

Math 315 Lab 4

The following lab examines the Runge phenomenon for 5 different ways of polynomial interpolation: Vandermonde / Power Series, Newton, Newton with Divided Differences, Lagrange, and Chebyshev interpolation. I will use these different methods of interpolation to approximate the exponential function and calculate the maximum absolute error of these approximations on the interval $[-1, 1]$.

Contents

- Vandermonde / Power Series Interpolation
- Newton Textbook Interpolation
- Newton Divided Differences Interpolation
- Lagrange Interpolation
- Chebyshev Interpolation
- Equally Spaced Data Points on the Interval $[-1, 1]$
- Chebyshev Points on the Interval $[-1, 1]$
- Textbook Newton vs. Divided Difference Newton Interpolation Errors
- Vandermonde / Power Series Interpolation Error
- Lagrange Interpolation Error
- Chebyshev Interpolation Error

Vandermonde / Power Series Interpolation

The following code takes in nodes (x, f) and approximation points xx to outputs approximated values y by creating an interpolating polynomial through Vandermonde / Power Series interpolation.

```
disp(fileread('vandermonde.m'));

function [y] = vandermonde(x, f, xx)
    v = vander(x);
    p = v\f;
    y = polyval(p,xx);
end
```

Newton Textbook Interpolation

The following code takes in nodes (x, f) and approximation points xx to outputs approximated values y by creating an interpolating polynomial through the textbook version of Newton interpolation.

```
disp(fileread('newton.m'));
```

```

function [y, b] = newton(x, f, xx)
    n = length(x);
    b = zeros(1, n);
    b(1) = f(1);
    for k = 2:n
        num = f(k) - b(1);
        for j = 2:k-1
            multiplier = (x(k) - x(1));
            for i = 2:j-1
                multiplier = multiplier .* (x(k) - x(i));
            end

            num = num - b(j) .* multiplier;
        end

        den = 1;
        for j = 1:k-1
            den = den .* (x(k) - x(j));
        end

        b(k) = num ./ den;
    end

    y = zeros(1, length(xx)) + b(n);
    for i = n-1:-1:1
        % disp(b(i))
        y = b(i) + (xx - x(i)) .* y;
    end
end
end

```

Newton Divided Differences Interpolation

The following code takes in nodes (x, f) and approximation points xx to outputs approximated values y by creating an interpolating polynomial through the divided differences version of Newton interpolation.

```
disp(fileread('newton_divided_diff.m'));
```

```

function [ y, d ] = newton_divided_diff( x, f, xx )
    n = length(x);
    d = f;
    for k = 2:n
        for j = n:-1:k

```

```

        d(j) = (d(j) - d(j-1)) / (x(j) - x(j-k+1));
        % disp(d(j));
    end
end

y = zeros(1, length(xx));
y = y+d(n);
for k = n-1:-1:1
    y = d(k) + (xx - x(k)) .* y;
end
end
end

```

Lagrange Interpolation

The following code takes in nodes (x, f) and approximation points xx to outputs approximated values y by creating an interpolating polynomial through Lagrange interpolation.

```

disp(fileread('lagrange.m'));

function [y, l_saved] = lagrange(x, f, xx)
    y = 0;
    n = length(x);
    l_saved = zeros(1, n);
    for k = 1:n
        l_num = 1;
        l_den = 1;
        for j = 1:k-1
            l_num = l_num .* (xx - x(j));
            l_den = l_den .* (x(k) - x(j));
        end
        for j = k+1:n
            l_num = l_num .* (xx - x(j));
            l_den = l_den .* (x(k) - x(j));
        end
        l = l_num ./ l_den;
        if isscalar(l)
            l_saved(k) = l;
        else
            l_saved(k) = l(k);
        end
        y = y + f(k) * l;
    end
end
end

```

Chebyshev Interpolation

The following code takes in nodes (x, f) and approximation points xx to outputs approximated values y by creating an interpolating polynomial through Chebyshev interpolation.

```
disp(fileread('chebyshev.m'));

function [y, T] = chebyshev(x_data, f_data, x)
%
% y = chebfit(x_data, f_data, x);
%
% Construct and evaluate a Chebyshev representation of the
% polynomial that interpolates the data points (x_i, f_i):
%
%  $p = b(1)*T_0(x) + b(1)*T_1(x) + \dots + b(n)T_N(x)$ 
%
% where  $n = N+1$ , and  $T_j(x) = \cos(j*\text{acos}(x))$  is the  $j$ th Chebyshev
% polynomial.
%
n = length(x_data);
xmax = max(x_data);
xmin = min(x_data);
xx_data = (2*x_data - xmax - xmin)./(xmax - xmin);
T = zeros(n, n);
T(:,1) = ones(n,1);
T(:,2) = xx_data;
for j = 3:n
T(:,j) = 2*xx_data.*T(:,j-1) - T(:,j-2);
end
b = T \ f_data;
xx = (2*x - xmax - xmin)./(xmax - xmin);
y = zeros(size(x));
for j = 1:n
y = y + b(j)*cos( (j-1)*acos(xx) );
end
```

