Úvod

Poznámka (Organizační úvod)
K ukončení předmětu je třeba pouze udělat zkoušku: 2 příklady na definice ($2 \cdot 10$), 2 věta-důkaz ($15 + 20, 15 + 30$). (Hranice 85, 70, 55.)

Literatura:

- L.C. Evans, R.F. Gariepy, Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1992.
- W. Rudin, Analýza v reálném a komplexním oboru, Academia, 2003.

1 Differentiation of measures

1.1 Covering theorems

Definice 1.1 (Vitali cover)

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we say that a system $\mathcal{V}$ consisting of closed balls from $\mathbb{R}^n$ forms Vitali cover of A, if

$$\forall x \in A \ \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists B \in \mathcal{V} : x \in B \wedge \operatorname{diam} B < \varepsilon.$$

Definice 1.2 (Notation)

λ_n is Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. λ_n^* is outer Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. If $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a ball and $\alpha > 0$, then $\alpha \cdot B$ stands for the ball, which is concentric with B and with α-times greater radius than B.

Věta 1.1 (Vitali)

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{V}$ be a system of closed balls forming a Vitali cover of A. Then there exists a countable disjoint subsystem $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ such that $\lambda_n(A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$.

Důkaz
First assume that A is bounded. Take an open bounded set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $A \subset G$. We set

$$\mathcal{V}^* = \{B \in \mathcal{V} | V \subset G\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{V}^*$ is a Vitali cover of A. If there exists a finite disjoint subsystem of $\mathcal{V}^*$ covering A, we are done. So Assume that there is no such subsystem. Mathematical induction:

First step: We set $s_1 = \sup\{\operatorname{diam} B | B \in \mathcal{V}^*\}$. We choose a ball $B_1 \in \mathcal{V}^*$ such that $B_1 > \frac{1}{2}s_1$.

k-th step: Suppose that we have already constructed balls $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{k-1}$. We set

$$s_k = \sup\left\{\operatorname{diam} B | B \in \mathcal{V}^* \wedge B \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i = \varnothing\right\}.$$

We find $B_k \in \mathcal{V}^*$ such that $\operatorname{diam} B_k > \frac{1}{2}s_k > 0$, $B_k \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B_i = \varnothing$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{B_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It is disjoint, it is countable, it holds $\lambda_n(A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(B_i) = \lambda_n(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) \leqslant \lambda_n(G) < \infty \implies$$
$$\implies \lim_{i\to\infty} = 0 \implies \lim_{i\to\infty} \operatorname{diam}(B_i) = 0 \implies \lim_{i\to\infty} s_i = 0.$$

We show that

$$\forall x \in A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}\ \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \exists j \in \mathbb{N}, j > i : x \in 5 \cdot B_j$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{\infty} 5 \cdot B_j$$

Take $x \in A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote $\delta = \operatorname{dist}(x, \bigcup_{k=1}^{i} B_k) > 0$. There exists $B \in \mathcal{V}^*$ such that $x \in B$ and $\operatorname{diam} B < \delta \implies B \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{i} B_k = \varnothing$. Then we have $\operatorname{diam} B > s_p$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore there exists $j > i$ with $B_j \cap B \neq \varnothing$. Let j be the smallest number with this property. Then we have $s_j \geqslant \operatorname{diam} B$ since $B \cap \bigcup_{l=1}^{j-1} B_l = \varnothing$. Further we have $\operatorname{diam} B_j > \frac{1}{2}s_j \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{diam} B \implies 2\operatorname{diam} B_j \geqslant \operatorname{diam} B$ This implies that $x \in B \subset 5 \cdot B_j$.

$$\lambda_n^*(A \setminus \bigcup A) \leqslant \lambda_n\left(\bigcup_{j=i+1}^{\infty} 5 \cdot B_j\right) \leqslant \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(5 \cdot B_j) = \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} 5^n \lambda_n(B_j) = 5^n \cdot \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(B_j) \to 0 \implies \lambda_n(A \setminus \bigcup$$

General case (A not bounded): Let $(G_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of disjoint open sets such that $\lambda_n(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} G_j) = 0$. We define $\mathcal{V}_j = \{B \in \mathcal{V}_i, B \subseteq G_j\}$. $\mathcal{V}_j$ is a Vitali cover of $A \cap G_j$ $\implies \exists \mathcal{A}_j \subseteq \mathcal{V}_j$ countable disjoint and $\lambda_n(A \cap G_j \setminus \bigcup A_j) = 0$. We set $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_j$. $\mathcal{A}$ is countable, disjoint and $\lambda_n(A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$. □

Definice 1.3

We say that a measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies Vitali theorem, if for every Vitaly cover $\mathcal{V}$ of $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a disjoint countable $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{V}$ with $\mu(M \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$.

Poznámka

If μ satisfies Vitali theorem and $\nu \ll \mu$, then ν satisfies Vitali theroem.

Věta 1.2

Set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be Lebesgue measurable and $\mathcal{S}$ be a finite system of closed balls covering E. Then there exists a disjoint system $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{S}$ such that $\lambda_n(E) \leqslant 3^n \cdot \sum_{B \in \mathcal{L}} \lambda_n(B)$.

Důkaz

WLOG $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$. SUppose $B_1 \in \mathcal{S}$ with maximal radius among balls from $\mathcal{S}$.

Suppose that we have already constructed $B_1, \ldots, B_{k-1} \in \mathcal{S}$. If possible, choose $B_k \in \mathcal{S}$ disjoint with $\bigcup_{j<k} B_j$ and with maximal radius among balls satisfying this property.

We set $\mathcal{L} = \{B_1, \ldots, B_N\}$. We show $E \subseteq \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{L}} 3 * B = \bigcup_{i=1}^N 3 * B_i$. $x \in E$. Find $B \in \mathcal{S}$ with $x \in B$. Find smallest k with $B \cap B_k \neq \emptyset$. This means $\operatorname{rad}(B) \leqslant \operatorname{rad}(B_k) \implies x \in B \subseteq 3 * B_k$.

Now $\lambda_n(E) \leqslant \lambda_n\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N 3 * B_i\right) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_n(3 * B_i) = 3^n \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_n(B_i)$. □

Věta 1.3 (Besicovitch theorem)

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property:

If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Delta : A \to (0, \infty)$ is a bounded function, then there exist sets A_1, ..., A_N $\subseteq A$ such that

- *$\left\{\overline{B}(x, \Delta x) | x \in A_j\right\}$ is disjoint for every $j \in [N]$;*
- *$A \subset \bigcup \left\{\overline{B}(x, \Delta x) | x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^N A_i\right\}$.*

Důkaz (Case A is bounded)
Let $R := \sup_A \Delta$. Choose $B_1 := \overline{B}(a_1, \Delta(a_1))$ such that $a_1 \in A$ and $r_1 := \Delta(a_1) > \frac{3}{4}R$.

