

*Poznámka*  
Topology...

### **Definice 0.1** (Topological vector space (TVS))

A Topological vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  is a pair  $(X, \tau)$ , where  $X$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\tau$  is a topology on  $X$  with the following two properties:

1. The mapping  $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$  is a continuous mapping of  $X \times X$  into  $X$ ;
2. The mapping  $(t, x) \mapsto tx$  is a continuous mapping of  $\mathbb{F} \times X$  into  $X$ ;

We also denote Hausdorff topological vector space by HTVS. And the symbol  $\tau(\mathbf{o})$  will denote the family of all the neighbourhoods of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $(X, \tau)$ .

### **Definice 0.2** (Locally convex (LCS, HLCS))

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a TVS. The space  $X$  is said to be locally convex, if there exists a base of neighbourhoods of zero consisting of convex sets.

*Poznámka*  
Two homework (in Moodle) and one presentation.

### *Například*

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed linear space. Let  $\tau$  be the topology induced by  $\|\cdot\|$ . The  $(X, \tau)$  is HLCS.

┌

### *Důkaz*

$\varrho(x, y) = \|x - y\|$  metric induced by  $\|\cdot\|$ .  $\tau$  induced by  $\varrho$ . This  $\tau$  is Hausdorff. Continuity of the operations: (from Funkcionalka)

$$x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y, t_n \rightarrow t \implies x_n + y_n \rightarrow x + y \wedge t_n x_n \rightarrow tx.$$

└

So, it is a HTVS. Base of neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  is e. g.  $U(0, r), r > 0$ , which is convex.  $\square$

Let  $\Gamma$  be any nonempty set,  $X = \mathbb{F}^\Gamma$  (= all functions  $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ ) with point-wise operations, so it is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ . It is a HLCS.

┌

*Důkaz*

„Continuity of addition:“  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma$ ,  $U$  a neighbourhood of  $x + y \implies \exists F \subset \Gamma$  finite  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$U_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid \forall \gamma \in F : |z(\gamma) - (x(\gamma) + y(\gamma))| < \varepsilon\} \subset U$$

$$U_x = \left\{z \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid \forall \gamma \in F : |z(\gamma) - x(\gamma)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}$$

$$U_y = \left\{z \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid \forall \gamma \in F : |z(\gamma) - y(\gamma)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}$$

$\implies V_x$  is neighbourhood of  $x$ , and  $V_y$  is neighbourhood of  $y$ , and  $U_x + U_y \subset U_0 \subset U$ .  
Thus  $z_1 \in V_x, z_2 \in V_y \implies z_1 + z_2 \in U_0 \subset U$ .

„Continuity of multiplication:“  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}, x \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma$ ,  $U$  a neighbourhood of  $\lambda x \implies \exists F \subset \Gamma$  finite  $\exists \mu > 0$  such that

$$U_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid \forall \gamma \in F : |z(\gamma) - \lambda x(\gamma)| < \varepsilon\} \subset U$$

$$|\mu z(\gamma) - \lambda x(\gamma)| \leq |\mu| \cdot |z(\gamma) - x(\gamma)| + |\mu - \lambda| \cdot |x(\gamma)|.$$

$$M := \max_{\gamma \in F} |x(\gamma)|.$$

$$V = \left\{\mu \in \mathbb{F} \mid |\mu - \lambda| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(M+1)}\right\}, \quad W = \left\{z \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid \forall \gamma \in F : |z(\gamma) - x(\gamma)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(|\lambda| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2(M+1)})}\right\}.$$

$$\mu \in V, z \in W \implies \mu z \in U_0 \subset U.$$

„Local convexity“: Base of neighbourhoods of  $\mathbf{o}$ :  $\{x \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid \forall \gamma \in F : |x(\gamma)| < \varepsilon\}$ ,  $F \subset \Gamma$  finite,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , consists of convex sets.

„Hausdorff“:  $x \neq y \implies \exists \gamma \in \Gamma : x(\gamma) \neq y(\gamma)$ . Take  $\varepsilon = \frac{|x(\gamma) - y(\gamma)|}{2}$ .

$$U = \{z \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid |z(\gamma) - x(\gamma)| < \varepsilon\}, V = \{z \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma \mid |z(\gamma) - y(\gamma)| < \varepsilon\} \implies U \cap V = \emptyset.$$

└

□

$$X = C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{F}) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \text{ continuous}\},$$

$$\varrho(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \min \left\{ 1, \max_{t \in [-n, n]} |f(t) - g(t)| \right\} =: \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^N} \min \{1, p_N(f - g)\}$$

is translation invariant (that implies addition is continuous, see lecture) metric.

┌  
Důkaz

$f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\varrho \Leftrightarrow \forall N : f_n \rightrightarrows f$  on  $[-N, N]$ .

„ $f_n \rightarrow f, \lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda \implies \lambda_n f_n \rightarrow \lambda f$ “: Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will show  $\lambda_n f_n \rightrightarrows \lambda f$  in  $[-N, N]$ .  
 $x \in [-N, N]$ :

$$|\lambda_n f_n(x) - \lambda f(x)| \leq |\lambda_n| \cdot |f_n(x) - f(x)| + |\lambda_n - \lambda| \cdot |f(x)| \leq c \cdot p_N(f_n - f) + |\lambda_n - \lambda| \cdot p_N(f) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence,  $X$  is HTVS. „Local convexity“:  $U_{N,\varepsilon} = \{f \in X | p_N(t) < \varepsilon\}$ , clearly  $U_{N,\varepsilon}$  is a convex set and  $U_{N,\varepsilon}$  is neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ . If  $\varepsilon < \lambda$ , then  $\{f | \varrho(f, \mathbf{o}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^N}\} \subset U_{N,\varepsilon}$ , because for  $\varrho(f, \mathbf{o}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^N}$  it is  $\frac{1}{2^N} p_N(f) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^N}$ . „they form a base“:  $f \in U_{N,\varepsilon} \implies \varrho(f, \mathbf{o}) < \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^N}$ . Hence fix  $r > 0$  and take  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{2^N} < \frac{r}{2}$ . Then  $U_{N,\frac{r}{2}} \subset \{f | \varrho(f, \mathbf{o}) < r\}$   $\square$

┌  
( $\Omega, \Sigma, \mu$ ) a measure space,  $p \in (0, 1)$ .  $L^p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \text{ measurable} | \int |f|^p d\mu < \infty\}$  (we identify functions equal almost everywhere).  $\varrho(f, g) = \int |f - g|^p d\mu$  is a metric making  $X = L^p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  a HTVS (but not locally convex).

