

# 1 Area formula and coarea formula

## Věta 1.1

Let  $(P_1, \varrho_1)$ ,  $(P_2, \varrho_2)$  be metric spaces,  $s > 0$ , and  $f : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$  be  $\beta$ -Lipschitz. Then  $\varkappa^s(f(P_1)) \leq \beta^s \varkappa^s(P_1)$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

Choose  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $P_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$ ,  $\text{diam } A_j < \delta$ . Then we have  $f(P_1) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} f(A_j)$ ,  $\text{diam } f(A_j) < \beta \cdot \delta$ .

$$\varkappa^s(f(P_1), \beta \cdot \delta) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\text{diam } f(A_j))^s \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^s \cdot (\text{diam } A_j)^s = \beta^s \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\text{diam } A_j)^s.$$

It holds for all possible choices of  $(A_j)$ , so we can take infimum:

$$\varkappa^s(f(P_1)) \leftarrow \varkappa^s(f(P_1), \beta \cdot \delta) \leq \beta^s \inf_{(A_j)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\text{diam } A_j)^s = \beta^s \varkappa^s(P_1, \delta) \rightarrow \beta^s \varkappa^s(P_1).$$

└

□

## Lemma 1.2

Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \leq n$ , and  $L : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an injective linear mapping. Then for every  $\lambda_k$ -measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  it holds  $H^k(L(A)) = \sqrt{\det(L^T L)} \lambda_k(A)$ .

┌

*Důkaz* ( $\dim L(\mathbb{R}^k) = k$ )

We find linear isometry  $Q$  of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  onto  $L(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , from last semester

$$H^k(L(A)) = H^k(Q^{-1} \circ L(A)) = \lambda^k(Q^{-1} \circ L(A)) = |\det(Q^{-1} L)| \cdot \lambda_k(A).$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\det(Q^{-1} L))^2 &= \det((Q^{-1} L)^T) \cdot \det(Q^{-1} L) = \det((Q^{-1} L)^T \cdot (Q^{-1} L)) = \\ &= \det((\langle Q^{-1} L e^i, Q^{-1} L^T e^j \rangle)_{i,j}). \end{aligned}$$

And because  $Q$  is isometry ( $\implies Q^{-1}$  is isometry), we can remove  $Q^{-1}$  from scalar product and we get  $\det(L^T L)$ . □

└

## Lemma 1.3

Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \leq n$ ,  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  be an open set,  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an injective regular mapping,  $x \in G$ , and  $\beta > 1$ . Then there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of the point  $x$  such that

- the mapping  $y \mapsto \varphi(\varphi'(x)^{-1}(y))$  is  $\beta$ -Lipschitz on  $\varphi'(x)(V)$ ;
- the mapping  $z \mapsto \varphi'(x)(\varphi^{-1}(z))$  is  $\beta$ -Lipschitz on  $\varphi(V)$ .

┌ *Důkaz*

$x, \beta$  fixed. We know, that there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$\forall v \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|\varphi'(x)(v)\| \geq \eta \cdot \|v\|.$$

We find  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\eta)$  such that  $\frac{2\varepsilon}{\eta} + 1 < \beta$ . We find a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $\forall y \in V : \|\varphi'(x) - \varphi'(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$ .

We show that for every  $u, v \in V$  we have

$$\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v) - \varphi'(x)(u - v)\| \leq \varepsilon \|u - v\|.$$

Fix  $v \in V$  and consider the mapping

$$g : w \mapsto \varphi(w) - \varphi(v) - \varphi'(x)(w - v).$$

For  $w \in V$  we have  $g'(w) = \varphi'(w) - \varphi'(x)$ :

$$\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v) - \varphi'(x)(u - v)\| = \|g(u) - g(v)\| \leq \sup \{\|g'(w)\| \mid w \in V\} \cdot \|u - v\| \leq \varepsilon \cdot \|u - v\|.$$

Further we show that for every  $u, v \in V$  we have

$$\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\| \geq \frac{1}{2}\eta \|u - v\|.$$

For  $u - v \in V$  we compute  $\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\| \geq$

$$\geq -\|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v) - \varphi'(x)(u - v)\| + \|\varphi'(x)(u - v)\| \geq -\varepsilon \|u - v\| + \eta \|u - v\| \geq \frac{1}{2}\eta \|u - v\|.$$

„First point“: TODO (řádek nebyl k přečtení)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(x)(a)) - \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(x)(b))\| = \|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\| \leq \\ & \leq \|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v) - \varphi'(x)(u - v)\| + \|\varphi'(x)(u - v)\| \leq \\ & \leq \varepsilon \cdot \|u - v\| + \|\varphi'(x)(u - v)\| \leq \varepsilon \frac{1}{\eta} \|a - b\| + \|a - b\| = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta} + 1\right) \|a - b\| \leq \beta \cdot \|a - b\|. \end{aligned}$$

„Second point“:  $k, q \in \varphi(V)$ . We find  $u, v \in V$  such that  $\varphi(u) = p$  and  $\varphi(v) = q$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\varphi'(x)(\varphi^{-1}(p)) - \varphi'(x)(\varphi^{-1}(q))\| = \|\varphi'(x)(u) - \varphi'(x)(v)\| = \\ & = \|\varphi'(x)(u - v)\| \leq \|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v) - \varphi'(x)(u - v)\| + \|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\| \leq \\ & \leq \varepsilon \cdot \|u - v\| + \|p - q\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\eta} \|\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)\| + \|p - q\| = \left(\frac{2\varepsilon}{\eta} + 1\right) \|p - q\| \leq \beta \|p - q\|. \end{aligned}$$

└

□

### Lemma 1.4

Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \leq n$ ,  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  be an open set,  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an injective regular mapping,  $x \in G$ , and  $\alpha > 1$ . Then there exists a neighbourhood of  $x$  such that for every  $\lambda^k$ -measurable  $E \subset V$  we have

$$\alpha^{-1} \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \leq H^k(\varphi(E)) \leq \alpha \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t).$$

┌

*Důkaz*

Find  $\beta > 1$ ,  $\tau > 1$  such that  $\beta^k \tau < \alpha$ . By previous lemma we find a neighbourhood  $V_1$  of  $x$  such that the conclusion of the lemma holds for  $\beta$ . We find a neighbourhood  $V_2$  of  $x$  such that

$$\forall t \in V_2 : \tau^{-1} \text{vol } \varphi'(t) \leq \text{vol } \varphi'(x) \leq \tau \text{vol } \varphi'(x).$$

Set  $V = V_1 \cap V_2$ .

Assume that  $E \subset V$  is a  $\lambda^k$ -measurable set. We have

$$\tau^{-1} \text{vol } \varphi'(x) \cdot \lambda^k(E) \leq \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \leq \tau \text{vol } \varphi'(x) \lambda^k(E).$$

By lemma above we have  $\text{vol } \varphi'(t) \lambda^k(E) = H^k(\varphi'(x)(E))$ :

$$\tau^{-1} H^k(\varphi'(x)(E)) \leq \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \leq \tau H^k(\varphi'(x)(E)).$$

By previous lemma we get

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(\varphi(E)) &= H^k((\varphi \circ (\varphi'(x))^{-1} \circ \varphi'(x))(E)) \leq \beta^k H^k(\varphi'(x)(E)) \leq \beta^k H^k(\varphi'(x)(E)) \leq \\ &\leq \beta^k \tau \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \leq \alpha \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t). \end{aligned}$$

By lemma above we get

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(\varphi(E)) &\geq \beta^{-k} H^k((\varphi'(x) \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi)(E)) = \beta^{-k} H^k(\varphi'(x)(E)) \geq \\ &\geq \beta^{-k} \tau^{-1} \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \geq \alpha^{-1} \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t). \end{aligned}$$

└

□

### Věta 1.5

Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \leq n$ ,  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  be an open set,  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an injective regular mapping and  $f : \varphi(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $H^k$ -measurable. Then we have

$$\int_{\varphi(G)} f(x) dH^k(x) = \int_G f(\varphi(t)) \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t),$$

if the integral at the right side converges.

Důkaz

„ $\varphi^{-1}$  is well defined“: If  $H \subset G$  is open, then we can write  $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ , where  $K_n$  is compact for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have  $\varphi(H) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\varphi(K_n)}_{\text{compact}}$  is  $F_\sigma$ . This implies that

$\varphi^{-1}$  is Borel. The mappings  $\varphi, \varphi^{-1}$  are locally Lipschitz by lemma above. ( $\varphi(G)$  is Borel.)  $\varphi(G)$  is  $H^k$ - $\sigma$ -finite.