Assume that we already constructed $B_1, \dots, B_{j-1}$, $j \geqslant 2$. $B_{j-1} = \overline{B}(a_{j-1}, \Delta(a_{j-1})) = \overline{B}(a_{j-1}, r_{j-1})$. Let $F_j := A \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} B_i$. If $F_j = \varnothing$ we set $J := j$. If not $B_j := \overline{B}(a_j, \Delta(a_j)) = \overline{B}(a_j, r_j)$, $a_j \in F_j$ and $r_j > \frac{3}{4} \sup_{F_j} \Delta$.

If $F_j \neq \varnothing$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then we set $J := \infty$. So we have $(B_j)_{j<J}$. If $J < \infty$, then we covered A. „If $J = \infty$, then $A \subset \bigcup_{j<J} B_j$“:

„$\lim_{j\to\infty} r_j = 0$“: because A is bounded

$$\|a_i - a_j\| \geqslant r_i = \frac{1}{3}r_i + \frac{2}{3}r_i > \frac{1}{3}r_i + \frac{1}{2}r_j > \frac{1}{3}r_i + \frac{1}{3}r_j = \frac{1}{3}(r_i + r_j) \implies \frac{1}{3} * B_i \cap \frac{1}{3} * B_j = \varnothing.$$

$\left\{\frac{1}{3}B_j | j < J\right\}$ is a disjoint family $\implies \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(\frac{1}{3} * B_j) < \infty$.

If $A \in A \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j$, then $a \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$. We find $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r_{j_0} \leqslant \frac{3}{4}\Delta(a)$. ↯.

Fix $k < J$. We set $I = \{i < k | B_i \cap B_k \neq \varnothing\}$, $I_1 = \{i < k_i | B_i \cap B_k \neq \varnothing \wedge r_i < 10r_k\}$, $I_2 = \{i < k_i | B_i \cap B_k \wedge r_i \geqslant 10r_k\}$. The estimate of I_1: „We have $\frac{1}{3}B_i \subseteq 15 * B_k$ for every $i \in I_1$“: Take $x \in \frac{1}{3} * B_i$. Then

$$\|x - a_k\| \leqslant \|x - a_j\| + \|a_i - a_k\| \leqslant \frac{1}{3}r_i + r_i + r_k \leqslant \frac{10}{3}r_k + 10r_k + r_k \leqslant 15r_k$$

$$\lambda_n(\frac{1}{3} * B_i) = \lambda(\overline{B}(0,1)) \cdot (\frac{1}{3}r_i)^n \geqslant \lambda_n(\overline{B}(0,1)) \cdot (\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{4}r_k)^n = \lambda_n(\overline{B}(0,1)) \cdot \frac{1}{4^n}r_k^n =$$

$$= \frac{1}{60^n}\lambda_n(15 * B_k) \implies |I_1| \leqslant 60^n.$$

Denote $b_i = a_i - a_k$, vector between centers of balls. Take a family $\{Q_m | 1 \leqslant m \leqslant (22n)^n\}$ of closed cubes with edge length $\frac{1}{11n}$ which cover $[-1,1]^n$. We claim that „for each $1 \leqslant m \leqslant (22n)^n$ there is at most one $i \in I_2$ with $\frac{b_i}{\|b_i\|} \in Q_m$“:

$$i, j \in I_2, i < j, \left\| \frac{b_i}{\|b_i\|} - \frac{b_j}{\|b_j\|} \right\| \leqslant \frac{1}{11}.$$

We have $r_i < \|b_i\| \leqslant r_i + r_k$ and $r_j < \|b_j\| \leqslant r_j + r_k$. So $|\|b_i\| - \|b_j\|| \leqslant |r_i - r_j| + r_k$. $\|b_j\| \leqslant r_j + r_k \leqslant r_j + \frac{1}{10}r_j = \frac{11}{10}r_j$.

$$\|a_i - a_j\| = \|b_i - b_j\| \leqslant \left\| b_i - \frac{\|b_j\|}{\|b_i\|}b_i \right\| + \left\| \frac{\|b_j\|}{\|b_i\|}b_i - b_j \right\| \leqslant |\|b_i\| - \|b_j\|| + \frac{1}{11}\|b_j\| \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant |r_i - r_j| + r_k + \frac{1}{11} \cdot \frac{11}{10}r_j \leqslant |r_i - r_j| + \frac{1}{5}r_j.$$

We distinguish two cases:

$$(1) r_i > r_j : \|a_i - a_j\| \leqslant r_i - \frac{4}{5}r_j < r_i;$$
$$4$$

$$(2) r_i \leqslant r_j : \|a_i - a_j\| \leqslant -r_i + r_j + \frac{1}{5}r_j = -r_i + \frac{6}{5}r_j \leqslant -r_i + \frac{8}{5}r_i < r_i \implies a_j \in \overline{B}(a_i, r_i) = B_i, ↯.$$

Důkaz (Case A is not bounded)
Let $A^l := A \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | 3(l-1)R \leqslant \|x\| < 3lR\}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. We get A_i^l, $i \in [M]$ by the previous. $A_i = \bigcup_{l=2k+1} A_i^l$, $A_{M+i} = \bigcup_{l=2k} A_i^l$. □

Definice 1.4 (Radon measure)

Let P be a locally compact Hausdorff space and $\mathcal{S}$ a σ-algebra of subsets of P. We say that μ is a Radon measure if

- $\mathcal{S}$ contains all Borel sets,
- $\mu(K) < \infty$ for every compact $K \in P$,
- $\mu(G) = \sup\{\mu(K) | K \subset G \text{ is compact}\}$ for every $G \subset P$ open,
- $\mu(A) = \inf\{\mu(K) | A \subset G \text{ is open}\}$ for every $A \in \mathcal{S}$,
- μ is complete.

Lemma 1.4

Let μ be a measure on X and $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of subsets of X. Then $\lim \mu^*(A_j) = \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right)$.

Věta 1.5

Let μ be a Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be a collection of closed balls in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let A denote the set of centers of balls in $\mathcal{F}$. Assume $\inf\{r | B(a,r) \in \mathcal{F}\} = 0$ for each $a \in A$. Then there exists a countable disjoint system $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mu(A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{G}) = 0$.