┌  
Důkaz

„ $\varrho$  is a metric“: „ $\Delta$ -inequality“:  $a, b \in [0, \infty) : (a + b)^p \leq a^p + b^p$ . (Fix  $a \geq 0$ , take  $\varphi_a(b) = (a + b)^p - a^p - b^p \implies \varphi_a$  is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $\varphi_a(0) = 0$ . For  $b > 0$ :  $\varphi_a(b) = p(a + b)^{p-1} - pb^{p-1} = p \cdot ((a + b)^{p-1} - b^{p-1}) < 0$  as  $p - 1 < 0 \implies \varphi_a$  decreasing on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $\varphi_a \leq 0$ .)

$\varphi$  is translation invariant  $\implies$  addition is continuous. „Multiplication“: We can see that  $\varrho(\lambda f, \mathbf{o}) = |\lambda|^p \varrho(f, \mathbf{o})$ .  $f_n \rightarrow f, \lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ :

$$\varrho(\lambda_n f_n, \lambda f) \leq \varrho(\lambda_n f_n, \lambda_n f) + \varrho(\lambda_n f, \lambda f) = |\lambda_n|^p \varrho(f_n, f) + |\lambda_n - \lambda|^p \varrho(f, \mathbf{o}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, we have a HTVS.  $\square$

### **Tvrzení 0.1** (Observation)

If  $(X, \tau)$  is a LCS, then  $\tau$  is translation invariant ( $U \subset X, x \in X \implies (U \in \tau \Leftrightarrow x + U \in \tau)$ ). Hence  $\tau$  is determined by  $\tau(\mathbf{o})$ .

### **Definice 0.3** (convex, symmetric, balanced, absolutely convex, and absorbing set)

$X$  is a vector space,  $A \subset X$ . Then  $A$  is

- convex if  $tx + (1 - t)y \in A$  for  $x, y \in A, t \in [0, 1]$ ;
- symmetric if  $A = -A$ ;
- balanced if  $\alpha A \subset A$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}, |\alpha| \leq 1$ ;
- absolutely convex if it is convex and balanced;

- absorbing if  $\forall x \in X \exists t > 0 : \{sX | s \in [0, t]\} \subset A$ .

### Definice 0.4

$\text{co}(A)$  = convex hull,  $\text{b}(A)$  = balanced hull,  $\text{aco}(A)$  = absolutely convex hull.

### Tvrzení 0.2

$X$  is a metric space over  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $A \subset X$ . Then:

- (a) If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ , it holds  $A$  is absolutely convex  $\Leftrightarrow A$  is convex and symmetric.
- (b)  $\text{co } A = \{t_1x_1 + \dots + t_kx_k | x_1 \dots x_k \in A, t_1 \dots t_k \geq 0, t_1 + \dots + t_k = 1, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .
- (c)  $\text{b}(A) = \{\alpha x | x \in A, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}, |\alpha| \leq 1\}$ .
- (d)  $\text{aco}(A) = \text{co}(\text{b}(A))$ .
- (e)  $A$  is convex  $\Leftrightarrow (s+t)A = sA + tA$  for all  $s, t > 0$ .

*Důkaz (a)*

„ $\Rightarrow$ “: trivial (and it also holds for  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ ). „ $\Leftarrow$ “: Assume  $A$  is convex and symmetric. We show that  $A$  is balanced:

$$x \in A, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, |\alpha| \leq 1 \implies \alpha x \in A.$$

And  $x \in A, -x \in A$ , so the segment from  $x$  to  $-x$  is contained in  $A$  ( $\alpha x = \frac{1-\alpha}{2}(-x) + \frac{1+\alpha}{2}x \in A$ ).  $\square$

*Důkaz (b)*

„ $\subseteq$ “: by induction on  $k$ :

$$t_1x_1 + \dots + t_{k+1}x_{k+1} = (t_1 + \dots + t_k) \frac{t_1x_1 + \dots + t_kx_k}{t_1 + \dots + t_k} + t_{k+1}x_{k+1}.$$

„ $\supseteq$ “: the set on the RHS is convex and contain  $A$ .  $\square$

*Důkaz (c)*

„ $\supseteq$ “: clear. „ $\subseteq$ “: RHS is a balanced set.  $\square$

*Důkaz (d)*

„ $\supseteq$ “: clear. „ $\subseteq$ “ the set on the RHS is absolutely continuous (Clearly RHS is convex. „balanced“: using (b) and (c):  $\text{co}(\text{b}(A)) = \{t_1\alpha_1x_1 + \dots + t_k\alpha_kx_k | x_1, \dots, x_k \in A, |\alpha_j| \leq 1, t_j \geq 0, t_1 + \dots + t_k = 1\}$  is clearly balanced.)  $\square$

┌

*Důkaz (e)*„ $\implies$ “: „ $\subseteq$ “: always, „ $\supseteq$ “:  $sa_1 + ta_2 = (s+t) \cdot \left(\frac{s}{s+t}a_1 + \frac{t}{s+t}a_2\right)$ .„ $\Leftarrow$ “: in particular  $\forall t \in (0, 1): tA + (1-t)A \subset A$ , it is the definition of convexity.  $\square$ **Tvrzení 0.3***Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a LCS,  $U \in \tau(\mathbf{o})$ . Then**(i)  $U$  is absorbing.**(ii)  $\exists V \in T(0) : V + V \subset U$ .**(iii)  $\exists V \in \tau(\mathbf{o})$  absolutely convex, open:  $V \subset U$ .*

┌

*Důkaz (i)* $x \in X \implies 0 \cdot x = \mathbf{o} \in U \implies \exists V$  a neighbourhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{F} : V \cdot x \subset U \implies \exists t > 0 : [0, t] \subset V$ 

└

┌

*Důkaz (ii)* $\mathbf{o} + \mathbf{o} = \mathbf{o} \in U \implies \exists W_1, W_2$  neighbourhoods of  $\mathbf{o} : W_1 + W \subset U$ .Take  $V = W_1 \cap W_2$ .