1. „ $f = \chi_L, L \subset \varphi(G)$  is  $H^k$ -measurable“: We show  $H^k(L) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(L)} \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t)$ . Choose  $\alpha > 1$ . By previous lemma we find for every  $y \in G$  neighbourhood  $V_y \subset G$  of the point  $y$  such that for every  $\lambda^k$ -measurable set  $E \subset V_y$  we have

$$\alpha^{-1} \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \leq H^k(\varphi(E)) \leq \alpha \int_E \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t).$$

We have  $\bigcup \{V_y | y \in G\} = G$ . There exists a sequence  $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} V_{y_j} = G$ . Using lemma from previous semester we find Borel sets  $B, N \subset \varphi(G)$  such that  $B \subset L \subset B \cup N$ ,  $H^k(N) = 0$ .

$\lambda^k(\varphi^{-1}(N)) = 0$ .  $\varphi^{-1}(B) \subset \varphi^{-1}(L) \subset \varphi^{-1}(B) \cup \varphi^{-1}(N) \implies \varphi^{-1}(L)$  is  $\lambda^k$ -measurable. We set  $A_j = \varphi^{-1}(L) \cap \left(V_{y_j} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} V_{y_i}\right)$ . Then we have

- $A_j$  is  $\lambda^k$ -measurable;
- $A_j \subset V_{y_j}$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- $\forall j, j' \in \mathbb{N}, j \neq j' : A_j \cap A_{j'} = \emptyset$ ;
- $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j = \varphi^{-1}(L)$ ;
- for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\alpha^{-1} \int_{A_j} \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \leq H^k(\varphi(A_j)) \leq \alpha \int_{A_j} \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t)$ .

From all except for second point we have

$$\alpha^{-1} \int_{\varphi^{-1}(L)} \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) \leq \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^k(\varphi(A_j))}_{=H^k(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(A_j))=H^k(L)} \leq \alpha \int_{\varphi^{-1}(L)} \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t).$$

2. „ $f \geq 0$  simple  $H^k$ -measurable“: From linearity of integrals.

3. „ $f \geq 0$   $H^k$ -measurable“: we approximate  $f$  by  $0 \leq f_j \leq f_{j+1}$  simple functions and from Levi:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\varphi(G)} f_j(x) dH^k(x) &= \int_{\varphi(G)} f(x) dH^k(x), \\ \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_G f_j(\varphi(t)) \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t) &= \int_G f(\varphi(t)) \text{vol } \varphi'(t) d\lambda^k(t). \end{aligned}$$

3. „ $f$   $H^k$ -measurable“: We add positive and negative part. □

**Věta 1.6** (Coarea formula)

Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k > n$ ,  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be Lipschitz mapping,  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $\lambda^k$ -integrable function. Then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(x) \sqrt{\det(\varphi'(x) \cdot (\varphi'(x))^T)} d\lambda^k(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\{y\})} f(x) dH^{k-n}(x) d\lambda^k(y)$$

**Věta 1.7**

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $\lambda^k$ -integrable function. Then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(x) d\lambda^k(x) = \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^k, \|x\|=z} f(x) dH^{k-1}(x) \right) d\lambda^1(z).$$

┌  
Důkaz

└ By Coarea formula. □

## 2 Semicontinuous functions

**Definice 2.1**

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$ . We say that  $f$  is lower semicontinuous (lsc), if the set  $\{x \in X | f(x) > a\}$  is open for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $f$  is upper semicontinuous (usc) if the set  $\{x \in X | f(x) < a\}$  is open for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Tvrzení 2.1** (Fact)

$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$f \text{ is lsc} \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in \mathbb{R} : \liminf_{t \rightarrow x} f(t) \geq x.$$

**Věta 2.2**

Let  $X$  be a metrizable topological space and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$  be a function bounded from below. Then  $f$  is lsc if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $f_0 \leq f_1 \leq \dots$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$ .

┌ *Důkaz*

„ $\Leftarrow$ “: Choose  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $f(x_0) > a$ . There exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f_k(x_0) > a$ . Then there is an open set  $G \subset X$  such that  $x_0 \in G$  and  $f_k|_G > a$ . Thus we have  $f|_G \geq f_k|_G > a$ . So  $\{x \in X | f(x) > a\}$  is open.

„ $\Rightarrow$ “ The case „ $f \equiv \infty$ “: Then we consider  $f_n \equiv n$ . The case „ $f \not\equiv \infty$ “: Fix a compatible metric  $\varrho$  on  $X$ . We set  $f_n(x) = \inf \{f(y) + n \cdot \varrho(x, y) | y \in X\}$ . Then we have  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_0 \leq f_1 \leq \dots$ . We have

$$|f_n(x) - f_n(z)| \leq n \cdot \varrho(x, z) \Leftarrow f_n(x) - f_n(z) \leq$$

$$\leq f(y) + n \cdot \varrho(x, y) - (f(y) + n \cdot \varrho(y, z)) + \varepsilon = n(\varrho(x, y) - \varrho(y, z)) + \varepsilon \leq n \cdot \varrho(x, z) + \varepsilon.$$

So  $f_n$  is continuous.

„ $f_n \rightarrow f$ “: There exists  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x) \geq K$  for every  $x \in X$ . Fix  $x \in X$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we find  $y_n \in X$  such that  $f(y_n) \leq f(x) + n \cdot \varrho(x, y_n) \leq f_n(x) + \varepsilon$ . Then we have

$$\varrho(x, y_n) \leq \frac{1}{n} (f_n(x) + \varepsilon - f(y_n)) \leq \frac{1}{n} (f_n(x) + \varepsilon - K).$$

$f_n(x) \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow f(x) = \infty$ , since  $f_n(x) \leq f(x)$ .  $f_n(x)$  is bounded  $\Rightarrow y_n \rightarrow x$ , so we can find  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n \geq n_0 : f(y_n) > f(x) - \varepsilon$ . Then we have  $f(x) < f(y_n) + \varepsilon \leq f_n(x) + 2\varepsilon$ ,  $\lim f_n(x) \leq f(x) \leq \lim f_n(x) + 2\varepsilon$ , thus  $\lim f_n(x) = f(x)$ .  $\square$

└

### 3 Function of Baire class 1

#### Definice 3.1

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metrizable topological spaces, a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is of Baire class 1 ( $B_1$ -function) if for every open set  $U \subset Y$  the set  $f^{-1}(U)$  is  $F_\sigma$ .

#### Věta 3.1 (Lebesgue–Hasudorff–Banach)

Let  $X$  be a metrizable topological space and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $B_1$ -function. Then there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $f_n \rightarrow f$ .

#### Lemma 3.2

Let  $X$  be a metrizable topological space and  $A \subset X$  be  $G_\delta$  and  $F_\sigma$ . Then  $\chi_A$  is point-wise limit of a sequence of continuous functions.

┌ *Důkaz*

$A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ ,  $X \setminus A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n$ ,  $F_n \subseteq F_{n+1}$ ,  $H_n \subseteq H_{n+1}$ . By Urysohn lemma there exists continuous function  $f_n : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f_n|_{H_n} = 0$  and  $f_n|_{F_n} = 1$ . Then  $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ .  $\square$

└

### Lemma 3.3

Let  $X$  be a metrizable topological space,  $p_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \in \omega$ , be a point-wise limit of a sequence of continuous functions. If the sequence  $\{p_n\}$  converges uniformly to  $p$ , then  $p$  is point-wise limit of continuous functions.

┌

*Důkaz*

Claim: If  $q_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \in \omega$ , is point-wise limit of continuous functions,  $\|q_n\|_\infty \leq 2^{-n}$ , then  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n$  is a point-wise limit of continuous functions.

Corollary: One can assume  $\|p - p_n\|_\infty \leq 2^{-(n+1)}$ .  $p = p_0 + \sum_{n=0}^\infty (p_{n+1} - p_n)$

$$\|p_{n+1} - p_n\|_\infty \leq \|p_{n+1} - p\| + \|p - p_n\| < 2^{-(n+2)} + 2^{-(n+1)} < 2^{-n}.$$

Proof of claim: For every  $n \in \omega$ , there exists a sequence of continuous functions  $\{q_i^n\}_{i=0}^\infty$  such that  $q_i^n \rightarrow q_n$  and moreover we may assume  $\|q_i^n\|_\infty \leq 2^{-n}$ . We set  $r_i = \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_i^n$ . The sum converges uniformly, so  $r_i$  is continuous for every  $i \in \omega$ .

