Poznámka

There will be homework. We will discus it on practicals (particular solutions are good).

Poznámka (What it is about)

Functional analysis generalizes Linear Algebra. This lecture generalizes (real) Analysis in \mathbb{R}^n ($Df(x_0) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is linear) by replacing \mathbb{R}^n with Banach spaces.

Příklad (Calculus of variations)

Know things: $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, differentiable has minimizer at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \implies f'(x_0) = 0$ (in \mathbb{R}^n : $Df(x_0) = 0$). Generalize it:

Řešení

Trick: For example $F: u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - fu dx$, $W_g^{1,2}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ (g means bounded values). For any $\varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ consider $\varepsilon \mapsto F(u + \varepsilon \varphi)$, $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0} F(u + \varepsilon\varphi) = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u + \varepsilon\nabla\varphi|^2 - f \cdot (u + \varepsilon\varphi) dx =$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0} \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - fu dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla\varphi - f\varphi dx + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\varphi|^2 dx \right] =$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla\varphi - f\varphi.$$

Assume $u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega)$:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} (\triangle u + f) \varphi dx \qquad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

Fundamental lemma $\triangle \qquad u+f=0.$

Příklad (Mapping degree)

Consider $f \in \mathcal{C}([-1,1];\mathbb{R})$. How many zeroes does f have? Let assume f(-1) < 0 < f(1). Let assume $f \in \mathbb{C}^1$. And 0 is a regular value $(f(x_0) = 0 \implies f'(x_0) \neq 0)$.

Řešení

From 0 to ∞ . After assumption: by intermediate value theorem at least 1. After second assumption: odd and finitely many. Moreover, the number of zeros with positive derivative minus the number of zeros with the negative one is 1, which is called degree of f.

Observation: In one dimension $\deg(f) \in \{-1,0,1\}$. $\deg(f)$ is invariant under perturbations. $\deg f$ depends on boundary values. Can be extended from \mathcal{C}^1 to \mathcal{C} (we take smooth perturbation).

Ad second observation: homotopy: $h:[0,1]\times[-1,1]\to\mathbb{R},\ (s,x)\mapsto h_s(x)$ continuous $h_0=f,\ h_1=g.$ And it is admissible if $h_s(-1)\neq 0$ and $h_s(1)\neq 0$ for all s.

There is generalization to \mathbb{R}^n , to Manifolds, and to Banach spaces. And we get "corollaries": Fix point theorems, topological statements, inability to comb a hedgehog,

1 Derivatives in Banach spaces

1.1 The notion of a derivative

 $Poznámka (In \mathbb{R}^n)$

Partial derivative, directional derivative, total derivative.

Definice 1.1 (Directional and Gateaux derivative)

Let X, Y be Banach spaces, $A \subset X$ open, $f: A \to Y$. For any $x_0 \in A$, $v \in X$ if

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}(x_0) := \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x_0 + hv) - f(x_0)}{h}$$

exists, we call it directional derivative (at x_0 , in direction v).

If $v \mapsto \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}(x_0)$ is a continuous linear operator from X to Y, we denote it by $\partial f(x_0)$ and call it the Gateaux derivative (at x_0).

Poznámka (Notation)

Some authors omit continuous and linear, i.e. for them directional \Leftrightarrow Gateaux.

Some use df or Df instead of ∂f .

We will write $\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}(x_0) = \partial f(x_0) \langle v \rangle$. ($\langle \cdot \rangle$ for linear arguments.)

Například

Consider $F: L^2([0,1]) \to L^2([0,1]), u \mapsto F(u), F(u)(x) := \sin(u(x))$. It is continuous $(\|F(u) - F(v)\|_{L^2}^2 = \int |\sin(u(x)) - \sin(v(x))|^2 \le \int |u(x) - v(x)|^2)$. Fix $\varphi \in L^2([0,1])$ and calculate:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi}(u) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\sin(u(\cdot) + h\varphi(\cdot)) - \sin(u(\cdot))}{h} = \cos(u(\cdot)) \cdot \varphi(\cdot)$$

point-wise almost everywhere and by domain convergence everywhere.

 $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi}$ is linear in φ and bounded \implies F is Gateaux differentiable. Consider $u \mapsto \frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi}(u)$ for fixed φ . It is continuous.

