.3 (Nemytskii operators)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Carathéodory function satisfying $|f(x, z)| \leq c \cdot |z|^{p/q} + g(x)$ for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and all $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$, where $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ and $g \in L^q(\Omega)$. Define the corresponding Nemytskii operator F as the operator that maps $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ to $F(u) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto f(x, u(x))$. Then

1. Whenever $u \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, then $F(u) \in L^q(\Omega)$.
2. As an operator from $L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ to $L^q(\Omega)$, the operator F is continuous with respect to strong convergence.

┌

Důkaz (1.)

Measurability of $F(u)$ follows from the previous lemma. In addition, if $u \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, then by Minkowski's inequality

$$\|F(u)\|_{L^q} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(x, u(x))|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\frac{p}{q}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} |g(x)|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \|u\|_{L^p}^{\frac{p}{q}} + \|g\|_{L^q} < \infty.$$

└

□

Důkaz (2.)

We use next theorem. Consider any fixed sequence $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $u_k \rightarrow u$. Sketch (details are standard ε - δ gymnastics):

First, we can pick a bounded set $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ such that $\int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_0} |g|^q dx$, and $\int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_0} |u|^p dx$ are small. Then using the strong convergence and the upper bound on f , also $\int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_0} |F(u)|^q dx$ and all $\int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_0} |F(u_k)|^q dx$ are small.

Next, we choose $S := B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\int_{\{|u| > R/2\}} |u|^q dx$, and $\int_{\{|u| > R/2\}} |u|^p dx$ are small. Now we apply the next theorem to find a set $K_\varepsilon \subset \Omega_0 \setminus \{|u| > R/2\}$ so that $f|_{K_\varepsilon \times S}$ is continuous.

On that set, $\int_{K_\varepsilon \cap \{|u_k| > R\}} |u_k|^p dx$ converges to zero, as does $|K_\varepsilon \cap \{|u_k| > R\}|$. Thus also $\int_{K_\varepsilon \cap \{|u_k| > R\}} |F(u_k)|^q dx \rightarrow 0$. The uniform convergence finally implies $F(u_k) \rightarrow F(u)$ in K_ε , while the remaining set $\Omega_0 \setminus K_\varepsilon$ can be chosen in such a way that the L^q -norms of $F(u_k)$ and $F(u)$ are arbitrarily small. Thus $F(u_k) \rightarrow F(u)$ in $L^q(\Omega)$. \square

Věta 3.4 (Version of Lusin's theorem for Carathéodory functions.)

If Ω is bounded, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and any compact set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, there is a compact set $K_\varepsilon \subset \Omega$ with $|\Omega \setminus K_\varepsilon| < \varepsilon$ such that the restriction $f|_{K_\varepsilon \times S}$ is continuous.

Důkaz

Consider $\omega_k(x) := \sup \{|f(x, z) - f(x, \tilde{z})| : z, \tilde{z} \in S \wedge |z - \tilde{z}| < 1/k\}$. Then, since S is compact, $f(x, \cdot)$ is uniformly continuous for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and thus $\omega_k(x) \rightarrow 0$ point-wise almost everywhere. By Egorov's theorem we can then pick a subsequence (not relabeled) and a subset $K \subset \Omega$ with $|\Omega \setminus K| < \varepsilon/2$ on which it converges uniformly.

Next we consider a dense subset $\{z_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S$ and apply Lusin's theorem to the functions $f_i := x \mapsto f(x, z_i)$, to find compact subsets $K_i \subset \Omega$ with $|\Omega \setminus K_i| < \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-i-1}$ so that $f_i|_{K_i}$ is uniformly continuous.

We can then set $K_\varepsilon := K \cap \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_i$ and calculate the volume of the remainder as $|\Omega \setminus K_\varepsilon| < \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-i}) = \varepsilon$.

In addition, for any $\eta > 0$, we can now use the uniform convergence of the ω_k to find a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f(x, z) - f(x, \tilde{z})| < \eta_k/4$ for all $x \in K_\varepsilon$ and all $z, \tilde{z} \in S$ with $|z - \tilde{z}| < 1/k$. Now fix $(x, z) \in K_\varepsilon \times S$. Then we can pick $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{z}) \in K_\varepsilon \times S$ with $|z - \tilde{z}| < 1/2k$ and $|x - \tilde{x}| < \delta$ small enough we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, z) - f(\tilde{x}, \tilde{z})| &\leq |f(x, z) - f(x, z_i)| + |f(x, z_i) - f(\tilde{x}, z_i)| + |f(\tilde{x}, z_i) - f(\tilde{x}, \tilde{z})| \leq \\ &\leq \omega_k(x) + |f_i(x) - f_i(\tilde{x})| + \omega_k(\tilde{x}) \leq \frac{\eta}{4} + \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\eta}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

3.3 Weak lower semi-continuity for convex integrands

Věta 3.5 (Tonelli)

Ω bounded domain, $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(\cdot, z, p)$ measurable, $f(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ continuous, $f(x, z, \cdot)$ convex, $F(u) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du)$.

$q \in [1, \infty)$, $f(x, z, p) \geq a(x)p + b(x) + c \cdot |z|^q$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(u_k) \geq F(u), \quad \forall u_k \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W^{1,q}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m).$$

┌

Důsledek

$q \in (1, \infty)$. $f(x, z, p) \geq b(x) + c \cdot (|p|^q + |z|^q)$, $c > 0 \implies \exists \text{ minimum}$.

┌

Důkaz (Of corollary)

1. $c > 0$ guarantees coercivity.

2. Banach–Alaoglu gives weak*-compactness.

3. Tonelli gives us weak $\stackrel{q>1}{=}$ weak* lower semi-continuity \implies (via direct method) $\exists \text{ minimum}$. □

┌

Poznámka

The corollary needs the stronger lower boundedness for coercivity. The case $q = 1$ fails because $W^{1,1}$ is not reflexive. For $n = 1$ or $m = 1$ Tonelli: is a characterization.

