

# Programming Project 5 Exercises

1. Derive  $\langle \Phi | \mathcal{H} | \Phi \rangle = \sum_i^n h_{ii} + \sum_{i < j}^n \langle ij | | ij \rangle$ .

## 1 Notation

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_i^n \hat{h}(i) + \sum_{i < j}^n \hat{g}(i, j)$$

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{i=1}^{n!} (-1)^{p_i} \mathcal{P}_i (\psi_1(1) \dots \psi_n(n))$$

$\mathcal{P}_i$  is a permutation operator that runs over all the  $n!$  permutations of electrons 1 ... n.

$p_i$  is the number of transpositions required to restore a given permutation to its natural order 1 ... n.

## 2 One-electron contribution

### 2.1 Useful lemma

For a one-electron operator  $\hat{h}$ ,  $\langle \Phi_P | \sum_{k=1}^n \hat{h}(k) | \Phi_Q \rangle = n \langle \Phi_P | \hat{h}(1) | \Phi_Q \rangle \forall p, q$ .

Proof:

Since dummy variables are interchangeable in integration,

$$\begin{aligned} \int d(1 \dots k \dots n) \Phi_P^*(1 \dots k \dots n) \hat{h}(k) \Phi_Q(1 \dots k \dots n) &= \int d(k \dots 1 \dots n) \Phi_P^*(k \dots 1 \dots n) \hat{h}(1) \Phi_Q(k \dots 1 \dots n) \\ &= \int d(1 \dots k \dots n) \Phi_P^*(k \dots 1 \dots n) \hat{h}(1) \Phi_Q(k \dots 1 \dots n) \\ &= (-1) \int d(1 \dots k \dots n) \Phi_P^*(1 \dots k \dots n) \hat{h}(1) \Phi_Q(k \dots 1 \dots n) \\ &= (-1)^2 \int d(1 \dots k \dots n) \Phi_P^*(1 \dots k \dots n) \hat{h}(1) \Phi_Q(1 \dots k \dots n) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the antisymmetry property of determinants. We exchange electrons 1 and k in each determinant, returning the negative value of that determinant.

Rewriting in Dirac notation, what we have shown is that

$$\langle \Phi_P | \hat{h}(k) | \Phi_Q \rangle = \langle \Phi_P | \hat{h}(1) | \Phi_Q \rangle.$$

We can easily apply this result to the sum over all electrons in the system:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_P | \sum_{k=1}^n \hat{h}(k) | \Phi_Q \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \Phi_P | \hat{h}(k) | \Phi_Q \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \Phi_P | \hat{h}(1) | \Phi_Q \rangle \\ &= n \langle \Phi_P | \hat{h}(1) | \Phi_Q \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 Derivation

Expanding the determinants  $\Phi$  in terms of the perturbation operator,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi | \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{h} | \Phi \rangle &= \frac{1}{n!} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n!} (-1)^{p_i} \mathcal{P}_i (\psi_1(1) \dots \psi_n(n)) \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \hat{h}(k) \right| \sum_{j=1}^{n!} (-1)^{p_j} \mathcal{P}_j (\psi_1(1) \dots \psi_n(n)) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i,j}^{n!} (-1)^{p_i+p_j} \langle \mathcal{P}_i (\psi_1(1) \dots \psi_n(n)) | \sum_{k=1}^n \hat{h}(k) | \mathcal{P}_j (\psi_1(1) \dots \psi_n(n)) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Next, we use the orthogonality condition of the spin orbitals. Suppose that in permutations  $\mathcal{P}_i$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_j$  electron  $k$  is in orbitals  $\psi_i, \psi_j$  respectively. Then  $\langle \psi_i(k) | \psi_j(k) \rangle = \delta_{ij}$  by orthogonality, so the integral will vanish unless  $i = j \quad \forall \quad i, j$ . So we let  $\mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}_j$ .

$$\langle \Phi | \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{h} | \Phi \rangle = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i=1}^{n!} (-1)^{2p_i} \langle \mathcal{P}_i (\psi_1(1) \dots \psi_n(n)) | \sum_{k=1}^n \hat{h}(k) | \mathcal{P}_i (\psi_1(1) \dots \psi_n(n)) \rangle$$

Now by lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi | \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{h} | \Phi \rangle &= n \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i=1}^{n!} \langle \mathcal{P}_i (\psi_1(1) \dots \psi_n(n)) | \hat{h}(1) | \mathcal{P}_i (\psi_1(1) \dots \psi_n(n)) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \psi_k(1) | \hat{h}(1) | \psi_k(1) \rangle \sum_{i=1}^{(n-1)!} \left\langle \mathcal{P}_i (\psi_1(1) \dots \cancel{\psi_k(k)} \dots \psi_n(n)) \left| \mathcal{P}_i (\psi_1(1) \dots \cancel{\psi_k(k)} \dots \psi_n(n)) \right\rangle \right. \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \psi_k(1) | \hat{h}(1) | \psi_k(1) \rangle \sum_{i=1}^{(n-1)!} (1) \quad \text{by orthogonality.} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-1)!} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \psi_k(1) | \hat{h}(1) | \psi_k(1) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \psi_k | \hat{h} | \psi_k \rangle \quad \blacksquare. \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Two-electron contribution

#### 3.1 Useful lemma

For a two-electron operator  $\hat{g}$ ,  $\langle \Phi_P | \sum_{i < j}^n \hat{g}(1, 2) | \Phi_Q \rangle = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \langle \Phi_P | \hat{g}(j, k) | \Phi_Q \rangle$ .