Is ∂F a good linear approximation? I.e. $\|F(u+\varphi)-F(u)-\partial F(u)\langle\varphi\rangle\|_{L^2}\stackrel{?}{=} o(\|\varphi\|_{L^2})$. No: Pick u=0 $\varphi_k=\pi\chi_{[0,\frac{1}{k}]}$, then $\|\varphi_k\|_2=\sqrt{\frac{1}{k}\pi^2}\to 0$.

$$F(u+\varphi_k)(x) = \begin{cases} \sin(0), & x > \frac{1}{k}, \\ \sin(\pi), & x \leqslant \frac{1}{k}. \end{cases} = 0.$$

$$\| \dots \| = \| 0 - 0 - \partial F(0) \langle \varphi_k \rangle \|_{L^2} = \| \varphi_k \|_{L^2} \notin o(\| \varphi_k \|_{L^2}).$$

Definice 1.2 (Fréchet derivative)

Let X, Y be Banach, $A \subset X$ open $f : A \to Y$. For any $x_0 \in A$ if there exists $Df(x_0) \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ such that

$$\lim_{v \to \mathbf{0}} \frac{\|f(x_0 + v) - f(x_0)\|_Y}{\|v\|_X} = 0$$

then $Df(x_0)$ is called Fréchet derivative.

Lemma 1.1 (Fréchet ⇒ Gateaux)

 $X, Y \ Banach \ spaces, A \subset X \ open, f : A \to Y.$ If F is Fréchet differentiable at x_0 , it is also Gateaux differentiable with $\partial f(x_0) = Df(x_0)$.

Důkaz

Trivial.

Definice 1.3 (Gradient)

Let H be a Hilbert space, $A \subset H$ open $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$. If f is Gateaux differentiable at $x_0 \in A$, then the unique $\nabla f(x_0) \in H$ such that $\langle \nabla f(x_0), v \rangle_H = \partial f(x_0) \langle v \rangle \quad \forall v \in H$ is called the gradient of f at x_0 .

Poznámka (Gradients in different spaces)

Derivatives are "independent" of the space used: $X_1 \hookrightarrow X_2$, $Y_1 \hookrightarrow Y_2$ Banach, $f_1: X_1 \to Y_1$, $f_2: X_2 \to Y_2$ such that $f_2|_{X_1} = f_1$. Then $Df_2(x_0)|_{X_1} = Df_1(x_0)$, if both exist.

For Hilbert spaces $H_1 \hookrightarrow H_2$:

$$\langle a, v \rangle_{H_1} = \langle b, v \rangle_{H_2} \, \forall v \in H_1 \Rightarrow a = b.$$

 $\implies \nabla f$ depends on the space! Notation $\nabla_H f(x_0)$.

One can define "formal gradients": Let X Banach, H Hilbert, $X \hookrightarrow H$. $f: A \subset X \to \mathbb{R}$ Gateaux differentiable. Then there might be $\nabla f(x_0) \in H$ such that

$$\langle v, \nabla f(x_0) \rangle_H = Df(x_0)(v) \quad \forall v \in X.$$

If X is dense in H, then $\nabla f(x_0)$ is unique.

Classically gradients are associate inner product, but principle works with dual pairings, $(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^p \times L^q}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1)$.

1.2 Calculation rules

Tvrzení 1.2 (Chain rule)

Let X, Y, Z be Banach, $A \subset X$, $B \subset Y$ open, $f : B \to Z$, $g : A \to B$, $x_0 \in A$, $y_0 := g(x_0)$.

1. If f is Fréchet differentiable at y_0 and g is Gateaux differentiable at x_0 , then $f \circ g$ is Gateaux differentiable at x_0 with

$$\partial(f \circ g)(x_0) \langle v \rangle = Df(x_0) \langle \partial g(x_0) \langle v \rangle \rangle \quad \forall v \in X.$$

2. If g is additionally Fréchet differentiable, then so is $f \circ g$.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ (1.)

