Poznámka

Credit for giving 'small lecture'. Oral exam.

1 Meromorphic functions

Definice 1.1

We say that a function f is holomorphic in a set $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ if there is an open $G \supseteq F$ such that f is holomorphic on G.

In particular, f is holomorphic at $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ if f is holomorphic in some neighbour (= $U(z_0) = U(z_0, \varepsilon)$) of z_0 .

Definice 1.2

Function f has at ∞ a removable singularity, if $f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$ has a removable singularity at 0. Similarly pole and essential singularity.

Function f is holomorphic at ∞ if $f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$ is holomorphic at 0.

Let $G \subset \mathbb{S}$ be open. Then f is holomorphic on G if f is holomorphic at any z_0 . Denote $\mathcal{H}(G) := \{f : G \to \mathbb{C} | f \text{ holomorphic} \}.$

Například

From Liouville theorem $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{S}) = \text{constant functions. So } \mathbb{H}(G)$ is interesting only for $G \subsetneq \mathbb{S}$, so WLOG $G \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Definice 1.3 (Meromorphic function)

Let $G \subset \mathbb{S}$ be open. Then a function f on G is called meromorphic if at any $z_0 \in G$ the function f is either holomorphic at z_0 or has a pole at z_0 .

Denote $\mathcal{M}(G)$ the set of meromorphic functions on G.

Dusledek

- $\mathcal{H}(G) \subset \mathcal{M}(G)$.
- Denote $P_f := \{z_0 \in G | f \text{ has a pole at } z_0\}$. Then P_f has no limit points in G.
- If $f = \infty$ on P_f , then $f : G \to \mathbb{S}$ is continuous. (We always assume, that $f \in \mathcal{H}(G)$ has this property.)

 $Nap \check{r} iklad$

$$\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}), \qquad e^{\frac{1}{z}} \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}), \qquad \Gamma \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}), \qquad \zeta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}).$$

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S}) = \text{rational functions.}$ (One inclusion is clear, second: Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{S})$, then because \mathbb{S} is compact it holds that P_f is finite (has no limit point), $P_f \cap \mathbb{C} = \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$, so from theorem from last semester there exists $h \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $f(z) = h(z) + \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \left(\frac{1}{z-z_j}\right)$ for some polynomials p_j . f has removable singularity or pole at infinity and p_j and $\frac{1}{z-z_j}$ have removable singularity there, so h(z) is polynomial, otherwise h(z) has infinity Taylor polynom and $h\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$ has essential singularity at 0.)

So $\mathcal{M}(G)$ is interesting for $G \subsetneq \mathbb{S}$, WLOG $G \subset \mathbb{C}$.

If $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is domain, $f, g \in \mathbb{H}(G)$ and $g \equiv 0$, then $f/g \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. The inverse is also true (we will prove it) (but not for $G = \mathbb{S}$).

Lemma 1.1

Let $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open. Then there are compacts K_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in G such that $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$, $K_n \subset \operatorname{int}(K_{n+1})$ and for any compact K in G, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : K \in K_n$.

П

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$

Set
$$K_n := \{z \in G | \operatorname{dist}(z, \mathbb{C} \backslash G) \ge \frac{1}{n} \} \cap U(0, n).$$

Tvrzení 1.2

Let $G \subset \mathbb{S}$ be open and $M \subset G$ has no limit point in G. Then

- $G\backslash M$ is open:
- if K is a compact in G, then $K \cap M$ is finite. In particular for $G = \mathbb{S}$ we have M is finite;
- M is at most countable. If M is infinite, then $\emptyset \neq M' \subset \partial G$;
- if $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is domain (connected), then $G \setminus M$ is domain.

Věta 1.3 (Uniqueness of meromorphic functions)

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain, $f \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ and $f \not\equiv 0$. Then $N_f := \{z \in G | f(z) = 0\}$ has no limit points in G.

We know this holds for holomorphic functions. Set $G_0 := G \backslash P_f$. Then $G_0 \subset \mathbb{C}$ is also domain and $f \in \mathcal{H}(G)$ and $f \not\equiv 0$ on G_0 . Then $N_f \subset G_0$ has no limit points in G_0 , nor in P_f .

