

Poznámka (Note of Me – autor of notes)

Bad English in this text is my fault, not lecturer's one.

Úvod

Poznámka

3 part exam: theorem \rightarrow proof; scientific paper \rightarrow understand + explain; terms + concepts \rightarrow explain

credits: homework (time demanding)

Microsoft teams

0.1 Matrix analysis / linear algebra

Poznámka

Scalar product: $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$: $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$, cross product: $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}$, and more: $\mathbf{u} = u^i \mathbf{e}_i$ $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \delta_{ij}(u^i v^j)$, $(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v})_i = \varepsilon_{ijk} u_j v_k$ (where ε_{ijk} , Levi-Civita symbol, does everything).

Definice 0.1 (Tensor product)

$$\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \quad (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{w} := \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w})$$

Tvrzení 0.1 (Identities for Levi-Civita symbol)

$$\varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{lmn} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{il} & \delta_{im} & \delta_{in} \\ \delta_{jl} & \delta_{jm} & \delta_{jn} \\ \delta_{kl} & \delta_{km} & \delta_{kn} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ijk} \cdot \delta_{lm} = \varepsilon_{jkm} \cdot \delta_{il} + \varepsilon_{klm} \cdot \delta_{jl} + \varepsilon_{ijm} \cdot \delta_{kl}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{imn} = \delta_{jm} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{jn} \delta_{km}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ijm} \varepsilon_{ijn} = 2\delta_{mn}$$

Definice 0.2 (Transpose matrix)

$\mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, \mathbb{A}^T is defined as $\forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{A}^T \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} := \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbb{A} \mathbf{v}$.

Definice 0.3 (Trace of matrix)

$\mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, $\text{tr } \mathbb{A}$ is defined as $\text{tr}(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$.

Poznámka

Matrix, tensor and linear operator is the same.

$$\mathbb{A} = A_{ij}\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j, \quad \mathbb{A}\mathbf{v} = (A_{ij}\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j)(v_m\mathbf{e}_m) = A_{ij}v_m\mathbf{e}_i(\mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{e}_m) = (A_{ij}v_j)\mathbf{e}_i.$$

Definition 0.4 (Axial vector)

$\mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, \mathbb{A} is skew-symmetric ($-\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}^T$). Then we can prove that $\forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \mathbb{A}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathbb{A}} \times \mathbf{w}$. We call \mathbf{v} the axial vector.

┌

Poznámka

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbb{A}} = (A_{23}, A_{13}, A_{12})^T.$$

└

Tvrzení 0.2

$$\mathbb{A}\mathbf{v}_{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbf{0} \text{ and } (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v})^T = (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u}).$$

Definition 0.5 (Determinant in 3D)

$$\det \mathbb{A} := \frac{\mathbb{A}\mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbb{A}\mathbf{v} \times \mathbb{A}\mathbf{w})}{\mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w})} \text{ for three arbitrary vectors } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Poznámka (Nanson formula)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) &= (\det \mathbb{A})^{-1} \mathbb{A}\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbb{A}\mathbf{u} \times \mathbb{A}\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w} \cdot (\det \mathbb{A})^{-1} \mathbb{A}^T(\mathbb{A}\mathbf{u} \times \mathbb{A}\mathbf{v}) \implies \\ &\implies \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v} = (\det \mathbb{A})^{-1} \mathbb{A}^T(\mathbb{A}\mathbf{u} \times \mathbb{A}\mathbf{v}) \\ \mathbb{A}\mathbf{u} \times \mathbb{A}\mathbf{v} &= (\det \mathbb{A}) \mathbb{A}^{-T}(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 0.6 (Cofactor)

$$\text{cof } \mathbb{A} := (\det \mathbb{A}) \mathbb{A}^{-T}.$$

(Change of surface area under linear mapping \mathbb{A} .)

Definition 0.7 (Eigenvalues, eigenvectors)

$$\mathbb{A}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}.$$

Characteristic polynomial: $\det(\mathbb{A} - \mu\mathbb{I}) = -\mu^3 + c_1\mu^2 - c_2\mu + c_3$.