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \left\| \frac{f(g(x_0 + hv)) - f(g(x_0))}{h} - Df(y_0) \langle \partial g(x_0) \langle v \rangle \rangle \right\|_{Z} \le$$

$$\le \lim_{h \to 0} \left\| \frac{f(g(x_0 + hv) + y_0 - g(x_0)) - f(y_0) - Df(y_0) \langle g(x_0 + hv) - g(x_0) \rangle}{h} \right\|_{Z} +$$

$$+ \lim_{h \to 0} \left\| Df(y_0) \left\langle \partial g(x_0) \langle v \rangle - \frac{g(x_0 + hv) - g(x_0)}{h} \right\rangle \right\|_{Z} =$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\|f(x_0 + g(x_0 + hv) - g(x_0)) - f(y_0) - Df(x_0) \langle g(x_0 + hv) - g(x_0) \rangle \|_Z}{\|g(x_0 + hv) - g(x_0)\|_Y} \cdot \frac{\|g(x_0 + hv) - g(x_0)\|_Y}{h} = 0$$

Důkaz (2.)

Last convergence in 1. is independent of v.

Lemma 1.3 (Mean value)

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, Y Banach, $f: I \to Y$ differentiable, $a \in Y$. Then $\forall x, y \in I$, x > y, $\exists \xi \in [y, x]$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} - a \right\|_{Y} \le \|f'(\xi) - a\|_{Y}.$$

Důkaz

By Hahn–Banach $\exists \varphi \in Y^*$ such that

$$* := \left\| \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} - a \right\|_{Y} = \varphi \left\langle \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} - a \right\rangle \wedge \|\varphi\|_{Y^*} = 1.$$

Define $\Psi: [y, x] \to \mathbb{R}, s \mapsto \varphi \langle f(s) - s \cdot a \rangle$. Then

$$* = \frac{\varphi \langle f(x) \rangle - \varphi \langle f(y) \rangle}{x - y} - \frac{x - y}{x - y} \varphi \langle a \rangle = \frac{\psi(x) - \psi(y)}{x - y} \xrightarrow{\text{Mean value theorem}'} (\xi) \stackrel{\text{Chain rule}}{=} \varphi \langle f'(\xi) - a \rangle \leqslant ||f'(\xi) - a|| = 0$$

Tvrzení 1.4 (Product spaces)

Let X_1, X_2, Y be Banach, $f: X_1 \times X_2 \to Y$. Let $x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2$, and denote by $\partial_1 f(x_1, x_2)$ the Gateaux derivative of $x \mapsto f(x, x_2)$ at x_1 , by $\partial_2 f(x_1, x_2)$ the Gateaux derivative of $x \mapsto f(x_1, x)$ and similarly $D_1 f(x_1, x_2)$ and $D_2 f(x_1, x_2)$.

1. If f is Gateaux differentiable at (x_1, x_2) then $\partial_1 f(x_1, x_2)$, $\partial_2 f(x_1, x_2)$ exists and we have

$$\forall v_1 \in X_1, v_2 \in X_2 : \partial f(x_1, x_2) \langle (v_1, v_2) \rangle = \partial_1 f(x_1, x_2) \langle v_1 \rangle + \partial_2 f(x_1, x_2) \langle v_2 \rangle.$$

- 2. If $\partial_1 f$ and $\partial_2 f$ exists at (x_1, x_2) and one of them is continuous (as a function $X_1 \times X_2 \mapsto \mathcal{L}(X_i; Y)$) then f is Gateaux differentiable.
- 3. The previous points hold also for Fréchet derivation.

Důkaz (1.)

From definition:

$$\partial_1 f(x_1, x_2) = \partial f(x_1, x_2) \langle (v_1, 0) \rangle = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2) - f(x_1, x_2)}{h}.$$