Věta 1.4 (Residue theorem)

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open, φ be a closed curve (or cycle) in G and int $\varphi := \{z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \langle \varphi \rangle \mid \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi} z_0 \neq 0\} \subset G$. Let $M \subset G \setminus \langle \varphi \rangle$ be finite and $f \in \mathcal{H}(G \setminus M)$. Then $\int_{\varphi} f = 2\pi i \cdot \sum_{s \in M} \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi} s \cdot \operatorname{res}_s f$.

Poznámka

This holds true even if instead of finiteness of M, we assume only that $M \subset G \setminus \langle \varphi \rangle$ has no limit points in G. Indeed, we have $M_0 = M \cap \operatorname{int} \varphi$ is finite, because $\langle \varphi \rangle \cup \operatorname{int} \varphi$ is compact and $G_0 := G \setminus (M \setminus M_0)$ is open and f is holomorphic on $G_0 \setminus M_0$ and by R. theorem for G_0 and M_0 we get $\int_{\varphi} f = 2\pi i \sum_{s \in M_0} \operatorname{res}_s f \cdot \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi} s$.

1.1 Logarithmic integrals

Definice 1.4 (Logarithmic integral)

Let $\varphi : [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$ be a (regular) curve and let f be a non-zero holomorphic function on $\langle \varphi \rangle$. Then we define logarithmic integrals integral as

$$I := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\varphi} \frac{f'}{f} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{f'(\varphi(t))\varphi'(t)}{f(\varphi(t))} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(f(\varphi(t)))'}{f(\varphi(t))} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{f \circ \varphi} \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\Phi(b) - \Phi(a)),$$

where Φ is a branch (jednoznačná větev) of logarithm of $f \circ \varphi$. If φ is, in addition, closed, then $I = \operatorname{ind}_{f \circ \varphi} 0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\Theta(b) - \Theta(a)) \in \mathbb{Z}$, where Θ is a branch of argument of $f \circ \varphi$.

 $(\frac{f'}{f})$ is called logarithmic derivative of f, because $(\log f)' = \frac{f'}{f}$.

Věta 1.5 (Argument principle)

Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a domain, φ be a closed curve in G and $f \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. Let $\operatorname{int} \varphi \subset G$ and $\langle \varphi \rangle \cap N_f = \emptyset$, $\langle \varphi \rangle \cap P_f = \emptyset$. Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\varphi} \frac{f'}{f} = \sum_{s \in \operatorname{int} \varphi, f(s) = 0} n_f(s) \cdot \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi} s - \sum_{s \in \operatorname{int} \varphi, f(s) = \infty} p_f(s) \cdot \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi} s,$$

where $n_f(s)$ is multiplicity of the zero point s of f and $p_f(s)$ is multiplicity of the pole s of f.

3

By Residua theorem, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\varphi} \frac{f'}{f} = \sum_{s \in \operatorname{int} \varphi, s \in N_f \cup P_f} \operatorname{res}_s \left(\frac{f'}{f} \right) \cdot \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi} s.$$

If $s \in N_f$ then on P(s):

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{p \cdot c_p(z-s)^{p-1} + \dots}{c_p(z-s)^p + \dots} = \frac{p}{z-s} \cdot \frac{1+\dots}{1+\dots} \implies \operatorname{res}_s\left(\frac{f'}{f}\right) = p = n_f(s).$$

If $s \in P_f$ then on P(s)

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{p \cdot c_p(z-s)^{p-1} + \dots}{c_p(z-s)^p + \dots} = \frac{p}{z-s} \cdot \frac{1+\dots}{1+\dots} \implies \operatorname{res}_s\left(\frac{f'}{f}\right) = p = -p_f(s).$$

Definice 1.5

$$\Sigma(f,\varphi) := \sum_{s \in \operatorname{int} \varphi, f(s) = 0} n_f(s) \cdot \operatorname{ind}_\varphi s - \sum_{s \in \operatorname{int} \varphi, f(s) = \infty} p_f(s) \cdot \operatorname{ind}_\varphi s.$$

Lemma 1.6

Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$ be closed curve and $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\langle \varphi_1 \rangle \cup \langle \varphi_2 \rangle)$. Assume, for $t \in [a, b]$, $|\varphi_1(t) - \varphi_2(t)| < |\varphi_1(t) - s|$. Then $\operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_1} s = \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_2} s$.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$