┌ *Důkaz* (sketch)

Weak convergence "averages" functions, convex functions decrease when taking averages.

Reminder (Mazur's Lemma): If $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ then $\exists v_k \in \text{conv}\{u_k, \dots, u_{N(k)}\}$ such that $v_k \rightarrow u$.

First step: If $f(x, z, p) = f(x, p)$ and $v_k = \sum_{i=k}^{N(k)} \alpha_{i,k} u_k$ with $\sum_{i=k}^{N(k)} \alpha_{i,k} = 1$. Then Nemytskii:

$$\begin{aligned} F(u) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(v_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, \sum \alpha_{i,k} Du_k) \stackrel{\text{Jensen}}{\leq} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum \alpha_{i,k} \int_{\Omega} f(x, Du_k) = \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=k}^{N(k)} \alpha_{i,k} F(u_i) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i \geq k} F(u_i) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(u_k). \end{aligned}$$

Second step: Replace f by $\tilde{f}(x, z, p) = f(x, zp) - a(x) \cdot p - b(x) - c|z|^q$. Then \tilde{f} has the same mean, continuous, and ? condition and

$$u \mapsto \int \tilde{f}(x, u, Du) - f(x, u, Du) dx$$

is weakly continuous. So we can assume $f(\dots) \geq 0$.

TODO!!! By first step:

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f(x, u, Du_k) \geq \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du).$$

Now need to estimate $|f(x, u, Du_k) - f(x, u_k, Du_k)| = *$. Similarly to the proof of Nemytskii:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists K_{\varepsilon} \subset \Omega, |\cdot|_{\varepsilon} < \varepsilon : f|_{\Omega \setminus K_{\varepsilon} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \cdot n}} \text{ is continuous and } \int_{K_{\varepsilon}} * \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

As last time, $u_k = \bar{u}_k + \tilde{u}_k = \text{uniformly convergent} + \text{small support}$.

$$\int_{\text{supp } \tilde{u}_k} * \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus (K_{\varepsilon} \cup \text{supp } \tilde{u}_k)} |f(x, u, Du_k) - f(x, u_k, Du_k)| \rightarrow 0.$$

└

□

Poznámka (Convexity v.s. convexity)

$F(u)$ convex $\nleftrightarrow f(x, z, p)$ convex. (For example $\int_{\Omega} \det D u dx$ is convex for fixed boundary, but $\det p$ is not convex. For example $\int \frac{1}{4}(1 - u^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}|u'|^2$ not convex, but $(1 - z^2) + p^2$ is convex in p .)

4 The mapping degree in finite dimensions

Definition 4.1 (Axioms of mapping degree)

The degree $\deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, \Omega, y_0)$ should be an integer defined for all continuous functions, all bounded domains Ω and all $y_0 \notin u(\partial\Omega)$ and it should satisfy

D1 Unity of identity

$$\deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(id, \Omega, y_0) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y_0 \in \Omega \\ 0, & \text{if } y_0 \notin \overline{\Omega}. \end{cases}$$

D2 Additivity of domains: If $(\Omega_i)_{i \in [k]}$ are disjoint domains such that $\overline{\Omega} = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^k \Omega_i}$, then $\forall y_0 \notin u(\partial\Omega) \cup \bigcup_i u(\partial\Omega_i)$, then

$$\deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, \Omega, y_0) = \sum_{i=1}^k \deg(u, \Omega_i, y_0).$$

D3 Base point invariance: $y \mapsto \deg(u, \Omega, y)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus u(\partial\Omega) \implies$ if y_1, y_2 are in the same connected component, then $\deg(u, \Omega, y_1) = \deg(u, \Omega, y_2)$.

D4 Homotopy invariance: If $h : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous such that $y_0 \notin h(s, \partial\Omega)$ $\forall s \in [0, 1]$ then $s \mapsto \deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(h_s, \Omega, y_0)$ is constant.

Věta 4.1 (C^0 -degree)

There exists a unique function $\deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ satisfying these axioms.

┌

Poznámka (Notation)

When clear; $y_0 = \mathbf{o}$; if Ω is clear:

$$\deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, \Omega, y_0) = \deg(u, \Omega, y_0) = \deg(u, \Omega) = \deg(u).$$

└

Lemma 4.2

The degree $\deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, \Omega, y_0)$ depends only on the restriction $u|_{\overline{\Omega}}$.

┌

Důkaz

Assume $u_0, u_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ continuous such that $u_0|_{\overline{\Omega}} = u_1|_{\overline{\Omega}}$. Consider: $h_s(x) := (1 - s)u_0(x) + su_1(x)$. $h_s(\partial\Omega) = u_0(\partial\Omega) = u_1(\partial\Omega)$. $\implies \deg(u_0, \Omega, y_0) = \deg(h_0, \Omega, y_0) = \deg(h_1, \Omega, y_0) = \deg(u_1, \Omega, y_0)$. \square

└

Tvrzení 4.3 (Degree as existence criterion)

Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ continuous, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ bounded domain, $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus u(\partial\Omega)$. If $y_0 \notin u(\Omega)$, then $\deg(u, \Omega, y_0) = 0$. Conversely if $\deg(u, \Omega, y_0) \neq 0$ then $\exists x_0 \in \Omega$ such that $u(x_0) = y_0$.

