# 1 Dynamické systémy

## Definice 1.1 (Dynamický systém)

 $(\varphi,\Omega), \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  otevřená,  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \Omega \ \varphi(t,x)$ .

- $\varphi(0,x)=x$ ;
- $\varphi(t, \varphi(s, x)) = \varphi(t + s, x)$
- $\varphi$  je spojité.

## Definice 1.2 (Orbit)

 $\gamma^+(x_0) = \{\varphi(t, x_0) | t \ge 0\}$  je pozitivní orbit.

 $\gamma^-(x_0) = \{\varphi(t, x_0) | t \leq 0\}$  je negativní orbit.

 $\gamma(x_0) = \{ \varphi(t, x_0) | t \in \mathbb{R} \}$  je plný orbit.

# Definice 1.3 (Pozitivně, negativně a úplně invariantní)

 $(\varphi, \Omega)$  dynamický systém,  $M \subset \Omega$ .

M je pozitivně invariantní  $\equiv \forall x \in M : \gamma^+(x) \subset M$ .

M je negativně invariantní  $\equiv \forall x \in M : \gamma^{-}(x) \subset M$ .

M je úplně invariantní  $\equiv \forall x \in M : \gamma(x) \subset M$ .

#### Poznámka

 $\gamma^+(x_0)$  je pozitivně invariantní,  $\gamma^-(x_0)$  je negativně invariantní a  $\gamma(x_0)$  je úplně invariantní.

#### Definice 1.4

$$\omega(x_0) = \{ y \in \Omega | \exists \{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, t_k \to \infty : \varphi(t_k, x_0) \to y \},$$
  
$$\alpha(x_0) = \{ y \in \Omega | \exists \{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, t_k \to -\infty : \varphi(t_k, x_0) \to y \}.$$

Poznámka (To je ekvivalentní)

$$\omega(x_0) = \{ y \in \Omega | \forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \forall T > 0 \ \exists t \geqslant T : |\varphi(t_r, x_0) - y| < \varepsilon \}.$$

### Lemma 1.1

$$\overline{\omega(x_0) = \bigcap_{\tau \geqslant 0} \overline{\gamma^+(\tau, x_0)}}.$$

#### 

### Věta 1.2 (Vlastnosti $\omega$ -limitní množiny)

Nechť  $(\varphi, \Omega)$  je dynamický systém,  $x_0 \in \Omega$ . Potom

- 1.  $\omega(x_0)$  je uzavřená, úplně invariantní.
- 2. Pokud  $\gamma^+(x_0)$  je relativně kompaktní v  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , pak  $\omega(x_0) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\omega(x_0)$  je kompaktní, souvislá.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

1.  $\omega(x_0)$  je průnik uzavřených množin, tedy uzavřená.  $y \in \omega(x_0) \; \exists t_k \nearrow \infty \; \varphi(t_k, x_0) \rightarrow y$ .

$$s_k = t_k + t$$
  $\varphi(s_k, x_0) = \varphi(t_k + t, x_0) = \varphi(t, \varphi(t_k, x_0))$   
 $t_k \to \infty, \varphi \text{ spojitá}$   $\varphi(s_k, x_0) = \varphi(t, \varphi(t_k, x_0)) \to \varphi(t, y)$ 

- 2. Víme  $\exists K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  kompaktní  $\gamma^+(x_0) \subset K$ . a) pokud  $t_n \geq 0, t_n \to \infty \{\varphi(t_n, x_0)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  omezená posloupnost  $\Longrightarrow \exists \{t_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , podposloupnost,  $\exists y \in \Omega \varphi(t_{n_k}, x_0) \to y$ . Pak  $y \in \omega(x_0)$ .
- b)  $\omega(x_0)$  je tedy úplná a omezená, takže kompaktní. c) at  $\omega(x_0)$  je nesouvislá, tedy  $\omega(x_0) \subseteq U \cup V, U, V$  otevřené disjunktní neprázdné,  $U, V \subseteq K$ . Vezměme  $y \in \omega(x_0) \cap U, z \in \omega(x_0) \cap V$ . Nechť  $t_n$  je posloupnost taková, že  $\varphi(t_{2n}x_0) \to y, \ \varphi(t_{2n+1},x_0) \to z, t_{2n} < t_{2n+1}, \ \varphi(t_{2n},x_0) \in U, \ \varphi(t_{2n+1},x_0) \in V. \ F = K \setminus (U \cup V)$  uzavřená, tedy  $\exists s_n \in (t_{2n},t_{2n+1}): \varphi(s_n,x_0) \in F$ . Tedy  $\{\varphi(s_n,x_0)\}$  je omezená posloupnost  $\Longrightarrow \exists$  podposloupnost konvergující k $w \in F$ .

# Definice 1.5 (Topologická konjugovanost)

 $(\varphi,\Omega),\ \psi,\Theta$ dynamické systémy.  $\exists:\Omega\to\Theta$ homeomorfismus (bijekce, spojité, spojitá inverze) h:

$$\forall x \in \Omega \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$
  $h(\varphi(t, x)) = \psi(t, h(x)).$ 

Poznámka

Dá se zobecnit ještě zobrazováním časů.

### Věta 1.3 (O rektifikaci)

$$\dot{x} = f(x), f(x_0) \neq 0, \ (\varphi, \Omega) \ p \check{r} \acute{s} lu \check{s} n \acute{y} \ dynamick \acute{y} \ syst \acute{e} m. \ \dot{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\\vdots\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \ y(0) = 0 \ a \ (\psi, \Theta) \ j e$$

příslušný dynamický systém. Potom  $(\varphi, \Omega)$ ,  $(\psi, \Theta)$  jsou lokálně topologicky konjugované  $(\exists U \text{ okolí } \mathbf{o} \in \Omega \text{ a } V \text{ okolí } \mathbf{o} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ taková, že } \exists g : U \to V \text{ homeomorfismus } g(\varphi(t, x)) = \psi(t, g(x)) \ \forall x \in U, \ \forall t : \varphi(t, x) \in U).$ 

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

BÚNO  $f_1(x_0) = \alpha \neq 0$  (první souřadnice funkce f) a  $x_0 = \mathbf{o}$ . Buď  $\tilde{V}$  okolí  $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbb{R}^n$   $G: \tilde{V} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $G(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \varphi(y_1, (0, y_2, \ldots, y_n))$ . Chceme ukázat, že G je invertibilní na nějakém okolí.

$$\frac{\partial G(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_1}|_{(0,\dots,0)} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(t = y_1, (0, y_2, \dots, y_n))|_{y_1 = 0,\dots,y_n = 0} =$$

$$= f(\varphi(y_1(0, y_2, \dots, y_n)))|_{y_1 = 0,\dots,y_n = 0} = f(\varphi(0, (0, \dots, 0))) = f(x_0) = \alpha.$$

$$\frac{\partial G(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_j}|_{(0,\dots,0)} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{G(0, \dots, h, \dots, 0) - G(0, \dots, 0)}{h} =$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{(0, \dots, h, \dots, 0)^T - (0, \dots, 0)^T}{h} = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)^T = e_j.$$

Tedy  $\nabla G(0,\ldots,0)$  je "jednotková matice, až na to, že  $a_{11}$  je  $\alpha$ ", tudíž podle věty o inverzi funkce  $\exists V \subseteq \tilde{V}$  okolí 0,  $\exists U$  okolí bodu  $x_0$  tak, že  $G:V \to U$  je homeomorfismus. Položme  $g=G^{-1}$ .

Nyní stačí  $g(\varphi(t,x_0)) = \psi(t,g(x_0)) \ \forall x_0 \in U \ \forall t : \varphi(t,x_0) \in U. \ \varphi(t,x_0) = G(\psi(t,g(x_0)))$ 

3. 
$$x \in U = G(V) \exists y \in V \ x = G(y)$$

$$x = \varphi(y, (x_{01}, x_{02} + y_2, \dots, x_{0n} + y_n))$$

$$\varphi(t,x) = \varphi(t,\varphi(y,(x_{01},x_{02}+y_2,\ldots,x_{0n}+y_n))) = \varphi(t+y,(x_{01},x_{02}+y_2,\ldots,x_{0n}+y_n))$$

Věta 1.4 (La Salle invariance principle)

$$x' = f(x), (\varphi, \Omega) \quad \varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \Omega, f \text{ loc. Lip.}$$

 $\exists V : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ , bounded from below.

$$\exists l \in \mathbb{R} : \Omega_l = \{x \in \Omega | V(x) \leq l\} - bounded$$

$$\dot{V}_f(x) := \nabla V(x) \cdot f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial V(x)}{\partial x_j} \cdot f_j(x) \leqslant 0 \qquad \forall x \in \Omega_l$$
$$R = \left\{ x \in \Omega_l | \dot{V}_f(x) = 0 \right\}, \quad M = \left\{ y \in R | \gamma^+(y) \subset R \right\}.$$

Then  $\forall x \in \Omega_l : \omega(x) \subset M$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

Let  $x \in \Omega_l$ .  $\forall y \in \omega(x) \ \exists t_k \nearrow \infty : x(t_k) \to y$ .  $\varphi(t, x_0) = x(t)$ .