For $t \in [a, b]$, we have $|(\varphi_1(t) - s) - (\varphi_2(t) - s)| < |\varphi_1(t) - s|$. Divide by $|\varphi_1(t) - s|$:

$$|1 - \psi(t)| < 1,$$
 $\psi(t) := \frac{\varphi_2(t) - s}{\varphi_1(t) - s}.$

Then ψ is a closed curve, $<\psi>\subset U(1,1),$ and so

$$0 = \operatorname{ind}_{\psi} 0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\psi'}{\psi} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\frac{\varphi'_{2}(\varphi_{1}-s)-\varphi'_{1}(\varphi_{2}-s)}{(\varphi_{1}-s)^{2}}}{\frac{\varphi_{2}-s}{\varphi_{1}-s}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\varphi'_{2}}{\varphi_{2}-s} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\varphi'_{1}}{\varphi_{1}-s} = \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_{1}} s - \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_{1}} s - \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_{2}} s - \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_{2}} s - \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_{2}} s - \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_{1}} s - \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_{2}} s - \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_{2}} s - \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_{2}} s - \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_{1}} s - \operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_{2}} s - \operatorname{ind}_$$

Věta 1.7 (Rouché)

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain, $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ and φ be closed curve in G such that int $\varphi \subset G$. Assume $\forall z \in \langle \varphi \rangle$:

$$|f_1(z) - f_2(z)| < |f_1(z)| < +\infty$$

Then $\Sigma(f_1,\varphi) = \Sigma(f_2,\varphi)$.

Set $\varphi_j = f_j \circ \varphi$. Then

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_j} 0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\varphi} \frac{f'_j}{f_j} = \Sigma(f_j, \varphi).$$

By previous lemma we have for s=0: $\operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_1}0=\operatorname{ind}_{\varphi_2}0$.

Důsledek

Let f_1, f_2 be holomorphic functions on $\overline{U(z_0, r)}$ and $\forall z \in \partial U(z_0, r) : |f_1(z) - f_2(z)| < |f_1(z)|$. Then $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2$, where $\Sigma_j := \sum_{s \in U(z_0, r), f(s) = 0} n_{f_j}(s)$.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$

Apply Rouché's theorem to $\varphi(t) := z_0 + r \cdot e^{it}, t \in [0, 2\pi].$

Příklad

 $f_2 = p$, $f_1(z) = a_0 z^n$ and big enough U(0, r).

Definice 1.6 (Notation)

Let f be a function holomorphic at $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. We say that $f(z_0) = w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ p times for $p \in \mathbb{N}$ if z_0 is a zero point of $f - w_0$ of order p.

Poznámka

Following statements are equivalent to each other:

- $f(z_0) = w_0 p \text{ times};$
- $f(z_0) = w_0, f'(z_0) = 0 = \dots = f^{(p-1)}(z_0), f^{(p)}(z_0) \neq 0;$
- $f(z) = w_0 + \sum_{k=p}^{+\infty} c_k (z z_0)^k$ on some neighbourhood of z_0 and $c_p \neq 0$.

We say that $f(z_0) = \infty$ p times if z_0 is a zero point of $\frac{1}{f}$ of order p. (It's the same as z_0 is pole of f of order p.) And we say that $f(\infty) = w_0 \in \mathbb{S}$ p times if f(1/z) attains w_0 p times at 0.

Věta 1.8 (On a multiple value)

Let $z_0, w_0 \in \mathbb{S}$, f be a holomorphic function on a $P(z_0)$ and $f(z_0) = w_0$ p times for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\delta_0 > 0$. Then there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ such that, for any $w \in P(w_0, \varepsilon)$ there are just p different points z_1, \ldots, z_p in $P(z_0, \delta)$ with $f(z_j) = w$. In addition, $f(z_j) = 0$ once.

WLOG, assume $z_0 = 0 = w_0$. Then $z_0 = 0$ is a zero point of f of order p. Choose $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ such that $f \neq 0$ and $f' \neq 0$ on $P(0, 2\delta)$. Set $\varepsilon := \min_{|z| = \delta} |f(z)| > 0$.

Let $w \in P(0, \varepsilon)$. Use Rouché's theorem for $f_1 := f$, $f_2 := f - w$ and $\varphi := \delta e^{it}$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. Of course, $|f_1 - f_2| = |w| < \varepsilon < |f_1|$ on $\langle \varphi \rangle$.