$$\begin{split} & D \mathring{u} kaz \ (2.) \\ & \text{WLOG} \ \partial_2 f \text{ is continuous.} \\ & \lim_{h \to 0} \left\| \frac{f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2 + hv_2) - f(x_1, x_2)}{h} - \partial_1 f(x_1, x_2) \langle v_1 \rangle - \partial_2 f(x_1, x_2) \langle v_2 \rangle \right\|_Y \leqslant \\ & \leqslant \lim_{h \to 0} \left\| \frac{f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2) - f(x_1, x_2)}{h} - \partial_1 f(x_1, x_2) \langle v_1 \rangle \right\|_Y + \\ & + \lim_{h \to 0} \left\| \frac{f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2 + hv_2) - f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2)}{h} - \partial_2 f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2) \langle v_2 \rangle \right\|_Y + \\ & + \lim_{h \to 0} \left\| \partial_2 f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2) \langle v_2 \rangle - \partial_2 f(x_1, x_2) \langle v_2 \rangle \right\|_Y = 0 \end{split}$$
 $& \text{Consider } \psi : s \mapsto f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2 + sv_2).$ $& * \leqslant \sup_{\xi \in [0,h]} \left\| \partial_2 f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2 + \xi v_2) \langle v_2 \rangle - \partial_2 f(x_1 + hv_1, x_2) \langle v_2 \rangle \right\| \to 0$ $& \text{by continuous of } \partial_2 f.$ $& D \mathring{u} kaz \ (3.) \\ \text{Similarly.} \end{aligned}$

1.3 Inverse and implicit function theorem

Věta 1.5 (Inverse function theorem)

Let $X, Y, A \subset X$ open, $f: A \to Y$ continuously Fréchet differentiable. If $x_0 \in A$ such that $Df(x_0): X \to Y$ is an isomorphism then there exists $U \subset A, V \subset Y$ such that $f|_U: U \to V$ is bijection and $(f|_U)^{-1}$ is Fréchet differentiable with

$$D(f^{-1})(y_0) = (Df(x_0))^{-1}, y_0 := f(x_0).$$

 \Box Důkaz

Given \hat{y} close to $f(x_0)$ find \hat{x} such that $f(\hat{x}) = \hat{y}$. Idea: fix \hat{y} try x: error in y is f(x) - y and error in x is $(Df(x_0))^{-1} \langle f(x) - y \rangle$. Therefore try iteration:

$$F_{\hat{y}}(x) := x - (Df(x_0)) < f(x) - y > .$$

If $F_{\hat{y}}$ has fix point \hat{x} then $\hat{x} = F_{\hat{y}}(\hat{x}) = \hat{x} - (Df(x_0))\langle f(\hat{x} - y)\rangle \implies f(\hat{x}) = \hat{y}$. So we use Banach fixed point theorem: $F_{\hat{y}}$ is contraction": $(x_1, x_2 \in B_{\delta}(x_0))$

$$||F_{\hat{y}}(x_1) - F_{\hat{y}}(x_2)||_X = ||x_1 - x_2 - (Df(x_0))^{-1} \langle f(x_1) - f(x_2) \rangle||_X =$$

$$= ||(Df(x_0))^{-1} \langle Df(x_0) \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle + f(x_1) - f(x_2) \rangle||_X \le$$

$$\leq ||(Df(x_0))^{-1}||_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} \cdot ||Df(x_0) \langle x_1 - x_2 \rangle + f(x_1) - f(x_2)||_Y = *$$

Consider $a := Df(x_0)\langle x_1 - x_2 \rangle$. $\psi : [0,1] \to Y$, $f(1-\xi)x_1 + \xi x_2$) and apply Mennroltz? lemma.

$$* \leq \|(Df(x_0))^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} \cdot \|Df(x_0) < x_1 - x_2 > -Df((1 - \xi)x_1 + \xi x_2) \langle x_2 - x_1 \rangle \|_{Y} \leq$$

$$\leq \|(Df(x_0))^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(Y,X)} \cdot \sup_{x \in B_0(x_0)} \|Df(x_0) - Df(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} \cdot \|x_1 - x_2\|_{X} \ll 1$$

$$||F_{\hat{y}}(x) - x_0||_X = ||F_{\hat{y}}(x) - F_{\hat{y}}(x_j)||_X + ||F_{\hat{y}}(x_0) - x_0||_X \le \frac{1}{2}||x - x_0||_X + ||(Df(x_0))^{-1}|| \cdot ||\hat{y} - x_0||$$

 $\|\hat{y} - x_0\|$ can chosen to be small $\implies F_{\hat{y}}$ maps $\overline{B_{\delta}(x_0)}$ to $\overline{B_{\delta}(x_0)}$ $\implies F_{\hat{y}}$ has unique fix point.