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(x(t)) = \nabla V(x(t)) \cdot x'(t) = \dot{V}_f(x(t)) \le 0.$$

 $V(x(t)) \searrow \text{ and } \exists C : \forall x \in \Omega : V(x) > -C \text{ so } \exists \lim_{t \to \infty} V(x(t)) = c.$ 

So  $\exists c \ \forall y \in \omega(x_0)V(y) = c. \ V(x(t_k)) \to V(y) = c.$ 

$$\gamma^+(y) \subset \omega(x_0) \ V(\varphi(t,y)) = c \ \forall t \geqslant 0 \implies$$

$$\implies \frac{d}{dt}V(\varphi(t,y)) = 0.$$

 $\gamma^+(y) \subset R$  in particular,  $y \in R$ . Hence  $y \in M$ .

# 2 Poincaré-Bendixson theory

# Věta 2.1 (Poincaré-Bendixson)

Let  $p \in \Omega$ ,  $\Omega$  open connected.  $\omega(p)$  doesn't contain stationary points and  $\gamma^+(p)$  is relatively compact  $(\overline{\gamma^+(p)})$  is compact. Then  $\omega(p) = \Gamma$ -periodic orbit.

# Věta 2.2 (Bendixson-Dulas)

 $\Omega$ -simply connected ( $\forall$  closed Jordan curve  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega$ , int( $\gamma$ )  $\subset \Omega$ ).  $\exists B : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} : (\operatorname{div} Bf)(x) = \frac{\partial Bf_1}{\partial x_1}(x_1, x_2) + \frac{\partial Bf_2}{\partial x_2}(x_1, x_2) > 0$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ . Then x' = f(x) doesn't have nontrivial periodic solutions.

# Definice 2.1 (Transverzála)

 $\Sigma$  segment on a line such that  $\forall p \in \Sigma : \Sigma \not\parallel f(p)$ .

#### Lemma 2.3

 $\Sigma$  transverzála,  $p \in \Sigma \subset \Omega$ . Then  $\exists \tilde{U} \subset U$  neighborhood of p,  $\exists \Delta > 0$  such that

$$\forall y \in \tilde{U} : \varphi(t,y) \subset U \ \forall t : |t| < \Delta \land \exists \tau : |\tau| < \frac{\Delta}{2} : \varphi(\tau,y) \in \Sigma \cap \tilde{U}.$$

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

Use Th. of rect.

#### Lemma 2.4

Let  $p \in \Omega$  and assume that  $|\gamma^+(p) \cap \Sigma| \ge 3$ , i. e.  $\exists t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \ \varphi(t_j, p) \in \Sigma$ , j = 1, 2, 3. Then  $\varphi(t_2, p)$  lies between  $\varphi(t_1, p)$  and  $\varphi(t_3, p)$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

Simple closed curve:

$$\psi := \{ \varphi(t, x), t \in [t_1, t_2] \} \cup \underbrace{\operatorname{conv} \{ z_1, z_2 \}}_{\subseteq \Sigma}.$$

By uniqueness of  $\varphi$  and by the Jordan Lemma.

### Lemma 2.5

 $\Sigma \subseteq \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \ transversal, \ p \in \Omega \implies |\omega(p) \cap \Sigma| \leqslant 1.$ 

Důkaz

$$y \neq z \in \omega(p) \cap \Sigma \implies \exists t_k \nearrow \infty : x(t_{2k}) \to y \land x(t_{2k+1}) \to z.$$

From lemma above:  $\exists \tilde{U} \subset U$  – neighbourhoods of y and  $\exists \Delta$ :

$$\exists k_0 : \forall k > k_0, (x(t_{2k}) \in \tilde{U}) \implies (\exists \tilde{t}_{2k} : |\tilde{t}_{2k} - t_{2k}| < \frac{\Delta}{2} \land x(\tilde{t}_{2k}) \in \Sigma \cap \tilde{U}.$$

Similarly  $\exists \tilde{V}$  – neighbourhood of z,  $\exists \tilde{t}_{2k+1} \colon |\tilde{t}_{2k+1} - t_{2k+1}| < \frac{\Delta}{2}$  and  $x(\tilde{t}_{2k+1}) \in \Sigma \cap \tilde{V}$ .

WLOG  $\tilde{V} \cap \tilde{U} = O$ . Now continue with Lemma 2 (not monotonic).

Důkaz (Poincaré-Bendixson theorem)

Step 1: For  $q \in \omega(p)$  we want to show that q belongs to  $q \in \Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  is non-trivial periodic orbit.

 $\exists x_0 \in \omega(q), \ \exists t_k \nearrow \infty : \varphi(t_k, q) \to x_0. \ x_0 \text{ is not a stationary point } (q \in \omega(p) \implies \omega(q) \subseteq \omega(p)).$  So there exists a transversal  $\Sigma \subseteq \Omega, x_0 \in \Sigma$ .

By lemma above  $\exists \tilde{t}_k, \exists \Delta > 0$ :  $|\tilde{t}_k - t_k| < \frac{\Delta}{2}$ .  $q \in \omega(p) \implies \varphi(\tilde{t}_k, q) \in \omega(p) \implies \varphi(\tilde{t}_k, q) \in \Sigma \cap \omega(p)$  at most 1-point set by theorem...

$$\varphi(\tilde{t}_k, q) \to x_0 \implies \varphi(\tilde{t}_k, q) = x_0.$$

Periodic orbit  $\implies x_0 \in \Gamma = \{ \varphi(t, q) | \tilde{t}_k < t < \tilde{t}_{k+1}, k \in \mathbb{N} \} \implies q \in \Gamma \text{ (uniqueness)}.$ 

Now we want to show that  $\omega(p) \subseteq \Gamma$ . Let  $M \neq \emptyset$ ,  $M = \omega(p) \backslash \Gamma$ :  $\gamma^+(p)$  is bounded  $\Longrightarrow \omega(p)$  is connected

$$\exists x_0 \in \Gamma : \exists \{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq M, p_n \to x_0.$$

 $\exists \Sigma$  transversal:  $x_0 \in \Sigma$  (because not stationary). By lemma above we have

$$\exists \tilde{p}_n \in \gamma^+(p_n) : \tilde{p}_n \in \Sigma \cap \gamma^+(p_n) \cap \tilde{U}(x_0).$$

Since  $p_n \in \omega(p)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\gamma^+(p_n) \subseteq \omega(p) \implies \tilde{p}_n \in \omega(p)$ .

By previous lemma  $\tilde{p}_n = x_0$  and  $p_n \in \gamma^-(\tilde{p}_n) = \gamma^-(x_0) \subseteq \Gamma$ . 4.

Důkaz (Bendixson-Dulas theorem)

Let  $\Gamma$  be a non-trivial periodic orbit,  $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ ,  $\Gamma = \partial M$ 

$$0 < \int_{M} \operatorname{div}[B(x) \cdot f(x)] d\lambda^{2} = \int_{\partial M} \langle B(x) \cdot f(x), \nu(x) \rangle dS = 0.$$

# 3 Caratheodory theory

## **Definice 3.1** (Caratheodory theory)

f measurable, x(t) absolutely continuous, Lebesgue integral.

#### Definice 3.2

 $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $f \in Car(\Omega) \equiv \forall I \times B \subset \Omega$ ,  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  bounded interval,  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  bounded closed ball:

- $\forall x \in B: t \mapsto f(t, x(t))$  is measurable;
- for almost every  $t \in I$ :  $x \mapsto f(t, x)$  is continuous;
- $\exists h \in L^1(I): |f(t,x)| \leq |h(t)|$  for almost every  $t \in I$  and  $\forall x \in B$ .

### **Definice 3.3** (\*)

$$x' = f(t, x), x(t_0) = x_0, \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \text{ open, } f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n, f \in Car(\Omega).$$

#### Definice 3.4

 $x: I \to \mathbb{R}^n$  (*I* interval) is a solution of \* in the sense of Caratheodory, if  $x \in AC_{loc}(I)$  and  $graph(x) \subset \Omega$  and for almost every  $t \in I: x'(t) = f(t, x(t))$  and  $x(t_0) = x_0$ .

Poznámka

$$\Leftrightarrow x(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x(s)) ds,$$
 for almost every  $t$ .