└

┌

*Důkaz* $\exists U_0 \in \tau(\mathbf{o})$  convex,  $U_0 \subset U : \mathbf{o} \cdot \mathbf{o} = \mathbf{o} \in U_0 \implies \exists c > 0 \exists W \in \tau(\mathbf{o})$  open : $\forall \lambda, |\lambda| < c : \lambda W \subset U_0$ . $V_1 := \bigcup_{0 < |\lambda| < 1} \lambda W$ . Then  $V_1 \in \tau(0)$  open, balanced,  $V_1 \subset U_0$ . Let  $V := \text{co } V_1$ . Then  $V$  is absolutely convex (the previous proposition (d)),  $V \subset U_0 \subset U$  (as  $V_0$  is convex).  $V \in \tau(\mathbf{o})$  as  $V \supset V_1$ . „ $V$  is open“:

$$V = \bigcup \{t_1 x_1 + \dots + t_n x_n + t_{n+1} V_1 \mid t_1, \dots, t_{n+1} \geq 0, t_1 + \dots + t_{n+1} = 1, x_1, \dots, x_n \in V_1\}$$

└

**Věta 0.4***1. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a LCS. Then there is  $\mathcal{U}$ , a base of neighbourhoods of  $\mathbf{o}$  with properties:*

- the elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  are absorbing, open, absolutely convex;
- $\forall U \in \mathcal{U} \exists V \in \mathcal{U} : 2V \subset U$ .

If  $X$  is Hausdorff, then  $\bigcap \mathcal{U} = \{\mathbf{o}\}$ .

2. Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $\mathcal{U}$  a nonempty family of subsets of  $X$  satisfying:

- the elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  are absorbing and absolutely convex;
- $\forall U \in \mathcal{U} \exists V \in \mathcal{U} : 2V \subset U$ ;
- $\forall U, V \in \mathcal{U} \exists W \in \mathcal{U} : W \subset U \cap V$ .

Then there is a unique topology  $\tau$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, \tau)$  is LCS and  $\mathcal{U}$  is a base of neighbourhoods of  $\mathbf{o}$ . Further, if  $\bigcap \mathcal{U} = \{\mathbf{o}\}$ , the  $\tau$  is Hausdorff.

┌

*Důkaz* (1.)

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the family of all open absolutely convex neighbourhoods of  $\mathbf{o}$ . The previous proposition (iii) gives us  $\mathcal{U}$  is a base of neighbourhoods of  $\mathbf{o}$ , (1) gives us elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  are absorbing, so the first item holds. (ii) gives us  $U \in \mathcal{U} \implies \frac{1}{2}U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Assume  $X$  is Hausdorff:  $x \in X \setminus \{\mathbf{o}\} \xrightarrow{\text{Hausdorff}} \exists U \in \tau(\mathbf{o}) : x \notin U \implies \exists V \in \mathcal{U} : V \subset U : x \notin V$ . □

└

┌ *Důkaz* (2.)

Set  $\tau = \{G \subset X \mid \forall x \in G \exists U \in \mathcal{U} : x + U \subset G\}$ . This is a unique possibility so uniqueness is clear.

„ $\tau$  is topology“:  $\emptyset, X \in \tau$  and  $\tau$  is closed to arbitrary union (clear).  $\tau$  is closed to finite intersections by third item ( $G_1, G_2 \in \tau, x \in G_1 \cap G_2 \dots U_1, U_2 \in \tau, x + U_1 \subset G_1, x + U_2 \subset G_2; \exists V \in \mathcal{U} : V \subset U_1 \cap U_2$ , then  $x + V \subset (x + U_1) \cap (x + U_2) \subset G_1 \cap G_2 \implies G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$ ).

„Elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  are neighbourhoods of  $\mathbf{o}$ “:  $U \in \mathcal{U}. V := \{x \in U \mid \exists W \in \mathcal{U} : x + W \subset U\}$ . Then  $V \subset U, 0 \in V$  (take  $W = U$ ).  $V \in \tau$  ( $x \in V \implies \exists W \in \mathcal{U} : x + W \subset U$ ; let  $\tilde{W} \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $2\tilde{W} \subset W$ , then  $x + \tilde{W} \subset V$ , because  $y \in \tilde{W} \implies x + y + \tilde{W} \subset x + \tilde{W} + \tilde{W} \subset x + W \subset U$ ).

„ $\mathcal{U}$  is a base of neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ “: now clear.

„ $(X, \tau)$  is a TVS“:  $x + y \in G \in \tau \implies \exists U \in \mathcal{U} : x + y + U \subset G \implies \exists V \in \mathcal{U} : 2V \subset U$ . Then  $(x + V) + (y + V) \subset x + y + 2V \subset x + y + U \subset G. \lambda x \in G \in \tau \implies \exists U \in \mathcal{U} : \lambda x + U \subset G; \exists V \in \mathcal{U} : 2V \subset U; V$  is absorbing  $\implies \exists c > 0 \forall t \in [0, c] : tx \in V; V$  balanced  $\implies \forall \mu \in \mathbb{F}, |\mu| \leq c : \mu x \in V$ ; assume  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}, |\mu - \lambda| < c, y \in x + \frac{1}{|\lambda|+1}V$ ,

$$\implies \mu y - \lambda x = \underbrace{(\mu - \lambda)y}_{(\mu - 1) \cdot (\mu + \frac{1}{|\lambda|+1})V} + \underbrace{\lambda(y - x)}_{\in \frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|+1}V \subset V}.$$

„Local convexity“: by first item:  $\forall U \in \mathcal{U} : U$  is convex.