Věta 0.3 (Cayley-Hamilton)

$$-\mathbb{A}^3 + c_1\mathbb{A}^2 - c_2\mathbb{A} + c_3\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{O}$$

Tvrzení 0.4

$$c_3 = \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \cdot \lambda_3 = \det \mathbb{A}$$

$$c_2 = \lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_3 = \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{cof} \mathbb{A} = \frac{1}{2}((\operatorname{tr} \mathbb{A})^2 - \operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{A}^2))$$

$$c_1 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = \operatorname{tr} \mathbb{A}$$

┌

Důkaz

With definition of characteristic polynomial, Cayley-Hamilton and Schur decomposition. Schur decomposition: $\mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$. There exists an invertible matrix \mathbb{U} and upper triangular matrix \mathbb{T} such that

$$\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{U}^{-1}\mathbb{T}\mathbb{U}, \quad \mathbb{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & T_{12} & T_{13} \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & T_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

└

□

Tvrzení 0.5 (Useful identity from CH)

$$\mathbb{A}^{-1} = \frac{1}{c_3}\mathbb{A}^2 - \frac{c_1}{c_3}\mathbb{A} + \frac{c_2}{c_3}\mathbb{I} = \frac{1}{\det \mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A}^2 - \frac{\operatorname{tr} \mathbb{A}}{\det \mathbb{A}}\mathbb{A} + \frac{\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{cof} \mathbb{A}}{\det \mathbb{A}}\mathbb{I}$$

Poznámka (Functions of matrices)

$\exp \mathbb{A}$, $\ln \mathbb{A}$, $\sin \mathbb{A}$, ...

There are several ways of define it: Analytics calculus = Taylor series, Borel calculus: $\mathbb{A} = \sum \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \otimes \mathbf{v}_i \implies f(\mathbb{A}) := \sum f(\lambda_i) \mathbf{v}_i \otimes \mathbf{v}_i$, Holomorphic calculus ($f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)} d\zeta$) $f(\mathbb{A}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\zeta)(\zeta \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A})^{-1} d\zeta$ (where curve ζ envelops eigenvalues of \mathbb{A})

Tvrzení 0.6 (Useful identities for functions)

$$\det(\exp \mathbb{A}) = \exp(\operatorname{tr} \mathbb{A})$$

$$\exp \mathbb{A} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathbb{I} + \frac{\mathbb{A}}{n} \right)^n$$

Definice 0.8 (Invariants of matrix)

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = \operatorname{tr} \mathbb{A} = I_1;$$

$$\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_3 = \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{cof} \mathbb{A} = \frac{1}{2}((\operatorname{tr} \mathbb{A})^2 - \operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{A}^2)) = I_2;$$

$$\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \cdot \lambda_3 = \det \mathbb{A} = I_3$$

0.2 Representation theorems for isotropic functions

Definice 0.9 (Isotropic function)

$\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is isotropic $\equiv \varphi(\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{Q}^T) = \varphi(\mathbb{A})$ for all proper orthogonal matrices ($\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{Q}^T = \mathbb{I}$, $\det \mathbb{Q} > 0$).

$f : \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ is isotropic $\equiv f(\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{Q}^T) = \mathbb{Q}f(\mathbb{A})\mathbb{Q}^T$ for all proper orthogonal matrices.

Věta 0.7

A scalar function $\varphi : \mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of symmetric matrices is isotropic if and only if it can be rewritten as a function of invariants of \mathbb{A} .

Věta 0.8

A matrix valued function $f : \mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ (from symmetric matrices to symmetric matrices) is isotropic if and only if it can be rewritten as

$$f(\mathbb{A}) = \alpha_0 \mathbb{I} + \alpha_1 \mathbb{A} + \alpha_2 \mathbb{A}^2,$$

where $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^3$ are scalar function of the invariants.

Důsledek

$\mathbb{A} \mapsto \mathbb{A}^{-1}$ is isotropic function.