Set  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We find  $N \in \omega$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty q_i^n(x) \right| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon, \quad \left| \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty q_n(x) \right| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| r_i(x) - \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n(x) \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_i^n(x) - \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n(x) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{i=0}^N q_i^n(x) - q_n(x) \right| + \left| \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty q_i^n(x) - \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty q_n(x) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{n=0}^N (q_i^n(x) - q_n(x)) \right| + \varepsilon. \\ \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left| r_i(x) - \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n(x) \right| &\leq \varepsilon \implies r_i(x) \rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

└

□

### Lemma 3.4 (Reduction theorem for $F_\sigma$ sets)

Let  $X$  be a metrizable topological space,  $A_n \subset X$  be an  $F_\sigma$  set for every  $n \in \omega$ . Then there are  $F_\sigma$  sets  $A_n^* \subset A_n$ , such that  $A_n^* \cap A_m^* = \emptyset$ , whenever  $n, m \in \omega$ ,  $n \neq m$ , and  $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty A_n = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty A_n^*$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

$A_n = \bigcup_{j=0}^\infty A_{n,j}$ ,  $A_{n,j}$  is closed.  $k \mapsto (k', k'')$  bijection of  $\omega$  onto  $\omega \times \omega$ .

$$Q_k = A_{(k)_0, (k)_j} \setminus \bigcup_{l < k} A_{(l)_0, (k)_1}.$$

$(Q_k)_{k \in \omega}$  is sequence of  $F_\sigma$  sets, which is disjoint.  $A_n^* := \bigcup \{Q_k | (k)_0 = n\} \subseteq A_n$  is  $F_\sigma$  set,  $A_n^* \cap A_m^* = \emptyset$  if  $n \neq m$  and  $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty A_n^* = \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty Q_k = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty A_n$ . □

└

*Důkaz* (Of Lebesgue–Hasudorff–Banach theorem)

It is sufficient to prove result for  $g : X \rightarrow (0, 1)$ . Because if  $f \in B_1$ , then we set  $g = k \circ f$  where  $k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, 1)$  is homeomorphism. We find  $g_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , continuous and  $g_n \rightarrow g$ .  $\tilde{g}_n := \min \left\{ \max \left\{ \frac{1}{n}, g_n \right\}, 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right\}$ .  $\tilde{g}_n(X) \subset (\frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n})$ .

Let  $g : X \rightarrow (0, 1)$  be  $B_1$ . For  $N \in \omega$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , and  $i \in [N - 2]$  we set

$$A_i^N := g^{-1} \left( \frac{i}{N}, \frac{i+2}{n} \right) \dots F_\omega, \quad \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-2} A_i^N = X.$$

$B_i^N \subset A_i^N$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{N-2} B_i^N = X$ ,  $B_i^N$  is  $F_\sigma$  and  $B_i^N \cap B_{i'}^N = \emptyset$ , whenever  $i \neq i'$ .  
 $g_N(x) := \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} \frac{1}{N} \chi_{B_i^N}(x)$ .  $g_N \rightarrow g$  ( $\|g - g_N\|_\infty \leq \frac{2}{N}$ ).  $\square$

### Věta 3.5 (Baire)

Let  $X$  be a metrizable topological space,  $Y$  be separable metrizable topological space, and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be  $B_1$ -function. Then the set of points of continuity of  $f$  is  $G_\delta$  and residual.

┌

*Důkaz*

$\{V_n\}$  open countable basis of  $Y$ .  $f$  isn't continuous at  $x \Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \omega : x \in f^{-1}(V_n) \setminus \text{int } f^{-1}(V_n)$ .

$$D(f) = \{x \in X \mid f \text{ is not continuous at } x\} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \underbrace{(f^{-1}(V_n) \setminus \text{int } f^{-1}(V_n))}_{\in F_\omega}.$$

$B = (f^{-1}(V_n) \setminus \text{int } f^{-1}(V_n)) = \bigcup_{k \in \omega} F_{n,k}$  is closed and  $\text{int } F_{n,k} = \emptyset$ , so  $F_{n,k}$  is nowhere dense. So  $B$  is meager. And complement of meager is residual.  $\square$

└

### Lemma 3.6

Let  $X$  be a Polish space,  $A, B \subseteq X$  disjoint. If  $A$  cannot be separated from  $B$  by a set of type  $\Delta_2^0$ , then there is non-empty closed set  $F \subseteq X$  such that  $A \cap F$  and  $B \cap F$  are dense in  $F$ . (Opposite implication is also true.)



Důkaz

Let  $\{B_n\}_{n \in I}$  be an open basis of  $X$ . We set  $F_0 := X$ ,

$$F_{\alpha+1} := \overline{F_\alpha \cap A} \cap \overline{F_\alpha \cap B}, \quad \alpha < \omega_1,$$

$$F_\eta := \bigcap_{\alpha < \eta} F_\alpha, \quad \eta \text{ limit ordinal, } \eta < \omega_1.$$

The sequence  $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  is a non-increasing ( $F_{\alpha+1} \subseteq \overline{F_\alpha} = F_\alpha$ ) sequence of closed sets.

„Observation 1: There exists  $\eta < \omega_1$  such that  $F_\eta = F_{\eta+1}$ “: We will proceed by contradiction. Then for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$  there exists  $u(\alpha) \in \omega$  such that

$$B_{u(\alpha)} \cap F_\alpha \neq \emptyset \quad \wedge \quad B_{u(\alpha)} \cap F_{\alpha+1} = \emptyset.$$

Assume that  $\alpha < \alpha' < \omega$ , then we have  $\emptyset = B_{u(\alpha)} \cap F_{\alpha+1} \supseteq B_{u(\alpha)} \cap F_{\alpha'}$  (monotonicity). Thus we have  $B_{u(\alpha)} \cap F_{\alpha'} = \emptyset$  and  $B_{u(\alpha')} \cap F_{\alpha'} \neq \emptyset$ , so  $u(\alpha) \neq u(\alpha')$ . Thus  $\omega_1 \rightarrow \omega$  is injective.  $\nexists (\omega < \omega_1)$ .

„Observation 2: We have this  $\eta$  from observation 1 and we want to show  $F_\eta \neq \emptyset$ “: Assume that (towards contradiction)  $F_\eta = \emptyset$ . Then we can write that  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \eta} (F_\alpha \setminus F_{\alpha+1})$ ,  $X \setminus F_\eta = X$ . Then we have  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \eta} (\overline{F_\alpha \cap A} \setminus F_{\alpha+1}) =: C$ ,  $B \cap C = \emptyset$ ,  $\implies C$  separates  $A$  from  $B$  and  $C \in \Delta_2^0$ .

Suppose that  $x \in A$ .  $\implies \exists \alpha < \eta: x \in F_\alpha \setminus F_{\alpha+1}$ .  $\implies x \in \overline{F_\alpha \cap A}$  and  $x \notin F_{\alpha+1} = \overline{F_\alpha \cap A} \cap \overline{F_\alpha \cap B} \implies x \notin \overline{F_\alpha \cap B}$ .  $\implies x \in \overline{F_\alpha \cap A} \setminus F_{\alpha+1} \subseteq C$ .  $\implies A \subseteq C$ .

„ $C \cap B = \emptyset$ “:  $x \in B \implies \exists \alpha < \eta: x \in F_\alpha \setminus F_{\alpha+1}, x \in \overline{F_\alpha \cap B}$ .  $x \notin \overline{F_\alpha \cap A}$ .  $\implies x \notin \overline{F_\alpha \cap A} \setminus F_{\alpha+1}$  and  $x \in F_\alpha \setminus F_{\alpha+1} \implies x \notin C$ .  $\implies B \cap C = \emptyset$ .

„ $C \in \Sigma_2^0$ “:  $\overline{F_\alpha \cap A} \setminus F_{\alpha+1} \in \Sigma_2^0$  (the difference of two closed sets).  $\implies C \in \Sigma_2^0$  (countable union).