Since in $U(0, \delta)$ the function $f = f_1$ has the only zero point of order p at origin, $f - w = f_2$ has just p simple zero points in $P(0, \delta)$.

Důsledek

Let $G \subset \mathbb{S}$ be a domain, $f \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ and f be not constant on G. Then $f : G \to \mathbb{S}$ is an open map (for any open $\Omega \subset G$, $f(\Omega)$ is open).

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$

Let $\Omega \subset G$ be open and $w_0 \in f(\Omega)$. Then there is a $z_0 \in \Omega$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(z_0) = w_0$ p times. Choose $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $U(z_0, \delta_0) \subset \Omega$. By the previous theorem, there is $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ such that $P(w_0, \varepsilon) \subset f(P(z_0, \delta))$, so $U(w_0, \varepsilon) \subset f(U(z_0, \delta)) \subset f(\Omega)$.

Poznámka

This is true for $\mathcal{H}(G)$ too.

Důsledek

Let f be a function holomorphic at $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $f'(z_0) \neq 0$ if and only if there is $U(z_0)$ such that $f|_{U(z_0)}$ is one-to-one.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$

" \Longrightarrow ": Let $f'(z_0) \neq 0$. Then $f(z_0) = w_0$ once, so we choose $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $f \neq w_0$ on a $P(z_0, \delta_0)$. By the previous theorem choose $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$. Moreover, due to the continuity of f at z_0 choose $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta)$ such that $f(U(z_0, \delta_1)) \subset U(w_0, \varepsilon)$. Then $f|_{U(z_0, \delta_1)}$ is one-to-one.

" \Leftarrow ": Let $f'(z_0) = 0$ and let f be not constant on any neighbourhood of z_0 . Then $f(z_0) = w_0$ p times $(p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})$. By the previous theorem f is not one-to-one on any neighbourhood of z_0 .

Věta 1.9 (On holomorphic inverse)

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$ be a one-to-one holomorphic^a function, then $f' \neq 0$ on G, $\Omega := f(G)$ is open and $f_{-1}: \Omega \stackrel{onto}{\to} G$ is holomorphic.

In addition, $(f_{-1})' = \frac{1}{f' \circ f_{-1}}$ on Ω .

WLOG, $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain. By first "dusledek" of previous theorem f is an open map, so $\Omega := f(G)$ is open and $f_{-1} : \Omega \to G$ is continuous. Let $z_0 \in G$ and $w_0 = f(z_0)$. By second "dusledek" we have $f'(z_0) \neq 0$, and

$$\frac{1}{f'(z_0)} = \lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{z - z_0}{f(z) - f(z_0)} \stackrel{*}{=} \lim_{w \to w_0} \frac{f_{-1}(w) - f_{-1}(w_0)}{w - w_0} = f'_{-1}(w_0).$$

The equality * follows from theorem on limits of composite functions because f_{-1} is continuous and $f_{-1}(w) \neq f_{-1}(w_0)$ for $w \neq w_0$.

Věta 1.10 (Hurwitz)

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain, $f_n \in \mathcal{H}(G)$, $f_n \stackrel{loc.}{\rightrightarrows} f$ on G and $f \not\equiv 0$. Let $z_0 \in G$ be a zero point of f. Then $\exists \{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset G$ and a subsequence $\{f_{k_n}\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ such that $z_n \to 0$ and $f_{k_n}(z_n) = 0$.

Poznámka

Not true in $\mathbb{R}!$ The assumption $f \not\equiv 0$ is important! $(f_n(z) := z/n)$

Dusledek

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain, f_n be one-to-one holomorphic functions on G and $f_n \stackrel{\text{loc}}{\rightrightarrows} f$ on G. Then f is either one-to-one and holomorphic, or constant.

Důkaz (Hurwitz theorem)

Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $U(z_0, \delta) \subset G$ and $f \neq 0$ on $P(z_0, \delta)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ put $\varrho_n := \frac{\delta}{n+1}$ and $\varphi_n(t) := z_0 + \varrho_n e^{it}$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. Of course, $\tau_n := \min_{\langle \varphi_n \rangle} |f| > 0$. For a given n, there is (from uniformly convergence) $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall z \in \langle \varphi_n \rangle : |f_{k_n}(z) - f(z)| < \tau_n \leq |f|$.