Next "regularity": $(y_1 := f(x_1), y_2 := f(x_2))$

$$||f^{-1}(y_1) - f^{-1}(y_2)||_X = ||F_{y_1}(x_1) - F_{y_2}(x_2)||_X \le$$

$$\le ||F_{y_1}(x_1) - F_{y_1}(x_2)||_X + ||F_{y_1}(x_2) - F_{y_2}(x_2)||_X \le$$

$$\le \frac{1}{2}||x_1 - x_2||_X + ||(Df(x_0))^{-1}\langle y_1 - y_2\rangle||_X \le \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{||x_1 + x_2||_X}_{=||f^{-1}(y_1) - f^{-1}(y_2)||} + c \cdot TODO!!!$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{2}||f^{-1}(x_1) - f^{-1}(x_2)||_X \le c \cdot ||y_1 - y_2||_Y \implies f^{-1} \text{ is Lipschitz.}$$

Pick δ so small that

$$||Df(x) - Df(x_0)|| \le \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{||(Df(x_0))^{-1}||} \quad \forall x \in B_{\delta}(x_0).$$

 $\implies (Df(x))^{-1}$ exists and is uniformly bounded (from functional analysis).

$$\|\underbrace{f^{-1}(y+w) - f^{-1}(y)}_{=:v} - (Df(x))^{-1} \langle w \rangle \|$$

$$(f(x+v) + f(x) = f(f^{-1}(y+w)) - y = w)$$

$$\|v - (Df(x)) \langle f(x+v) - f(x) \rangle \| = \|(Df(x))^{-1} \langle Df(x) \langle v \rangle - f(x+v) + f(x) \rangle \leqslant \|(Df(x))^{-1}\| \cdot \sigma(\|v\|) \leqslant$$

because f^{-1} is Linschitz

Věta 1.6 (Global inverse function theorem)

Let X, Y Banach, $f: X \to Y$ continuously Fréchet differentiable and $(Df(x))^{-1}$ exists, depends continuously on X and c > 0 such that $||(Df(x))^{-1}|| < c \ \forall x \in X$. Then $f: X \to Y$ is a diffeomorphism.

Důkaz

Last theorem $\Longrightarrow f$ is a local diffeomorphism. Left to show: f is bijective. "Surjectivity": Fix $x_0 \in X$, $y_0 \in Y$, . Let $y \in Y$, $\varphi(t) = y_0 + t(y - y_0)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Goal: find $\psi(t)$ continuous, such that $\varphi(t) = f(\psi(t))$ (then $y = f(\varphi(t))$) (so called lifting). Local diffeomorphism implies ψ exists on $[0, \delta]$, in fact if Y is defined on $[0, t_0]$, it can be extended to $[0, t_0 + \delta]$. Similarly, if ψ is defined on $[0, t_0]$, per chain rule:

$$\|\psi'(t)\| = \|Df^{-1}(\varphi(t))\langle \varphi'(t)\rangle\| < c.$$

 ψ is Lipschitz, $\lim_{t \nearrow t_0} \psi(t)$ is well defined and ψ can be extended to $[0, t_0]$. From Zorn lemma Ψ is defined on [0, 1].

"Injectivity": Assume $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = y$. Pick $\psi_1(t) := x_1 + t(x_2 - x_1)$. $\varphi_1(t) = f(\psi_1(t))$. Define $\varphi_s(t) = s\varphi_1(t) + (1-s)y$ $(t, s \in [0, 1])$. Similar to before (homework) $\exists \psi_s(t)$ continuous in s and t, such that $f(\psi_s(t)) = \varphi_s(t)$. But then

$$x_1 = \psi_1(0) = \psi_s(0) = \psi_0(0) = \psi_0(1) = \psi_0(1) = \psi_s(1) = \psi_1(1) = x_2.$$

Věta 1.7 (Implicit function theorem)

Let X_1, X_2, Y Banach, $A_1 \subset X_1$, $A_2 \subset X_2$ open, $f: A_1 \times A_2 \to Y$ continuously Fréchet differentiable and exists $\hat{x}_1 \in A_1$ and \hat{x}_2A_2 with $f(x_1, x_2) = 0$. If $D_2f(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)$ is an isomorphism (between X_2 and Y), then are neighbourhoods U_1, U_2 of x_1, x_2 such that $\forall \hat{x}_1 \in U_1 \exists ! \hat{x}_2 \in U_2$ with $f(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = 0$.