Assume  $\bigcap \mathcal{U} = \{\mathbf{o}\}$ . Take  $x, y \in X, x \neq y \implies x - y \neq \mathbf{o} \implies \exists U \in \mathcal{U} : x - y \notin U$ . Take  $V \in \mathcal{U} : 2V \subset U$ . Then if  $(x + V) \cap (y + V) = \emptyset, x + v_1 = y + v_2, x - y = v_2 - v_1 \in V + V = 2V \subset U \nmid$ . □

## Věta 0.5

Let  $X$  be a vector space and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a family of seminorms on  $X$ . Then there is a unique topology  $\tau$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, \tau)$  is a LCS and  $\mathcal{U} = \{\{x \in X \mid p_1(x) < c_1, \dots, p_k(x) < c_k\} \mid p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathcal{P}, c_1, \dots, c_k > 0\}$  is a base of neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ .

$(X, \tau)$  is Hausdorff  $\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X \setminus \{\mathbf{o}\} \exists p \in \mathcal{P}, p(x) > 0$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

Use the previous theorem (2.) on  $\mathcal{U}$ : The sets are absolutely convex (by properties of seminorms). „Absorbing“:  $U = \{x \in X | p_1(x) < c_1, \dots, p_k(x) < c_k\}$ . Take  $x \in X$  ?,  $j \in [k]$ . Then  $p_j(x) \in (0, \infty)$  as for  $t > 0$  :  $p_j(t \cdot x) = t \cdot p_j(x)$  and  $\exists c > 0$  such that  $c \cdot p_j(x) < c_j$  for  $j \in [k]$ . Now for  $t \in [0, c] : tx \in U$ .

$U = \{x \in X | p_1(x) < c_1, \dots, p_k(x) < c_k\}$ . Take  $V = \{x \in X | p_1(x) < \frac{c_1}{2}, \dots, p_k(x) < \frac{c_k}{2}\}$ .

$U, V \in \mathcal{U} \implies U \cap V \in \mathcal{U}$  trivially.

„Hausdorffness“:

$$\bigcap U = \{x \in X | \forall p \in \mathcal{P} : p(x) = 0\}.$$

„ $\supseteq$ “ clear. „ $\subseteq$ “: Assume  $y \in X$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{P} : p(y) > 0$ :  $U = \{x \in X | p(x) < p(y)\} \in \mathcal{U} \implies y \notin U$ . □

*Například*

$(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a normed space, then its topology is generated by  $\mathcal{P} = \{\|\cdot\|\}$ .

The topology on  $\mathbb{F}^\Gamma$  is generated by seminorms  $p_\gamma(f) = |f(\gamma)|$ ,  $f \in \mathbb{F}^\Gamma$  ( $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ).

$C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{F})$  the topology is generated by this sequence of seminorms:  $p_N(f) = \max_{x \in [-N, N]} |f(x)|$ .

### Definition 0.5 (Minkowski functional)

$X$  vector space,  $A \subset X$  convex absorbing. Then

$$p_A(x) := \inf \{\lambda > 0 | x \in \lambda \cdot A\}.$$

### Lemma 0.6

Let  $X$  be LCS,  $A \subset X$  convex set.

$$x \in \overline{A}, y \in \text{int } A \implies \{tx + (1-t)y | t \in [0, 1]\} \subset \text{int } A.$$

┌

*Důkaz*

WLOG  $y = 0$ .  $t = 0$  clear,  $0 \in \text{int } A$ .  $t \in (0, 1)$ :

Fix  $U$ , an open absolutely convex neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{0}$  such that  $U \subset A$ . Then  $x + \frac{1-t}{t}U$  is a neighbourhood of  $x \implies \exists$

TODO!!! □

└

TODO!!!



*Důkaz* (Continuity of multiplication? Theorem 4. TODO?)

„ $U$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $\tau$ ,  $\lambda > 0 \implies \lambda U$  is neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ “:  $\lambda \geq 1$ :  $\exists V \in \mathcal{U} : V \subset U \implies V \subset \lambda V \subset \lambda U$  ( $V$  is absolutely convex)  $\implies \lambda U$  is neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ .  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ :  $\exists V \in \mathcal{U} : V \subset U$ , then  $\exists W \in \mathcal{U} : 2W \subset V$ , then  $W \subset \frac{1}{2}V \subset \frac{1}{2}U \implies \frac{1}{2}U$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ . Now by induction for  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2^n}$ . For  $\lambda > 0$  find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lambda > \frac{1}{2^n}$ .

$\lambda x \in G$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}, x \in X, G \in \tau$ )  $\implies \exists U \in \mathcal{U} : \lambda x + U \in G$ . Find  $V \in \mathcal{U} : 2V \subset U$  such that  $V$  is absorbing ( $\implies \exists c > 0 \forall t \in [0, c] : tx \in V$ ) and  $V$  is balanced ( $\implies \forall \mu \in \mathbb{F}, |\mu| \leq c : \mu x \in V$ ). Let  $\mu \in F, y \in X$  such that

$$|\mu - \lambda| < c \wedge y \in x + \frac{1}{|\lambda| + c}V \text{ (a neighbourhood of } \mathbf{o})$$

$$\implies \mu y - \lambda x = \mu(y - x) + (\mu - \lambda)x \in V + V = 2V \subset U \implies \mu y \in \lambda x + U \subset G.$$

□

### **Tvrzení 0.7** (8. see notes of lecturer)

Let  $X$  be LCS,  $A \subset X$  a convex neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ .

Clearly:  $[p_A < 1] \subset A \subset [p_A \leq 1]$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

„ $[p_A < 1] = \text{int } A$ “: „ $\subseteq$ “:  $p_A(x) < 1 \implies \exists c > 1$  such that  $cx \in A \implies x = \frac{1}{c}cx \in \text{int } A$ . „ $\supseteq$ “:  $x \in \text{int } A \implies \exists U \in \tau(\mathbf{o}) : x + U \subset A$ .  $U$  absorbing  $\implies \exists \alpha > 0 : \alpha x \in U$ . Then  $(1 + \alpha)x \in A \implies p(x) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \alpha} < 1$ .