By Rouché's theorem there is $z_n \in U(z_0, \varrho_n)$ such that $f_{k_n}(z_n) = 0$. Of course, we can choose $\{k_n\}$ to be increasing.

Důkaz (Corollary)

Assume that there is $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f \neq w_0$ but, for different $z', z'' \in G$ we have $f(z') = w_0 = f(z'')$. WLOG $w_0 = 0$. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $U(z', \delta) \cap U(z'', \delta) = \emptyset$. By Hurwitz, there are $\{z'_n\} \subset U(z', \delta)$ and $\{f_{k'_n}\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ such that $z'_n \to z'$ and $f_{k'_n}(z'_n) = 0$. By Hurwitz, there are also $\{z''_n\} \subset U(z'', \delta)$ and $\{f_{k''_n}\} \subset \{f_{k'_n}\}$ such that $z''_n \to z''$ and $f_{k''_n}(z''_n) = 0$.

Every $f_{k_n''}$ has at least two different zero points which is contradiction.

^aOne-to-one holomorphic function is sometimes called conformal.

$\mathbf{V\check{e}ta} \; \mathbf{1.11} \; (\mathbf{Mittag-Leffler})$

Let $\{s_i\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be one-to-one, $s_i \to \infty$ and

$$s_0 := 0 < |s_1| \le |s_2| \le |s_3| \le \ldots \le |s_j| \le \ldots$$

Let $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_j, \ldots$ be polynomials such that $P_i(0) = 0$. Then the function

$$f(z) := P_0\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(P_j\left(\frac{1}{z - s_j}\right) - Q_j(z)\right)$$

for some polynomials Q_j satisfies:

- 1. series in definition converges locally uniformly on \mathbb{C} , i. e., on any compact $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, the series converges uniformly if we omit finitely many terms which have poles.
- 2. $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C})$ and f has poles just at $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_j, \ldots$, while at s_j the function f has its principal part equal to $P_j\left(\frac{1}{z-s_j}\right)$.
- 3. If $g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies previous property, then there is $h \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ such that g = f + hon G.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $H_k(z) := P_k\left(\frac{1}{z - s_k}\right) \in \mathcal{H}(U(0, |s_k|)), H_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^k z^n \text{ for } |z| < |s_k|.$ There is $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Q_k(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{n_k} c_n^k z^n$ satisfies $|H_k(z) - Q_k(z)| < \frac{1}{2^k}, |z| \leqslant \frac{|s_k|}{2}$ (*).

Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact. Choose $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset \overline{U(0, |s_{k_0}|/2)}$. If $k > k_0$, (*) holds on K which implies 1. obviously, 2. is valid.

3. follow from the fact that $g - f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C})$ has all isolated singularities removable.

2 Zero points of holomorphic functions

Tvrzení 2.1

Let f be non-zero holomorphic function on a simply connected domain (G is domain, and $\mathbb{S}\backslash G$ is connected) $G\subset\mathbb{C}$. Then there is $L\in\mathcal{H}(G)$ such that $f=e^L$ on G.

- 1) Let $L \in \mathcal{H}(G)$ and $f = e^L$ on G. Then $f' = L' \cdot e^L$ and f'/f = L'.
- 2) Since G is a simply connected domain and $f'/f \in \mathcal{H}(G)$, by Cauchy theorem, there is $L_0 \in \mathcal{H}(G)$ such that $L'_0 = f'/f$.
- 3) On G we have $(f \cdot e^{-L_0})' = e^{-L_0} \cdot (f' L'_0 \cdot f) = 0$ on G, hence $f \cdot e^{-L_0} = e^c$ is constant, i. e. $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Put $L := L_0 + c$.

Poznámka

Polynomial $f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (z - z_j)$ has zero points just at z_1, \ldots, z_n and their multiplicity corresponds to their occurrence.

Let $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ have the same zero points including multiplicity as f. Then there is $L \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $g = f \cdot e^L$ on \mathbb{C} . (Proof: use previous tyrzeni for g/f.)

Poznámka (Notation)

Let $\{a_i\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then we define

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j := \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n} a_j,$$

if the limit on the right-hand side exists.

Tvrzení 2.2

Let $0 \neq z_j \to \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (multiplicity of 0 as zero point). Then consider

$$f(z) := z^k \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_i} \right).$$

It sometimes converges and then f has zero points in z_i with right multiplicities.