If we call $\hat{x}_2 = g(x_1)$, then g is continuously Fréchet differentiable with $Dg(x) = -(D_2 f(x, g(x)))^{-1} \circ D_1 f(x, g(x))$.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$

Apply the inverse function theorem to

$$F(x_1, x_2) := (x_1, (D(f(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)))^{-1}) \langle f(x_1, x_2) \rangle$$

TODO!!!

Tvrzení 1.8 (Noether-type theorem)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $F(u) := \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du)$ with $f \in C^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n})$ and $(\psi_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \subset C^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a smooth family with $\psi_0 = id$, such that

$$f(x, \psi_s \circ u, D(\psi_s \circ u)) = f(x, u, Du).$$

Then there exists a conservation $? 0 \neq Q : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\operatorname{div}(Q(x, u, Du)) =$ $0 \ \forall \ critical \ points \ of \ u.$

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$

$$0 = \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} f(x, \psi_s \circ u, TODO!!! \circ u) =$$

$$\sum_{i} \frac{\partial \psi^{i}}{\partial s}|_{s=0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^{i}}(x, u, D_u) + \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{j}}{\partial s \partial y^{j}} \frac{\partial u^{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p^{ij}}(x, u, Du) =$$

$$= \sum_{i} \frac{\partial \psi^{i}}{\partial s}|_{s=0} \sum_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{ij}}(x, u, Du) \right) + \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{s}}{\partial s \partial y^{j}} \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{ij}}(x, y, Du) =$$

$$= \sum_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \left(\sum_{i} \frac{\partial (\psi^{i} \circ u)}{\partial s} \bigg|_{s=0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p^{ij}}(x, u, Du) \right).$$

Příklad (Particle in potential well)

 $y: I \to \mathbb{R}^n$ position of a particle, $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ a physical potential. $F(u) := \int_I \frac{m}{2} |\dot{y}|^2 - V(y) dt$ (Physics: critical points are behaviour of a ion particle). El eg: $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} + \frac{d}{dt} (m\dot{y}^i) = 0 \implies m\ddot{y} = 0$

Assume that V is invariant under rotations, i.e. $V(R(\theta)y) = V(y)$, where $R(\theta) =$ $\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0\\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}. \text{ And always } |\frac{d}{dt}R(\theta)y|^2 = y^T R(\theta)^T R(\theta). \implies \text{ (Noether)}$

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dR(\theta)}{d\theta} \Big|_{\theta=0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} (y, \dot{y}) \right) =$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} y \right) \cdot m\dot{y} = m \left(y_1 \dot{y}_2 - y_2 \dot{y}_1 \right).$$

(Which is angular momentum.)

 $Pozn\acute{a}mka$ (Conservation law in n+1 dimensions)

If we single out one direction as time, e.g.

$$(t,x)=(t,x_1,\ldots,x_n),$$

then the conservation law reads as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}Q_0 + \operatorname{div}_x(\overline{Q}) = 0.$$

 $(Q_0 - \text{conserved quantity}, \overline{Q} - \text{conservation current.})$ And

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} Q_0 = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}_x \overline{Q}.$$

Tvrzení 1.9 (2nd Variation)

Let X be Banach space $A \subset X$ open, $F : A \to \mathbb{R}$.

- 1. If $x_0 \in A$ is local minimizer of F and F is twice Gateaux differentiable in x_0 , then $\partial^2 F(x) \langle v, v \rangle \ge 0 \ \forall v \in X$;
- 2. If x_0 is critical point of F and F is twice Fréchet differentiable and $D^2F(x_0)\langle v,v\rangle \geqslant c \cdot \|v\|^2 \ \forall v \in X \ with \ c \ independent \ of \ v, \ then \ x_0 \ is \ a \ local \ minimum.$

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$

"1.": Consider $\varphi : \varepsilon \mapsto F(x_0 + \varepsilon \cdot v)$, if x_0 is local minimum of F, then 0 is local minimum of $\varphi \implies$

$$\implies 0 \leqslant \varphi''(0) = \frac{d^2}{d\varepsilon^2}|_{\varepsilon}F(x_0 + \varepsilon v) = \partial^2 F(x_0)\langle v, v \rangle.$$