#### Lemma 3.1

 $f \in Car(\Omega), \ x : I \to \mathbb{R} \ is \ continuous, \ graph(f) \subseteq \Omega, \ then \ f(t, x(t)) \in L^1_{loc}(I).$ 

Důkaz

Step 1: "f is measurable": We approximate x(t) by step function on  $I = I_1 \cup \ldots \cup I_n \cup \ldots$ ,  $\{x_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , piecewise constant functions,  $x_n(t) \rightrightarrows x(t)$  on  $I_k$ ,  $I = \bigcup_j I_{j,n}$  disjoint union,  $x_n(x) = \xi_{j,n}$  for  $t \in I_{j,n}$ .  $f(t, x_n(t)) = f(t, \xi_{j,n})$  for  $t \in I_{j,n}$ ,  $f(t, \xi_{j,n})$  is measurable.

 $f(t,x_n(t)) \to f(t,x(t))$  for almost every  $t \in I \implies f(t,x(t))$  is measurable.

Step 2:  $|f(t, x(t))| \le l(t)$  for almost every  $t \implies f \in L^1_{loc}(I)$ .

#### Lemma 3.2

 $x: I \to \mathbb{R}^n$  continuous,  $graph(x) \subseteq \Omega$ ,  $f \in Car(\Omega)$  then x is solution of  $* \Leftrightarrow \forall t_1, t_2: x(t_2) - x(t_1) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(s, x(s)) ds$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

 $, \Longrightarrow$  " $x \in AC_{loc}(I), x'(t) = f(t, x)$  for almost every  $t \in I$ , add  $\int$ :

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} x'(t)dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(s, x(s))ds.$$

 $= t_1 = t_0, t_2 = t$ 

$$x(t) - x_0 = \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x(s))ds, \qquad x(0) = x_0.$$

 $(f \in L^1_{loc}, \text{ so it make sense}).$ 

 $\implies x \text{ is AC, } graph(x) \subseteq \Omega, \ x'(t) = f(t,x) \text{ for almost every } t \in I.$ 

# Věta 3.3 (Uniform contraction theorem (generalized Banach theorem))

 $\Lambda$ , X metric spaces,  $X \neq \emptyset$  complete,  $\Phi : \Lambda \times X \to X$ .  $\forall x \in X : \Phi(\cdot, x)$  is continuous,  $\exists \varkappa \in (0,1) : \varrho(\Phi(\lambda,x), \Phi(\lambda,y)) \leq \varkappa \cdot \varrho(x,y) \ \forall \lambda \in \Lambda, \ \forall x,y \in X$ .

Then  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda \ \exists ! x(\lambda) \in X \ such \ that \ \Phi(\lambda, x(\lambda)) = x(\lambda), \ \lambda \mapsto x(\lambda) \ is \ continuous \ and$ 

$$\varrho(y,x(\lambda))\leqslant \frac{\varrho(y,\Phi(\lambda,y))}{1-\varkappa}\ \forall y\in X\ \forall \lambda\in\Lambda.$$

 $\Box$  $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

Let 
$$x_0 \in X$$
,  $x_1 = x_1(\lambda, x_0) := \Phi(\lambda, x_0)$ ,  $x_{n+1} = x_{n+1}(\lambda, x_0) := \Phi(\lambda x_n)$ .  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  fixed:

$$\varrho(x_{n}(\lambda, x_{0}), x_{m}(\lambda, x_{0})) \leqslant \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \varrho(x_{k}(\lambda, x_{0}), x_{k+1}(\lambda, x_{0})) =$$

$$= \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \varrho(\Phi(\lambda, x_{k-1}(x, x_{0})), \Phi(\lambda, x_{k}(\lambda, x_{0}))) \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \varkappa \varrho(x_{k}, x_{k-1}) \leqslant$$

$$(\varrho(x_{k}, x_{k-1}) \leqslant \varkappa \varrho(x_{k}, x_{k-1}) \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \varkappa^{k} \varrho(x_{0}, x_{1}).)$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \varkappa^{k} \varrho(x_{0}, x_{1}(\lambda, x_{0})) \leqslant \varrho(x_{0}, x_{1}(\lambda, x_{0})) \underbrace{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \varkappa^{k}}_{\stackrel{\varkappa}{1-\varkappa}}.$$

 $\implies$  sequence  $\{x_n(\lambda, x_0)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy  $\implies$  it has a limit:

$$\exists x(\lambda, x_0) : \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n(\lambda, x_0) = x(\lambda, x_0).$$

We want to show that  $x(\lambda, x_0)$  does not depend on  $x_0$ .  $\tilde{x}_0 : x_n(\lambda, \tilde{x}_0) =: \tilde{x}_n$ .

$$\varrho(x_n, \tilde{x}_n) = \varrho(\Phi(\lambda x_{n-1}), \Phi(x, \tilde{x}_{n-1})) \leqslant \varkappa^n \varrho(x_0, \tilde{x}_0) \to 0 \implies x = \tilde{x}.$$

$$,\Phi(\lambda,x(\lambda))=x(\lambda)$$
":

$$\varrho(\Phi(\lambda, x(\lambda)), x(\lambda)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varrho(\Phi(\lambda, x(\lambda)), x_n(\lambda)) =$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \varrho(\Phi(\lambda, x(\lambda)), \Phi(\lambda, x_{n-1}(\lambda))) \leqslant \varkappa \varrho(x(\lambda, x_{n-1})) = 0.$$

 $D\mathring{u}kaz (,\lambda \mapsto x(\lambda) \text{ is continuous}^{"})$ 

$$\begin{split} \varrho(x(\lambda),x(\mu)) &= \varrho(\Phi(\lambda,x(\lambda)),\Phi(\mu,x(\mu))) \leqslant \\ &\leqslant \varrho(\Phi(\lambda,x(\lambda)),\Phi(\lambda,x(\mu))) + \varrho(\Phi(\mu,x(\mu)),\Phi(\lambda,x(\mu))) \leqslant \\ &\leqslant \varkappa \varrho(x(\lambda),x(\mu)) + \varrho(\Phi(\mu,x(\mu)),\Phi(\lambda,x(\mu))) \implies \\ &\Longrightarrow \varrho(x(\lambda),x(\mu)) \leqslant (1-\varkappa)^{-1}\varrho(\Phi(\lambda,x(\mu)),\Phi(\mu,x(\mu))) \to 0. \end{split}$$

So  $\Phi$  is continuous in the first variable.

$$\mu$$
 fixed,  $\lambda = \mu_n \to \mu$ .  $y$ ,  $\lambda$  fixed,  $x_1 = \Phi(\lambda, y)$ ,  $x_n = \Phi(\lambda, x_{n-1})$ ,  $n \ge 2$ .
$$n = 0 \ (y = x_0) \colon m \to \infty$$

$$\varrho(y,\lambda(x)) \leqslant \frac{\varkappa^0}{1-\varkappa}\varrho(y,\Phi(\lambda,y)) = \frac{\varkappa^0}{1-\varkappa}\varrho(y,x_1).$$

### Věta 3.4 (Generalized Picard theorem)

$$*: x' = f(t, x), \qquad x(t_0) = x_0, \qquad f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

 $I = [0, T], \Pi \text{ metric space}, f : I \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \Pi \to \mathbb{R}^n, f(t, x, p)$ 

- $\forall p \in \Pi \text{ fixed } f(\cdot, \cdot, p) \in Car(I \times \mathbb{R}^n);$
- $||f(t,x,p)-f(t,y,p)|| \leq |l(t)||x-y||$  for some  $l(t) \in L^1(I)$ ,  $\forall x,y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\forall p \in \Pi$  and almost all  $t \in I$ ;
- for every  $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L}(I)$  the map

$$p \mapsto \int_0^t f(s, x(s), p) ds, \qquad t \in I$$

is continuous.