Důkaz (The case $\mu^*(A) < \infty$)
Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be the constant from Besicovitch theorem. We find Θ such that $1 - \frac{1}{N} < \Theta < 1$.
Claim: „Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. Then there exists a disjoint finite system $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{H} \subset U$ and

$$\mu^*((A \cap U) \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}) \leqslant \Theta \cdot \mu^*(A \cap U).$$

"

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_1 = \{B \in \mathbb{F}, \operatorname{diam} B < 1 \wedge B \subset U\}$$

By theorem above there exists disjoint families $\mathcal{G}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{G}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_1$ such $A \cap U \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N \bigcup \mathcal{G}_i$. Thus $\mu^*(A \cap U) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^N \mu^*(A \cap U \cap \bigcup \mathcal{G}_i)$. Consequently, there exists an integer $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$ such that

$$\mu^*(A \cap U \cap \bigcup \mathcal{G}_j) \geqslant \frac{1}{N} \mu^*(A \cap U) > (1 - \Theta) \mu^*(A \cap U).$$

Using lemma above we find a finite system $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}_j$ such that

$$\mu^*(A \cap U \cap \mathcal{H}) > (1 - \Theta) \mu^*(A \cap U).$$

The set $\bigcup \mathcal{H}$ is μ-measurable

$$\mu^*(A \cap U) = \mu^*(A \cap U \cap \bigcup \mathcal{H}) + \mu(A \cap U \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}) \geqslant (1 - \Theta) \mu^*(A \cap U) + \mu(A \cap U \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}).$$

Set $U_1 = \mathbb{R}^n$. Using claim we find a disjoint finite system $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{H}_1 \subset U_1$ and $\mu^*(A \cap U_1 \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}_1) \leqslant \Theta \mu^*(A \cap U_1)$. Continuing by induction we construct a sequence of open sets (U_j) and a sequence of disjoint finite families $(\mathcal{H}_j)$ such that $U_{j+1} = U_j \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}_j$, $\bigcup \mathcal{H}_j \subset U_j$, $\mathcal{H}_j \subset \mathcal{F}$ and

$$\mu^*(A \cap U_{j+1}) = \mu^*((A \cap U_j) \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}_j) \leqslant \Theta \mu^*(A \cap U_j).$$

Since $\mu^*(A) < \infty$ we get $\mu^*(A \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty \cup \mathcal{H}_j) = 0$, since $\mu^*(A \cap U_{j+1}) \leqslant \Theta^j \mu^*(A)$.

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty \mathcal{H}_j$$

□

Důkaz (General case)
We find a sequence (G_j) of open sets, which are disjoint and $\mu(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty G_j) = 0$. □

1.2 Differentiation of measures

Poznámka (Notation)
$\mathcal{B}$ is set of closed balls in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definice 1.5 (Derivative of measure)

Let μ and ν be measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we define

- upper derivative of ν with respect to μ and x by
$$\overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) = \lim_{r \to 0_+} \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}, \operatorname{diam} B < r} \frac{\nu(B)}{\mu(B)},$$
if the term at the right side is well-defined;
- lower derivative of ν with respect to μ and x by
$$\underline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) = \lim_{r \to 0_+} \inf_{B \in \mathcal{B}, \operatorname{diam} B < r} \frac{\nu(B)}{\mu(B)},$$
if the term at the right side is well-defined;
- derivative of ν with respect to μ and x by
$$D(\nu, \mu, x) = \overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) = \underline{D}(\nu, \mu, x),$$
if they are equal.

Věta 1.6

Let ν and μ be Radon measures and $\mathbb{R}^n$ and μ satisfy Vitali theorem. Then $\overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x)$ and $\underline{D}(\nu, \mu, x)$ exist μ-almost everywhere.

Důkaz

$M := \left\{x \in \mathbb{R} | \nexists \overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x)\right\}$ and $\mathcal{V} := \{B \in \mathcal{B} | \mu(B) = 0\}$, $\mathcal{V}$ is a Vitali cover of M. Then there exists a disjoint countable family $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{V}$ such that $\mu(M \backslash \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$.

$$\mu(\bigcup \mathcal{A}) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{A}} \mu(B) = 0 \implies \mu(M) = 0.$$

□

Věta 1.7

Let μ and ν be Radon measures, μ satisfy Vitali theorem, $C \in (0, \infty)$, and $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

- *If for every $x \in M$ we have $\overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) > c$, then $\nu^*(M) \geqslant c\mu^*(M)$.*
- *If for every $x \in M$ we have $\underline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) < c$, then there exists $H \subset M$ such that $\mu(M \backslash H) = 0$ and $\nu^*(H) \leqslant c\mu^*(M)$.*

Důkaz (1.)
We choose $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists an open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $M \subset G$ and $\nu(G) \leqslant \nu^*(M) + \varepsilon$. We define

$$\mathcal{V} := \{B \in \mathcal{B} | B \subset G, \nu(B) > c \cdot \mu(B)\}.$$

The family $\mathcal{V}$ is a Vitali cover of M. There exists a disjoint countable family $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{V}$ with $\mu(M \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 0$. Then we have

$$\nu^*(M) + \varepsilon \geqslant \nu(G) \geqslant \nu(\bigcup \mathcal{A}) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{A}} \nu(B) \leqslant \sum_{B \in \mathcal{A}} c\mu(B) = c\mu(\bigcup \mathcal{A}) \geqslant c\mu^*(M)$$

□

Důkaz (2.)
For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we find an open set $G_k > M$ and $\mu(G_k) \leqslant \mu^*(M) + \frac{1}{k}$.

$$\mathcal{V}_k := \{B \in \mathcal{B} | B \subset G_k \wedge \nu(B) < c \cdot \mu(B)\}.$$

TODO(1 řádek)!!! a countable disjoint system $\mathcal{A}_k \subset \mathcal{V}_k$ such that $\mu(M \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}_k) = 0$. Set $H_k = M \cap \bigcup \mathcal{A}_k$. Then $\mu(M \setminus H_k) = 0$, $H_k \subset M$. We have

$$\nu^*(H_k) \leqslant \nu(\bigcup \mathcal{A}_k) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{A}_k} \nu(B) \leqslant c \sum_{B \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mu(B) = c\mu(\bigcup \mathcal{A}_k) \leqslant c \cdot \mu(G_k) \leqslant c(\mu^*(M) + \frac{1}{k}).$$

$$H := \bigcap H_k : \qquad \nu^*(H) \leqslant c\mu^*(M).$$

$$\mu(M \setminus H) \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\mu(M \setminus H_k)}_{=0} = 0.$$

□

Věta 1.8

Let ν and μ be Radon measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and mu satisfies Vitali theorem. Then $D(\nu, \mu, x)$ exists finite μ almost everywhere.