Poznámka (Notation)

$$\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}, \quad \mathbb{A} : \mathbb{B} := \operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}^T), \quad ||\mathbb{A}|| := (\operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}^T))^{1/2}$$

0.3 Calculus

Definice 0.10 (Gateaux derivative)

$$Df(x)[y] = \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} f(x + \tau y) \right) \Big|_{\tau=0}.$$

Definice 0.11 (Fréchet derivative)

$$\lim_{\|y\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x+y) - f(x) - Df(x)[y]\|}{\|y\|} = 0.$$

Poznámka

$$Df(\mathbb{A})[\mathbb{B}] \sim \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{A})[\mathbb{B}] \sim \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{A}) : \mathbb{B}.$$

Příklad

$$\begin{aligned} D(I_2(\mathbb{A}))[\mathbb{B}] &= D\left(-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathbb{A}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} \mathbb{A})^2\right)[\mathbb{B}] = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{A} + \tau \mathbb{B})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{A} + \tau \mathbb{B}))^2\right) \Big|_{\tau=0} = \\ &= -\operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}) + (\operatorname{tr} \mathbb{A})(\operatorname{tr} \mathbb{B}) = (\operatorname{tr} \mathbb{A})\mathbb{I} : \mathbb{B} - \mathbb{A}^T : \mathbb{B} = ((\operatorname{tr} \mathbb{A})\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}^T) : \mathbb{B}. \end{aligned}$$

$$D(\det \mathbb{A})[\mathbb{B}] = \frac{d}{d\tau} (\det(\mathbb{A} + \tau \mathbb{B})) \Big|_{\tau=0} = (\det \mathbb{A}) \frac{d}{d\tau} (\det(\mathbb{I} + \tau \mathbb{A}^{-1} \mathbb{B})) \Big|_{\tau=0} = \det \mathbb{A} \frac{d}{d\tau} (1 + \tau \operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{A}^{-1} \mathbb{B}) + \dots) \Big|_{\tau=0} =$$

Poznámka

Chain rule works as usual.

Příklad

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\det \mathbb{A}(t)) = (\det \mathbb{A}) \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbb{A}^{-1} \frac{d\mathbb{A}}{dt} \right).$$

Příklad

$$\frac{\partial \mathbb{A}^{-1}}{\partial \mathbb{A}}[\mathbb{B}] = \frac{d}{d\tau} ((\mathbb{A} + \tau \mathbb{B})^{-1}) \Big|_{\tau=0} = \frac{d}{d\tau} ((\mathbb{I} + \tau \mathbb{A}^{-1} \mathbb{B})^{-1} \mathbb{A}^{-1}) \Big|_{\tau=0} = \frac{d}{d\tau} ((\mathbb{I} - \tau \mathbb{A}^{-1} \mathbb{B} + \dots) \mathbb{A}^{-1}) \Big|_{\tau=0} = -\mathbb{A}^{-1} \mathbb{B} \mathbb{A}^{-1}$$

Příklad

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial e^{\mathbb{A}}}{\partial \mathbb{A}}[\mathbb{B}] &= \frac{d}{d\tau} (e^{\mathbb{A} + \tau \mathbb{B}}) \Big|_{\tau=0} = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\mathbb{I} + (\mathbb{A} + \tau \mathbb{B}) + \frac{(\mathbb{A} + \tau \mathbb{B})^2}{2!} + \dots \right) \Big|_{\tau=0} = \\ &= \frac{d}{d\tau} (\mathbb{I} + (\mathbb{A} \tau \mathbb{B}) + \dots + \tau(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B} + \mathbb{B}\mathbb{A}) + \tau(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B} + \mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{B}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}) + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

Věta 0.9 (Daleckii-Krein theorem)

$\mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ real symmetric matrix. $\mathbb{A} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i \mathbb{P}_i$, \mathbb{P}_i -projector to i -th eigenvector, $\mathbb{P}_i = \mathbf{v}_i \otimes \mathbf{v}_i$.
 f real valued function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ differentiable.

$$f(\mathbb{A}) := \sum_{i=1}^3 f(\lambda_i) \mathbb{P}_i = \sum_{i=1}^3 f(\lambda_i) \mathbf{v}_i \otimes \mathbf{v}_i.$$

$$Df(\mathbb{A})[\mathbb{B}] = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{df}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_i} \mathbb{P}_i \mathbb{B} \mathbb{P}_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^3 \frac{f(\lambda_i) - f(\lambda_j)}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \mathbb{P}_i \mathbb{B} \mathbb{P}_j$$

$$(Df(\mathbb{A})[\mathbb{B}])_{ij} = \frac{f(\lambda_i) - f(\lambda_j)}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} B_{ij}, \text{ if } i \neq j, (Df(\mathbb{A})[\mathbb{B}])_{ij} = \frac{df}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_j} B_{ij}, \text{ if } i = j.$$