Equally Spaced Data Points on the Interval $[-1, 1]$

The following code generates 100 equally spaced nodes (x, e^x) from -1 to 1. It will then create an interpolating polynomial using the different interpolation methods. Then, 102500 sample points are then chose to test the interpolating polynomial and the maximum absolute error is calculated and put together in the table below.

```

close all;
warning('off', 'MATLAB:nearlySingularMatrix');
vandermonde_err = zeros(10, 1);
newton_err = zeros(10, 1);
newton_divided_diff_err = zeros(10, 1);
lagrange_err = zeros(10, 1);
chebyshev_err = zeros(10, 1);

for n = 10:10:100
    x = linspace(-1, 1, n)';
    f = exp(x);
    xx = linspace(-1, 1, 1025.*n);
    t = linspace(-1, 1, 1000);

    % Vandermonde / Power Series Interpolation
    err = max(abs(vandermonde(x, f, xx) - exp(xx)));
    vandermonde_err(n ./ 10) = err;
    % disp(err);
    % f1 = figure(1);
    % plot(t, vandermonde(x, f, t));

    % Newton Textbook Interpolation
    err = max(abs(newton(x, f, xx) - exp(xx)));
    newton_err(n ./ 10) = err;
    % disp(err);
    % f2 = figure(2);
    % plot(t, newton(x, f, t));

    % Netwon with Divided Differences Interpolation
    err = max(abs(newton_divided_diff(x, f, xx) - exp(xx)));
    newton_divided_diff_err(n ./ 10) = err;
    % disp(err);
    % f3 = figure(3);
    % plot(t, newton_divided_diff(x, f, t));

    % Lagrange Interpolation
    [y, l] = lagrange(x, f, xx);
    err = max(abs(y - exp(xx)));
    lagrange_err(n ./ 10) = err;
    % disp(err);
    % f4 = figure(4);
    % plot(t, lagrange(x, f, t));

    % Chebyshev Interpolation
    [y, T] = chebyshev(x, f, xx);
    err = max(abs(y - exp(xx)));

```

```

        chebyshev_err(n ./ 10) = err;
        % disp(err);
        % f5 = figure(5);
        % plot(t, chebyshev(x, f, t));
    end

vandermonde_err = categorical(compose('%0.7e', round(vandermonde_err, 7, ...
    'significant')));
newton_err = categorical(compose('%0.7e', round(newton_err, 7, 'significant')));
newton_divided_diff_err = categorical(compose('%0.7e', round(newton_divided_diff_err, ...
    7, 'significant')));
lagrange_err = categorical(compose('%0.7e', round(lagrange_err, 7, 'significant')));
chebyshev_err = categorical(compose('%0.7e', round(chebyshev_err, 7, 'significant')));

T1 = table(linspace(10,100,10)', vandermonde_err, newton_err, newton_divided_diff_err, ...
    'VariableNames', {'n', 'Vandermonde', 'Newton', 'Newton Divided Difference'});
disp('Table 4.3: Errors for Fitting e^x with Equally Spaced Nodes')
disp(T1);
T2 = table(linspace(10,100,10)', lagrange_err, chebyshev_err, 'VariableNames', ...
    {'n', 'Lagrange', 'Chebyshev'});
disp('Table 4.4: Errors for Fitting e^x with Chebyshev Nodes')
disp(T2);

```

Table 4.3: Errors for Fitting e^x with Equally Spaced Nodes

n	Vandermonde	Newton	Newton Divided Difference
10	3.8500810e-09	3.8500840e-09	3.8500830e-09
20	2.4069640e-13	3.5260680e-13	7.6383340e-14
30	1.8991340e-10	7.5025600e-11	3.6803670e-11
40	1.2017770e-07	1.8356500e-08	3.0007160e-08
50	7.1502350e-06	1.8936330e-05	1.2119690e-05
60	1.8725160e-02	6.1458640e-03	2.3528970e-02
70	1.0174630e+02	2.1747270e+04	1.4942710e+01
80	2.1759130e+05	7.6939990e+09	1.4798190e+04
90	1.9083950e+09	7.2711500e+15	9.5206610e+08
100	9.1045340e+13	6.0356540e+21	1.9562280e+13