Důkaz

$$D := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | D(\nu, \mu, x) \in [0, \infty)\}$$

$$N_1 := \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) \text{ is not defined}\right\}, \qquad N_3 = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) = \infty\right\},$$

$$N_2 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \underline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) \text{ is not defined}\}, \qquad N_4 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \underline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) = \infty\}.$$

We already showed that $\mu(N_1) = \mu(N_2) = 0$.

$$A_k := \left\{x \in \mathbb{R} | \overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) > k\right\}, k \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$A(r, s) = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \underline{D}(\nu, \mu, x) < s < r < \overline{D}(\nu, \mu, x)\right\}, \qquad s, r \in \mathbb{Q}^+, s < r$$

$$N_3 = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k, \qquad N_4 = \bigcup \left\{A(r, s), r, s \in \mathbb{Q}^+, s < r\right\}$$

„$\mu(N_3) = 0$“: Choose $Q \subset N_3$ bounded. By previous theorem (1.) $k\mu^*(Q) \leqslant \nu^*(Q)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\implies \mu^*(Q) = 0 \implies \mu^*(N_3) = 0 \implies \mu(N_3) = 0.$$

„$\mu(N_4) = 0$“: It is sufficient to prove $\mu(A(r, s)) = 0$ for any $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, $r > s$. Choose $Q \subset A(r, s)$ bounded. By previous theorem (2.) there exists $H \subset Q$ such that $\mu(Q \setminus H) = 0$ and $\nu^*(H) \leqslant s\mu^*(Q)$. Vy previous theorem (1.) we have $r\mu^*(H) \leqslant \nu^*(H)$.

$$r\mu^*(Q) = r\mu^*(H) \leqslant \nu^*(H) \leqslant s\mu^*(Q) < \infty.$$

$$\implies \mu^*(Q) = 0 \implies \mu(A(r, s)) = 0.$$

□

Lemma 1.9

Let ν and μ be as before. Then the mappings $x \mapsto \overline{D}(\nu, \mu, \lambda)$, $x \mapsto \underline{D}(\nu, \mu, \lambda)$ are μ-measurable.

Důkaz

$$M(r,\alpha)=\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n|\exists B\in\mathcal{B}:\operatorname{diam}B<r, x\in B, \frac{\nu(B)}{\mu(B)}<\alpha\right\},\qquad r>0,\alpha>0.$$

„$M(r,\alpha)$ is open“: Assume $x\in M(r,\alpha)$ we find $y\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $s>0$ such that $x\in\overline{B}(y,s)$, $2s<r$,

$$\frac{\nu(\overline{B}(y,s))}{\mu(\overline{B}(y,s))}.$$

We find $s'>s$, $2s'<r$, $\frac{\nu(\overline{B}(y,s'))}{\mu(\overline{B}(y,s'))}<\alpha$. Then $B(y,s')\subset M(r,\alpha)$.

$$D:=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n|\underline{D}(\nu,\mu,x)\text{ exists finite}\}.$$

For every $x\in D$ we have

$$\underline{D}(\nu,\mu,x)<\alpha\Leftrightarrow\exists\tau\in\mathcal{Q},\tau>0\ \forall r\in\mathcal{Q},r>0\ \exists B\in\mathcal{B}:\operatorname{diam}B<r,x\in B,\frac{\nu(B)}{\mu(B)}<\alpha-\tau,$$

$$\underline{D}(\nu,\mu,x)<\alpha\Leftrightarrow\exists\tau\in\mathcal{Q},\tau>0\ \forall r\in\mathcal{Q},r>0:x\in M(r,\alpha-\tau).$$

$\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n|\underline{D}(\nu,\mu,x)<\alpha\}$ is μ-measurable. □

Věta 1.10

Let ν and μ be as before, $\nu\ll\mu$, and $B\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ is μ-measurable. Then we have $\nu(B)=\int_B D(\nu,\mu,x)d\mu(x)$.

Důkaz
Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be μ-measurable. Choose $\beta > 1$.

$$B_k := \left\{x \in B | \beta^k < D(\nu, \mu, x) \leqslant \beta^{k+1}\right\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$N := \{x \in B | D(\nu, \mu, x) = 0\}.$$

$$\mu(B \setminus (\bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} B_k \cup N)) = 0.$$

$$\int_B D(\nu, \mu, x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{B_k} D(\nu, \mu, x) d\mu(x) \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta^{k+1} \mu(B_k) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta^{k+1} \cdot \beta^{-k} \nu(B_k) = \beta \cdot \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu(B_k) \leqslant \beta \cdot \nu(B).$$

$$\beta \to 1_+ : \int_B D(\nu, \mu, x) d\mu(x) \leqslant \nu(B).$$

Using absolute continuity: $\nu(B \setminus (\bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} B_k \cup N)) = 0$. We use theorem above to get $\nu^*(Q) \leqslant C\mu^*(Q)$ for any $c > 0$ and $Q \subset N$ bounded. $\implies \nu * (Q) = 0 \implies \nu(N) = 0$.

$$\int_B D(\nu, \mu, x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{B_k} D(\nu, \mu, x) d\mu(x) \geqslant$$

$$\geqslant \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta^k \cdot \mu(B_k) \geqslant \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta^k \cdot \beta^{-(k+1)} \nu(B_k) = \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \nu(B).$$

$$\beta \to 1_+ : \int_B D(\nu, \mu, x) d\mu(x) \geqslant \nu(B).$$

□

1.3 Lebesgue points

Definice 1.6 ($\mathcal{L}^1_{loc}$)

Let μ be a Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The symbol $\mathcal{L}^1_{loc}(\mu)$ denotes the set of all functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$, which are μ-measurable and for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists $r > 0$ such that $\int_{B(x,r)} |f| d\mu < \infty$.

Definice 1.7 (Lebesgue point)

Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^1_{loc}(\mu)$. We say that $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is Lebesgue point of f at x (with respect to μ) if we have

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \; \exists \delta > 0 \; \forall B \in \mathbb{B}, x \in B, \operatorname{diam} B < \delta : \frac{\int_B |f(t) - f(x)| d\mu(t)}{\mu(B)} < \varepsilon.$$

Věta 1.11

Let μ be a Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying Vitali theorem and $f \in \mathcal{L}^1_{loc}(\mu)$. Then μ-almost every point are Lebesgue point of f (with respect to μ).

Důkaz
WLOG $\mu(\mathbb{R}^n) < \infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$. Set $(C_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of closed balls in $\mathbb{C}$ forming a basis of topology in $\mathbb{C}$. We define

$$g_k(x) := \operatorname{dist}(f(x), C_k), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The function g_k is non-negative, μ-measurable, $g_k \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$. Set $\nu_k = \int g_k d\mu$. We set $P_k := \{x \in f^{-1}(C_k) | \neg(D(\nu_k, \mu, x) = 0)\}$. We have $g_k = 0$ on $f^{-1}(C_k) \implies \mu(P_k) = 0$.

$$\nu_k = \int D(\nu_k, \mu, x) d\mu(x).$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k$ we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and we find C_k such that $f(x) \in C_k$ and $C_k \subset B(f(x), \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)$. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ it holds $|f(t) - f(x)| \leqslant g_k(t) + \varepsilon$.