„ $[p_A \leq 1] = \overline{A}$ “: „ $\subseteq$ “:  $p_A(x) \leq 1 \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : p_x((1 - \frac{1}{n})x) = (1 - \frac{1}{n})p_A(x) \leq 1$ .  $(1 - \frac{1}{n})x \in \text{int } A \implies x \in \overline{\text{int } A} \subset \overline{A}$ . „ $\supseteq$ “:  $x \in \overline{A} \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (1 - \frac{1}{n})x \in \text{int } A$ , so,  $p_A((1 - \frac{1}{n})x) < 1 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} p_A(x) \leq 1$ . □

└

$p_A$  is continuous on  $X$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

$[p_A < c] = \emptyset$  if  $c \leq 0$  and  $c \cdot \text{int } A$  if  $c > 0$ .  $[p_A > c] = X$  if  $c < 0$ ,  $X \setminus (c \cdot \overline{A})$  if  $c > 0$ , and  $\bigcup_{t > 0} X \setminus t\overline{A}$  if  $c = 0$ . All these sets are open. □

└

$$p_A = p_{\overline{A}} = p_{\text{int } A}.$$

┌

*Důkaz*

$\text{int } A \subset A \subset \overline{A} \implies p_{\overline{A}} \leq p_A \leq p_{\text{int } A}$ . „Conversely“: Assume that  $p_{\overline{A}}(x) < c \implies \exists d < c : x \in d \cdot \overline{A} \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (1 - \frac{1}{n})x \in d \cdot \text{int } A \implies (1 - \frac{1}{n})p_{\text{int } A}(x) \leq d \implies p_{\text{int } A}(x) \leq d < c$ . □

└

*Důsledek*

Any LCS  $(X)$  is completely regular.

┌

*Důkaz*

$x \in X$ ,  $U$  an open neighbourhood of  $x$ . Take  $V$  a convex neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  such that  $x + V \in U$ .  $f(y) := \min \{1, p_V(y - x)\}$ . The  $f$  is continuous by the previous proposition,  $f(x) = 0$ .

$$y \in X \setminus U \implies y - x \notin V \implies p_V(y - x) \geq 1 \implies f(y) = 1.$$

└

□

## Věta 0.8

*TODO!!! The topology generated by  $\mathcal{P}_\tau$  coincides with  $\tau$ .*

┌

*Důkaz*

Let  $\tau_1$  be topology induced by  $\mathcal{P}_\tau$ .  $\tau_1 \subset \tau$  (seminorms from  $\mathcal{P}_\tau$  are  $\tau$ -continuous, hence the sets from theorem 5? are  $\tau$ -open). „ $\tau \subset \tau_1$ “: Let  $U \in \tau(\mathbf{o}) \implies \exists V$  a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  such that  $V \subset U$ . The  $p_V \in \mathcal{P}_\tau$  (from the previous proposition is continuous)  $\implies [p_V < 1] = V \subset U \implies U \in \tau_1(\mathbf{o})$ .

└

□

## Tvrzení 0.9

$X$  a vector space.

1.  $p$  is seminorm  $\implies [p < 1]$  is absolutely convex, absorbing, and  $p_{[p < 1]} = p$ .
2.  $p, q$  are seminorms, then  $p \leq q \Leftrightarrow [p < 1] \supset [q < 1]$ .
3.  $\mathcal{P}$  a set of seminorms generated by a topology  $\tau$ .  $p$  a seminorm on  $X$ . Then  $p$  is  $\tau$ -continuous  $\Leftrightarrow \exists p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathcal{P} \exists c > 0 : p \leq c \cdot \max \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ .

┌

*Důkaz (1.)*

Absolutely convex and absorbing is clear.

$$p_{[p < 1]}(x) = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 \mid x \in \lambda [p < 1] \} = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 \mid x \in [p < \lambda] \} = p(x).$$

└

□

┌

*Důkaz (2.)*

„ $\implies$ “ trivial. „ $\Leftarrow$ “:  $[p < 1] \supset [q < 1] \implies p = p_{[p < 1]} \leq p_{[q < 1]} = q$ .

└

□

┌ *Důkaz* (3.)

„ $\Leftarrow$ “:  $A := [p < 1] \implies A \supset [c \cdot \max\{p_1, \dots, p_k\} < 1] = [p_1 < \frac{1}{c}, \dots, p_k < \frac{1}{c}]$ , which is a  $\tau$ -open set  $\implies A$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o} \implies p = p_A$  is continuous (by 1. and the previous proposition).

„ $\implies$ “:  $p$  is continuous  $\implies [p < 1]$  is neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  ( $p(\mathbf{o}) = 0$ )  $\implies \exists p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathcal{P} \exists c_1, \dots, c_k > 0$  such that  $[p < 1] \supset [p_1 < c_1, \dots, p_k < c_k] \supset [p_1 < c, \dots, p_k < c] = [\frac{1}{c} \max\{p_1, \dots, p_k\} < 1]$  ( $c = \min\{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ ). Use 2. for seminorms  $p, \frac{1}{2 \max\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}}$  and get  $p \leq \frac{1}{c} \max\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ .  $\square$

└

# 1 Continuous and bounded linear mapping

## Tvrzení 1.1

$(X, \tau), (Y, \mathcal{U})$  LCS,  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  linear. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

1.  $L$  is continuous;
2.  $L$  is continuous at  $\mathbf{o}$ ;
3.  $L$  is uniformly continuous.

┌ *Důkaz*

„1.  $\implies$  2.“ trivial, „2.  $\implies$  3.“ assume  $L$  continuous at  $\mathbf{o}$ . Then, given  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{o})$ , there is  $V \in \tau(\mathbf{o})$  such that  $L(V) \subset U$ . Take  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x - y \in V$ . Then  $L(x) - L(y) = L(x - y) \in U$  and that's continuous. „3.  $\implies$  1.“ trivial.  $\square$

└

## Tvrzení 1.2

$L : X \rightarrow Y$  linear.  $L$  is continuous  $\Leftrightarrow \forall q$  a continuous seminorm on  $Y \exists p$  a continuous seminorm on  $X : \forall x \in X : q(L(x)) \leq p(x)$ .