„ $C \in \Pi_2^0$ “:  $G_\alpha := (\overline{F_\alpha \cap A} \setminus F_{\alpha+1}) \cup (F_\alpha^C \cup F_{\alpha+1})$ ,  $\alpha < \eta$ , is  $G_\delta$  set.  $C = \bigcap_{\alpha < \eta} G_\alpha$  (countable intersection of  $G_\delta$  sets =  $\Pi_2^0$ ).

$F_\eta \neq \emptyset \implies$  contradiction ( $C$  separates  $A$  from  $B$ ). So  $F_\eta$  is non-empty closed set. We set  $F := F_\eta = F_{\eta+1} = \overline{F_\eta \cap A} \cap \overline{F_\eta \cap B} \implies A \cap F_\eta$  and  $B \cap F_\eta$  are dense in  $F$ .  $\square$

### Věta 3.7 (Baire)

Let  $X$  be a Polish space,  $Y$  be a separable metrizable topological space, and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Then  $f \in B_1(X, Y) \Leftrightarrow f|_F$  has a point of continuity for every closed non-empty set  $F \subseteq X$ .

„Dukaz

$F$  is also a Polish space (a closed subset of Polish space).

„1)  $\implies$  2)“:  $f|_F : F \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(f|_F)$  is  $G_\delta$  and residual, especially non-empty.

„2)  $\implies$  1)“: Assume that  $U \subseteq Y$  is open. We want to show that  $f^{-1}(U) \in F|_G$ .  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ ,  $F_n$  closed (metrizable) in  $Y$ .  $f^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(F_n)$ . Consider  $n$  fixed:  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus U)$  and  $f^{-1}(F_n)$ .

It is sufficient to show that  $\exists C \in \Delta_2^0$  which separates  $f^{-1}(F_n)$  from  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus U)$  such that:

$$f^{-1}(F_n) \subseteq D_n, \quad D_n \cap f^{-1}(Y \setminus U) = \emptyset, \quad D_n \in \Delta_2^0$$

$$\implies \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \in F_G$$

$$f^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n, \quad (D_n \cap f^{-1}(Y \setminus U) = \emptyset)$$

$$\implies \mathcal{F}_G \rightarrow f \in B_1(X, Y).$$

Suppose towards contradiction that there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :  $f^{-1}(F_{n_0})$  cannot be separated from  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus U)$  by a  $\Delta_2^0$  set. By the previous lemma, we get that  $\exists F \subseteq X$  closed (non-empty) such that  $F \cap f^{-1}(F_n)$  and  $F \cap f^{-1}(Y \setminus U)$  are dense in  $F$ .

$$2 \implies \exists x_0 \in \mathcal{C}(f|_F),$$

$$\exists a_n : a_n \rightarrow x_0, a_n \in f^{-1}(F_{n_0}) \cap F, \quad \exists b_n : b_n \rightarrow x_0, b_n \in f^{-1}(Y \setminus U) \cap F.$$

Point of continuity  $\implies f(a_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$  and  $f(b_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$ . So  $f(a_n) \in F_{n_0}$  are closed  $\implies f(x_0) \in F_{n_0} \subseteq U$  and  $f(b_n) \in Y \setminus U$  is open  $\implies f(x_0) \in Y \setminus U$ . So  $f(x_0) \in F_{n_0} \cap Y \setminus U = \emptyset$ .  $\zeta$ . □

## 4 Density topology, approximative continuity, differentiability

### Definice 4.1

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $f$  has at the point  $a$  an approximate limit  $L$ , if we have:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : \forall B \in \mathcal{B}, a \in B, \text{diam } B < \delta : \lambda_n^* \{x \in B \mid |f(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\} < \varepsilon \lambda_n(B).$$

$\mathcal{B}$  are closed balls.

*Poznámka*

If  $\lim_{y \rightarrow x} f(y) = L$ , then  $\{x \in B \mid |f(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$  has at most one point (for sufficiently small  $\delta$ ).

### Věta 4.1

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f$  has at most one approximate limit at  $a$ .

*Důkaz*

Assume that  $L, L' \in \mathbb{R}$  are approximate limits of  $f$  at  $a$ ,  $L \neq L'$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $3\varepsilon = |L - L'|$ . Then  $\exists \delta > 0 \forall B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $a \in B$ ,  $\text{diam } B < \delta$ :

$$\lambda_1^* \{x \in B \mid |f(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\} < \varepsilon \lambda_1(B) \wedge \lambda_1^* \{x \in B \mid |f(x) - L'| \geq \varepsilon\} < \varepsilon \lambda_1(B).$$

$$\text{WLOG } \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\lambda_1^* \{x \in B \mid |f(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}}{\lambda_1(B)} < \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \frac{\lambda_1^* \{x \in B \mid |f(x) - L'| \geq \varepsilon\}}{\lambda_1(B)} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Choose  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $a \in B$ ,  $\text{diam } B < \delta$ :

$$B \subseteq \underbrace{\{x \in B \mid |f(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}}_{=: C_1} \cup \underbrace{\{x \in B \mid |f(x) - L'| \geq \varepsilon\}}_{=: C_2}.$$

Because  $y \in B \implies |f(y) - L| \geq \varepsilon \vee |f(y) - L'| \geq 2\varepsilon$ , it is  $B = C_1 \cup C_2$ .  $\lambda_1(B) \leq \lambda_1^*(C_1 \cup C_2) \leq \lambda_1^*(C_1) + \lambda_1^*(C_2) \leq$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1(B) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1(B) = \lambda_1(B) \implies \lambda_1(B) < \lambda_1(B). \text{ } \nexists.$$

□

### Definice 4.2 (Notation)

Let  $f$  be a function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then approximate limit of  $f$  at  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is denoted by  $\text{ap-}\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ .

### Definice 4.3

A function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is approximately continuous at  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $\text{ap-}\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = f(a)$ .

### Definice 4.4

We say that a  $\lambda^*$ -measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is  $d$ -open, if every point  $x \in A$  is a point of density  $A$ .

*Například*

Every open set is  $d$ -open.

## Věta 4.2

The system of  $d$ -open sets in  $\mathbb{R}$  forms a topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

We denote  $\tau_d := \{E \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid E \text{ is } d\text{-open}\}$ . Clearly  $\emptyset, \mathbb{R} \in \tau_d$  (interior point is a point of density).

„ $G_1, G_2 \in \tau_d \implies G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau_d$ “: The set  $G_1 \cap G_2$  is  $\lambda$  measurable. Assume that  $x \in G_1 \cap G_2 \implies$  „ $x$  is point of density of  $G_1 \cap G_2$ “: choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we find  $\delta > 0$  such that ( $x$  is a point of density of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ )

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B}, x \in B, \text{diam } B < \delta : \frac{\lambda(B \cap G_1)}{\lambda(B)} > 1 - \varepsilon \wedge \frac{\lambda(B \cap G_2)}{\lambda(B)} > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Take  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $x \in B$  and  $\text{diam } B < \delta$ . Since  $B \subseteq (B \cap G_1 \cap G_2) \cup (B \setminus G_1) \cup (B \setminus G_2)$ , we get  $\lambda(B) \leq \lambda(B \cap G_1 \cap G_2) + \lambda(B \setminus G_1) + \lambda(B \setminus G_2)$ . We have

$$\frac{\lambda(B \cap G_1 \cap G_2)}{\lambda(B)} \geq \frac{\lambda(B) - \lambda(B \setminus G_1) - \lambda(B \setminus G_2)}{\lambda(B)} = 1 - \frac{\lambda(B \setminus G_1)}{\lambda(B)} - \frac{\lambda(B \setminus G_2)}{\lambda(B)} > 1 - 2\varepsilon.$$

$$d_\lambda(x, G_1 \cap G_2) = 1 \implies G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau_d.$$

„ $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \tau_d \implies \bigcup \mathcal{A} \in \tau_d$ “: Denote  $T := \bigcup \mathcal{A}$ .

„ $T$  is measurable“: WLOG  $T$  is bounded, since otherwise we consider  $T \cap U$ , where  $U$  is any open ball (density is local notion  $\implies T$  is measurable).

Denote  $\mathcal{S} := \{\bigcup \mathcal{A}_0 \mid \mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A} \text{ is countable}\}$ . Then there exists  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\lambda(S) = \sup \{\lambda(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{S}\}$ .  $T$  is bounded  $\implies \sup \{\lambda(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{S}\} < \infty$ . Using definition of supremum we get  $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{S}^\mathbb{N}$ :  $\lambda(M_i) \rightarrow \sup$ . Then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty M_i =: S$ , then  $\lambda(S) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(M_i) = \sup$ .

