Aalto University
School of Electrical
Engineering

CS-C3240 – Machine Learning D

Feature Engineering

Stephan Sigg

Department of Communications and Networking
Aalto University, School of Electrical Engineering
stephan.sigg@aalto.fi

Version 1.0, February 14, 2022

Outline

Latent Semantic Indexing

Latent Semantic Indexing

Motivation

In information retrieval, a common task is to obtain from many documents that subset which best matches a query

Latent Semantic Indexing

Motivation

In information retrieval, a common task is to obtain from many documents that subset which best matches a query

→ Typical feature representations of documents are then term-document matrices:

Latent Semantic Indexing

Motivation

In information retrieval, a common task is to obtain from many documents that subset which best matches a query

→ Typical feature representations of documents are then term-document matrices:

Terms	Documents													
	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M9	M10	M11	M12	M13	M14
abnormalities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
age	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
behavior	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
blood	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
close	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
culture	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
depressed	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
discharge	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
disease	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
fast	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
generation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
oestrogen	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
patients	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
pressure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
rats	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
respect	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
rise	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
study	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

Latent Semantic Indexing

Motivation

In information retrieval, a common task is to obtain from many documents that subset which best matches a query

→ Typical feature representations of documents are then <u>term-document matrices</u>:

→ These matrices are typically huge but sparse.

Terms	Documents													
	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M9	M10	M11	M12	M13	M14
abnormalities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
age	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
behavior	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
blood	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
close	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
culture	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
depressed	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
discharge	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
disease	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
fast	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
generation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
oestrogen	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
patients	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
pressure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
rats	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
respect	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
rise	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
study	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

Latent Semantic Indexing

Motivation

In information retrieval, a common task is to obtain from many documents that subset which best matches a query

→ Typical feature representations of documents are then term-document matrices:

→ These matrices are typically huge but sparse.

Terms	Documents													
	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M9	M10	M11	M12	M13	M14
abnormalities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
age	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
behavior	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
blood	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
close	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
culture	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
depressed	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
discharge	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
disease	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
fast	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
generation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
oestrogen	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
patients	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
pressure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
rats	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
respect	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
rise	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
study	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

How to identify those feature dimensions (or combinations thereof) which are most meaningful?

Latent Semantic Indexing

Singular Value Decomposition

Any $m \times n$ matrix C can be represented as a singular value decomposition in the form $C = U\Sigma V^T$ where

- **U** $m \times m$ matrix; columns are the orthogonal eigenvectors of CC^T
- **V** $n \times n$ matrix; columns are the orthogonal eigenvectors of C^TC
- **Σ** Diagonal Matrix with $\Sigma_{ii} = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$; $\Sigma_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$

Latent Semantic Indexing

Singular Value Decomposition

Any $m \times n$ matrix C can be represented as a singular value decomposition in the form $C = U\Sigma V^T$ where

- **U** $m \times m$ matrix; columns are the orthogonal eigenvectors of CC^T
- **V** $n \times n$ matrix; columns are the orthogonal eigenvectors of C^TC
- **Σ** Diagonal Matrix with $\Sigma_{ii} = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$; $\Sigma_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$



Latent Semantic Indexing

Singular Value Decomposition

Any $m \times n$ matrix C can be represented as a singular value decomposition in the form $C = U\Sigma V^T$ where

- **U** $m \times m$ matrix; columns are the orthogonal eigenvectors of CC^T
- **V** $n \times n$ matrix; columns are the orthogonal eigenvectors of C^TC
- **Σ** Diagonal Matrix with $\Sigma_{ii} = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$; $\Sigma_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$



$\rightarrow$ First k eigenvectors map document vectors to lower dimensional representation

It can be shown that this mapping resuls in the k-dim. space with smallest distance to the original space

Example

	d_1	d_2	d_3	d_4	d_5	d_6
ship	1	0	1	0	0	0
boat	0	1	0	0	0	0
ocean	1	1	0	0	0	0
voyage	1	0	0	1	1	0
trip	0	0	0	1	0	1

U:

Σ:

V^T:

Example

U:

	1	2	3	4	5
ship	−0.44	−0.30	0.57	0.58	0.25
boat	−0.13	−0.33	−0.59	0.00	0.73
ocean	−0.48	−0.51	−0.37	0.00	−0.61
voyage	−0.70	0.35	0.15	−0.58	0.16
trip	−0.26	0.65	−0.41	0.58	−0.09

Σ:

2.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	1.59	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	1.28	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.39

V^T:

	d_1	d_2	d_3	d_4	d_5	d_6
1	−0.75	−0.28	−0.20	−0.45	−0.33	−0.12
2	−0.29	−0.53	−0.19	0.63	0.22	0.41
3	0.28	−0.75	0.45	−0.20	0.12	−0.33
4	0.00	0.00	0.58	0.00	−0.58	0.58
5	−0.53	0.29	0.63	0.19	0.41	−0.22

Example

Σ:

2.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	1.59	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

C_2:

	d_1	d_2	d_3	d_4	d_5	d_6
1	−1.62	−0.60	−0.44	−0.97	−0.70	−0.26
2	−0.46	−0.84	−0.30	1.00	0.35	0.65
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Cosine-similarity



	d_1	d_2	d_3	d_4	d_5	d_6
1	−1.62	−0.60	−0.44	−0.97	−0.70	−0.26
2	−0.46	−0.84	−0.30	1.00	0.35	0.65

Questions?

Stephan Sigg
stephan.sigg@aalto.fi

Si Zuo
si.zuo@aalto.fi

Literature

- C.M. Bishop: Pattern recognition and machine learning, Springer, 2007.
- R.O. Duda, P.E. Hart, D.G. Stork: Pattern Classification, Wiley, 2001.