$x \in f^{-1}(C_k) \implies D(\nu_k, \mu, x) = 0$. We find $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall B \in \mathbb{B}, x \in V, \operatorname{diam} B < \delta : \frac{\nu_k(B)}{\mu(B)} = \frac{\int_B g_k d\mu}{\mu(B)} < \varepsilon.$$

Let $B \in \mathbb{B}$, $x \in B$ and $\operatorname{diam} B < \delta$. We get

$$\frac{\int_B |f(t) - f(x)| d\mu(t)}{\mu(B)} \leqslant \frac{\int_B (g_k(t) + \varepsilon) d\mu(t)}{\mu(B)} < \varepsilon + \varepsilon = 2\varepsilon.$$

□

1.4 Density theorem

Definice 1.8

Let μ be a measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be μ-measurable and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that $c \in [0, 1]$ is μ-density of A at x if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \; \exists \delta > 0 \; \forall B \in \mathcal{B}, x \in B, \operatorname{diam} B < \delta : \left| \frac{\mu(A \cap B)}{\mu(B)} - c \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Věta 1.12 (Density theorem)

Let μ be a Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying Vitali theorem and $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be μ-measurable. Then

$$d_\mu(x, M) = 1 \textit{ for almost every } x \in M,$$

$$d_\mu(x, M) = 0 \textit{ for almost every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus M.$$

Důkaz
Define ν on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by $\nu(A) = \mu(A \cap M)$ for every μ-measurable $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus we have $d_\mu(M, X) = D(\nu, \mu, X)$, if at least one term is well-defined, $\nu \ll \mu$, $\nu = \int \chi_M d\mu$. From theorem above $\nu = \int D(\nu, \mu, x) d\mu(x) \implies \chi_M = D(\nu, \mu, x)$ μ-almost everywhere. □

1.5 AC and BV functions

Věta 1.13

Let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$. Then f is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ if and only if f is difference of two non-decreasing absolutely continuous functions on $[a, b]$.

Důkaz
„$\implies$“ choose $c \in (a, b)$. We define $v(x) = V_c^x f$, $x \in [c, b]$, and $v(x) = -V_x^c f$, $x \in [a, c)$. For every $y, d \in [a, b]$, $y < d$, we have $v(d) - v(y) = V_y^d f$. The function v is non-decreasing.

$x, y \in [a, b]$, $x < y$:

$$(v(y) - f(y)) - (v(x) - f(x)) = (v(y) - v(x)) - (f(y) - f(x)) = V_x^y f - (f(y) - f(x)) \geqslant 0.$$

$v \in AC([a, b])$: Choose $\varepsilon > 0$. We find $\delta > 0$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^m |f(b_j) - f(a_j)| < \varepsilon$, whenever $a \leqslant a_1 < b_1 \leqslant a_2 < b_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant a_m < b_m \leqslant b$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m (b_j - a_j) < \delta$. Assume that $a \leqslant A_1 < B_1 \leqslant A_2 < B_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant A_p < B_p \leqslant b$ with $\sum_{j=1}^p (B_j - A_j) < \delta$. For each $j \in [p]$ we find points

$$A_j = a_1^j < b_1^j = a_2^j < b_2^j < \ldots < a_{m_j}^j < b_{m_j}^j = B_j.$$

TODO!!!

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |v(B_j) - v(A_j)| < \sum_{j=1}^p \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_j} |f(b_1^j) - f(a_i^j)| \right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \right) < \varepsilon + p \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{p} = 2\varepsilon.$$

$$f = v - (v - f).$$

□

Lemma 1.14

Let $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$, $x_0 \in (a, b)$, and $f'(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$\lim_{[x_1, x_2] \to [x_0, x_0], x_1 \leqslant x_0 \leqslant x_2, x_1 \neq x_2} \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} = f'(x_0).$$

Důkaz

WLOG $f'(x_0) = 0$ ($x \mapsto f(x) - f'(x_0) \cdot x$). Choose $\varepsilon > 0$. We find $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall x \in (a,b), 0 < |x - x_0| < \delta : \left| \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

For any $x_1 \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0]$, $x_2 \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta$ we have

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_0)| \leqslant \varepsilon |x_1 - x_0|, \qquad |f(x_2) - f(x_0)| \leqslant \varepsilon |x_2 - x_0|.$$

We get

$$|f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \leqslant |f(x_2) - f(x_0)| + |f(x_1) - f(x_0)| \leqslant \varepsilon |x_1 - x_0| + \varepsilon |x_2 - x_0| \leqslant \varepsilon |x_2 - x_1|.$$

$$\left| \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \right| \leqslant \varepsilon, \qquad x_2 \neq x_1.$$

□

Lemma 1.15

Let $f : (a,b) \to \mathbb{R}$, be non-decreasing on (a,b), $C(f)$ be the set of all points of continuity of f, and $A \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for every $x_0 \in C(f)$ it hold:

$$f'(x_0) = A \Leftrightarrow \lim_{[x_1,x_2] \to [x_0,x_0], x_1 \leqslant x_0 \leqslant x_2, x_1 \neq x_2, x_1, x_2 \in C(f)} \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} = A.$$

Důkaz

„ $\Longrightarrow$ “: This follows from the previous lemma.

„ $\Longleftarrow$ “: We check that $f'_+(x_0) = A$: We choose a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$x_n \in (a,b) \setminus \{x_0\}, x_n > x_0, \qquad \lim_{x_n} = x_0.$$

We want:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(x_n) - f(x_0)}{x_n - x_0} = A.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we find z_n, y_n such that

$$y_n \leqslant x_n \leqslant z_n, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \frac{x_n - x_0}{y_n - x_0} \in B\left(1, \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \frac{x_n - x_0}{z_n - x_0} \in B\left(1, \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad y_n, z_n \in C(f).$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{f(y_n) - f(x_0)}{y_n - x_0}}_{\to A} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{y_n - x_0}{x_n - x_0}}_{\to 1} \leqslant \frac{f(x_n) - f(x_0)}{x_n - x_0} \leqslant \underbrace{\frac{f(z_n) - f(x_0)}{z_n - x_0}}_{\to A} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{z_n - x_0}{x_n - x_0}}_{\to 1}.$$

□

Lemma 1.16

Let f be a distribution function of a measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$, $x_0 \in C(f)$, $A \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$f'(x_0) = A \Leftrightarrow D(\mu, \lambda_1, x_0) = A.$$