Assume  $x \in T \implies$  there exists  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ :  $x \in A$  is  $d$ -open. We have  $d_\lambda(x, A) = 1$ . By the choice of  $S$  we have  $\lambda(S) = \lambda(S \cup A)$ . Since  $S \subseteq T$ ,  $T$  bounded:  $\lambda(S) < \infty$ . Then we have  $0 = \lambda(A \setminus S) = \lambda(A \cup S) - \lambda(S) = \lambda(S) - \lambda(S)$ . This implies  $d_\lambda(x, S) = 1$ , since  $\lambda(S \cap B) \geq \lambda(A \cap B)$  and  $d_\lambda(x, A) = 1$ .

$$\lambda(S \cap B) = \lambda(S \cap B \cap A) + \lambda(S \cap B \setminus A) = \lambda(S \cap B \cap A) + 0.$$

$$\lambda(A \cap B) = \lambda(A \cap B \cap S) + \lambda((A \cap B) \setminus S) = \lambda(A \cap B \cap S) + 0.$$

This implies  $\lambda(T \setminus S) = 0$  by Lebesgue density theorem.  $\forall x \in T$ :  $x$  is a point of density of  $S$ . We can write  $T = (T \setminus S) \cup S$ , which is countable union of measurable sets.  $\implies T$  is measurable.

„ $T \in \tau_d$ “: Take  $y \in T \implies \exists A \in \mathcal{A} : y \in A, d_\lambda(y, A) = 1, A \subseteq T \implies d_\lambda * (y, T) = 1$ .  $T$  is an  $d$ -open set.

So  $\tau_d$  forms a topology. □

└

*Poznámka* (Properties of  $\tau_d$ )

$\tau_e \subseteq \tau_d$ .  $\tau_d$  is not metrizable.  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  is  $\tau_d$ -compact  $\Leftrightarrow K$  is finite. Baire theorem holds in  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_d)$ .

### Věta 4.3

The topology  $\tau_d$  is completely regular, i.e., if  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is closed with respect to  $\tau_d$  and  $x_0 \notin F$ , then  $\exists \tau_d$ -continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(x_0) = 0$  and  $f(F) \subseteq \{1\}$ .

### Lemma 4.4

Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be measurable,  $X \subseteq E$  be  $\tau_d$ -closed and  $d(x, E) = 1$ ,  $x \in X$ . Then there exists closed  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R} : X \subseteq P \subseteq E$ ,  $\forall x \in X : d(x, P) = 1$ ,  $\forall p \in P : d(p, E) = 1$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

Denote  $\tilde{E} := \{x \in E | d(x, E) = 1\}$ . By Lebesgue density theorem  $\lambda(E \setminus \tilde{E}) = 0$ ,  $X \subseteq \tilde{E}$  and  $d(x, \tilde{E}) = 1$  for every  $x \in X$ . We denote  $R_j := \{x \in \tilde{E} | 2^{-j} < \text{dist}(x, X) \leq 2^{-j+1}\}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have  $X \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} R_j = \{x \in \tilde{E} | \text{dist}(x, X) \leq 1\}$ .

Then we find  $(\forall j \in \mathbb{N})$  a closed set  $P_j \subseteq R_j$  with  $\lambda(R_j \setminus P_j) < 4^{-j}$  (regularity of  $\lambda$  measure). We set  $P := X \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} P_j$  (using limits).  $P$  is closed,  $X \subseteq P \subseteq \tilde{E} \subseteq E \implies$

$$\implies \forall x \in X : d(x, P) = 1.$$

Assume that, choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x \in B$ ,  $\text{diam } B < \delta : \frac{\lambda(B \cap E)}{\lambda(B)} > 1 - \varepsilon$  and there is  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N} : \delta < 2^{-j_0+1} < \varepsilon$ .

Choose  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x \in B$  and  $\eta := \text{diam } B < \delta$ . We find  $j_1 \in \mathbb{N} : 2^{-j_1} < \eta \leq 2^{-j_1+1} \implies j_1 \geq j_0$ . Then we have:  $B \cap P \subseteq X \cup \bigcup_{j=j_1}^{\infty} P_j$ . Further we have:

$$\lambda(B \cap (E \setminus P)) \leq \lambda\left(\bigcup_{j=j_1}^{\infty} (R_j \setminus P_j)\right) \leq \sum_{j=j_1}^{\infty} \lambda(R_j \setminus P_j) \leq \sum_{j=j_1}^{\infty} 4^{-j} = 4^{-j_1} \cdot \frac{4}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 4^{-j_0+1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We compute } \frac{\lambda(B \cap P)}{\lambda(B)} &= \frac{\lambda(B \cap E) - \lambda(B \cap (E \setminus P))}{\lambda(B)} \geq 1 - \varepsilon - \frac{\lambda(B \cap (E \setminus P))}{\lambda(B)} \geq \\ &\geq 1 - \varepsilon - \frac{\frac{1}{3} 4^{-j_1+1}}{\lambda(B)} \geq 1 - \varepsilon - \frac{1}{3} 4^{-j_1+1} \cdot 2^{j_1-1} = 1 - \varepsilon - \frac{1}{3} 2^{-j_1+1} > 1 - 2\varepsilon \implies d_\lambda(x, P) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to verify the last property:

$$P \subseteq \tilde{E} \implies d_\lambda(x, E) = 1 \text{ for each } x \in P.$$

└

□

*Důkaz* (The previous theorem)

Let  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be  $d$ -closed, „ $x_0 \notin F \implies \exists \tau_d$ -continuous  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(F) \subseteq \{0\} \wedge f(x_0) = 1$ “: We find a set  $E \in \mathcal{F}_G(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $x_0 \in E$ ,  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ :  $\lambda((R \setminus F) \setminus E) = 0$ .  $F$  is  $\tau_d$ -closed, hence measurable,  $F^c$  is  $\tau_d$ -open, hence measurable.

$$\exists E \in \mathcal{F}_G : \lambda((R \setminus F) \setminus E) = 0$$

if necessary  $E := E \cup \{x_0\}$ .

$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ ,  $F_n$  closed,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We may assume that  $x_0 \in F_1$ . Then we have  $F_1 \subseteq E$ ,  $\forall x \in F_1 : d_\lambda(x, E) = 1$ .  $x \in X : d_\lambda(x, F_1) = 1$ . We set  $\Phi(1) := F_1$ . Now assume that we have already constructed  $\Phi(1) \subseteq \Phi(2) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \Phi(k) \subseteq F$  closed sets and  $F_j \subseteq \Phi(j)$ ,  $j \leq k$ .

$$\forall j < k \ \forall x \in \Phi(j) : d(x, \Phi(j_1)) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \Phi(k) : d(x, E) = 1.$$

$(k+1)$ -th term: We use the previous lemma and find a set  $P$  such that  $\Phi(k) \subseteq P \subseteq E$ ,  $\forall x \in \Phi(k) : d_\lambda(x, P) = 1$ ,  $\forall x \in P : d_\lambda(x, E) = 1$ .

$\Phi(k+1) := P \cup F_{k+1}$  closed, then  $F_{k+1} \subseteq \Phi(k+1) \subseteq E$ .  $j = k : \forall x \in \Phi(k) : d(x, \Phi(k)) = 1$ .  $F^c$  is  $d$ -open.

We have

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi(k) = E \quad (F_j \subseteq \Phi(j) \subseteq E).$$

Now we define  $\Phi\left(\frac{n}{2^m}\right)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2^m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $n/2^m \geq 1$ : If  $m = 0$  we have already constructed  $\Phi(k)$ .

„ $m \mapsto m+1$ “:  $\Phi\left(\frac{2n}{2^{m+1}}\right) := \Phi\left(\frac{n}{2^m}\right)$  (numerator even) and  $\Phi\left(\frac{2n+1}{2^{m+1}}\right)$  (numerator odd) is constructed so that

- $\Phi\left(\frac{n}{2^m}\right) \subseteq \Phi\left(\frac{2n+1}{2^{m+1}}\right) \subseteq \Phi\left(\frac{n+1}{2^m}\right)$ ;
- $\forall x \in \Phi\left(\frac{n}{2^m}\right) : d_\lambda\left(x, \Phi\left(\frac{2n+1}{2^{m+1}}\right)\right) = 1$ ;
- $\forall \Phi\left(\frac{2n+1}{2^{m+1}}\right) : d_\lambda\left(x, \Phi\left(\frac{n+1}{2^m}\right)\right) = 1$ .