"2.": By continuity $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $D^2F(x)\langle v,v\rangle \ge \frac{c}{2}\|v\|^2 \ \forall v \in X \ \forall x \in B_\delta(x_0)$. Pick $x \in B_\delta(x_0)$, define $\psi(t) := x_0 + t(x - x_0)$, $H(t) := J(\psi(t))$.

$$H(j) - H(0) = \int_0^1 1 \cdot H'(t) dt \overset{BP'}{H}(0) + \int_0^1 (1 - t) H''(t) dt = (*).$$

$$H'(t) = DF(\psi(t)) \langle x - x_0 \rangle \implies H'(0) = 0.$$

$$H''(t) = D^2 F(\psi(t)) \langle x - x_0, x - x_0 \rangle \geqslant 0.$$

$$\implies (*) \geqslant 0 \implies F(x) \geqslant F(x_0) \forall x \in B_{\delta}(x_0).$$

Poznámka (Lebesgue–Hadamard)

If $F(u) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du)$, then $D^2 F(u) \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle$ includes

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{ijkl} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{ij}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{kl}}(x, u, Du) \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial x_l} ds.$$

This is the dominant term. Even more, its enough:

$$\sum_{ijkl} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{ij}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{kl}} f(x, u, Du) \xi^i \xi^j \eta^k \eta^l \geqslant c \cdot ?.$$

1.4 Lagrange multipliers

Tvrzení 1.10 (Lagrange multipliers)

Let X Banach, $A \subset X$ open $F, G : A \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous Fréchet differentiable. Let x_0 be a local minimizer of $F|_{\{G=0\}}$ with $DG(x) \neq 0$. Then $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $DF(x_0) + \lambda DG(x_0) = 0$.

 λ is called the Lagrange multiplier, any x_0 that satisfies this equation is called critical point.

TODO!!!

 $P\check{r}iklad$ (Principal eigenvalue of Δ)

Consider $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ domain, bounded. Minimize $F(u) := \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |Du|^2$, $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, under constraint $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 = 1$, i.e. $G(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int |u|^2 dx - 1 = 0$.

Řešení

We are looking for $u_1 \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\forall \varphi \in W_0^{2,2}(\Omega) : 0 = DF(u_1) \langle \varphi \rangle + \lambda_1 DG(u_1) \langle \varphi \rangle =$$
$$= \langle \nabla u_1, \nabla \varphi \rangle_{L^2} + \lambda_1 \langle u_1, \varphi \rangle.$$

I.e. a weak solution to $\Delta u_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$ in Ω and $u_1 = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. Additionally take $\varphi = u_1 \implies \lambda_1 = -\frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^2}{\int_{\Omega} |u_1|^2} \implies \lambda_1$ is largest eigenvalue.

Příklad (Stokes problem)

Minimize $F(u) := \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - fu dx$ in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ under the constant $\operatorname{div}(u) = 0$.

TODO!!!!

2 The direct method on convex integrands

2.1 Direct method

Tvrzení 2.1 (Direct method in the calculus of variations)

Let X be topological space, $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

- 1. All sublevel sets ($\{x \in X | F(x) \le c\}$) are sequentially precompact;
- 2. F is sequentially lower-semi-continuous $(x_k \to x_0 \implies \liminf_{k \to \infty} F(x_k) \geqslant F(x_0)$.)

Then F has a minimizer in X.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$

Let $s := \inf_X F$. Pick sequence $(x_k)_k \subset X$ such that $F(x_n) \to s$. For k_0 large enough $(x_i)_{i \geqslant k_0} \subset x \in X : F(x) \leqslant s+1$. $\Longrightarrow \exists$ subsequenc (not relabeled) and $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_k \to x_0$. $s = \inf_{x \in X} F(x_0) \leqslant \liminf_{k \to \infty} F(x_k) = s$.

Poznámka (The three c's of the direct method)

Equivalent conditions: Coercivity (sublebel sets are bounded with respect to metric), Compactness (bounded sets are compact with respect to some topology) and lower-semi-Continuity (As before.)

Sometimes also Convexity (if F is strictly convex, then the minimum is unique).