Proof (same logic as 1.1.1):

$$\begin{aligned}
\int d(1, 2 \dots j, k \dots n) \Phi_P^*(1, 2 \dots j, k \dots n) \hat{g}(j, k) \Phi_Q(1, 2 \dots j, k \dots n) &= \\
&= \int d(j, k \dots 1, 2 \dots n) \Phi_P^*(j, k \dots 1, 2 \dots n) \hat{g}(1, 2) \Phi_Q(j, k \dots 1, 2 \dots n) \\
&= \int d(1, 2 \dots j, k \dots n) \Phi_P^*(j, k \dots 1, 2 \dots n) \hat{g}(1, 2) \Phi_Q(j, k \dots 1, 2 \dots n) \\
&= - \int d(1, 2 \dots j, k \dots n) \Phi_P^*(1, 2 \dots j, k \dots n) \hat{g}(1, 2) \Phi_Q(j, k \dots 1, 2 \dots n) \\
&= \int d(1, 2 \dots j, k \dots n) \Phi_P^*(1, 2 \dots j, k \dots n) \hat{g}(1, 2) \Phi_Q(1, 2 \dots j, k \dots n)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have again used interchangeability of dummy variables and antisymmetry of determinants.

Rewriting in Dirac notation, what we have shown is that

$$\langle \Phi_P | \hat{g}(j, k) | \Phi_Q \rangle = \langle \Phi_P | \hat{g}(1, 2) | \Phi_Q \rangle.$$

We can easily apply this result to the sum over all distinct pairs of electrons in the system:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Phi_P | \sum_{i < j}^n \hat{g}(j, k) | \Phi_Q \rangle &= \sum_{j < k}^n \langle \Phi_P | \hat{g}(j, k) | \Phi_Q \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j < k}^n \langle \Phi_P | \hat{g}(1, 2) | \Phi_Q \rangle \\
&= \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \langle \Phi_P | \hat{g}(1, 2) | \Phi_Q \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

### 3.2 Derivation

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Phi | \sum_{i < j}^n \hat{g}(i, j) | \Phi \rangle &= \frac{1}{n!} \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{n!} (-1)^{p_k} \mathcal{P}_k(\psi_1(1) \dots \psi_n(n)) \left| \sum_{i < j}^n \hat{g}(i, j) \right| \sum_{l=1}^{n!} (-1)^{p_l} \mathcal{P}_l(\psi_1(1) \dots \psi_n(n)) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^{n!} \sum_{l=1}^{n!} (-1)^{p_k + p_l} \langle \mathcal{P}_k(\psi_1(1) \dots \psi_n(n)) | \sum_{i < j}^n \hat{g}(i, j) | \mathcal{P}_l(\psi_1(1) \dots \psi_n(n)) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

By lemma 3.1,

$$= \frac{n(n-1)}{2n!} \sum_{k=1}^{n!} \sum_{l=1}^{n!} (-1)^{p_k + p_l} \langle \mathcal{P}_k(\psi_1(1) \dots \psi_n(n)) | \hat{g}(1, 2) | \mathcal{P}_l(\psi_1(1) \dots \psi_n(n)) \rangle$$

Since  $\hat{g}$  only acts on electrons 1 and 2, we can separate this integral into two separate products, where the first factor is the sum over all possible orbital occupations of electrons 1 and 2, and the second is the sum over all permutations of electrons 3...n in the remaining orbitals:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Phi | \sum_{i < j}^n \hat{g}(i, j) | \Phi \rangle &= \frac{1}{2(n-2)!} \sum_{i, j}^n [\langle \psi_i(1) \psi_j(2) | \hat{g}(1, 2) | \psi_i(1) \psi_j(2) \rangle - \langle \psi_i(1) \psi_j(2) | \hat{g}(1, 2) | \psi_i(2) \psi_j(1) \rangle] \\
&\quad \times \sum_{k=1}^{(n-2)!} \sum_{l=1}^{(n-2)!} (-1)^{p_k + p_l} \langle \mathcal{P}_k(\psi_1(1) \dots \cancel{\psi_i(i)} \dots \cancel{\psi_j(j)} \dots \psi_n(n)) | \mathcal{P}_l(\psi_1(1) \dots \dots \cancel{\psi_i(i)} \dots \cancel{\psi_j(j)} \dots \psi_n(n)) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Because the basis set is orthonormal, each term will integrate to 0 unless the permutations  $\mathcal{P}_k$  and  $\mathcal{P}_l$  are identical, so  $k = l$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2(n-2)!} \sum_{i < j}^n 2 \langle \psi_i(1) \psi_j(2) | \psi_i(1) \psi_j(2) \rangle \sum_{k=1}^{(n-2)!} \sum_{l=1}^{(n-2)!} (-1)^{p_k + p_l} \delta_{kl} \\
&= \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \sum_{i < j}^n \langle ij | ij \rangle \sum_{k=1}^{(n-2)!} (-1)^{2p_k} \delta_{kk} \\
&= \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \sum_{i < j}^n \langle ij | ij \rangle \sum_{k=1}^{(n-2)!} (1) \\
&= \frac{(n-2)!}{(n-2)!} \sum_{i < j}^n \langle ij | ij \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i < j}^n \langle ij | ij \rangle \quad \blacksquare.
\end{aligned}$$