Důkaz

We choose sequences $\{x_n^1\}_n$, $\{x_n^2\}_n$ such that

$$x_n^1 \leqslant x_0 \leqslant x_n^2, \qquad \lim(x_n^2 - x_n^1) = 0, \qquad x_n^1 \neq x_n^2.$$

We want:

$$\frac{\mu([x_n^1, x_n^2])}{\lambda([x_n^1, x_n^2])} \to A.$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we find $y_n^1, y_n^2 \in C(f)$ such that

$$y_n^1 \leqslant x_0 \leqslant y_n^2, \quad \frac{y_n^2 - y_n^1}{x_n^2 - x_n^1} \in B\left(1, \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad y_n^1 < x_n^1 \leqslant x_0 \leqslant x_n^2 < y_n^2, \quad \lim(y_n^2 - y_n^1) = 0.$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu([y_n^1, y_n^2])}{y_n^2 - y_n^1} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(y_n^2) - f(y_n^1)}{y_n^2 - y_n^1} = A.$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu([x_n^1, x_n^2])}{x_n^2 - x_n^1} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\underbrace{\frac{\mu([y_n^1, y_n^2])}{y_n^2 - y_n^1}}_{\to A} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{y_n^2 - y_n^1}{x_n^2 - x_n^1}}_{\to 1} + \underbrace{\frac{\mu([x_n^1, x_n^2]) - \mu([y_n^1, y_n^2])}{x_n^2 - x_n^1}}_{|\cdot| < \frac{1}{n}} \right) = A.$$

$\square$

Věta 1.17 (Lebesgue)

Let f be a monotone function on an interval I. Then we have

- *$f'(x)$ exists almost everywhere in I;*
- *f' is measurable and $|\int_a^b f'| \leqslant |f(b) - f(a)|$, whenever $a, b \in I, a < b$;*
- *$f' \in L^1_{loc}(I)$.*

Důkaz

WLOG f is non-decreasing. Let $a, b \in I$, $a < b$. We define $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \lim_{t \to a+} f(t), & x \in (-\infty, a], \\ \lim_{t \to x+} f(t), & x \in (a, b), \\ f(b), & x \in [b, \infty). \end{cases}$$

g is non-decreasing and continuous from the right, $\{x \in (a,b) | f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ is countable.

There exists a Radon measure ν on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\forall c, d \in \mathbb{R}, c < d : \nu((c,d]) = g(d) - g(c).$$

$\nu = \mu + \sigma$, where μ, σ are Radon measures, $\mu \perp \lambda$, $\sigma \ll \lambda$.

Claim: „$D(\mu, \lambda, x) = 0$ λ-almost everywhere.“ $N \subset \mathbb{R}$ measurable, $\lambda(N) = 0$ and $\mu(\mathbb{R} \setminus N) = 0$. $c > 0 : D := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus N | D(\mu, \lambda, x) > c\}$.

$$0 = \mu(D) \geqslant c \cdot \lambda(D) \implies \lambda(D) = 0.$$

Previous lemma gives $g'(x) = D(\nu, \lambda, x)$ λ-almost everywhere, since g is continuous at each point of $[a,b]$ except on countable set $x_0 \in (a,b) \cap C(f)$, then $f'(x_0) = A \in \mathbb{R} \Leftrightarrow g'(x_0) = A \implies f'1$ exists almost everywhere in $[a,b]$.

$$f(b) - f(a) \geqslant g(b) - g(a) = \nu((a,b])) \geqslant \sigma((a,b]) = \int_a^b D(\sigma, \lambda, x) d\lambda(x) =$$

$$= \int_a^b D(\nu, \lambda, x) d\lambda(x).$$

□

Věta 1.18

Let I be a nonempty interval and $f \in BV(I)$. Then $f'(x)$ exists finite almost everywhere in I.

Důkaz

$f = f_1 - f_2$, where f_1, f_2 are non-decreasing. And we use previous. □

Věta 1.19

Let $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$, $a < B$ the following are equivalent:

- *$f \in AC([a,b])$;*
- *We have $\varphi \in L^1([a,b])$ such that $f(x) = f(a) + \int_a^x \varphi(t) dt$, $x \in [a,b]$;*

- $f'(x)$ *exists almost everywhere* $f' \in L^1([a,b])$, *and* $f(x) = f(a) + \int_a^x f'(t)dt$, $x \in [a,b]$.

Důkaz
„1. $\implies$ 3.“ WLOG f is absolutely continuous and non-decreasing. We define an extension f (which we denote by f again) by a constant on $(-\infty, a)$ and on (b, ∞) to keep continuity. Let ν be a measure satisfying $\nu([x,y]) = f(y) - f(x)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \leqslant y$. Then we have $\nu|_{[a,b]} \ll \lambda_1|_{[a,b]}$.

Then

$$\nu([a,x]) = f(x) - f(a) = \int_a^x D(\nu, \lambda_1, t) d\lambda_1(t) = \int_a^x f'(t) d\lambda_1(t).$$

„3. $\implies$ 2.“ triviální. „2. $\implies$ 1.“: $\varphi = \varphi^+ - \varphi^-$, $\varphi^+, \varphi^- \in L^1([a,b])$. We set

$$f_1(x) := \int_a^x \varphi^+(t)dt, \qquad f_2(x) = \in_a^x \varphi^-(t)dt,$$

$$\nu(M) = \int_M \varphi^+(t)dt, \qquad M \subset [a,b] \text{ measurable.}$$

Then we have $\nu \ll \lambda_1|_{[a,b]}$, $\nu([x,y]) = \int_x^y \varphi^+(t)dt = f_1(y) - f_1(x)$, $f_1, f_2 \in AC([a,b])$, $f(x) = f(a) + f_1(x) - f_2(x) \implies f \in AC([a,b])$. □

Věta 1.20 (Per partes for Lebesgue integral)

Let $f, g \in AC([a,b])$, $a < b$. *Then* $\int_a^b f'g = [fg]_a^b - \int_a^b fg'$.

Důkaz
$f', g' \in L^1([a,b])$. $(fg)' = f'g + fg'$ almost everywhere in $[a,b]$. $\int_a^b (fg)' = \int_a^b (f'g + fg') = \int_a^b f'g + \int_a^b fg'$.

$$a \leqslant a_1 < b_1 \leqslant a_2 < b_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant a_n < b_n \leqslant b :$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |f(b_i)g(b_i) - f(a_i)g(a_i)| \leqslant M \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n |g(b_i) - g(a_i)| + M \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n |f(b_i) - f(a_i)| \leqslant M \cdot \varepsilon$$

$(|f(b_i)g(b_i) - f(b_i)g(a_i) + f(b_i)g(a_i) - f(a_i)g(a_i)| \leqslant |f(b_i)| \cdot |g(b_i) - g(a_i)| + |g(a_i)| \cdot |f(b_i) - f(a_i)|)$.