Důkaz

Assume $y_0 \notin u(\Omega)$. Split Ω into finitely many disjoint subdomains Ω_i (with $\bar{\Omega} = \bigcup \bar{\Omega}_i$) such that $u(\Omega_i) \subset B_\varepsilon(y_i)$, where ε is such that $B_\varepsilon(y_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus u(\Omega)$. Pick \tilde{y}_0 such that $|\tilde{y}_0| \geq \sup_{x \in u(\Omega)} |y| + \sup_{x \in \Omega} |x|$.

$$\deg(u, \Omega, y_0) \stackrel{D2}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k \deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, \Omega_i, y_0) \stackrel{D3}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k \deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, \Omega_i, \tilde{y}_0) =: *.$$

$$h_s(x) := (1-s)u(x) + sx.$$

$$* = \sum_{i=1}^k \deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\text{id}, \Omega_i, \tilde{y}_0) = 0.$$

□

Lemma 4.4 (Shifting invariance)

Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ continuous, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ bounded domain $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus u(\partial\Omega)$. Then

1. $\forall b \in \mathbb{R}^n : \deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u - b, \Omega, y_0 - b) = \deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, \Omega, y_0);$
2. $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}^n : \deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u(\cdot - a), \Omega + a, y_0) = \deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, \Omega, y_0).$

Důkaz (1.)

Since $u(\partial\Omega)$ is compact, there is $\delta > 0$ such that $B_\delta(y_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus u(\partial\Omega)$. Let $y_1 \in B_\delta(y_0)$. Then $h_s(x) := u(x) + s(y_1 - y_0)$ is a homotopy between u and $u + (y_1 - y_0) \implies$

$$\implies \deg(u - b, \Omega, y_0 - b) = \deg(u - b, \Omega, y_0) = \deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, \Omega, y_0),$$

first equation, because y_0 and $y_0 - b$ are in the same connected component. Iterate for general $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. □

Důkaz (2.)

$h_s(x) = u(x + s \cdot a)$ for small $a \implies$ proof is similar. □

Důsledek

If $h : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ continuous homotopy and $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous. If $\gamma(s) \in h(s, \partial\Omega) \forall s \in [0, 1]$, then $s \mapsto \deg(h_s, \Omega, \gamma(s))$ is constant.

Tvrzení 4.5 (Degree for affine maps)

Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \mapsto Ax + b$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, Ω bounded domain, $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus u(\partial\Omega)$. Then

$$\deg(u, \Omega, y_0) = \begin{cases} \text{sign det } A, & \text{if } y_0 \in u(\Omega), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

┌
Důkaz

$y_0 \notin u(\Omega)$ clear. By shifting $y_0 = 0$, $b = 0$. Consider $\det A > 0$. From linear algebra $\exists Q \in SO(n)$ and R upper triangle with positive diagonal, such that $A = QR$.

There exists (from connectedness of $SO(n)$) a continuous curve $Q_s : [0, 1] \rightarrow SO(n)$ such that $Q_0 = Q$, $Q_1 = I$. Similarly $R_s := (1 - s) \cdot R + s \cdot I$ has $\det R_s > 0$. Consider $h_s(x) = Q_s R_s x$. This is admissible homotopy $\implies \deg(u, \Omega, y_0) = \deg(\text{id}, \Omega, y_0) = 1$ or 0 depending on whether $0 \in \Omega$ or not.

If $\det A < 0$, we can reduce to

$$v : x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} x =: A_0 x.$$

Define $u_0(x) := (*, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$, where $*$ $= -x_1$ if $x_1 < 1$ and $*$ $= -2 + x_1$ if $x_1 \geq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(v, \Omega, 0) &= \deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u_0, B_\varepsilon(0), 0) = \deg(u_0, B_4(0), 0) - \deg(u_0, B_\varepsilon(2e_1), 0) - \\ &\quad - \deg(u_0, B_4 \setminus (B_\varepsilon(0) \cup B_\varepsilon(2e_1)), 0) = \deg(e_1, B_4(0), 0) - 1 - 0 = -1. \end{aligned}$$

└

□

Poznámka ("Domain \mathbb{R}^n " \neq "image \mathbb{R}^n ")

We could replace D1 with D1*: if u is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism, then $\deg(u, \Omega, y_0) = 1$ if $y_0 \in u(\Omega)$ and 0 otherwise.

Definition 4.2 (Regular point, regular value)

Assume $u \in C^1$. Then x_0 is called regular point if $\det Du(x_0) \neq 0$. y_0 is called regular value if $u^{-1}(y_0)$ consists of regular points.

Důsledek

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ bounded domain, $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. If y_0 is a regular value, then $\Omega \cap u^{-1}(y_0)$ consists of finitely many points.

┌

Důkaz

By inverse function theorem u is differentiable around any $x_0 \in u^{-1}(y_0) \implies$ points in $u^{-1}(y_0)$ are isolated. Assume $(x_k)_k \subset u^{-1}(y_0) \cap \Omega$, all x_k different. \exists subsequence $x_k \rightarrow x \in \overline{\Omega}$ $y = \lim u(x_k) = u(x) \implies x \notin \partial\Omega$ and x is not isolated. □

└

Tvrzení 4.6 (C^1 -degree)

Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ continuous, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ bounded domain $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus u(\partial\Omega)$. If $u|_{\Omega} \in \mathcal{C}^1$ and y_0 is a regular value of $u|_{\Omega}$, then $\deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, \Omega, y_0) = \sum_{x \in u^{-1}(y_0)} \text{sgn det } Du(x)$.

┌

Důkaz

Split Ω into $\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_k$, where $k = \#u^{-1}(y_0)$, such that $\Omega_0 \cap u^{-1}(y_0) = \emptyset$, $u|_{\Omega_i}$ diffeomorphism $\Omega_i \cap u^{-1}(y_0) = \{x_i\}$. Then $\deg(u, \Omega, y_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \deg(u, \Omega_i, y_0) + \deg(u, \Omega_0, y_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{sgn det } Du(x_i) + 0$. \square

└

Věta 4.7 (Sard)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the set of singular (i.e. not regular) values is a Lebesgue zero set.