Věta 2.3 (On infinite product)

Let M be a set $(in \mathbb{C})$, $u_j : M \to \mathbb{C}$ be bounded and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |u_j|$ converges uniformly on M. Then $p_n := \prod_{j=1}^n (1+u_j)$ converge uniformly to a function $f : M \to \mathbb{C}$, and it holds that $f = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1+u_{n(j)})$ on M, where n is bijection onto \mathbb{N} .

9

If $z_0 \in M$, then $f(z_0) = 0$ if and only if $u_{j_0}(z_0) = -1$ for some $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Denote $p_n^* := \prod_{j=1}^n (1+|u_j|)$. Then $p_n^* \le \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n |u_j|\right)$ and $|p_n-1| \le p_n^*-1$ (from $1+x \le e^x$ and the second inequality by induction on n: n=1 yes, $p_{n+1}-1=p_n(1+u_{n+1})-1=(p_n-1)\cdot(1+u_{n+1})+u_{n+1}$ so $|p_{n+1}-1| \le (p_n^*-1)\cdot(1+|u_{n+1}|)+|u_{n+1}|=p_{n+1}^*-1$).

 $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |u_j|$ is bounded on M, because there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{j=n_0+1}^{\infty} |u_j| < 1$. By inequalities there is $C \in (0, +\infty)$ such that $|p_n| \leq C \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. Choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} |u_n| < \varepsilon$ on M. Let $\{n_1, n_2, \ldots\}$ be a permutation of \mathbb{N} and $q_m := \prod_{j=1}^m (1+u_{n_j}), m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $n \ge n_0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\{n_1, \ldots, n_m\} \supseteq [n]$. Then

$$|q_m - p_n| = |p_n \cdot \left(\prod_{n_j > n, j \in [m]} (1 + u_{n_j}) - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \left(\prod_{m} (1 + |u_{n_j}|) - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right) \le |p_n| \cdot \left(e^{\sum_{m} |u_{n_j}|} - 1 \right)$$

If $n_j = j \ \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $q_m = p_m$ and we get $\forall m > n : |q_m - p_n| < 2C\varepsilon$, so $p_n \rightrightarrows f$ on M. Moreover we have, for $n \geqslant n_0$, $|p_n - p_{n_0}| \leqslant 2\varepsilon |p_{n_0}|$, so $|p_n| \geqslant |p_{n_0}| - |p_n - p_{n_0}| \geqslant (1 - 2\varepsilon)|p_{n_0}|$. For $n \to \infty$: $|f| \geqslant (1 - 2\varepsilon)|p_{n_0}|$, hence $f(z_0) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p_{n_0}(z_0) = 0$.

If n_j is any, then $q_m \rightrightarrows f$ on M.

Důsledek

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open, $f_n \in \mathcal{H}(G)$ and $f_n \not\equiv 0$ on any component of G. We assume $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |1 - f_n|$ converges locally uniformly on G. Then $f = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ converges locally uniformly on G, $f \in \mathcal{H}(G)$ and the resulting infinite product f does not depend on the order of functions f_n . Moreover, we have

$$n_f(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{f_k}(s), \qquad s \in G$$

where $n_f(s)$ is multiplicity of a zero point s of f. Here we put $n_f(s) = 0$ if $f(s) \neq 0$.

Poznámka

Moreover the ? in previous sum contains only finitely many non-zero terms for any $s \in G$.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$

Sufficient to prove previous equality. Let $s \in G$. There is a neighbourhood V of s such that $f_n \rightrightarrows 1$ on V. Choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_n \neq 0$ on V for $n > n_0$. By previous theorem, we get $\prod_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} f_n \neq 0$ on V. Since $f = (\prod_{n=1}^{n_0} f_n) \cdot (\prod_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} f_n)$ we get $n_f(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} n_{f_k}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{f_k}(s)$.

Příklad (Homework)

Under the assumption of previous corollary prove that

$$\frac{f'}{f} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f'_n}{f_n}$$
 on $G \setminus N_f$.

Například (Euler formula)

$$\sin(\pi z) = \pi z \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{k^2} \right).$$

Lemma 2.4 (Weierstrass's factor)

Let $E_0(z) := (1-z)$ and $E_m(z) := (1-z) \cdot e^{z+\dots+\frac{z^m}{m}}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $|1-E_m(z)| \leq |z|^{m+1}$, $|z| \leq 1$.