Then for all  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  a  $\forall p \in \Pi \exists ! x(\cdot) = x(x_0, p) \in AC(I)$  satisfying \* in Caratheodory sense with initial condition  $x(t_0) = x_0$  and  $x(\cdot)$  depends continuously on  $x_0$ , p,  $t_j$ .

$$(x_0)_n \to x_0 \land p_n \to p \implies x_n(\cdot) \equiv x((x_0)_n, p_n) \rightrightarrows x(x_0, p).$$

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

 $X := \varphi(I) \text{ is complete, } \|f\|_x = \sup_{t \in I} \left\{ f(x) \cdot e^{-Lt} \right\}, L \text{ will be chosen } L > \varepsilon. \ \Lambda := \mathbb{R}^n \times \Pi \ni (\lambda_0, p), \int_0^t e^{L(t-s)} ds \leqslant \int_0^t e^{-Ly} dy \leqslant \int_0^\infty e^{-Ly} dy = \frac{1}{L}.$ 

$$\Phi(x_0, p, x(\cdot))(t) := x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, x(s)) ds, \qquad t \in [0, T].$$

 $\Phi$  is continuous in  $x_0$  and p.  $\Phi$  is contraction:

$$\|(\Phi(x_0, \varrho, x(\cdot)) - \Phi(x_0, p, y(\cdot)))\| = \int_0^t f(s, x(x)) - f(s, y(s)) \le$$

$$\le \int_0^T \|f(s, x(s), p) - f(s, y(s), p)\| ds \le \int_0^T l(s) \|x - y\| ds,$$

for almost every t.

$$\|x(s) - y(s)\|e^{-L \cdot s} \leq \|x - y\|_{X} \leq \int_{0}^{t} l(s)e^{+L(s)}ds \cdot \|x - y\|_{X}?$$

$$\|\Phi(x_{0}, p, x(\cdot)) - \Phi(x_{0}, p, y(\cdot))\|_{X} \leq \|x(\cdot) - y(\cdot)\|_{X} \sup_{t} \int_{0}^{t} l(s)e^{-L(t-s)}ds \leq \int_{0}^{T} l(s)e^{-Ls}ds, \qquad l \in L^{1}([0, T]).$$

$$\exists l_1, l_2 \geqslant 0 : \int_0^T l_1(x)dt \leqslant \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\exists c > 0 : ||l_2|| \leqslant c \text{ for almost every } t \in I$$

$$? \leqslant \frac{1}{3} + c \cdot \frac{1}{L} \leqslant \frac{2}{3}.$$

Then  $x \in \mathcal{L}(I)$  fixed point of  $\Phi$ .

$$\implies x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, x(s), p) ds \implies x \in AC(I).$$

Continuously depends on  $p, x_0$ :

$$\sup_{t \in I} \left\{ (x(t, x_0, p) - y(t))e^{-Lt} \right\} \le (1 - \varkappa)^{-1} ((y(x) - x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, y, TODO)))TODO$$

# 4 Controllability

# Definice 4.1 (Control theory)

$$x' = f(x, u), f : \Omega \times U, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, U \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

 $u \in \mathcal{U} := \{u : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^n | \text{measurable}, ||u||_{\infty} < \infty\} = L^{\infty}(0, T, \mathbb{R}^n).$ 

( $\mathcal{U}$  is admissible functions).

# Definice 4.2 (Linear task)

 $x' = Ax + Bu, A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, m < n.$ 

### Definice 4.3

 $x_0 \xrightarrow[u(0)]{t} 0 \text{ iff } x(0) = x_0, x(t) = 0.$ 

## **Definice 4.4** (Area of controllability)

$$\mathcal{R}(t) = \left\{ x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \middle| \exists u \in L^{\infty}(0, t, \mathbb{R}^n) : x_0 \xrightarrow[u(0)]{t} 0 \right\}$$

# Definice 4.5 (Kalman matrix)

$$\mathcal{K}(A,B) := (B|AB|A^2B|\dots|A^{n-1}B)$$

#### Věta 4.1

For linear problem  $\mathcal{R}(t) = \text{LO}(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n \cdot m})$ , where  $\mathcal{K}(A, B) = (g_1 | g_2 | \dots | g_{n \cdot m})$ 

# Tvrzení 4.2 (Observation)

$$\overline{x(t) = e^{At}x_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)Bu(s)ds}}.$$

$$x_0 \xrightarrow[u(0)]{t} 0 \Leftrightarrow x(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x_0 = -\int_0^t e^{-As} Bu(s) ds.$$

# **Lemma 4.3** (1)

$$A^k \in \mathrm{LO}(I, A, A^2, \dots, A^{n-1}), k \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

 ${\bf Cayley\text{-}Hamilton.}$ 

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

- 1)  $\mathcal{R}(t)$  is vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  from definition  $x_0 + x_1 \xrightarrow[(u_1 + u_2)(0)]{t} 0$ ,  $\alpha x \xrightarrow[\alpha u(0)]{t} 0$ .
- 2) We want  $\mathcal{R}(t)^{\perp} = (LO(g_1, \ldots, g_n))^{\perp}$ .  $\square : p \in (LO(g_1, \ldots, g_n))^{\perp}$ .  $x_0 \in \mathcal{R}(t)$  arbitrary. From observation.:

$$0 \stackrel{?}{=} p^T x_0 = -\int_0^t p^T e^{-As} Bu(s) ds = -\int_0^t \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-s)^k}{k!} p^T A^k Bu(s) ds$$

We know  $(p, g_j) = 0$ ,  $p^T g_j = 0$ ,  $p^T \mathcal{K}(A, B) = 0$ ,  $p^T A^k B = 0$ ,  $k \in [n-1]$ . And from lemma 1  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . " $\subseteq$ ":  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{R}(t)^{\perp}$ . We want to prove  $p \perp B$ , AB,  $A^2 B$ , ...,  $A^{n-1} B$ .  $B = (b_1 | \dots | b_m)$ .  $\forall j \in [n] : p \perp b_j$ ,  $Ab_j$ , ...,  $A^{n-1} b_j$ .  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(0, T, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $u(t) = \varphi(t) \cdot \mathbf{e}_j$ , where  $x_0 = -\int_0^t e^{-As} Bu(s) ds$ . We have  $x_0 \stackrel{t}{\underset{u(0)}{\longrightarrow}} 0$ , hence  $x_0 \in \mathcal{R}(t)$ .

$$0 = p^{T} x_{0} = -p^{T} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-As} Bu(s) ds = -\int_{0}^{t} p^{T} e^{-As} b_{j} \varphi(s) ds \implies y(s) := p^{T} e^{-As} b_{j} \equiv 0$$

So we have  $p^T e^{-As} b_j \equiv 0$ , we derivate it,  $p^T A^n e^{-As} b_j \equiv 0$ , and set s = 0.

Důsledek

 $\mathcal{R}(t)$  doesn't depend on time.

# Definice 4.6 (Locally and globally controllable)

Linear problem is called locally controllable, iff  $\exists \delta > 0 : \{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2 | |x_0| < \delta\} \subset \mathcal{R}(t)$ . And globally if  $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathcal{R}(t)$ .

Dusledek

Linear problem is controllable  $\Leftrightarrow$  rank K(A, B) = n.

# 4.1 Observability

Definice 4.7 (System for observability)

$$x' = f(x), x(0) = x_0, f: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n y = g(x), g: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m, m < n.$$

#### Definice 4.8

We say that system x' = f(x) is observable through  $g(\cdot)$  on [0, t], iff  $\forall x_1(\cdot), x_2(\cdot) : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^n : g(x_1(t)) = g(x_2(t)) \ \forall t \in [0, T] \implies x_1(0) = x_2(0)$ .

### **Definice 4.9** (Linear observability)

 $x' = Ax, y = Bx, A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}.$ 

### Věta 4.4

x' = Ax is observable on [0,T] through  $y = Bx \Leftrightarrow x' = A^Tx + B^Tu$  is controllable.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

(We will prove equivalence with rank  $\mathcal{K}(A^T, B^T) = n$ .) ,,  $\iff$  ": For contradiction

$$\exists x_1(t), x_2(t), t \in [0, T], Bx_1(t) \equiv Bx_2(t) : x(t) = x_1(t) - x_2(t), x(0) = x_0 \neq 0, Bx(t) \equiv 0.$$

$$x(t) = e^{At}x_0, Bx(t) = Be^{At}x_0 \equiv 0 \qquad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

We differentiate it, set t = 0 and get  $Bx_0 = 0$ ,  $BAx_0 = 0$ , ...,  $BA^{n-1}x_0 = 0$ . So  $x_0^TB^T = 0$ , ...,  $x_0^T(A^T)^{n-1}B^T = 0$ .  $x_0^T\mathcal{K}(A^T, B^T) = 0$ ,  $x_0 \perp \mathcal{K}(A^T, B^T)$ , 4.

"  $\Longrightarrow$  ": For contradiction rank $(A^T, B^T) < n \Longrightarrow \exists x_0 \neq 0 : x_0^T \mathcal{K}(A^T, B^T) = 0$ .  $x_0^T \left(A^T\right)^k B^T = 0 \ \forall k \in [n-1] \ \text{and from lemma } 1 \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \ BA^T x_0 = 0. \ Be^{At} x_0 = 0$ .  $\forall t \in [0,T]$ . 4.