┌

Důkaz (Idea)

If $\det Du(x_0) = 0$, then exists v such that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial v} = 0$. \square

└

Tvrzení 4.8 (Integral formula)

Let $u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, Ω bounded, $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus u(\partial\Omega)$. If $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is any function such that $\text{supp } f$ is in the connected component of y_0 in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus u(\partial\Omega)$, then

$$\deg(u, \Omega, y_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f dy = \int_{\Omega} f(u(x)) \det Du dx.$$

┌

Důkaz

By Sard and invariance of degree y_0 is regular. Pick $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $u^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(y_0))$ consists of neighbourhoods of $\{x_i\}_i = u^{-1}(y_0) \cap \Omega$, where u is a diffeomorphism. This means that $\text{sgn det } Du$ is constant in each connected component of $u^{-1}(B_\varepsilon(y_0))$. Assume f such that $\text{supp } f \subset B_\varepsilon(y_0)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(u, \Omega, y_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f dy &= \sum_{x_i \in u^{-1}(y_0)} \text{sgn det } Du(x_i) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f dy \stackrel{\text{Tonelli}}{=} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \text{sgn det } Du(x_i) \int_{U_i} f(u(x)) |\det Du| dx = \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{U_i} f(u(x)) \det Du dx = \int_{\Omega} f(u) \det Du dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now let \tilde{f} arbitrary, but $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{f} = 0$. Then $LHS = 0$, we need to prove

$$\int_{\Omega} \tilde{f}(u(x)) \det Du(x) dx = 0. \quad (\text{Homework.})$$

$$(f_0, \quad \int f_0 \neq 0, \quad \text{supp } f_0 \subset B_\varepsilon(y_0), \quad \tilde{f} = f - \frac{\int f}{\int f_0} f_0.)$$

Now generic f can be written as sum of both cases and equation is linear in f . \square

└

Důsledek (Integral definition of degree)

For any $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ $\deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, \Omega, y_0)$ is uniquely defined by

$$\deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, \Omega, y_0) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} f(u(x)) \det Du dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f dy},$$

where f is as in the last theorem and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \neq 0$.

┌

Důkaz

(D1) $u = \text{id} \implies \deg = 1$ if $x_0 \in \Omega$ and 0 otherwise.

(D2) Additivity of domains is trivial.

(D3) Base point invariance: proof of last theorem independence choice of f .

(D4) $s \mapsto \int_{\Omega} f(h_s) \det Dh_s(x) dx$ is continuous. □

└

Důkaz (C^0 -degree)

If $u, \tilde{u} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|u - \tilde{u}\|_{C^0} < \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon < \text{dist}(y_0, u(\partial\Omega))$. By homotopy invariance $\deg(u, \Omega, y_0) = \deg(\tilde{u}, \Omega, y_0)$. Let $u_0 \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ by convolution argument $\exists u \in C^\infty$ such that $\|u_0 - u\|_{C^0} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

$\deg(u_0, \Omega, y_0) := \deg(u, \Omega, y_0)$. Well defined (independent of u). Axioms can be derived easily. □

Tvrzení 4.9 (Odd maps have odd degree)

Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ continuous and odd ($u(x) = -u(-x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$). $0 \in \Omega$, $0 \notin u(\partial\Omega)$, $\Omega = -\Omega$. Then $\deg(u, \Omega, 0)$ is odd.

┌

Důkaz

WLOG assume that $u \in C^\infty$ and 0 is regular value. $u(0) = -u(0) = 0$. Other zeros occur in pairs such that $(-1)^n \det(Du)(-x) = \det D(u(-x)) = \det D(-u(x)) = (-1)^n \det(Du)(x) \implies$ sign is related. □

└

4.1 Degrees on manifolds

Poznámka

Let M, N be n -dimensional oriented manifolds.

Definice 4.3 (C^1 degree on manifolds)

Let $u \in C^1(M, N)$, $\Omega \subset M$ open, such that $\overline{\Omega}$ is compact and $y_0 \in N \setminus u(\partial\Omega)$ be regular value (in the sense $Du(x) : T_x M \rightarrow T_{u(x)} N$ is an isomorphism $\forall x \in u^{-1}(y_0)$). Then define

$\deg_{M \rightarrow N}(u, \Omega, y_0) := \sum_{x \in u^{-1}(y_0) \cap \Omega} \sigma(Du)$, where

$$\sigma(Du) := \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } Du \text{ is orientation preserving,} \\ -1, & \text{if not.} \end{cases}$$

Tvrzení 4.10

$\deg_{M \rightarrow N}$ fulfills (D2), (D3) and (D4).

┌

Důkaz

Domain additivity from definition \implies We can pick domains small enough to fit in coordinate chart. Then

$$\deg_N(u, \Omega, y_0) = \deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\psi^{-1} \circ u \circ \varphi, \varphi^{-1}(\Omega), \psi^{-1}(y_0))$$

└ implies the rest. □

Poznámka

(D1) only makes sense if $M = N$, otherwise id is not well defined.

If M is compact, then $\deg_{M \rightarrow N}(u, M, y_0) = \deg_{M \rightarrow N}(u)$.

There are cases where $\deg_{M \rightarrow N}(u) = 0 \ \forall u$.

Příklad (\mathbb{S}^n degree)

Consider $M = N = \mathbb{S}^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid |x| = 1\}$. \mathbb{S}^n is compact \implies choose $\Omega = \mathbb{S}^n$. $\text{id } \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ is well defined and $\deg_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\text{id}) = 1$. Pick $f = 1$ in the integral formulation:

$$\deg_{\mathbb{S}^n}(u) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \det(u | Du) dx,$$

where u is normal vector at u and Du as matrix for orthonormal basis of $T_x \mathbb{S}^n$.

In parametrization: stereoscopic projection $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n \setminus \{N\}$; Φ is angle-preserving, then

$$\deg_{\mathbb{S}^n}(u) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \det(u | \partial_1 u | \dots | \partial_n u) dx.$$

We have Hopf's theorem: $C^0(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbb{S}^n) / \sim_{\text{Homotopy}} \stackrel{\deg_{\mathbb{S}^n}}{\cong} \mathbb{Z}$.