Důkaz

$$E'_{m}(z) = e^{z + \dots + \frac{z^{m}}{m}} \cdot (-1 + (1 - z) \cdot (1 + \dots + z^{m})) = -z^{m} \cdot e^{z + \dots + \frac{z^{m}}{m}} = -z^{m} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k},$$

where $b_0 = 1, b_k \ge 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$E_m(0) - E_m(z) = 1 - E_m(z) = -\int_{[0,z]} E'_m(w)dw = +\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^{k+m+1}$$

with $c_k = \frac{b_k}{m+k+1} \geqslant 0$.

By this, if
$$|z| \le 1$$
, $z \ne 0$, then $\left| \frac{1 - E_m(z)}{z^m} \right| \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k = 1 - E_m(1) = 1$.

Věta 2.5 (Weierstrass factorization in \mathbb{C})

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $0 \neq z_i \to \infty$. Then there is $\{m_i\} \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$f(z) = z^k \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{m_j} \left(\frac{z}{z_j}\right)$$

converges locally uniformly on \mathbb{C} , $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ and f has at 0 zero point of multiplicity K and 'non-zero' zero points just at $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_j, \ldots$, and their multiplicity corresponds to their occurrence in $\{z_j\}$. We can always take $m_j := j-1, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ has the same zero points as f including multiplicities, then there is $L \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $g = f \cdot e^L$ on \mathbb{C} .

By the previous corollary, we know the product converges locally uniformly in \mathbb{C} if $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |1 - E_{m_j}\left(\frac{z}{z_j}\right)|$ converges locally uniformly on \mathbb{C} . By lemma, this is true if $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left|\frac{z}{z_j}\right|^{m_j+1}$ converges locally uniformly on \mathbb{C} .

Let r > 0 and $|z| \le r$. Choose $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{r}{|z_j|} < \frac{1}{2}$ for $j \ge j_0$. If $m_j := j - 1$, then $\left| \frac{z}{z_j} \right|^j \le \frac{1}{2^j}$, $j \ge j_0$ and $|z| \le r$. So, for $m_j := j - 1$, sum converges uniformly on $|z| \le r$.

Poznámka

If $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z_j|} < +\infty$, take $m_j = 0$. If $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z_j|^2} < +\infty$, take $m_j = 1$. Etc.

Věta 2.6 (Weierstrass factorization in a general open set)

Let $G \subsetneq \mathbb{S}$ be open, $N \subset G$ have no limit points in G and $n : N \to \mathbb{N}$. Then there is $f \in \mathcal{H}(G)$ such that $N_f = N$ and $n_f(s) = n(s)$, $s \in N_f$.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$

WLOG $\infty \in G \setminus N$. Then $K := \mathbb{S} \setminus G = \mathbb{C} \setminus G$ is compact in \mathbb{C} . For a finite N it is obvious. Assume that N is (infinite) countable. We put points of N into the sequence s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n such that any $s \in N$ occurs in $\{s_n\}$ just n(s) times. For any n, take $t_n \in K$ such that $|s_n - t_n| = \operatorname{dist}(s_n, K), n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $|s_n - t_n| \to 0$ ": Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\{n_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $|s_{n_k} - t_{n_k}| \ge \varepsilon$, i. e., $\mathrm{dist}(s_{n_k}, K) \ge \varepsilon$. If s_{∞} is a limit point of s_{n_k} , then $\mathrm{dist}(s_{\infty}, K) \ge \varepsilon$. Hence $s_{\infty} \in G$, a contradiction.

Put $f(z) := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\left(\frac{s_n - t_n}{z - t_n}\right)$, $z \in G$. The infinite product converges locally uniformly on G. In fact, let L be a compact in G. Put $r_n := 2 \cdot |s_n - t_n|$. Since $\operatorname{dist}(L, K) > 0$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|z - t_n| > r_n$, $\forall z \in L$, $\forall n \geq n_0$. So

$$\left| \frac{s_n - t_n}{z - t_n} \right| < \frac{1}{2} \qquad \forall z \in L \ \forall n \geqslant n_0.$$

By lemma on Weierstrass factors, we get

$$\left|1 - E_n\left(\frac{s_n - t_n}{z - t_n}\right)\right| < \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \forall z \in L \ \forall n \geqslant n_0.$$

Now use theorem on infinite product.