For  $\lambda \in [1, +\infty)$  we set  $\Phi(\lambda) = \bigcup_{\frac{n}{2^m} \geq \lambda} \Phi\left(\frac{n}{2^m}\right)$  closed, compatible with previous definition.

For  $1 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ , we have  $\Phi(\lambda_1) \subseteq \Phi(\lambda_2)$ , if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  is dyadic numbers, by definition, if  $\lambda_1 < \frac{n}{2^m} < \lambda_2$ , then  $\Phi(\lambda_1) \subseteq \Phi\left(\frac{n}{2^m}\right) \subseteq \Phi(\lambda_2)$ .

For  $1 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ , we have  $\forall x \in \Phi(\lambda_1) : d_\lambda(x, \Phi(\lambda_2)) = 1$ . We find  $n, m$  such that

$$\lambda_1 < \frac{2n}{2^{m+1}} < \frac{2n+1}{2^{m+1}} < \lambda_2.$$

Pick  $x \in \Phi(\lambda_1) \subseteq \Phi\left(\frac{2n}{2m+1}\right) \subseteq \Phi(\lambda_2) \implies d_\lambda(x, \Phi\left(\frac{2n+1}{2m}\right)) = 1 \implies d_\lambda(x, \Phi(x_2)) = 1$ .

We define  $f(x) = \frac{\chi_E(x)}{\inf\{\lambda | x \in \Phi(\lambda)\}}$ .

$\forall x \in F : f(x) = 0$  ( $E \cap F = \emptyset \implies F \subseteq (\mathbb{R} \setminus E)$ ). So  $f(F) \subseteq \{0\}$ .

$f(x_0) = \frac{\chi_E(x_0)}{\inf\{\lambda | x_0 \in \Phi(\lambda)\}} = \frac{1}{1} = 1$ . ( $x_0 \in F_1 \subseteq \Phi(1)$ .) Also  $\text{Im } f \subseteq [0, 1]$ .

„Continuity of  $f$  with respect to  $\tau_d$ “: Assume that  $b \in (0, 1)$  (otherwise obvious),  $a \in (0, 1]$ .

„ $B := \{x \in \mathbb{R} | f(x) > b\}$  is  $d$ -open“:  $f(x) > b > 0 \implies \frac{1}{b} > \inf\{\lambda | x \in \Phi(\lambda)\}$ . We find  $\lambda \geq 1$  such that  $\frac{1}{b} > \lambda$  and  $x \in \Phi(\lambda)$ . We find  $\lambda' \geq 1$  with  $\frac{1}{b} > \lambda' > \lambda$ . Then we have  $d(x, \Phi(\lambda')) = 1$  and  $x \in \Phi(\lambda) \subseteq \Phi(\lambda') \subseteq B \implies d_\lambda(x, B) = 1 \implies B$  is  $d$ -open.

„ $A := \{x \in \mathbb{R} | f(x) < a\}$  is  $d$ -open“: Choose  $x \in A : f(x) < a$ , then  $\frac{1}{a} < \inf\{\lambda | x \in \Phi(\lambda)\}$ . Take  $\lambda_0 \geq 1 : \frac{1}{a} < \lambda_0$ ,  $x \notin \Phi(\lambda_0)$ .

Then we have  $\Phi(x_0)^c \subseteq A$ ,  $y \notin \mathbb{R} \setminus \Phi(x_0) \implies \lambda_0 \notin \{\lambda | y \in \Phi(x)\} \implies \inf\{\lambda | y \in \Phi(\lambda)\} \geq \lambda_0 \implies f(y) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_0} < a \implies y \in A$ .

$\Phi(x_0)^c$  is  $\varrho_E$ -open  $\implies \Phi(x_0)^c \in \tau_d \implies A$  is  $d$ -open. □

### *Poznámka*

Approximate continuity is equivalent to continuity with respect to  $\tau_d$  ( $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is approximately continuous at  $x_0 \Leftrightarrow f$  is  $\tau_d$  continuous at  $x_0$ ).

$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is approximately continuous  $\Leftrightarrow M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$   $\lambda_1$ -measurable:  $d_\lambda(x_0, M) = 1$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0, x \in M} f(x) = f(x_0)$ .

### Věta 4.5 (Denjoy)

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then the function  $f$  is approximately continuous  $\lambda$ -almost everywhere iff  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -measurable.

┌

*Důkaz*

„ $\implies$ “  $N := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is not approximately continuous function}\} \implies \lambda(N) = 0$ . It is sufficient to show that sub/super level sets are measure.

$$c \in \mathbb{R}, M := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) > c\}.$$

$$y \in M \setminus N \Leftrightarrow f(y) > c \wedge f \text{ is approximately continuous at } y.$$

$\exists \tau_d$ -open set  $G$  such that  $f|_G > c \implies M, N \text{ } \tau_d\text{-open} \implies M \setminus N \text{ is } \tau_d\text{-open}$   $M = (M \setminus N) \cup (N \cap M)$ .

„ $\Leftarrow$ “: Luzin:  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists G : \lambda(G) < \varepsilon$  and  $f|_G$  is continuous.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , Luzin theorem gives us  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  closed ( $\varrho_E$ ) such that  $\lambda(\mathbb{R} \setminus F)$  and  $f|_F$  is continuous ( $\varrho_E$ ).

By Lebesgue density theorem  $\lambda$ -almost every point of  $F$  is a point of density. Let  $\tilde{F}$  is set of those points.  $\lambda(F \setminus \tilde{F}) = 0 \implies \tilde{F}$  is  $d$ -open.

$f$  is approximately continuous  $\lambda$ -almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

└

### Věta 4.6

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded approximately continuous functions then  $f$  has an antiderivative on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

$\exists K \in \mathbb{R} \forall x \in \mathbb{R} : |f(x)| \leq K$ .  $F(X) = \int_0^x f d\lambda$  ( $\lambda$ -measurable  $\implies$  well-defined).

We have  $\frac{1}{h} \lambda(\{y \in [x, x+h] \mid |f(y) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}) < \varepsilon \iff$  approximately continuity at  $x$ .

Fix  $h \in (0, \delta)$ . Denote  $M = \{y \in [x, x+h] \mid |f(y) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{h} |F(x+h) - F(x)| - f(x) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{h} \right| \cdot \left| \int_x^{x+h} (f(t) - f(x)) dt \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h} \int_M |f(t) - f(x)| dt + \frac{1}{h} \int_{[x, x+h] \setminus M} (f(t) - f(x)) dt \leq \\ &\leq \frac{2K}{h} \lambda(M) + \frac{h}{h} \varepsilon \leq (2k+1)\varepsilon \implies \end{aligned}$$

$\implies F'_+(x) = f(x)$ . Analogously  $F'_-(x) = f(x) \implies f$  has an antiderivative.

└



*Důsledek*

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded approximately continuous function. Then  $f$  has Darboux property and is in  $B_1$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

The previous theorem gives that there exists a function  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F'(x) = f(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . So  $f$  has Darboux property.

$$„f \in B_1“: f(x) = F'(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x + \frac{1}{n}) - F(x)}{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

□

## Věta 4.7

There exists a differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the sets  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} | f'(x) > 0\}$  and  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} | f'(x) < 0\}$  are dense.

┌

*Důkaz*

Let  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$  be countable, dense, and disjoint.  $A = \{a_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $B = \{b_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Observe that  $A$  and  $B$  are d-closed. Using theorem above we find for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  approximately continuous  $g_n$  and  $h_n$  such that  $g_n(a_n) = 1$ ,  $0 \leq g_n \leq 1$ ,  $g_n|_B = 0$ , similarly  $h_n(b_n) = 1$ ,  $0 \leq h_n \leq 1$ ,  $h_n|_A = 0$ .

We define  $\psi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} g_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} h_n$ .  $\psi$  is bounded.  $\psi$  is approximately continuous.  $\psi$  is positive on  $A$  and negative on  $B$ . By the previous theorem  $\exists f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f' = \psi$ .

□

*Poznámka*

We say that differentiable function  $g$  is of Köpcke type if  $g'$  is bounded and the sets  $\{g' > 0\}$ ,  $\{g' < 0\}$  are dense.