┌ *Důkaz*

„ $\implies$ “:  $L$  continuous,  $q$  a continuous seminorm on  $Y$ , the  $p(x) = q(L(x))$  is a continuous seminorm on  $X$ . „ $\Leftarrow$ “: By the previous proposition it is enough „ $L$  is continuous at  $\mathbf{o}$ “:  $U$  neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $Y$ ,  $\exists V \subset U$  an absolutely convex neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ .  $q := p_V$  is a continuous seminorm. Let  $p$  be a continuous seminorm on  $X$  such that  $q \circ L \leq p$ .  $W := [p < 1]$  a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $X$  and  $L(W) \subset V \subset U$ .  $x \in W \implies p(x) < 1 \implies q(L(x)) < 1 \implies L(x) \in V \subset U$ .  $\square$

└

TODO!!!

TODO!!!

### Věta 1.3

*TODO[Theorem 22]!!!*

┌

*Důkaz*

„2.  $\implies$  1.“ trivial. „1.  $\implies$  3.“ if  $\varrho$  a metric generating  $\tau$ , then  $U_n = \{x \in X \mid \varrho(x, 0) < \frac{1}{n}\} \implies (U_n)_n$  is a base of neighbourhoods of  $\mathbf{o}$ . „3.  $\implies$  4.“: (see the proof of the previous proposition, 1.)  $(U_n)$  base of neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ , take  $V_n \subset U_n$  absolutely convex neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ ,  $p_n = p_{V_n} \implies (p_n)$  generate  $\tau$ . „4.  $\implies$  2.“: the previous proposition 2. □

└

### Věta 1.4

$(X, \tau)$  is HLCS.  $X$  is normable  $\Leftrightarrow \exists U$ , a bounded neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

„ $\implies$  “:  $\tau$  generated by  $\|\cdot\|$ ,  $U := \{x \in X \mid \|x\| < 1\}$  is a bounded neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ .

„ $\Leftarrow$  “:  $U$  bounded neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ . WLOG  $U$  is absolutely convex. Then  $\frac{1}{n}U$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a base of neighbourhoods of  $\mathbf{o}$  ( $V$  neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ ,  $W \subset V$  an absolutely convex neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o} \implies \exists \lambda > 0 : U \subset \lambda W$  Take  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > \lambda$ . Then  $U \subset n \cdot W$  so  $\frac{1}{n}U \subset W \subset V$ ). Finally,  $p_U$  is a norm generating the topology ( $U$  absolutely convex neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o} \implies p_U$  is a continuous seminorm.  $\frac{1}{n}U = [p_U < \frac{1}{n}]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a base of neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o} \implies p_U$  generated topology of  $X$ . From  $X$  Hausdorff,  $p_U$  is a norm.) □

└

## 2 Fréchet spaces

### Definice 2.1 (Fréchet space)

A LCS whose topology is generated by a complete translation invariant metric is called Fréchet space.

*Například*

$X$  Banach space  $\implies X$  Fréchet space.  $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}}, C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{F}), H(\Omega)$  are Fréchet spaces.

┌  
Důkaz ( $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}}$ )

$$p_n((x_k)) = \max \{|x_k| \mid k \in [n]\}$$

seminorms generating the topology,  $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots$

$$\varrho(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \min \{1, p_n(x - y)\}$$

is translation invariant metric generating the topology. It is complete:  $((x_k^m)_k)_{m=1}^{\infty}$  a  $\varrho$ -Cauchy sequence  $\implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : ((x_k^m))_m$  is  $p_n$ -Cauchy  $\implies$  it is  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ -Cauchy in  $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}}$   $\implies$  (because  $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is complete)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (x_k^m)_{k=1}^n \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} (y_1^n, \dots, y_n^n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ .

Moreover, if  $i \leq n_1 \leq n_2$ , then  $y_i^{n_1} = y_i^{n_2}$ . So, we have  $y = (y_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (x_k^m)_{k=1}^n \xrightarrow{m} (y_k)_{k=1}^n$

$$\implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : p_n(x^n - y) \xrightarrow{m} 0 \implies \varrho(x^n, y) \rightarrow 0, \text{ i.e. } x^n \rightarrow y \text{ in } X.$$

└

□

┌  
Důkaz ( $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{F})$ )

$$p_n(f) = \max_{x \in [-n, n]} |f(x)|.$$

$(f_k)$   $\varrho$ -Cauchy  $\implies \forall n : (f_k)$  is  $p_n$ -Cauchy  $\implies \forall n : (f_k|_{[-n, n]})$  is  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ -Cauchy in  $C([-n, n]) \implies \forall n \exists g_n \in C([-n, n])$  such that  $f_k|_{[-n, n]} \xrightarrow{k} g_n$  in  $C([-n, n])$ .

$\forall n_1 \leq n_2 : g_{n_2}|_{[-n_1, n_1]} = g_{n_1}$  so, we have one function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : g|_{[-n, n]} = g_n$ . Then  $g$  is continuous, i.e.  $g \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{F})$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : p_n(f_k - g) \xrightarrow{k} 0$ . So  $p_n(f_k, g) \rightarrow 0 \implies f_n \rightarrow g$ .  
└

□

## Tvrzení 2.1

$(X, \tau)$  is a Fréchet space,  $\varrho$  any translation invariant metric on  $X$  generating  $\tau \implies \varrho$  is complete.

┌

Důkaz

$\varrho, d$  two translation invariant metrics generating by  $\tau$ . Idea: convergent sequences with respect to  $\varrho$  and  $d$  coincide, Cauchy sequences with respect to  $\varrho$  and  $d$  coincide.  $(x_n)$   $\varrho$ -Cauchy:  $\varepsilon > 0 \implies \{x \mid d(x, \mathbf{o}) < \varepsilon\}$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o} \implies \exists \delta > 0 : \{x \mid \varrho(x, \mathbf{o}) < \delta\} \subset \{x \mid d(x, \mathbf{o}) < \varepsilon\}$ .