Tvrzení 4.11 (Relation between \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and \mathbb{S}^n degree)

Let $u : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ continuous differentiable and $0 \notin u(\mathbb{S}^n)$ (where $\mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$). Then

$$\deg_{\mathbb{S}^n} \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \Big|_{\mathbb{S}^n} \right) = \deg_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}(u, B_1(\mathbf{o}), \mathbf{o}).$$

┌ *Důkaz*

Let $\varrho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ smooth such that $\varrho(0) = 0$, $\varrho(s) = 1$ for $s > r$, and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $y \mapsto \varrho(|y|) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^{n+1}}$. Then

$$\operatorname{div} \varphi(y) = \varrho'(|y|) \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^{n+1}} + \varrho(|y|) \left(\frac{y}{|y|^{n+1}} - n \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^{n+2}} \right) = \frac{\varrho'(|y|)}{|y|^n} \implies$$

$$\implies \operatorname{supp} \operatorname{div} \varphi \subset B_r(\mathbf{o}), \quad r < 1.$$

$$\implies \int_{B_1} \operatorname{div} \varphi dy = \int_{\partial B_1} \varphi \cdot \nu dy = \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{y \cdot \nu}{|y|^{n+1}} dy = |\mathbb{S}^n|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u, B_1(\mathbf{o}), \mathbf{o}) &= \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \int_{B_1(\mathbf{o})} (\operatorname{div} \varphi) \circ u \det Du dx = \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \int_{B_1(\mathbf{o})} \operatorname{div}(\varphi \circ u \operatorname{cof} Du) dx = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \varphi \circ u \operatorname{cof} Du \cdot \nu dx = \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u \cdot \operatorname{cof} Du \cdot \nu dx. \end{aligned}$$

(Last equation WLOF from homotopy, $|u| = 1$, $u \in \mathbb{S}^n$). It equals to

$$\frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^n|} \int \det(u|Du) dx = \deg_{\mathbb{S}^n}(u).$$

└

□

4.2 Brouwer's fixed-point theorem and other consequences

Věta 4.12 (No interaction)

There is no continuous map $u : \overline{B_1(\mathbf{o})} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ such that $u|_{\partial B_1(\mathbf{o})} = \operatorname{id}$.

┌ *Důkaz*

Assume u is such a map. Define $h_s : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$, $(s, x) \mapsto u(s \cdot x)$. h_s is homotopy. So $\deg_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\operatorname{const}) = \deg_{\mathbb{S}^n}(h_0) = \deg_{\mathbb{S}^n}(h_1) = \deg_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\operatorname{id}) = 1$ □

Věta 4.13 (Brouwer's fixed-point theorem)

Let $u : \overline{B_1(\mathbf{o})} \rightarrow \overline{B_1(\mathbf{o})}$ continuous. Then u has a fixed-point, i.e. $\exists x_0 \in \overline{B_1(\mathbf{o})}$ such that $u(x_0) = x_0$.

┌ *Důkaz*

Assume u has no fixed-point. Let $g(x) \in \mathbb{S}^n$ such that $u(x)$, x , $g(x)$ are on a line (in that order). $f : \overline{B_1(\mathbf{o})} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ is continuous, $x \in \mathbb{S}^n \implies g(x) = x$, ∇ . □

└

Důsledek : Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ compact and convex, $u: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ continuous, then u has a fixed point.

┌

Důkaz

If Ω has interior, then Ω is homeomorphic to a ball, so apply the previous theorem. If not, restrict to lower dimensional subspace. \square

└

Věta 4.14 (Borsuk–Ulam)

If $u: \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous, then there is a pair of antipodal points with the same value, i.e. $\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^n$ such that $u(x_0) = u(-x_0)$.

┌

Důkaz

Assume the opposite. Let $v: \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $x \mapsto \frac{u(x)-u(-x)}{|u(x)-u(-x)|}$. Consider $h_s: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $h_s(x) = v(sx, \sqrt{1-s^2})$, then $h_0 = \text{const} \implies \deg(h_0) = 0$, h_1 is odd $\implies \deg(h_1) = \text{odd}$. \nexists . \square

└

Důsledek (Lyusternik–Shnirelman)

Let $A_1, \dots, A_{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ open cover of \mathbb{S}^n . Then there is a set A_i that contains an antipodal pair of points.

┌

Důkaz

for $i \in [n]$ define $u_i := \text{dist}(x, \mathbb{S}^n \setminus A_i)$. Then $u: \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous \implies (by Borsuk–Ulam) $\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^n$: $u(x_0) = u(-x_0)$. Either $u_i(x_0) > 0$ for some $i \implies x_0, -x_0 \in A_i$ or $u(x_0) = 0 \implies x_0, -x_0 \in A_{n+1}$. \square

└

Věta 4.15 (Ham–Sandwich theorem)

Let $n \geq 1$, $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ measurable bounded sets. Then there exists a hyperplane that splits all A_i into two with equal measure.

┌

Důkaz

For any $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ there exists $c_\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $H_\nu = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | x \cdot \nu = c_\nu\}$ splits A_n into equal halves. We can do this such that c_ν is continuous in $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. For $i \in [n-1]$ define $u_i(\nu) = |A_i \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | x \cdot \nu \geq c_\nu\}|$. Then $u: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is continuous and from Borsuk–Ulam $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : u(\nu_0) = u(-\nu_0) \implies H_{\nu_0}$ splits all A_i . \square

└

Věta 4.16 (Hairy ball theorem)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be even. There is no continuous unit tangent vector field at \mathbb{S}^n .