### Věta 4.5 (Local controllability)

Let  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  neighbourhood of 0,  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  neighbourhood of 0,  $f: V \times U \to \mathbb{R}^n$   $C^1$  smooth, f(0,0) = 0,  $\mathcal{U} = \{u: [0,T] \to U \text{ measurable}\}$ ,  $A = \nabla_x f(0,0)$ ,  $B = \nabla_u f(0,0)$ , rank  $\mathcal{K}(A,B) = n$ . Then

 $x' = f(x, u), x(0) = x_0$  is locally controllable  $\forall t \in (0, T]$ .

Důkaz

Fix t > 0, consider x' = Ax + Bu. Since  $\operatorname{rank}(A, B) = n$ , the linear problem is globally controllable. Take initial condition  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  linearly independent.

$$\exists u_i \in L^{\infty}(0, t, \mathbb{R}^n) : y_j \to_{u_i(0)}^t 0$$

 $\forall \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  denote by  $u_{\lambda(t)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j u_j(t)$ . We know  $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j y_j \to_{u_{\lambda}(0)}^t 0$ .

Step 2:

$$x'_{\lambda} = f(x_{\lambda}, u_{\lambda}), \qquad x_{\lambda}(t) = 0$$

If  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $u_{\lambda} \neq 0$ , then  $x_{\lambda} \equiv 0$ .

$$\psi(\lambda) := x_{\lambda}(0), \psi : U_{\lambda}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

We want to prove  $\psi(U_{\lambda}(0)) \supseteq \tilde{V}$ , for some  $\tilde{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open,  $0 \in \tilde{V}$ . We will prove that  $\psi$  is  $C^1$  smooth, and that  $\nabla \varphi(0)$  is regular (if this is proved, than  $\psi$  is local diffeomorphism).

Step 3:

$$x_{\lambda}(s) = x_{\lambda}(t) + \int_{t}^{s} f(x_{\lambda}(s), u_{\lambda}(s)) ds.$$

Formally differentiate:

$$\frac{\partial x_{\lambda}(s)}{\partial \lambda_{j}} = \int_{t}^{s} (\nabla_{x} f(x_{\lambda}(s), u_{\lambda}(s)) \cdot \frac{\partial x_{\lambda}(s)}{\partial \lambda_{j}} + \nabla_{u} f(x_{\lambda}(s), u_{j}(s))) ds.$$

Denote  $y_{\lambda,j}(s) = \frac{\partial x_{\lambda}(s)}{\partial \lambda_i}$ .

$$y'_{\lambda,j}(s) = \nabla_x f(x_\lambda(s), u_\lambda(s)) \cdot y_{\lambda,j}(s) + \nabla_u f(x_\lambda(s), u_\lambda(s)) \cdot u_j(s).$$

$$y_{\lambda,i}(t) = 0.$$

Consider  $(LPy) \to y_{\lambda,j}(\cdot)$ .

$$x_{\lambda+\Delta\lambda}(s) - x_{\lambda}(s) - \Delta\lambda \cdot y_{\lambda,i}(s) = 0$$

(as in Thn? of differentiability w. r. t. initial condition)

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda_j}(\lambda=0) = \frac{\partial x_{\lambda}(s=0)}{\partial \lambda_j}|_{\lambda=0} = y_{\lambda,j}(s=0)|_{\lambda=0} = y_{\lambda,j}(s=0)|_{\lambda=0} = y_j.$$

If  $\lambda = 0$ , then (LPy):  $y'_{0,j}(s) = Ay_{0,t}(s) + Bu_j(s)$ ,  $y_{0,j}(t) = 0$ . From uniq.:  $y_{0,j}(0) = y_{j,0}$ .

$$\nabla \psi(0) = \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda_1}(0) \dots \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda_n}(0)\right) = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

regular matrix.

Poznámka

$$x' = Ax + Bu, u \in \mathcal{U} = \{u : [0, T] \to [-1, 1] \text{ measurable}\}, x(0) = x_0.$$

## Definice 4.10

$$\mathcal{R}(t) = \left\{ x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n | \exists u \in \mathcal{U} \land x_0 \to_{u(0)}^t 0 \right\}.$$

#### Definice 4.11

$$u_n \in \mathcal{U}_0: u_n \rightharpoonup^* u \in \mathcal{U} \equiv \forall f \in L(0, T, \mathbb{R}^n): \int_0^T f(s) u_n(s) ds \to \int_0^T f(s) u^*(s) ds.$$

### Věta 4.6 (Alaoglu)

 $\mathcal{U}$  is weak-\* sequentially compact (i. e.  $\forall \{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{U} \ \exists \{u_{n_k}\} \ weekly-* convergent$ ).

### Věta 4.7

 $\mathcal{R}(t)$  convex, symmetric, closed  $0 < t_1 < t_2 \implies \mathcal{R}(t_1) \subset \mathcal{R}(t_2)$ .

Důkaz

Convex:  $x_{01}, x_{02} \in \mathcal{R}(t), \alpha \in [0, 1] \implies \alpha x_{01} + (1 - \alpha) x_{02} \in \mathcal{R}(t).$ 

$$x(t) = e^{At}x_0 + \int_0^t e^{As}Bu(s)ds. x_{01} \to_{u_{01}}^t 0 \land x_{02} \to_{u_{02}}^t 0 \Leftrightarrow x_{0i} = -\int_0^t e^{(s-t)A}Bu_{0i}(s)ds.$$

Symmetry:  $x_0 \in \mathcal{R}(t) \implies -x_0 \in \mathcal{R}(t), x_0 \to_u^t 0 \implies -x_0 \to_{-u}^t 0.$ 

Closedness:  $x_{0n} \in \mathbb{R}(t), x_{0n} \to x_0.$   $x_0 \in \mathcal{R}(t)$ ?  $\exists u_n(0) \in \mathcal{U}, x_{0n} = -\int_0^t e^{(s-t)A} Bu_n(s) ds \to -\int_0^t e^{(s-t)A} Bu(s) ds.$  WLOG  $u_n \to^* u \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $x_0 \to_u^t 0$ .

$$\mathcal{R}(t_1) \subset \mathcal{R}(t_2), \qquad 0 < t_1 < t_2 < T$$

$$\exists u_1 \in \mathcal{U} \qquad x_0 = -\int_0^t e^{(s-t)A} Bu_1(s) ds.$$

Define  $u_2(s) = u_1(s)$  if  $0 \le s \le t$ , else 0.

# Definice 4.12 (Area of controllability)

$$\mathcal{R} := \bigcup_{t>0} \mathcal{R}(t).$$

### Věta 4.8

$$\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{K}(A, B) = n \Leftrightarrow \forall t > 0 : \mathcal{R}(t) \supseteq U(0),$$

where  $U(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is some neighbourhood of 0.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

" 
$$\Leftarrow=$$
 ": If  $\exists t>0$   $\mathcal{R}(t)\supset U(0)$  open,  $0\in U(0)$ .  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}:u\in L^{\infty},\mathcal{R}:||u||_{\infty}\leqslant 1$ , then  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t)\supset\mathcal{R}(t)\supset U(0)\implies \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t)=\mathbb{R}^n.\implies \mathrm{rank}\,\mathcal{K}(A,B)=n$ .

 $\Longrightarrow$  ": rank  $\mathcal{K}(A,B)=n \implies \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t)=\mathbb{R}^n$ . From theorem of local controllability.

### Věta 4.9 (Minimal time)

$$x' = Ax + Bu$$

$$\forall x_0 \in \mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{s>0} \mathcal{R}(s)$$

$$\exists t > 0 \ \exists u(0) \in \mathcal{U} : x_0 \to_u^t 0$$

$$t = \inf\{s > 0 | x_0 \in \mathcal{R}(s)\}.$$

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

$$t > 0, \exists t_n \setminus t, t_n \in (0, T], \exists u_n \in U, x_0 = -\int_0^{t_n} e^{(t_n - s)A} V u_n(s).$$

Alaoglu: WLOG  $u_n \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} u \in U$ .

$$x_0 = -\int_0^{t_n} e^{(t-s)A} B u_n(s) ds - \int_0^{t_n} \left[ e^{(t-s)A} - e^{(t_n-s)A} \right] B u_n(s) ds$$

$$x_0 = -\underbrace{\int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} B u_n(s) ds}_{\stackrel{*}{\underline{}} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} B u(s) ds} - \underbrace{\int_t^t \left[ e^{(t-s)A} - e^{(t_n-s)A} \right] B u_n(s) ds}_{\rightarrow 0}.$$

Definice 4.13 (Bang-bang)

We say that a regulation  $u \in U(0)$  is of type bang-bang, if for almost every  $t \in [0, T]$ :  $u(t) = \pm 1$ .