*Poznámka*

$A$  and  $B$  are countable disjoint  $\implies A$  and  $B$  are  $\tau_d$ -closed. Towards contradiction assume that there exists  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  approximately continuous such that  $f|_A = 0$  and  $f|_B = 1 \implies f \in B_1 \implies f$  has comeagerly many points of continuity.

## 5 More on derivatives

### Definice 5.1 (Notation)

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a nonempty open interval. We denote

$$\Delta'(I) = \{f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} | f \text{ has an antiderivative on } I\}$$

**Věta 5.1** (Denjoy-Clarkson)

Let  $I$  be a nonempty open interval and  $f \in \Delta'(I)$ . Then  $f$  has Denjoy-Clarkson property, i.e., for every open  $G \subset \mathbb{R}$  we have that either  $f^{-1}(G) = \emptyset$  or  $\lambda(f^{-1}(G)) > 0$ .

*Důkaz* (Denjoy-Clarkson)

Let  $F : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $F' = f$  on  $I$ . Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be open. WLOG  $G = (\alpha, \beta)$  (otherwise we consider countable union).

Let

$$E := \{x \in I \mid f(x) \in (\alpha, \beta)\} = f^{-1}(G).$$

Assume that  $E \neq \emptyset$  and  $\lambda(E) = 0$ . Choose  $x_0 \in E$  and find  $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\alpha < \alpha_1 < f(x_0) < \beta_1 < \beta$ . Define

$$E_1 := \{x \in I \mid f(x) \in (\alpha_1, \beta_1)\} \ni x_0.$$

So  $E_1 \subseteq E \implies \lambda(E_1) = 0$ .

We set  $P = \overline{E_1}$ ,  $f \in B_1 \implies \exists y \in \mathcal{C}(f|_P)$ .  $x_1 \in P \cap I$ . We find an open interval  $I_1 \subseteq I$  such that  $x_1 \in I_1$  and (by continuity)

$$\forall x \in I_1 \cap P : |f(x) - f(x_1)| < \max\{\alpha_1 - \alpha, \beta - \beta_1\} \leq \varepsilon,$$

and  $E_1$  is dense in  $P$  and  $I_1 \cap P$  is open  $\implies \exists x_2 \in I_1 \cap E_1 \subseteq I_1 \cap P$ . Then we have:  $|f(x_2) - f(x_1)| < \varepsilon \implies f(x_2) \in (\alpha_1, \beta_1) \implies f(x_1) \in (\alpha, \beta)$  (triangle inequality).

We can find an open interval  $I_2 \subseteq I$  such that  $x_1 \in I_2$  and  $\forall x \in I_2 \cap P$  (continuity)  $f(x) \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . Then we have  $I_2 \cap P \subseteq E$  and therefore  $\lambda(I_2 \cap P) = 0$  closed in  $I_2$ .

$\implies I_2 \cap P$  is nowhere dense in  $I_2$ . We find a countable disjoint family  $\mathcal{I} \circ f$  nonempty open intervals such that  $I_2 \setminus P = \bigcup \mathcal{I}$  open in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

For every  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  we have:

$$\forall x \in I : f(x) \leq \alpha_1 \vee f(x) \geq \beta_1$$

outside of  $P$  and outside of  $E_1$ .

Since  $f$  has Darboux property we have for every  $J \in \mathcal{I}$  either  $\forall x \in \overline{J} \cap I_2 : f(x) \leq \alpha_1$  or  $\forall x \in \overline{J} \cap I_2 : f(x) \geq \beta_1$ .

$\implies$  We can split  $\mathcal{I}$  into two subfamilies

$$\mathcal{I}_1 := \{J \in \mathcal{I} \mid \forall x \in J : f(x) \leq \alpha_1\}, \quad \mathcal{I}_2 := \{J \in \mathcal{I} \mid \forall x \in J : f(x) \geq \beta_1\}.$$

Now the set  $\bigcup \{\partial J \mid J \in \mathcal{I}\}$  is dense in  $P$  since  $P$  is nowhere dense.

Using this and continuity of  $f$ , at  $x_1$  we can find a closed interval  $I_3$  such that  $\text{int}(I_3) \ni x_1$ . And  $I_3 \subseteq I_2$  and  $\bigcup \mathcal{I}_1 \cap I_3 = \emptyset$  or  $\bigcup \mathcal{I}_2 \cap I_3 = \emptyset$  otherwise  $\nexists$  with continuity.

Assume that  $*?$  holds true. Then for every  $x \in I_3$  we have  $P \cap I_3 \subseteq I_2 \cap P \implies f(x) \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . If  $x \in P^c \implies \exists I \in \mathcal{I} : x \in I : f(x) \geq \beta_1 \implies f(x) \geq \alpha$ .  $F' = f$  bounded from below.

By the previous lemma, we have  $F \in AC(I_3)$ . Further we have that  $\lambda$ -almost everywhere  $x \in I_3$ :

$$F'(x) = f(x) \geq \beta_1, \quad \lambda(P) = 0$$

but

$$\text{int } I_3 \cap \neq \emptyset \implies \text{int } I_3 \cap E_1 \neq \emptyset.$$

Pick  $x_3 \in I_3 \cap E_1$ . Then

$$f(x_3) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_3^+} \frac{F(x) - F(x_3)}{x - x_3} = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_3} \frac{(L) \int_{x_3}^x f(t) dt}{x - x_3} \geq \beta_1 > f(x_3).$$

$$\nexists x_3 \in E_1 \Leftrightarrow f(x_3) \in (\alpha_1, \beta_1).$$

□

## Lemma 5.2

Let  $F$  be differentiable at each point of  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $F'$  is bounded from below. Then  $F$  is absolutely continuous on  $[a, b]$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

Let  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $F'(x) \geq K$  for every  $x \in [a, b]$ . Then  $x \mapsto F(x) - K \cdot x$  is non-decreasing on  $[a, b]$ . By theorem above we have that  $F' \in L^1([a, b])$ . For every  $x \in [a, b]$  we have

$$F(x) - F(a) = (N) \int_a^x F'(t) dt = (L) \int_a^x F'(t) dt \implies F \in AC([a, b]).$$

└

□

## Věta 5.3

Let  $f$  be differentiable at each point of  $[a, b]$  and  $f' \in L^1([a, b])$ . Then we have

$$f(x) - f(a) = (L) \int_a^x f'(t) dt, \quad x \in [a, b].$$

## Věta 5.4 (Vitali–Caratheodory)

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exist  $u, v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$  such that

1.  $u \leq f \leq v$ ;
2.  $u$  is usc and bounded from above;
3.  $v$  is lsc and bounded from below;

$$4. \int (v - u) < \varepsilon.$$

*Důkaz* (The previous previous theorem)

We may assume that  $x = l$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Using the previous theorem we find a lsc function  $g$  on  $[a, b]$  such that  $g > f'$  and  $\int_a^b g < \int_a^b f' + \varepsilon$ . We set

$$G_\eta(x) = \int_a^x g - f(x) + f(a) + \eta(x - a), \quad x \in [a, b], \eta > 0.$$

Fix  $\eta > 0$ . For every  $x \in [a, b)$  there is  $\delta_x > 0$  such that

$$g(t) > f'(x) \quad \wedge \quad \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} < f'(x) + \eta$$

for every  $t \in (x, x + \delta_x)$ .

For  $x \in [a, b)$  and  $t \in (x, x + \delta_x)$  we have

$$G_\eta(t) - G_\eta(x) = \int_x^t g - (f(t) - f(x)) + \eta(t - x) > (t - x)f'(x) - (f'(x) + \eta)(t - x) + \eta(t - x) = 0.$$

$\implies G_\eta \geq 0$  on  $[a, b]$ :

$$G_\eta(b) = \int_a^b g - f(b) + f(a) + \eta(b - a) \geq 0.$$

$$\int_a^b f' + \varepsilon > \int_a^b g > f(b) - f(a) - \eta(b - a).$$

$$\int_a^b f' + \varepsilon \geq f(b) - f(a).$$

$$(L) \int_a^b f' \geq f(b) - f(a) \wedge (L) \int_a^b -f' \geq -f(b) + f(a) \wedge f(b) - f(a) \geq (L) \int_a^b f.$$

□

*Důkaz* (Vitali–Caratheodory)

We assume that  $f \geq 0$  and  $f \not\equiv 0$ .  $0 \leq s_n \nearrow f$ .