┌

Důkaz

Assume $\nu(x)$ is such a vector field. $n_s(x) := \sin(s)x + \cos(s)\nu(x) \in \mathbb{S}^n$ is an admissible homotopy $\forall s \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$. $h_{-\pi/2} = -\text{id}$, $h_{\pi/2} = \text{id} \implies 1 = \deg_{\mathbb{S}^n}(\text{id}) = \deg_{\mathbb{S}^n}(-\text{id}) = (-1)^{n+1} = -1$. \nexists . \square

└

5 Fixpoints and degree for compact operators

5.1 Schauder's fixpoint theorem

Věta 5.1 (Schauder's fixpoint theorem I)

Let X be Banach space, $\Omega \subset X$ convex compact and nonempty. If $F : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is continuous, then F has a fixpoint.

┌

Důkaz

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, consider a finite open cover $(B_\varepsilon(x_i))_{i \in [N_\varepsilon]}$ of Ω . Let $\Psi_i : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ a subordinate partition of unity with $\text{supp } \Psi_i \subset B_\varepsilon(x_i)$. Now $\text{LO } \{x_1, \dots, x_{N_\varepsilon}\}$ is finite dimensional $\simeq \mathbb{R}^{N_\varepsilon}$. $F_\varepsilon : x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} x_i \Psi_i(F(x))$, $\text{co}(\{x_i\}) \rightarrow \text{co}(\{x_i\}) \subset \Omega$, is continuous, thus from Brouwer \exists fixpoint $x_\varepsilon \in \Omega$ of F_ε .

Send $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Ω compact $\implies \exists x_j \in \Omega$ subsequence of $x_\varepsilon = F_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)$ converging to x_0 .

$$\|F(x) - F_\varepsilon(x)\| = \left\| \sum (F(x) - x_i) \Psi_i(F(x)) \right\| \leq \varepsilon \implies x_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F(x_\varepsilon) = F(x_0).$$

└

□

Definice 5.1 (Compact operator)

Let X, Y be Banach spaces, $A \subset X$. Then $F : A \rightarrow Y$ is called compact if it is continuous and maps bounded sets to precompact sets.

Tvrzení 5.2 (Characterization of compact operators)

Let X, Y be Banach spaces, $A \subset X$ bounded. Then $F : A \rightarrow Y$ is compact iff there is a sequence of continuous operators $P_n : A \rightarrow Y$ such that $P_n(A)$ is part of a finite dimensional subspace of Y and $\|F(x) - P_n(x)\|_Y < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $x \in A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

┌

Důkaz

„ \Leftarrow “: F is uniform limit of continuous operators, so F is continuous. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\frac{1}{n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ and have a finite $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ -cover $(B_{\varepsilon/3}(P_n(x_i)))_{i \in [N]}$ of $P_n(A)$.

Then for all $y = F(x) \in F(A)$ $\exists x_i$ such that $\|P_n(x) - P_n(x_i)\|_Y < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \implies$

$$\implies \|F(x) - F(x_i)\| \leq \|F(x) - P_n(x)\| + \|P_n(x) - P_n(x_i)\| + \|P_n(x_i) - F(x_i)\| < \varepsilon \implies$$

$$\implies (B_\varepsilon(F(x_i)))_{i \in [N]} \text{ is an } \varepsilon\text{-cover of } F(A).$$

„ \implies “: As in previous proof, fix given $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$ constant part of unity and set $P_n(x) := \sum_i x_i \Psi_i(F(x))$. □

└

Důsledek (Schauder's fixpoint theorem II)

Let X be a Banach space, $A \subset X$ bounded, closed and convex. If $F : A \rightarrow A$ is compact, then it has a fixpoint.

┌

Důkaz (Idea)

We want to apply the first version to the restriction of F to $\overline{\text{co} F(A)}$ which is certainly a closed convex set. For this we need that precompactness of set B (for us $B = F(A)$) also implies precompactness of its convex hull. This is a general statement which was first shown by Mazur:

Let $(B_{\varepsilon/2}(x_i))_{i \in [N]}$ be finite $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -cover of B . Pick a finite $\frac{\varepsilon}{2 \text{diam } B}$ -cover $(B(\alpha_j))_{j \in [M]}$ of the compact set $\left\{ \alpha \in [0, 1]^N \mid \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = 1 \right\}$ in the l^1 -norm. Then $(B_\varepsilon(\sum_{i=1}^N (\alpha_j)_i x_i))_{j \in [M]}$ is ε -cover of $\text{conv}(B)$:

To see this, let $x = \sum_{l=1}^L \beta_l \tilde{x}_l \in \text{conv}(B)$ with $\tilde{x}_j \in B$ for all $j \in [L]$ and $\sum_{l=1}^L \beta_l = 1$. Then for every $j \in [L]$ there is an $I(j) \in [N]$ such that $\|\tilde{x}_j - x_{I(j)}\| < \varepsilon/2$. With this we then define $\tilde{\alpha}_i := \sum_{l \in I^{-1}(i)} \beta_l$ and finally find α_j such that $\|\alpha_j - \tilde{\alpha}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \text{diam } B}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^N (\alpha_j)_i x_i \right\| &\leq \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{\alpha}_i x_i \right\| + \left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_j)_i x_i \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{l=1}^L \beta_l \cdot (\tilde{x}_l - x_{I(l)}) \right\| + \sum_{i=1}^N |\alpha_i - \tilde{\alpha}_i| \cdot \|x_i\| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

└

□

Věta 5.3 (Peano)

Let $Q = [0, T] \times \overline{B_R(y_0)} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $f : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ bounded and continuous. Then the ODE $\dot{y}(t) = f(t, y)$, $y(0) = y_0$ has a solution in the interval $\left[0, \min(T, \frac{R}{\sup f})\right] =: [0, T^*]$.

┌

Důkaz

Consider $y(t) = F(y)(t) := y_0 + \int_0^t f(s, y(s)) ds$,

TODO!!!

„ F is continuous“: $\|y - \hat{y}\|_{\text{sup}} < \delta \implies \|F(y) - F(\hat{y})\|_{\text{sup}} \leq \sup_{t \in (0, T^*)} \int_0^t |f(s, y) - f(s, \hat{y})| < T^* \varepsilon$.