□

Věta 1.21

Let g *be a non-negative function on* $[a,b]$ *with* $g \in L^1([a,b])$ *and* f *be a continuous function on* $[a,b]$. *Then there exists* $\xi \in [a,b]$ *such that*

$$\int_a^b fg = f(\xi) \int_a^b g.$$

Důkaz
We set $m := \min_{[a,b]} f$, $M := \max_{[a,b]} f$.

$$mg(x) \leqslant f(x)g(x) \leqslant Mg(x), x \in [a,b].$$

$$m \int_a^b g \leqslant \int_a^b fg \leqslant M \int_a^b g.$$

$$m \leqslant \frac{\int_a^b fg}{\int_a^b g} \leqslant M.$$

If $\int_a^b g = 0$, then we are done, else $\exists \xi \in [a,b] : f(\xi) = \frac{\int_a^b fg}{\int_a^b g}$. □

Věta 1.22

Let $f \in L^1([a,b])$ and g be a monotone function on $[a,b]$. Then there exists $\xi \in [a,b]$ such that

$$\int_a^b = g(a) \int_a^\xi f + g(b) \int_\xi^b f.$$

Důkaz
WLOG g is non-decreasing.

First case „$g \in AC([a,b])$“: $F(z) = \int_a^b f$, $F \in AC([a,b])$, $\int_a^b fg = \int_a^b F'g =$

$$[Fg]_a^b - \int_a^b Fg' = F(b)g(b) - F(a)g(a) - F(\xi)\int_a^b g' = \left(\underbrace{\int_a^b}_{\int_a^\xi + \int_\xi^b} f\right)\cdot g(b) - \left(\int_a^\xi f\right)\cdot(g(b)-g(a)).$$

General case: $(D_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ sequence of partition of $[a,b]$, $\nu(D_n) \to 0$. g_n piece wise affine function: $g_n(x_j^n) - g(x_j^n)$, $j \in [k_n]$. $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n(x) = g(x)$, whenever $x \in [a,b]$ is a point of continuity of g.

Using first case we find for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a point $\xi_n \in [a,b]$, such that

$$\int_a^b fg_n = g_n(a)\int_a^{\xi_n} f + g_n(b)\int_{\xi_n}^b f.$$

We may assume, by going to a subsequence, that $\lim \xi_n = \xi \in [a,b]$.

$$\sup\{|g_n(x)| \; |x \in [a,b], n \in \mathbb{N}\} \leqslant \max\{|g(a)|, |g(b)|\}$$

$$\int_a^b fg_n \to \int_a^b fg \stackrel{?}{=} g(a)\int_a^\xi f + g(b)\int_\xi^b g \leftarrow g_n(a)\int_a^\xi f + g_n(b)\int_{x_n}^b f = \int_a^b fg_n.$$

□

Věta 1.23 (?)

Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open nonempty and $f : G \to \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz on G. Then f is differentiable almost everywhere on G.

Lemma 1.24

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then the set

$$D_i := \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \text{ exists}\right\}$$

is Borel.

Důkaz

$$\exists \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 \, \exists \delta > 0 \forall t_1, t_2 \in (-\delta, \delta) \setminus \{0\} : \left| \frac{f(x + t_1 e^i) - f(x)}{t_1} - \frac{f(x + t_2 e^i) - f(x)}{t_2} \right| < \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \, \exists \delta \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \forall t_1, t_2 \in ((-\delta, \delta) \cap \mathbb{Q}) \setminus \{0\} : \left| \frac{f(x + t_1 e^i) - f(x)}{t_1} - \frac{f(x + t_2 e^i) - f(x)}{t_2} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

□

Lemma 1.25

Let $\beta > 0$. Let $A \neq \emptyset$ open, f_α, $\alpha \in A$, be β-Lipschitz on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\sup_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha(x) < \infty$. Then the function $z \mapsto \sup_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha(z)$ is β-Lipschitz on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Důkaz

Let $u, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we have $|f_\gamma(u) - f_\gamma(v)| \leqslant \beta \cdot \|u - v\|$ for any $\gamma \in A$.

$$f_\gamma(u) \leqslant f_\gamma(v) + \beta \|u - v\|,$$

$$f_\gamma(u) \leqslant f_\gamma(x) + \beta \|u - v\| \leqslant \sup_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha(x) + \beta \|u - x\| \implies$$

$$\implies \sup_{\gamma \in A} f_\gamma(u) \leqslant \sup_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha(x) + \beta \|u - x\| < \infty,$$

So $z \mapsto \sup_{\gamma \in A} f_\gamma(z)$ is well defined.

$$f_\gamma(u) \leqslant f_\gamma(v) + \beta \|u - v\| \leqslant \sup_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha(v) + \beta \|u - v\|,$$

$$\sup_{\gamma \in A} f_\gamma(u) \leqslant \sup_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha(v) + \beta \|u - v\|,$$

$$\sup_{\gamma \in A} f_\gamma(u) - \sup_{\alpha \in A}(v) \leqslant \beta \|u - v\| \wedge \sup_{\gamma \in A} f_\gamma(v) - \sup_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha(u) \leqslant \beta \|v - u\| \implies \beta\text{-Lipschitzness.}$$

□

Lemma 1.26

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonempty and $f_n : E \to \mathbb{R}$ be β-Lipschitz. Then there exists β-Lipschitz function $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{f}|_E = f$.

Důkaz

$\forall x \in E$ we define $f_x : y \mapsto f(x) - \beta\|y-x\|$. „$f_x$ is β-Lipschitz“:

$$|f_x(u) - f_x(v)| = |f(x) - \beta\|u-x\| - f(x) + \beta\|v-x\|| =$$

$$= |\beta| \cdot |\|v-x\| - \|u-x\|| \leqslant |\beta| \cdot \|v-u\|.$$

$$\sup_{x\in E}(f(x) - \beta\|y-x\|) = \sup_{x\in E} f_x(y) \leqslant f(y).$$

We set $\tilde{f}(y) = \sup_{x\in E} f_x(y)$. By previous lemma $\tilde{f}$ is β-Lipschitz on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

It remains to prove that „$\tilde{f}|_E = f$“: $z \in E : \tilde{f}(z) \geqslant f_z(z) = f(z)$,

$$f_x(z) = f(x) - \beta\|z-x\| \leqslant f(z) \implies \tilde{f}(z) \leqslant f(z).$$

□

Důkaz (Theorem ?)