Věta 4.10 (Bang-bang)

If  $x_0 \in \mathcal{R}(t) \implies \exists \tilde{u}(0) \text{ of type bang-bang } x_0 \to_{\tilde{u}}^t 0.$ 

### **Definice 4.14** (Extremal point)

X vector space,  $K \subset X$ .  $x \in K$  is called an extremal point, if it cannot be written as  $x = \frac{y+z}{2}$ ,  $y, z \in K$ ,  $y \neq z$ . We denote ex(K) the set of extremal points.

### Tvrzení 4.11 (Krein-Milman theorem)

X locally convex vector space,  $K \subset X \colon K \neq \emptyset$ , K convex and compact. Then  $ex(K) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ .

Důkaz (Bang-bang)

$$K = \{ u \in \mathcal{U} | x_0 \to_{u(0)}^t 0 \}, \qquad X = L^{\infty}(0, T, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

 $K \neq \emptyset$   $(u \in \mathcal{R}(t))$ , K convex, K is compact (sequential compactness: Alaoglu theorem?  $L'(0,T,\mathbb{R}^n)$  separable  $\Longrightarrow L^{\infty}(0,T,\mathbb{R}^n)$  with locale \* topology is metrizable  $\Longrightarrow$  sequential compactness  $\Longrightarrow$  compactness.

Choose  $\tilde{u}_j \in ex(K)$  (from Krein-Milman). It remains to check that  $\tilde{u}_j(s) = \pm 1, \ \forall j \in [n]$  for almost every  $s \in (0,t)$ . For contradiction: for some  $j \in [n] \ \exists E \subset (0,t), \ \lambda(E) > 0 \ \forall s \in E \ |\tilde{u}_j(s)| < 1$ . WLOG

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0 \ \forall s \in E |\tilde{u}_j(s)| < 1 - \varepsilon \qquad \left[ E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ s \in (0, t) \middle| |\tilde{u}_j(s)| \leqslant 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right\} \right].$$

$$x_0 = -\int_0^t e^{-sA} B\tilde{u}(s) ds$$

We find (from ortogonality to  $B_i e^{-sA}$ )  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(0, T, \mathbb{R})$  such that:

- 1. supp  $\varphi \subset E$ ;
- 2.  $\int_E e^{-sA} B(0, \dots, 0, \varphi(s), 0, \dots, 0)^T ds = 0;$
- $3. \ \forall s \in E|\varphi(s)| < \varepsilon.$

Define  $u_1(s) = \tilde{u}(s) + (0, \dots, 0, \varphi(s), 0, \dots, 0)^T$  and  $u_2(s) = \tilde{u}(s) - (0, \dots, 0, \varphi(s), 0, \dots, 0)^T$ . Then  $x_0 \to_{u_{1,2}(0)}^t 0$ , and  $u_1, u_2 \in K$ .

# Věta 4.12 (Global controllability)

We have (LTP) x' = Ax + Bu,  $x(0) = x_0$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ .

- 1. rank  $K(A, B) = n \implies (LTP)$  is locally controllable.
- 2. rank K(A, B) = n and  $\Re \lambda \leq 0 \ \forall \lambda$ -eigenvalues of A. Then (LTP) is globally controllable  $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{t>0} \mathcal{R}(t) = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

1) follows from "In theorem of local controllability for the problem x' = f(x, u) we could take  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ ."

2a) If  $\forall \lambda$  eigenvalue of A we have  $\Re \lambda < 0 \implies$  theorem follows from text above: first, set u = 0. Then we arrive at a neighbourhood of zero.

2b) For contradiction  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \mathcal{R}$ .  $\mathcal{R}$  convex  $\exists z_0 \in \partial \mathcal{R}$ , n normal vector.  $\forall x_1 \in \mathcal{R} : n^T(x_1 - x_0) \leq 0, n^T x_1 \leq n^T x_0 =: M$ .

$$x_1 = -\int_0^t e^{-sA} Bu(s) ds$$

$$n^T x_1 = -\int_0^t \underbrace{n^T e^{-sA} B}_{v(s)} u(s) ds$$

$$\tilde{u}(s) := \begin{cases} 0, & v(s) = 0, \\ \frac{-v(s)}{||v(s)||_2}, & v(s) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

If  $v(s) \equiv 0$ , then apply  $\frac{d^p}{(ds)^p}$ ,  $n^T A^p e^{-sA} B \equiv 0$ , then  $n^T \mathcal{K}(A, B) = 0$ .

$$\int_0^\infty ||v(s)||_2 ds = \infty.$$

If this is true, then  $t_k \nearrow \infty$ ,  $u_k = \tilde{u}|_{[0,t_k]}$ ,  $x_{1,k} = -\int_0^{t_k} e^{-sA} Bu_k(s) ds$ .

$$n^T x_{1,k} = -\int_0^{t_k} v^t(s) \cdot \tilde{u}(s) ds = \int_0^{t_k} ||v(s)||_2 ds \to \infty.4$$

v(s) is linear combination of  $s^j e^{-s\lambda_p}$ ,  $\Re \lambda_p \leq 0$ . Then  $\int_0^\infty |v(s)| ds = \infty$ .

# Věta 4.13 (Pontrjagin maximum)

$$x' = Ax + Bu, ||u||_{\infty} \le 1, x(0) = x_0.$$

Let  $x_0 \to_{u^*(0)}^{t^*} 0$ ,  $t^*$  is the minimal. Then  $\exists h \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{o}\}$ :

$$h^T \cdot e^{-sA} B u^*(s) = \max_{\eta \in [-1,1]^m} h^t e^{-sA} B \eta$$

for almost every  $s \in (0, t^*)$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$  $x_0 \in \partial \mathcal{R}(t^*).$ 

Step 2 – contradiction:  $\exists E \subset (0, t^*), \lambda(E) > 0, \forall s \in E \ \exists \eta_s \in [-1, 1]^m \ h^T e^{-sA} B u^*(s) < h^T e^{-sA} B \eta_s$ . But  $x_i(\delta) \in \mathcal{R}(t^* - \delta)$ , hence  $x_0 \in \mathcal{R}(t^* - \delta)$  and  $t^*$  is not minimal.

Step 1:  $x_0 \in \partial \mathcal{R}(t^*)$ . For contradiction  $x_0 \in \operatorname{int} \mathcal{R}(t^*)$ .

$$\exists x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in \mathcal{R}(t^*), x_0 \in CO(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}).$$

$$\exists u_1, \dots, u_{n+1} \in U, x_j \to_{u_j(\cdot)}^{t^*} 0 \ \forall j \in [n+1].$$

Let  $\tilde{u}_j(t)$  are the corresponding solutions

TODO!!!

### Věta 4.14 (Pontrjagin)

 $x'(f, u), x(0) = x_0, u \in \mathcal{U} = \{u : (0, T) \to U \subset \mathbb{R}^n\}, T \text{ fixed,}$ 

$$P[u(\cdot)] = g(x(T)) + \int_0^T r(x(s), u(s)) ds \to maximum.$$

 $f, g, r, \nabla_x f, \nabla_x g, \nabla_x r$  are continuous.

Let u is a local maximum of this problem (it maximizes P), then for p solving:

$$H(x, p, u) := p^{T} f(x, u) + r(x, u),$$
$$p' = -\nabla_{x} H(x, p, u),$$
$$p(T) = \nabla_{x} g(x(T)),$$

we have

$$H(x, p, u) = \max_{\eta \in U} H(x, p, \eta)$$
 for almost every  $t \in (0, T)$ .

Důkaz

Step one "WLOG r = 0": We set

$$x' = f(x, u),$$
  $x'_{n+1} = r(x, u), x_{n+1}(0) = 0, P[u(\cdot)] = \hat{g}(\hat{x}(T)) = g(x(T)) + x_{n+1}(T).$ 

Step 2: Fix 
$$\tau \in (0,T)$$
,  $\eta \in U$ ,  $u_{\varepsilon}(T) = \begin{cases} \eta, & t \in (\tau - \varepsilon, \tau), \\ u(t), & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$  and corresponding  $x_{\varepsilon}(t)$ .

$$u$$
 "best"  $\Longrightarrow P[u_{\varepsilon}(0)] \leqslant P[u(0)] \Longrightarrow g(x_{\varepsilon}(T)) \leqslant g(x(t)).$ 

$$D := \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0^+} \qquad Dg(x_{\varepsilon}(T))|_{\varepsilon=0^+} \leqslant 0$$

$$\nabla_x g(x(T)) \cdot Dx_{\varepsilon}(T)|_{\varepsilon=0^+} \leqslant 0.$$

Step 2.2:  $x_{\varepsilon}(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(x_{\varepsilon}(s), u_{\varepsilon}(s)) ds$ . If  $t < \tau$ , then  $u_{\varepsilon} \equiv u$ ,  $x_{\varepsilon} \equiv x$ ,  $Dx_{\varepsilon}(t) \equiv 0$  on [0, t]. If  $t > \tau$ , then  $x_{\varepsilon}(t) =: y(t), y'(t) = f(y(t), u(t)), u(\tau) = x_{\varepsilon}(\tau)$ ,

$$Dx_{\varepsilon}(t) \equiv z(t) : z' = \nabla_x f(y(t), u(t))z, z(\tau) = Dx_{\varepsilon}(\tau),$$
 variational equation.