Dukaz

From chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbb{A})}{\partial \mathbb{A}} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{df(\lambda_i)}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_i} \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial \mathbb{A}} \mathbf{v}_i \otimes \mathbf{v}_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 f(\lambda_i) \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial \mathbb{A}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 f(\lambda_i) \mathbf{v}_i \otimes \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial \mathbb{A}}$$

First derivative at right side:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A} \mathbf{v}_i &= \lambda_i \mathbf{v} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbb{A}}{\partial \mathbb{A}} \mathbf{v}_i + \mathbb{A} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial \mathbb{A}} &= \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial \mathbb{A}} \mathbf{v}_i + \lambda_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial \mathbb{A}} \quad \cdot \mathbf{v}_i \\ \frac{\partial A_{mn}}{\partial A_{kl}} (\mathbf{v}_i)_n + (A_{mn}) \frac{\partial (\mathbf{v}_i)_n}{\partial A_{kl}} &= \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial A_{kl}} (\mathbf{v}_i)_m + \lambda_i \frac{\partial (\mathbf{v}_i)_n}{\partial A_{kl}} \\ \delta_{mk} \delta_{nl} (\mathbf{v}_i)_n + A_{mn} \frac{\partial (\mathbf{v}_i)_n}{\partial A_{kl}} &= \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial A_{kl}} (\mathbf{v}_i)_m + \lambda_i \frac{\partial (\mathbf{v}_i)_n}{\partial A_{kl}} \quad \cdot (\mathbf{v}_i)_m \sum_m \\ \sum_m \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial A_{kl}} (\mathbf{v}_i)_m (\mathbf{v}_i)_m &= \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial A_{kl}} \end{aligned}$$

From symmetry of \mathbb{A} and definition of eigenvector:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_m A_{mn} \frac{\partial (\mathbf{v}_i)_n}{\partial A_{kl}} (\mathbf{v}_i)_m &= \lambda_i \frac{\partial (\mathbf{v}_i)_n}{\partial A_{kl}} (\mathbf{v}_i)_n \\ \sum_m \delta_{mk} \delta_{nl} (\mathbf{v}_i)_n (\mathbf{v}_i)_m &= \delta_{nl} (\mathbf{v}_i)_n (\mathbf{v}_i)_k \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i \frac{\partial (\mathbf{v}_i)_n}{\partial A_{kl}} (\mathbf{v}_i)_n + \delta_{nl} (\mathbf{v}_i)_n (\mathbf{v}_i)_k &= \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial A_{kl}} + \lambda_i \frac{\partial (\mathbf{v}_i)_n}{\partial A_{kl}} \quad \sum_n \\ \sum_n \lambda_i \frac{\partial (\mathbf{v}_i)_n}{\partial A_{kl}} (\mathbf{v}_i)_n + (\mathbf{v}_i)_l (\mathbf{v}_i)_k &= \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial A_{kl}} + \lambda_i \frac{\partial (\mathbf{v}_i)_n}{\partial A_{kl}} \\ (\mathbf{v}_i)_l (\mathbf{v}_i)_k &= \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial A_{kl}} \\ \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial \mathbb{A}} &= \mathbf{v}_i \otimes \mathbf{v}_j \end{aligned}$$

Second derivative at right side:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbb{A}}{\partial \mathbb{A}} \mathbf{v}_i + \mathbb{A} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial \mathbb{A}} &= \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial \mathbb{A}} \mathbf{v}_i + \lambda_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial \mathbb{A}} \quad \cdot \mathbf{v}_j \\ \mathbb{A} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial \mathbb{A}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_j &= \lambda_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial \mathbb{A}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_j \end{aligned}$$

...

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial \mathbb{A}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_j &= \frac{\mathbf{v}_j \otimes \mathbf{v}_i}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \cdot \mathbf{v}_j = \frac{\delta_{kj} \delta_{il}}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \\ \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial \mathbb{A}} [\mathbb{X}] \right)_j &= \frac{\delta_{im} \delta_{jn}}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} = \frac{\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbb{X} \mathbf{v}_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Poznámka (V dokončení důkazu se ještě použije)

$$(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}) : (\mathbf{c} \otimes \mathbf{d}) = (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c})(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{d}).$$