„ F is compact“: All functions in $F(\mathcal{C}([0, T^*], B_R(y_0)))$ are equibounded and equicontinuous, so by Arzela–Ascoli \exists converging subsequence \implies precompact. □

└

Poznámka

Consider $\dot{y}(t) = |y|^{1/3}$ (continuous and bounded for small y), $y(0) = 0$. It has many solutions $(0, (2/3)^{3/2}(t-a)^{3/2})$ for $t \geq a$ and 0 otherwise, ...).

6 The Leray–Schauder degree

Věta 6.1 (Leray–Schauder degree)

Let X be Banach, $T : X \rightarrow X$ compact and $(P_n)_n$ be a finite dimension approximation with $X_n \subset X$ finite dimensional, such that $P_n(X) \subset X_n$. Let $\Omega \subset X$ open, bounded, $0 \notin (\text{id} - T)(\partial\Omega)$ then $\deg_X(\text{id} - T, \Omega, 0) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \deg_{X_n}((\text{id} - P_n)|_{X_n}, \Omega \cap X_n, 0)$ is well defined (actually RHS is constant for n large enough). We'll call this the Leray–Schauder degree.

┌

Důkaz

1. Make sense of $\deg_{X_n}((\text{id} - R)|_{X_n}, \Omega \cap X_n, 0)$. Assume $\exists (x_n)_n$ such that $x_n \in \partial(X_n \cap \Omega)$ such that $x_n - P_n x_n = 0$. x_n bounded and T compact $\implies \exists$ subsequence $T x_n \rightarrow x$:

$$\|T x_n - P_n x_n\| < \frac{1}{n} \implies P_n x \rightarrow x. \quad x_n \rightarrow x \implies T x = x. \quad \nexists.$$

$\text{dist}((\text{id} - T)(\partial\Omega), 0) =: r > 0$.

2. Let P_n, P_m be such that $\frac{1}{n} < \frac{r}{2}, \frac{1}{m} < \frac{r}{2}$. Denote by $\tilde{X} := X_n + X_m$ the smallest linear subspace of X including X_n and X_m .

$$\deg_{X_n}((\text{id} - P_n)|_{X_n}, \Omega \cap X_n, 0) = \deg_{\tilde{X}}((\text{id} - P_n)|_{\tilde{X}}, \Omega \cap \tilde{X}, 0),$$

since $(\text{id} - P_n)(x) = 0 \implies x - P_n x = 0 \implies x \in X_n$. WLOG for all such x $\det((I - DP_n))(x) \neq 0$. TODO!!! 3. TODO!!! □

└

Důsledek (Leray–Schauder degree as existential criterion)

Let X be Banach space and $\Omega \subset X$ open, bounded, $T : X \rightarrow X$ compact and $0 \notin (\text{id} - T)(\partial\Omega)$. If $\deg_X(\text{id} - T, \Omega, 0) \neq 0$, then there is $x \in \Omega$ such that $x = Tx$.

┌

Důkaz

Approx T by P_n as before. Then $\deg_{X_n}((\text{id} - P_n)|_{X_n}, \Omega \cap X_n, 0) \neq 0$ for n large enough $\implies \exists (x_n)_n$ such that $x_n = P_n x_n$. \exists subsequence $T x_n \rightarrow x$. As before $x = Tx$. □

└

Věta 6.2 (Homotopies for the Leray–Schauder degree)

Let X Banach, $T_s : X \rightarrow X$ for $s \in [0, 1]$ a family of compact operators, uniformly continuous in the sense $\exists \varepsilon > 0, \Omega \subset X$ bounded $\exists \delta > 0 \forall x \in \Omega \forall |s_1 - s_2| < \delta : \|T_{s_1}(x) - T_{s_2}(x)\| < \varepsilon$. If Ω is open and bounded such that $0 \notin (\text{id} - T_s)(\partial\Omega) \forall s \in [0, 1]$, then $s \mapsto \deg_X(\text{id} - T_s, \Omega, 0)$ is constant.

┌

Důkaz

Similar to before we show $\text{dist}((\text{id} - T_s)(\partial\Omega), 0) \geq r > 0$ independently of s . Assume $\exists (s_n)_n \subset [0, 1], (x_n)_n \subset \partial\Omega$ such that $\|x_n - T_{s_n} x_n\| \rightarrow 0$. By compactness \exists subsequence $s_n \rightarrow s$ and $T_{s_n} x_n \rightarrow x$. Now $\|x_n - T_s x_n\| \leq \underbrace{\|x_n - T_{s_n} x_n\|}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ by assumption}} + \underbrace{\|T_{s_n} x_n - T_s x_n\|}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ by uniform continuity}} \implies$

$\implies x_n \rightarrow x \in \Omega \wedge x - T x = 0$. \nexists . TODO!!! □

└

7 Monotone operators

Definition 7.1 (Monotone operator)

Let X reflexive Banach space. An operator $f : X \rightarrow X^*$ is called monotone if

$$\langle f[a] - f[b], a - b \rangle_{X^* \times X} \geq 0, \quad \forall a, b \in X.$$

Příklad (Laplace operator)

TODO?

Definition 7.2 (Hemi-continuity and demi-continuity)

Let X be reflexive Banach space, $f : X \rightarrow X^*$. Then f is called demi-continuous if $a_n \rightarrow a$ in $X \implies f[a_n] \rightarrow f[a]$ in X^* .

f is called hemi-continuous if $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, t \mapsto \langle f(a + t \cdot b), c \rangle$ is continuous $\forall a, b, c \in X$.

Tvrzení 7.1 (Maximal-monotone operator)

Let X be a reflexive Banach space, $f : X \rightarrow X^*$, hemi-continuous and monotone. If $\langle b - f[\tilde{x}], x - \tilde{x} \rangle \geq 0, \forall \tilde{x} \in X$, then $f[x] = b$.