Statement: z' = A(t)z,  $p' = -A^T(t)p \implies p^Tz = const$ . Proof:  $(p^Tz)' = (p^T)'z + p^Tz' = -p^TAz + p^TAz = 0$ .

Step 2.3:  $p' = -(\nabla_x f(y(t), u(t)))^T p$ ,  $p(T) = (\nabla_x g(x(T)))^T$ . Then  $p^T(t)z(t)$  constant on  $(\tau, T)$ ,  $p^T(\tau)z(\tau) \leq 0$ .

Step 2.4: 
$$Dx_{\varepsilon}(\tau)|_{\varepsilon=0^+} \stackrel{?}{=} f(x(\tau), \eta) - f(x(\tau), u(\tau))$$
. Then

$$p^{T}(\tau) \left( f(x(\tau), \eta) - f(x(\tau), u(\tau)) \right) \leqslant 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon}(\tau) - x(\tau)) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\tau - \varepsilon}^{\tau} \left[ f(x_{\varepsilon}(s), \eta) - f(x(s), u(s)) \right] ds =$$

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\tau-\varepsilon}^{\tau} \left[ f(x_{\varepsilon}(s), \eta) - f(x(s), \eta) \right] ds + \int_{\tau-\varepsilon}^{\tau} \left[ f(x(s), \eta) - f(x(s), u(s)) \right] ds.$$

Fist converge to zero from Lebesgue theorem about average value. Second to  $f(x(\tau), \eta) - f(x(\tau), u(\tau)) \to 0$ .

# Věta 4.15 (Potrjagin for fixed point ("fixed finish"))

Same as previous, but T is not fixed, x(T) is fixed  $\implies g \equiv 0$  (we don't "rate" final point, because it's the same for all u).

# 5 Bifurcation

### Definice 5.1

 $x' = \mu - x^2$  is saddle-node bifurcation,  $x' = \mu x - x^2 = x(\mu - x)$  is transcritical bifurcation,  $x' = \mu x - x^3 = x(\mu - x^2)$  is fork bifurcation, in  $x' = x - \sin \mu$  there is no bifurcation.

Pozorování

 $f(x_0, \mu_0) \neq 0 \implies$  no bifurcation. (From lemma of rect.) (Bifurcation  $\implies f = 0$ .)

Pozorování

$$f(x_0, \mu_0) = 0, \sigma(\nabla_x f(x_0, \mu_0)) = \{\lambda_i | \Re \lambda_i \neq 0\}.$$

#### Definice 5.2

Point from previous observation is called hyperbolic stationary point.

#### Věta 5.1

 $f: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be  $C^1$ ,  $(x_0, \mu_0)$  is a hyperbolic stationary point. Then  $\exists \Delta > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0$   $\forall \mu \in U_{\delta}(\mu_0) \ \exists x = x(\mu) \in U_{\Delta}(x_0)$ , stationary point  $x(\mu)$  is a hyperbolic stationary point of  $\mu \mapsto x(\mu)$ , which is  $C^1$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

IFT (Implicit function theorem):

$$f(x_0, \mu_0) = 0 \land \nabla_x f(x_0, \mu_0)$$
 regular?  $\land f \in C^1 \implies x = x(\mu), f(x(\mu), \mu) = 0.$ 

Hyperbolic? Eigenvalues of  $A = \nabla_x f(x(\mu), \mu)$ ,  $\det(\lambda I - A(\mu))$  – polynomial in  $\lambda$ , deg = n.

#### Věta 5.2

 $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \ be \ C^2 \ on \ neighborhood \ (0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$ 

$$f(0,0) = 0,$$
  $f_{\mu}(0,0) \neq 0,$   $f_{x}(0,0) = 0,$   $f_{xx}(0,0) \neq 0.$ 

Then f has bifurcation at (0,0) of the type saddle-node.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

Without proof.

#### Věta 5.3

 $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be  $C^2$  on neighborhood  $(0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

$$f(0,0) = 0$$
,  $f_x(0,0) = 0$ ,  $f(0,\mu) = 0 \ \forall \mu \in U_\delta(0)$ ,  $f_{xx}(0,0) \neq 0$ ,  $f_{x\mu}(0,0) \neq 0$ .

Then f has bifurcation at (0,0) of the type transcritical.  $(f(0,\mu)=0 \implies f_{\mu}(0,0)=0.)$ 

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

Without proof.

### Lemma 5.4 (About division)

 $h: U(0,0) \to \mathbb{R}$  be  $C^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $h(0,\lambda) = 0 \ \forall \lambda \in U_{\delta}(0)$ . Then

$$h(x,\lambda) = xH(x,\lambda), H \in C^{k-1}(U(0,0), \mathbb{R}).$$

$$H(0,0) = h_x(0,0),$$
  $H_x(0,0) = \frac{1}{2}h_{xx}(0,0),$   $H_{\lambda}(0,0) = h_{x\lambda}(0,0),$   $H_{xx}(0,0) = \frac{1}{3}h_{xxx}(0,0),$ 

if k is sufficiently large.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

$$H(x,\lambda) := \int_0^1 \partial_x h(\sigma x, \lambda) d\sigma.$$

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$  (Theorem of transcritical bifurcation)

$$f(x,\mu) = xF(x,\mu). F_{\mu}(0,0) \neq 0$$
?

$$F(x,\mu(x)) = 0? \to \frac{d}{dt} : \mu'(x) = \frac{-\partial_x F(x,\mu(x))}{\partial_\mu (F(x,\mu(x)))}.$$

$$f_{x\mu}(x,\mu) = F_{\mu}(x,\mu) + xF_{x\mu}(x,\mu) \implies F_{\mu}(0,0) = f_{x\mu}(0,0) \neq 0.$$

**Věta 5.5** (Fork)

$$f \in C^3(U),$$
  $f(0,0) = f_x(0,0) = f_{xx}(0,0) = 0,$   $f_{xxx}(0,0) \neq 0,$   
 $f(0,\mu) = 0 \ \forall (0,\mu) \in U,$   $(f_\mu(0,0) = 0),$   $f_{x\mu}(0,0) \neq 0.$ 

Then f has bifurcation at (0,0) of type fork.

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

 $\Box$ 

$$\mu'(0) = 0, \ \mu''(0) = \frac{\dots}{-\partial_{\nu} F(x, \mu(x))}, \ \partial_{x,x} F(0, 0) = \frac{1}{3} f_{xxx}(0, 0) \neq 0.$$

 $\mu''(0) \neq 0 \implies \mu''(x)$  doesn't change sign  $\implies \mu(x)$  has a local extreme at (0,0).

## Věta 5.6 (?)

$$\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} = f(x, y, \mu), f \in C^2, f(0, 0, \mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma\left(\nabla f(0, 0, \mu)\right) = \left\{\alpha(\mu) \pm i\omega(\mu)\right\}.$$
 
$$\alpha(0) = 0, \alpha'(0) \neq 0, \omega(0) \neq 0, \quad \alpha, \omega \in C^1.$$

Then 
$$\exists \delta > 0, \varepsilon > 0 : \mu = \mu(a), a \in (0, \varepsilon) \mapsto \mu(a) \in (-\delta, \delta).$$

 $\forall a \in (0, \varepsilon) \exists nontrivial periodic solution passing through (a, 0).$ 

Důkaz

Rotation:  $x' = \alpha(\mu)x - \omega(\mu)y + f_1(x, y, \mu), \ y' = \omega(\mu)x + \alpha(\mu)y + f_2(x, y, \mu), \ f_2(x, y, \mu) = O(x^2 + y^2).$ 

Polar coords:

$$x = r \cos \theta, y = r \sin \theta, x' = g_1(x, y), y' = g_2(x, y),$$

$$r' \cos \theta - r \sin \theta \cdot \theta' = g_1, \qquad r' \sin \theta + r \cos \theta \cdot \theta' = g_2.$$

$$r' = g_i \cdot \cos \theta + g_2 \sin \theta, \qquad r \cdot \theta' = -g_1 \sin \theta + g_2 \cos \theta.$$

$$r; = \alpha \cdot r + \underbrace{f_1 \cdot \cos \theta + f_2 \cdot \sin \theta}_{=R}, \qquad r\theta' = \omega(\mu) \cdot r + \underbrace{\left(-f_1 \cdot \sin \theta + f_2 \cdot \cos \theta\right)}_{=r \cdot Q}.$$

$$r' = \alpha(\mu)r + R(r, \theta, \mu), R = O(r^2), \quad \theta' = \Omega(\mu) + Q(r, \theta, \mu), Q = O(r).$$