$\exists n_0 \forall m, n > n_0 : \varrho(x_m - x_n, \mathbf{o}) = \varrho(x_m, x_n) < \delta \implies d(x_m - x_n, 0) = d(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon \implies (x_n)$  is  $d$ -Cauchy

└

□

## Tvrzení 2.2

$X$  Fréchet,  $A \subset X$ .  $A$  is compact  $\Leftrightarrow A$  is closed and totally bounded.

┌

*Důkaz*

Let  $\varrho$  be a complete translation invariant metric generating the topology.  $A$  is compact  $\Leftrightarrow A$  is closed and  $\varrho$ -totally bounded. But  $\varrho$ -totally boundedness = total boundedness in  $X$ .  $A$  is totally bounded in  $X \Leftrightarrow \forall U$  neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o} \exists F \subset X$  finite  $A \subset F + U$ .  $A$  is totally bounded in  $\varrho \Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists F \subset X$  finite such that  $A \subset \bigcup_{x \in F} U_\varrho(x, \varepsilon) = F + U_\varrho(0, \varepsilon)$ .  $\square$

## Tvrzení 2.3

$X$  LCS,  $A \subset X$  totally bounded  $\implies \text{aco } A$  is totally bounded.

┌

*Důkaz*

Let  $U$  be a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ . Let  $V$  be an absolutely convex neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  such that  $2V \subset U \implies \exists F \subset X$  finite such that  $A \subset F + V$ . Then clearly  $\text{aco } A \subset (\text{aco } F) + V$ .  $\text{aco } F$  is compact,

$$F = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \implies \text{aco}(F) = \text{co}(\text{b}(F)) = \text{co} \{ \lambda x_j | j \in [k], |\lambda| \leq 1 \} = \left\{ t_1 \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots t_n \lambda_n x_n \mid |\lambda_j| \leq 1, t_j \right.$$

$$\left. B = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid |\lambda_j| \leq 1, t_j \geq 0, \sum t_j = 1 \right\} \right\}$$

a compact set in  $\mathbb{F}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto t_1 \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + t_n \lambda_n x_n$  is a continuous map and maps  $B$  onto  $\text{aco } F$ .

$\text{aco } F$  compact  $\implies$  totally bounded  $\implies \exists H \subset X$  finite,  $\text{aco } F \subset H + V$  So  $\text{aco } A \subset \text{aco } F + V \subset H + V + V = H + 2V \subset H + U$ .  $\square$

*Důsledek*

$X$  Fréchet space,  $A \subset X$  compact  $\implies \overline{\text{aco } A}$  is compact.

┌

*Důkaz*

$A$  compact  $\implies A$  is totally bounded  $\implies \text{aco } A$  is totally bounded  $\implies$  (because  $M \subset X$  any set  $\implies \overline{M} \subset M + U$ )  $\overline{\text{aco } A}$  is totally bounded  $\implies \overline{\text{aco } A}$  is compact.

( $M$  totally bounded, for any  $U \in \tau(\mathbf{o})$ :  $U$  is neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ ,  $x \in \overline{M}$ ,  $U$  absolutely convex neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ , then  $V \subseteq U$  absolutely convex such that  $2V \subset U \implies (x + U) \cap M \neq \emptyset \implies x \in M + U$ .)

Find  $F$  finite such that  $M \subset F + V \implies \overline{M} \subset M + V \subset F + V + V \subset F + U$ .  $\square$

## Věta 2.4 (Banach–Steinhaus)

Let  $X$  be a Fréchet space and let  $Y$  be LCS. Let  $(T_n)$  be a sequence of continuous linear mappings  $T_n : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\forall x \in X : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n x$  exists in  $Y$ . Then  $Tx := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n x$

define a continuous linear map  $X \rightarrow Y$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

Clear:  $T$  is a linear map  $X \rightarrow Y$ . „Continuous“: Fix  $q$  any continuous sequence on  $Y$ .

$$A_m = \{x \in X \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : q(T_n x) \leq m\}.$$

Then  $A_m$  is closed, absolutely convex and  $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m = X$ .

TODO?

Baire category theorem  $\implies \exists m \in \mathbb{N} : \text{int } A_m \neq \emptyset \implies \exists x \in A_m \exists U$  an absolutely convex neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  such that  $x+U \subset A_m \implies -(x+U) \subset A_m \implies (A_m \text{ convex})$   
 $U \subset A_m (y \in U \implies y = \frac{1}{2}(x+y+(-x+y))) \implies q(Ty) \leq mp_U(y)$ :

$$p_U(y) < c \implies \frac{y}{c} \in U \subset A_m \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N} q(T_n \frac{y}{c}) \leq m \implies q(T \frac{y}{c}) \leq m \implies q(Ty) \leq cm.$$

└

□

### **Věta 2.5** (Open mapping theorem)

$X, Y$  Fréchet,  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  linear continuous surjective mapping. Then  $T$  is an open mapping

Důkaz

1. It is enough to show that  $\forall U$  neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $X$ :  $T(U)$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $Y$ .

2. „ $\forall U$  a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $X$ ,  $\overline{TU}$  is neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $Y$ “:  $U$  an neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $X$ .  $\exists V \subset U$  an absolutely convex neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$ .  $V$  absorbing  $\implies$

$$\implies X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nV \implies Y = T(X) = T\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} n \cdot V\right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} n \cdot T(V).$$

$Y$  Fréchet  $\implies$  by Baire category theorem

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : \emptyset \neq \text{int } \overline{n \cdot T(V)} = \text{int } n \cdot \overline{T(V)} = n \cdot \text{int } \overline{T(V)} \implies \text{int } \overline{T(V)} \neq \emptyset \implies$$

$\implies \exists y \in Y \exists W$  an absolutely convex neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $Y$  such that  $y + W \subset \overline{T(V)}$ .  
 $\overline{T(V)}$  is absolutely convex  $\implies -(y + w) \subset T(V) \implies W \subset T(V) \subset T(U)$ .