From previous lemma WLOG f is defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let E be the set of those points, where at least one partial derivative doesn't exist.

$$E := \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbb{R}^n \backslash D_i).$$

Using 1-dimensional Radmachor theorem, Fubini theorem, and measurability of D_i, we get

$$\lambda_n(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash D_i) = 0, \qquad \forall i \in [n].$$

So $\lambda_n(E) = 0$.

$$p, q \in \mathbb{Q}^n, m \in \mathbb{N} :$$

$$S(p,q,m) := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \forall i \in [n]\ \forall t \in \left(-\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}\right) \setminus \{0\} : p_i \leqslant \frac{f(x+te_i) - f(x)}{t} \leqslant q_i \right\}.$$

$S(p,q,m)$ is Borel. $\tilde{S}(p,q,m)$ be the set of $x \in S(p,q,m)$ such that x is a point of density of $S(p,q,m)$. From theorem above $\lambda_n(S(p,q,m) \backslash \tilde{S}(p,q,m)) = 0$.

$$N := \bigcup \left\{ S(p,q,m) \backslash \tilde{S}(p,q,m) | p,q \in \mathbb{Q}, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \lambda_n(N) = 0.$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash (N \cup E)$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. Choose $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ such that $q_i - \varepsilon \leqslant p_i < \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) < q_i$, $i \in [n]$. We can find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in S(p,q,m) =: S$. We find $\delta \in \left(0, \frac{1}{m}\right)$ such that $\lambda_n(B(x,r) \backslash S) \leqslant \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^n \lambda_n(B(x,r))$ for every $r \in (0, 2\delta)$.

Notice that the set $B(x,(1+\varepsilon)\tau)\backslash S$ does not contain a ball with radius $\varepsilon\tau$ whenever $\tau \in (0,\delta)$. So for contradiction assume, that we can find ball with radius $\varepsilon\tau$.

$$C_n \cdot (\varepsilon\tau)^n = \lambda_n(B(d,\varepsilon\tau)) \leqslant \lambda_n(B(x,(1+\varepsilon)\tau)\backslash S) \leqslant \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^n C_n(1+\varepsilon)^n\tau^n$$

$$1 \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n (1+\varepsilon)^n < 1.$$

$$y^i := [y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n], i \in [n]. B_i := B(y^i, \varepsilon\|y-x\|).$$

TODO?

We have $p_i \leqslant \frac{f(w^i)-f(z^{i-1})}{y_i-x_i} \leqslant q_i$, if $x_i \neq y_i$, $p_i < \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) < q_i$. Therefore we have

$$\left|f(w^i) - f(z^{i-1}) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \cdot (y_i - x_i)\right| \leqslant (q_i - p_i) \cdot |y_i - x_i| \leqslant \varepsilon\|y-x\|.$$

$$\left|f(y) - f(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \cdot (y_i - x_i)\right| \leqslant *$$

$$f(y)-f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (f(y^i)-f(y^{i-1})) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\left(f(w^i) + f(y^i) - f(w^i)\right) - \left(f(z^{i-1}) + f(y^{y-1}) - f(z^{i-1})\right)\right) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f(w^i) - f(z^{i-1})\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f(y^i) - f(w^i)\right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f(y^{i-1}) - f(z^{i-1})\right)$$

$$\implies * = \left|\sum_{i=1}^n \left(f(w^i) - f(z^{i-1}) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \cdot (y_i - x_i)\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f(y^i) - f(w^i)\right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f(y^{i-1}) - f(z^{i-1})\right)\right| \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant n \cdot \varepsilon\|y-x\| + \sum_{i=1}^n |f(y^i) - f(w^i)| + \sum_{i=1}^n |f(y^{i-1}) - f(z^{i-1})| \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant n \cdot \varepsilon\|y-x\| + 2n \cdot 2\varepsilon\|y-x\| \cdot \beta = \varepsilon(n + 4n\beta)\|y-x\|.$$

□

Poznámka

$$|f(y^i) - f(w^i)| \leqslant \beta \cdot \|y^i - w^i\| =? < \varepsilon\|y-x\|.$$

If H is Hilbert space and $f : H \to \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz, then there exists $x \in H$ such that $f'(x)$ in Fréchet sence. $\exists L : H \to \mathbb{R}$ linear and continuous

$$\lim_{y \to x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x) - L(y-x)|}{\|y-x\|} = 0.$$

Důkaz

Difficult. □

Poznámka
There exists a closed measure zero set $F \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that any Lipschitz function in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is differentiable at same point of F.

Důkaz
Difficult. □

1.6 Maximal operator (completed)

1.7 Lipschitz functions and $W^{1,2}$

Věta 1.27

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. Then $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ is local Lipschitz on U if and only if $f \in W^{1,\infty}_{loc}(U)$.

Důkaz
Skipped. □

2 Hausdorff measures

2.1 Basic notions

Poznámka
(P, ϱ) metric space.

Definice 2.1 (Hausdorff measure)

Let $p > 0$, $A \subset P$. Denote

$$\varkappa_p(A, \delta) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{diam} A_j)^p | A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j, \operatorname{diam} A_j \leqslant \delta \right\},$$

$$\varkappa_p(A) = \lim_{\delta \to 0_+} \varkappa_p(A, \delta).$$

The function is called p-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

Definice 2.2

An outer measure γ on P is called metric outer measure, if for every $A, B \subset P$ with $\inf\{\varrho(a,b) | a \in A, b \in B\} > 0$ we have $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$.

Věta 2.1

Let γ be a metric outer measure on P. Then every Borel subset of P is γ measurable.

Důkaz

It is sufficient to prove that any closed set $F \subset P$ is γ-measurable:

$$\gamma(T) = \gamma(T \backslash F) + \gamma(T \cap F).$$

$$P_0 = \{x \in T | \operatorname{dist}(x, F) \geqslant 1\}, \qquad P_j = \left\{x \in T | \frac{1}{j+1} \subset \operatorname{dist}(x, F) < \frac{1}{j}\right\}, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} \gamma(P_{2j}) = \gamma\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{m} P_{2j}\right) \leqslant \gamma(T) \wedge$$

$$\wedge \sum_{j=0}^{m} \gamma(P_{2j-1}) = \gamma\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{m} P_{2j-1}\right) \leqslant \gamma(T) \implies$$

$$\implies \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma(P_j) < \infty.$$

$$\gamma(T \cap F) + \gamma(T \backslash F) = \gamma(T \cap F) + \gamma\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} P_j\right) \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \gamma(T \cap F) + \gamma\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{m} P_j\right) + \gamma\left(\bigcup_{j=m+1}^{\infty} P_j\right) =$$

$$= \gamma\left((T \cap F) \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{m} P_j\right) + \gamma\left(\bigcup_{j=m+1}^{\infty} P_j\right) \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \gamma(T) + \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \gamma(P_j) \to \gamma(T) \implies$$

$$\implies \gamma(T \cap F) + \gamma(T \backslash F) \leqslant \gamma(T).$$

□