WLOG  $\omega(0) > 0$ .  $\exists \varepsilon, \delta > 0 \ \forall r \leqslant \varepsilon \ \forall \mu \in [-\delta, \delta], \ \theta'(t) > 0$ .  $r(t) \mapsto \hat{r}(\theta) := r(t(\theta))$ .  $t \mapsto \theta(t)$  is simple  $\implies \exists t = t(\theta)$ .

$$\frac{dr}{d\theta} = \frac{\frac{dr}{dt}}{\frac{d\theta}{dt}} = \frac{\alpha(\mu)r + R}{\omega(\mu) + Q} = \frac{\alpha(\mu)}{\omega(\mu)}r + T(r, \theta, \mu).$$

$$\lambda(\mu) := \frac{\alpha(\mu)}{\omega(\mu)} : r'(\theta) = \lambda(\mu)r(\theta) + T(r, \theta, \mu),$$
$$(r(\theta)e^{-\lambda(\mu)\theta})' = T(r, \theta, \mu)e^{-\lambda(\mu)\theta}$$
$$r(\theta) \cdot e^{-\lambda(\mu)\theta} = r'(\theta_0) \cdot e^{-\lambda(\mu)\theta_0} + \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} T(r(\psi), \psi, \mu) \cdot e^{-\lambda(\mu)\psi} d\psi.$$

We get  $r(\theta_0) = r(\theta_0 + 2\pi)$  – periodicity. If we denote  $r(\theta_0) = a$ , we get

$$\left(e^{2\pi\lambda(\mu)}-1\right)a+\int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_0+2\pi}T(r(\psi),\psi,\mu)\cdot e^{-\lambda(\mu)(\psi-\theta_0)}d\psi.$$

$$h_{\mu}(0,0) \neq 0$$
?  $h''(a,\mu)$ ,  $a = 0 \implies r = 0$ ,  $T = 0$ ,  $h(0,\mu) = 0$ . 
$$h(a,\mu) =: a \cdot H(a,\mu).$$
$$H(0,0) = 0$$
?  $H_{\mu}(0,0) \neq 0$ ?  $H \in C^{1}$   $H(0,0) = \partial_{?}h(0,0)$ .

# 6 Central manifolds

Poznámka

$$x' = Ax + f(x, y), y' = By + g(x, y),$$

$$\sigma(B) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \Re z < -\beta\}, \beta > 0, \sigma(A) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \Re z \ge 0\},$$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, f, g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}),$$

$$f(0) = 0, g(0) = 0, \nabla f(0) = 0, \nabla g(0) = 0,$$

$$|f| \le \varrho, |g| \le \varrho, |\nabla f| \le \sigma, |\nabla g| \le \sigma.$$

Goal:  $\exists \varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  Lipschitz,  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\nabla \varphi(0) = 0$ :

INV: if (x(t), y(t)) solution to previous and  $y(0) = \varphi(x(0))$ , then  $\forall t : y(t) = \varphi(x(t))$ .

### **Definice 6.1** (Reduced equation)

$$p'(t) = A \cdot p(t) + f(p(t), \varphi(p(t))), p(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

#### Lemma 6.1

 $\varphi$  satisfies INV iff  $\varphi$  satisfies RED: (if p(t) satisfies reduced equation then  $(x(t), y(t)) := (p(t), \varphi(p(t)))$  satisfies INV equation)

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

Straightforward.

Definice 6.2 (RED')

If p(t) satisfies reduced equation, then  $y(t) := \varphi(p(t))$  satisfies

$$y'(t) = By(t) + g(p(t), \varphi(p(t))).$$

Lemma 6.2

 $\gamma(t)$  is bounded on  $(-\infty, 0]$ . Then  $\exists ! y(t) : y'(t) = By(t) + \gamma(t)$ , such that y(t) is bounded on  $(-\infty, 0]$ . For this  $y, y(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-Bs} \gamma(s) ds$ .

Důkaz

$$e^{-Bt}y'(t) - Be^{-Bt}y(t) = e^{-Bt}\gamma(t).(e^{-Bt}y(t))' = e^{-Bt}\gamma(t).$$
$$e^{-Bt}y(t) = y(0) + \int_0^t e^{-Bs}\gamma(s)ds.$$

If y is bounded on  $(-\infty, 0]$ , then  $y(0) + \int_0^{-\infty} e^{-Bs} \gamma(s) ds = 0$ ,  $y(0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-Bs} \gamma(s) ds$ .

Take y with (i. c.). Then

$$y(t) = e^{Bt} \left( \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-Bs} \gamma(s) ds \right) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{B(t-s)} \gamma(s) ds.$$
$$|e^{s \cdot B}| \leqslant c_0 e^{-\beta s}, \quad c_0 > 0, \forall s$$
$$|y(t)| \leqslant \int_{-\infty}^t |e^{B(t-s)}| \cdot |\gamma(s)| ds \leqslant \|\gamma\|_{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^t c_0 e^{-\beta(t-s)} ds = \frac{\|\gamma\|_{\infty} c_0}{\beta}.$$

### Lemma 6.3

 $\varphi$  satisfies INV  $\Leftrightarrow \varphi$  satisfies (RED)  $\Leftrightarrow \varphi$  satisfies (RED')  $\Leftrightarrow \varphi$  satisfies FP:

$$\forall p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n : \varphi(p_0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-Bs} g(p(s), \varphi(p(s))) ds,$$

where p satisfies reduced equation with  $p(0) = p_0$ .

 $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

"  $\Longrightarrow$  ":  $\varphi$  RED'  $\Longrightarrow$  y satisfies  $y'(t) = By(t) + g(p(t), \varphi(p(t)))$  and y is bounded. Then previous lemma:

$$\varphi(p_0) = \varphi(p(0)) = y(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-B \cdot s} g(p(s), \varphi(p(s))) ds.$$

 $t_1 \text{ arbitrary } y_1 := y(t+t_1), p_1(t) := p(t+t_1).p_1'(t) = Ap_1(t) + f(p_1(t), \varphi(p, t)), p_1(0) = p(t_1),$   $y_1'(t) = B \cdot y_1(t) + g(p_1(t), \varphi(p, t)), y_1(0) = y(t_1).$ 

 $y(0) = \varphi(p(0)) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-B \cdot s} g(p(s), \varphi(p(s))) ds \implies y \text{ is bounded on } (-\infty, 0] \implies y_1 \text{ is bounded. From lemma:}$ 

$$y(t_1) = y_1(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-B \cdot s} g(p(s), \varphi(p, s)) ds = \varphi(p(0)) = \varphi(p(t_1)).$$

### Věta 6.4 (Existence of central manifold)

 $\forall \beta \ \exists \varrho > 0, \sigma > 0, b > 0, l > 0 : \exists ! \varphi \in \mathcal{X} \ satisfying \ INV.$ 

 $\Box$  $D\mathring{u}kaz$ 

$$\mathcal{X} \subset C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n), \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\varphi(x)|,$$
$$T: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}, \varphi \mapsto T\varphi, \quad (T\varphi)(p_0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-B \cdot s} g(p(s), \varphi(p(s))) ds.$$

Step 1: T is well-defined  $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{X}: T\varphi \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Step 2: T is contraction.

Step  $1 + 2 \implies (Banach) \exists ! \varphi \in \mathcal{X} : T\varphi = \varphi.$ 

- $(T\varphi)(0) = 0$ ? Take p(0) satisfying reduced equation,  $p(0) = 0 \implies p(t) \equiv 0$ .
- $|(T\varphi)(p_0)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{0} |e^{-B \cdot s}| \cdot |g(p(s), \varphi(p(s)))| ds \leq \frac{\varrho c_0}{\beta} \stackrel{?}{\leq} f$  (true for sufficiently small  $\rho$ ).
- $(T\varphi)(p_0) (T\varphi)(q_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-B \cdot s} [g(p(s), \varphi(p(s))) g(q(s), \varphi(q(s)))] ds.$

# Definice 6.3 (Central manifold)

 $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is called a central manifold if  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\nabla \varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi \in C^1$ , it satisfies INV.

#### Definice 6.4

$$M[\varphi](x) = \nabla \varphi(x)(Ax + f(x, \varphi(x))) - B\varphi(x) - g(x, \varphi(x)).$$

Důsledek

 $\varphi$  is a central manifold  $\Leftrightarrow M[\varphi] = 0$ .

Poznámka

Dělal se podrobně důkaz Existence centrální variety.