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (s_n - s_{n-1}), \quad s_0 = 0.$$

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \chi_{E_i}, \quad c_i > 0, \quad E_i \text{ measurable.}$$

$$\int f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \lambda(E_i) < \infty.$$

For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we find  $K_i$  compact and  $V_i$  open such that  $K_i \subset E_i \subset V_i$  and  $c_i \lambda(V_i \setminus K_i) < 2^{-(i-1)} \varepsilon$ . We define

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \chi_{V_i}, u = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \chi_{K_i},$$

where  $N$  is chosen such that  $\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} c_i \mu(E_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

$u \leq f \leq v$ ,  $u$  is usc,  $v$  is lsc,  $u$  is bounded from above,  $v$  is bounded from below

$$v - u = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i (\chi_{V_i} - \chi_{K_i}) + \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} c_i \chi_{V_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i (\chi_{V_i} - \chi_{K_i}) + \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} c_i \chi_{E_i}.$$

$$\int (v - u) < \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon = \varepsilon.$$

„General case:“  $f = f^+ - f^-$ :  $u_1 \leq f^+ \leq v_1$ ,  $u_2 \leq f^- \leq v_2$ ,  $v := v_1 - u_2$ ,  $u := u_1 - v_2$ .  $\square$

*Poznámka* (Buczolich)

$\forall n \geq 2$  exists a differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \nabla f(x) \in B(0, 1)\}$  is nonempty and has  $\lambda$ -measurable zero.

## 5.1 Zahorski classes

### Definice 5.2 (Zahorski conditions?)

Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an  $F_\sigma$  set. We say that  $E$  belongs to class:

$M_0$  if every point of  $E$  is a point of bilateral accumulation of  $E$ ;

$M_1$  if every point of  $E$  is a point of bilateral condensation of  $E$ ;

$M_2$  if each one-sided neighbourhood of each  $x \in E$  intersects  $E$  in a set of positive measure;

$M_3$  if for each  $x \in E$  and each sequence  $\{I_n\}$  of closed intervals converging to  $x$  such that  $\lambda(I_n \cap E) = 0$  for each  $n$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(I_n)}{\text{dist}(x, I_n)} = 0$ ;

$M_4$  if there exists a sequence of closed sets  $\{K_n\}$  and a sequence of positive numbers  $\eta_n$  such that  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$  and  $\forall x \in K_n \forall c > 0$  there exists a number  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that if  $k$  and  $H_1$  satisfy  $k \cdot h_1 > 0$ ,  $\frac{k}{h_1} < c$ ,  $|k + h_1| < \varepsilon$  then  $\frac{\lambda(E \cap (x+k, x+k+h_1))}{|h_1|} > \eta_n$ ;

$M_5$  if every point of  $E$  is a point of density of  $E$ .

**Definice 5.3** (Zahorski classes)

Let  $k \in [5]$ ,  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval,  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $f$  is in a class  $\mathcal{M}_k$  if every associated set, i.e.,  $\{f > \alpha\}$ ,  $\{f < \alpha\}$ , is in  $\mathcal{M}_k$ .

**Věta 5.5**

$\mathcal{DB}_1 = \mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{M}_1 \supsetneq \mathcal{M}_2 \supsetneq \mathcal{M}_3 \supsetneq \mathcal{M}_4 \supsetneq \mathcal{M}_5 =$  *approximately continuous functions*.

*Důsledek*

$\Delta' \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ .

*Poznámka*

$\Delta' \subset \mathcal{M}_3$ , bounded  $\Delta' \subset \mathcal{M}_4$ .

## 6 Sets with finite perimeter and divergence theorem

**Lemma 6.1**

Let  $F$  be a distribution function on a signed Radon measure  $\mu$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\int \varphi d\mu = - \int F \varphi' d\lambda$ .

*Důkaz*

WLOG  $\mu \geq 0$ . Suppose that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\text{spt } \varphi \subseteq [a, b]$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a < b$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Find  $\delta > 0$  such that

- $\forall x, y \in [a, b], |x - y| < \delta : |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| < \varepsilon;$
- $\forall x, y \in [a, b], |x - y| < \delta : |\varphi'(x) - \varphi'(y)| < \varepsilon;$
- for all partition  $D = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$  of interval  $[a, b]$ ,  $\nu(D) < \delta$  and for each  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  such that  $\xi_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ ,  $i \in [n]$ , it holds

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n F(\xi_i) \varphi'(\xi_i) (x_i - x_{i-1}) - \int_a^b F \varphi' \right| < \varepsilon.$$

$$0 = F(b)\varphi(b) - F(a)\varphi(a).$$

Let  $D$  be a partition of  $[a, b]$  with  $\nu(D) < \delta$ ,  $D = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ .

$$0 = F(b)\varphi(b) - F(a)\varphi(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n (F(x_i)\varphi(x_i) - F(x_{i-1})\varphi(x_{i-1})) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n F(x_i)(\varphi(x_i) - \varphi(x_{i-1})) + \varphi(x_{i-1})(F(x_i) - F(x_{i-1})).$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=1}^n F(x_i)(\varphi(x_i) - \varphi(x_{i-1})) - \int_a^b F \varphi' \right| = \\ & \left| \sum_{i=1}^n F(x_i) \varphi'(\eta_i) (x_i - x_{i-1}) - \int_a^b F \varphi' \right| \leq \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^n F(x_i) \varphi'(x_i) (x_i - x_{i-1}) - \int_a^b F \varphi' \right| + \sum_{i=1}^n |F(x_i)| \cdot |\varphi'(x_i) - \varphi'(\eta_i)| \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1}) < \\ & < \varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^n K \cdot \varepsilon \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1}) = \varepsilon \cdot (1 + K \cdot (b - a)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $K := \sup_{[a, b]} |F|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_{i-1})(F(x_i) - F(x_{i-1})) - \int \varphi d\mu \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_{i-1}) \cdot \mu((x_{i-1}, x_i]) - \int \varphi d\mu \right| = \\ & = \left| \int_{[a, b]} \int_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_{i-1}) \chi_{(x_{i-1}, x_i]} d\mu - \int_{[a, b]} \varphi d\mu \right| \leq \int \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_{i-1}) \cdot \chi_{(x_{i-1}, x_i]} - \varphi(x) \right| d\mu \leq \\ & \leq \varepsilon \cdot \mu([a, b]). \quad \implies \left| \int F \varphi + \int \varphi d\mu \right| \leq C \cdot \varepsilon \implies \int \varphi d\mu = F \varphi'. \end{aligned}$$

□

## Věta 6.2

Let  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then following assumptions are equivalent

- there exists a signed Radon measure  $\mu$  such that  $Du = \mu$ ;
- there exists  $v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $v \in BV([a, b])$  for every  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a < b$ , and  $u = v$  almost everywhere.

┌

*Důkaz*

„ $\implies$ “:  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a distribution function of  $\mu$ , i.e.,  $F(y) - F(x) = \mu((x, y])$ ,  $x < y$ . For  $a < b$ , take  $D$ , a partition of  $[a, b]$ ,  $D = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |F(x_i) - F(x_{i-1})| = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu((x_{i-1}, x_i])| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu|((x_{i-1}, x_i]) \leq |\mu|([a, b]).$$

So  $F \in BV([a, b])$ ,  $DF = \mu$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $DF(\varphi) = -F(\varphi') = -\int F\varphi' d\lambda = \int \varphi d\mu = \mu(\varphi)$ .

„ $\Leftarrow$ “: TODO!!!

$$\bar{v} = \lim_{t \rightarrow x_+} v(t) = \inf \{v(t) | t > x\}$$

$v$  is non-decreasing.  $x$  is a point of continuity of  $v \implies v(x) = \bar{v}(x)$ .  $v = \bar{v}$  almost everywhere.  $\bar{v}$  is continuous from the right of each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $Du = Dv = D\bar{v} = \mu. \implies \exists!$  Radon measure  $\mu$ :  $\bar{v}(y) - \bar{v}(x) = \mu((x, y])$ ,  $x < y$ .  $\square$

## Věta 6.3 (Gauss divergence theorem)

Let  $n > 1$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded open nonempty set with  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial\Omega) < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial\Omega \setminus \partial_r\Omega) = 0$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \langle f(y), \nu_\Omega(y) \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} f(x) d\lambda^n(x).$$