┌

Důkaz

Pick $\tilde{x} = x - t \cdot u, t \in [0, 1], u \in X. \implies \langle b - f[\tilde{x}], t \cdot u \rangle \geq 0$. Divide by t and send $t \rightarrow 0$:

$$\forall u \in X : \langle b - f[x - t \cdot u], u \rangle \geq 0 \implies \forall u \in X : \langle b - f[x], u \rangle \geq 0 \implies b = f[x]$$

└

□

Lemma 7.2

Let X be a reflexive Banach space, $f : X \rightarrow X^*$.

1. If f is demi-continuous, then it is locally bounded.
2. If f is monotone, then it is locally bounded.
3. If f is monotone and hemi-continuous, then it is demi-continuous.

┌

Důkaz (1.)

Assume $x_0 \in X$ such that $f[x]$ is unbounded in any neighbourhood of x_0 . Then there exists $x_i \rightarrow x_0$ such that $f[x_n]$ is unbounded, but $f[x_n] \rightarrow f[x_0] \nexists$. □

└

┌ *Důkaz* (2.)

Assume that we have $x_n \rightarrow x$. From monotonicity we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle f[x_n] - f[\tilde{x}], x_n - \tilde{x} \rangle = \langle f[x_n] - f[\tilde{x}], (x_n - x_0) + (x_0 - \tilde{x}) \rangle \implies \\ &\implies a_n \langle f[x_n], \tilde{x} - x_0 \rangle \leq a_n \cdot (\langle f[x_n], x_n - x_0 \rangle - \langle f[\tilde{x}], x_n - \tilde{x} \rangle) \leq \\ &\leq a_n (\|f[x_n]\| \cdot \|x_n - x\| + \|f(\tilde{x})\| \cdot (\|x_n\| + \|\tilde{x}\|)) \leq c(x, \tilde{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing \tilde{x} with $2x - \tilde{x}$ gives us a similar inequality with the opposite sign on the left hand side. But then $|\langle a_n f[x_n], \tilde{x} - x \rangle| = |a_n f[x_n] \langle \tilde{x} - x \rangle|$ is uniformly bounded and from Banach–Steinhaus $\|a_n f[x_n]\|$ is uniformly bounded.

$$\implies \|f[x_n]\| \leq c \cdot (1 + \|f[x_n]\| \cdot \|x_1 - x_0\|) \rightarrow c \implies \|f[x_n]\| \text{ is bounded.} \quad \square$$

┌ *Důkaz* (3.)

Let $x_n \rightarrow x_0$. Then $f[x_n]$ is bounded $\implies \exists$ subsequence $f[x_n] \rightarrow b$ and

$$\forall \tilde{x} : 0 \leq \langle f[x_n] - f[\tilde{x}], x_n - \tilde{x} \rangle \implies \forall \tilde{x} : 0 \leq \langle b - f[\tilde{x}], x_0 - \tilde{x} \rangle \implies b = f[x_0] \implies$$

\implies every subsequence of $f[x_n]$ has a converging subsequence such that $f[x_{n_k}] \rightarrow f[x_0]$
 $\implies f[x_n] \rightarrow f[x_0]. \quad \square$

7.1 Existence theory

Věta 7.3 (Minty and Browder)

Let X be a reflexive separable Banach space and $f : X \rightarrow X^*$ monotone, hemi-continuous and coercive in the sense that $\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle f(x), x \rangle}{\|x\|} = \infty$. Then for all $b \in X^*$ the set $\{x \in X | f(x) = b\}$ is closed, bounded, convex and non-empty. If f is strictly monotone, then it consist of one point.

┌ *Důkaz* (1. Solve approximation problem in X_n ; 2. Show uniform estimate; 3. Converge to solution of the full problem.)

„1.“: Define $g_n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \mapsto (\langle f(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i e_i) - b, e_k \rangle)_{k \in [n]}$. Hemi-continuity $\implies g_n$ is continuous in every compact. Finite dimension $\implies g_n$ is continuous.

$$\frac{g_n(y) \cdot y}{|y|} = \frac{\langle f(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i e_i), \sum_{i=1}^n y_i e_i \rangle}{|y|} - \frac{\langle b, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i e_i \rangle}{|y|} \rightarrow \infty + \text{const}$$

Homework (sheet 8) $\implies \exists y_n$ such that $g_n(y_n) = 0 \implies x_n := \sum_{i=1}^n y_i e_i : \forall i \in [n] : \langle f(x_n) - b, e_i \rangle = 0$.

„2.“: TODO!!! Using $0 \leq \langle f[x_1] - f[w], x_n - w \rangle :$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f[x_n]\| &= \sup_{\|w\| \leq \delta} \frac{1}{\delta} \langle f(x_n), w \rangle \leq \sup_{\|w\| \leq \delta} \frac{1}{\delta} (\langle f[x_n], x_n \rangle - \langle f[w], x_1 \rangle + \langle f[w], w \rangle) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} (\|b\| \cdot \|x_n\| + R_1 \|x_1\| + \delta \cdot R_1) \implies f[x_n] \text{ is bounded.} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Poznámka (Minty's trick)

The same trick works in much more general circumstances involving monotone operator. Here $\langle f(x_0), x_n \rangle := \langle b, x_n \rangle$ could also be $\langle f(x_0), x_n \rangle := \langle g(x_n), x_n \rangle$, where g is compact.

7.2 Maximal monotone operators

Definition 7.3 (Monotone operator and maximal monotone operator)

Let X be a reflexive Banach space. $f : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is called monotone if

$$\langle y_1 - y_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in X, y_1 \in f(x_1), y_2 \in f(x_2).$$

It is called maximal monotone if $\forall x \in X, b \in X^*$

$$\langle b - \tilde{y}, x - \tilde{x} \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \tilde{x} \in X, \tilde{y} \in f(\tilde{x}) \implies b \in f(x).$$

Například

Sub-differential of convex functional is maximal monotone.