**2. Write the one-electron integrals  $\mathbf{S}$ ,  $\mathbf{T}$ , and  $\mathbf{V}$  (spin AO basis) in terms of  $\bar{\mathbf{S}}$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$ , and  $\bar{\mathbf{V}}$  (spatial AO basis).**

Let  $\bar{\mathbf{S}}$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$ , and  $\bar{\mathbf{V}}$  be one-electron integral matrices with respect to the spatial AO basis  $\{\chi_i\}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{S}$ ,  $\mathbf{T}$ , and  $\mathbf{V}$  be one-electron integral matrices with respect to the spin AO basis  $\{\xi_\mu\} = \{\chi_\mu^\alpha\} \cup \{\chi_\mu^\beta\}$ .

**5. Show that**  $f_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} + \sum_{\rho\sigma} \langle \xi_\mu \xi_\rho | | \xi_\nu \xi_\sigma \rangle \mathbf{D}_{\sigma\rho}$ .

First define the density matrix  $D_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{\mu i} C_{\nu i}^*$ ,

where  $C_{\mu p}$  are the expansion coefficients of  $\psi_p$  in the previously defined spin-AO basis  $\{\xi_\mu\}$ .

Now consider the matrix element

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{\mu\nu} &= \langle \xi_\mu | \hat{f} | \xi_\nu \rangle \\
 &= \langle \xi_\mu | \hat{h} | \xi_\nu \rangle + \sum_i \langle \xi_\mu | \hat{J}_i | \xi_\nu \rangle - \langle \xi_\mu | \hat{K} | \xi_\nu \rangle \\
 &= \langle \xi_\mu | \hat{h} | \xi_\nu \rangle + \sum_i \langle \xi_\mu \psi_i | | \xi_\nu \psi_i \rangle \\
 &= \langle \xi_\mu | \hat{h} | \xi_\nu \rangle + \sum_i \sum_{\rho\sigma} \langle \xi_\mu \xi_\rho C_{\rho i} | | \xi_\nu \xi_\sigma C_{\sigma i} \rangle \\
 &= \langle \xi_\mu | \hat{h} | \xi_\nu \rangle + \sum_i \sum_{\rho\sigma} C_{\sigma i} C_{\rho i}^* \langle \xi_\mu \xi_\rho | | \xi_\nu \xi_\sigma \rangle \\
 &= h_{\mu\nu} + \sum_i \sum_{\rho\sigma} D_{\sigma\rho} \langle \xi_\mu \xi_\rho | | \xi_\nu \xi_\sigma \rangle \blacksquare.
 \end{aligned}$$

**6. Show that**  $\langle \Phi | \mathcal{H} | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu} D_{\nu\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \langle \xi_\mu \xi_\rho | | \xi_\nu \xi_\sigma \rangle D_{\nu\mu} D_{\sigma\rho}$ .

As we derived in problem 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \Phi | \mathcal{H} | \Phi \rangle &= \sum_i^n \langle \psi_i | \hat{h} | \psi_i \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \langle \psi_i \psi_j | | \psi_i \psi_j \rangle \\
 &= \sum_i \sum_{\mu\nu} \langle \xi_\mu C_{\mu i} | \hat{h} | \xi_\nu C_{\nu i} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \sum_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \langle \xi_\mu C_{\mu i} \xi_\rho C_{\rho j} | | \xi_\nu C_{\nu i} \xi_\sigma C_{\sigma j} \rangle \\
 &= \sum_i \sum_{\mu\nu} C_{\mu i}^* C_{\nu i} \langle \xi_\mu | \hat{h} | \xi_\nu \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \sum_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} C_{\mu i}^* C_{\nu i} C_{\rho j}^* C_{\sigma j} \langle \xi_\mu \xi_\rho | | \xi_\nu \xi_\sigma \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{\mu\nu} D_{\nu\mu} \langle \xi_\mu | \hat{h} | \xi_\nu \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} D_{\nu\mu} D_{\sigma\rho} \langle \xi_\mu \xi_\rho | | \xi_\nu \xi_\sigma \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{\mu\nu} D_{\nu\mu} h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} D_{\nu\mu} D_{\sigma\rho} \langle \xi_\mu \xi_\rho | | \xi_\nu \xi_\sigma \rangle \blacksquare.
 \end{aligned}$$