3. „ $\forall U$  neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $X$ ,  $TU$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $Y$ “:  $\varrho$  a translation invariant metric on  $X$ , complete, generating topology.  $U_n = \{x \in X \mid \varrho(0, x) < \frac{1}{2^n}\}$ . The  $U_n$  is a base of neighbourhoods of  $\mathbf{o}$ . It is enough to prove that  $T(U_n)$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We know from 2. that  $\forall n : \overline{TU_n}$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $Y$ . We will be done if we show that  $TU_{n-1} \supset \overline{TU_n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We will prove it for  $n = 1$ : So we will ?  $TU_1 \subset TU_0$ . Fix  $y \in \overline{TU_1}$ . Since  $\overline{TU_2}$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$   $(y - \overline{TU_2}) \cap TU_1 \neq \emptyset$ . So there is  $x_1 \in U_1$  such that  $y - Tx_1 \in \overline{TU_2}$ .  $\overline{TU_3}$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $Y \implies y - Tx_1 - \overline{TU_3} \subset \text{ap}TU_2 = \emptyset$  so, there is  $x_2 \in U_2$  such that  $y - Tx_1 - Tx_2 \in \overline{TU_3}$ .

By induction we find  $x_n \in U_n$  such that

$$y - Tx_1 - Tx_2 - \dots - Tx_n \in \overline{TU_{n+1}} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

$$x := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n :$$

$$M > N \implies \varrho\left(\sum_{n=1}^M x_n, \sum_{n=1}^N x_n\right) = \varrho\left(\sum_{n=N+1}^M x_n, \mathbf{o}\right) \leq \underbrace{\varrho\left(\sum_{n=N+1}^M x_n, \sum_{n=N+2}^M x_n\right)}_{\varrho(x_{N+1}, \mathbf{o})} + \underbrace{\varrho\left(\sum_{n=N+2}^M x_n, \sum_{n=N+3}^M x_n\right)}_{\varrho(x_{N+2}, \mathbf{o})} + \dots$$

$$Tx = y : y - Tx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y - Tx_1 - \dots - Tx_n)$$

$$y - Tx_1 - \dots - Tx_n \in \overline{TU_{n+1}} \subset \overline{TU_k} \quad \text{for } n+1 > k$$

so,  $y - Tx \in \overline{TU_k}$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $y - Tx \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{TU_k} = \{\mathbf{o}\}$ . „Last equality“:  $y \in Y \setminus \{\mathbf{o}\} \implies \exists V$  neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $Y$  such that  $y \notin \overline{V}$ .  $T$  continuous  $\implies \exists k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T(U_k) \subset V \implies \overline{T(U_1)} \subset \overline{V} \implies y \notin \overline{T(U_k)}$ .  $\square$



### 3 Extension and separation theorems

#### Definice 3.1

$X$  LCS,  $X^*$  is the vector space of continuous linear functions on  $X$ .

#### Věta 3.1

$X$  LCS,  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $f \in Y^*$ . Then  $\exists g \in X^*$  such that  $g|_Y = f$ .

┌

*Poznámka*

If topology of  $X$  is generated by  $\mathcal{P}$  a topology of seminorms TODO!!!

└

┌

*Důkaz*

1. Topology of  $Y$ :  $U \subset Y$  is open  $\Leftrightarrow \exists \tilde{U} \subset X$  open such that  $U = \tilde{U} \cap Y$ .  $U$  is a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $Y \Leftrightarrow \exists \tilde{U}$  a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $X$  such that  $U = \tilde{U} \cap Y$ . Lz.pat.  $Y$  is also a LCS.

2.  $f \in Y^* \implies \exists p$  a continuous seminorm on  $Y$  such that  $|f(y)| \leq p(y), y \in Y$ .  $U = [p < 1]$  a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $Y \implies \exists \tilde{U}$  a neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $X$  such that  $\tilde{U} \cap Y = U \implies \exists \tilde{V} \subset \tilde{U}$  an absolutely convex neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $X$ . The  $p_{\tilde{V}}$  is a continuous seminorm on  $X$ . Moreover,  $p_{\tilde{V}}|_Y \geq p$ . ( $[p_{\tilde{V}}|_Y < 1] \subset \tilde{V} \cap Y \subset U = [p < 1]$ ). So, for  $y \in Y : |f(y)| \leq p(y) \leq p_{\tilde{V}}(y) \implies$  (algebraic H-B for seminorms)  $\exists g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  linear,  $g|_Y = f$ ,  $|g(x)| \leq p_{\tilde{V}}(x)$  for  $x \in X \implies g$  is continuous by the proposition above.  $\square$

*Důsledek*

$X$  LCS,  $Y \subseteq X$  closed,  $x \in X \setminus Y$ . Then  $\exists f \in X^* : f|_Y = 0, f(x) = 1$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

Set  $\tilde{Y} = \text{LO}(Y \cup \{x\})$ . Define  $g(y + \lambda x) = \lambda, y \in Y, \lambda \in \mathbb{F} \implies g$  is linear functional on  $\tilde{Y}$ ,  $g|_Y = 0, g(x) = 1$ .  $\text{Ker } g = Y$  is closed  $\implies g$  is continuous  $\implies g$  can be extended to  $f \in X^*$ .  $\square$

└

*Důsledek*

$X$  LCS,  $Z \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ .

$$\overline{Z} \supset Y \Leftrightarrow \forall f \in X^* : f|_Z = 0 \implies f|_Y = 0.$$

┌

*Důkaz*

„ $\implies$ “: clear. „ $\Leftarrow$ “:  $y \in Y \setminus \overline{Z} \implies \exists f \in X^* : f(y) = 1, f|_Z = 0$ .  $\square$

└

*Důsledek*

$X$  HLCS,  $x \in X \setminus \{\mathbf{o}\} \implies \exists f \in X^* : f(x) \neq 0$ .

┌

*Důkaz*

$Y = \{\mathbf{o}\}$  is closed linear subspace and use the first corollary.

└

□