Table 4.4: Errors for Fitting e^x with Chebyshev Nodes

n	Lagrange	Chebyshev
10	3.8500810e-09	3.8500820e-09
20	1.6906480e-12	5.9990900e-13
30	8.2540950e-10	5.0064400e-11
40	4.6020290e-07	5.9304010e-08
50	4.8220850e-04	2.7951690e-04
60	4.3500440e-01	2.7934060e-02
70	3.7496930e+02	2.9987960e+01

80	2.3503340e+05	1.3600450e+01
90	2.7500180e+08	8.8280160e+00
100	2.4248560e+11	3.8543940e+01

Chebyshev Points on the Interval [-1, 1]

The following code generates 100 nodes (x, e^x) using the Chebyshev method for generating points. This distribution will have more points near the ends of the interval and less near the center. Then, using the different interpolation methods, an interpolating polynomial is created. These interpolating polynomials are then tested with 102500 test points and the maximum absolute error is calculated. These maximums are then put together in the table below.

```
close all;
warning('off', 'MATLAB:nearlySingularMatrix');
vandermonde_err = zeros(10, 1);
newton_err = zeros(10, 1);
newton_divided_diff_err = zeros(10, 1);
lagrange_err = zeros(10, 1);
chebyshev_err = zeros(10, 1);

for n = 10:10:100
    i = linspace(1, n, n);
    a = -1;
    b = 1;
    x = (((b + a) ./ 2) - ((b - a) ./ 2) .* cos((2 .* i + 1) .* pi ./ ...
        (2 .* n + 2)))';

    f = exp(x);
    xx = linspace(-1, 1, 1025.*n);
    t = linspace(-1, 1, 1000);

    % Vandermonde / Power Series Interpolation
    err = max(abs(vandermonde(x, f, xx) - exp(xx)));
    vandermonde_err(n ./ 10) = err;
    % disp(err);
    % f1 = figure(1);
    % plot(t, vandermonde(x, f, t));

    % Newton Textbook Interpolation
    [y, b] = newton(x, f, xx);
    err = max(abs(y - exp(xx)));
    newton_err(n ./ 10) = err;
    % disp(err);
    % f2 = figure(2);
```

```

% plot(t, newton(x, f, t));

% Netwon with Divided Differences Interpolation
[y, d] = newton_divided_diff(x, f, xx);
err = max(abs(y - exp(xx)));
newton_divided_diff_err(n ./ 10) = err;
% disp(err);
% f3 = figure(3);
% plot(t, newton_divided_diff(x, f, t));

% Lagrange Interpolation
[y, l] = lagrange(x, f, xx);
err = max(abs(y - exp(xx)));
lagrange_err(n ./ 10) = err;
% disp(err);
% f4 = figure(4);
% plot(t, lagrange(x, f, t));

% Chebyshev Interpolation
[y, T] = chebyshev(x, f, xx);
err = max(abs(y - exp(xx)));
chebyshev_err(n ./ 10) = err;
% disp(err);
% f5 = figure(5);
% plot(t, chebyshev(x, f, t));
end

vandermonde_err = categorical(compose('%0.7e', round(vandermonde_err, 7, ...
'significant')));
newton_err = categorical(compose('%0.7e', round(newton_err, 7, 'significant')));
newton_divided_diff_err = categorical(compose('%0.7e', round(newton_divided_diff_err, ...
7, 'significant')));
lagrange_err = categorical(compose('%0.7e', round(lagrange_err, 7, 'significant')));
chebyshev_err = categorical(compose('%0.7e', round(chebyshev_err, 7, 'significant')));

T1 = table(linspace(10,100,10)', vandermonde_err, newton_err, newton_divided_diff_err, ...
'VariableNames', {'n', 'Vandermonde', 'Newton', 'Newton Divided Difference'});
disp(T1);
T2 = table(linspace(10,100,10)', lagrange_err, chebyshev_err, 'VariableNames', ...
{'n', 'Lagrange', 'Chebyshev'});
disp(T2);

```

n	Vandermonde	Newton	Newton Divided Difference
10	2.6972520e-08	2.6972520e-08	2.6972520e-08
20	2.2759570e-15	8.8817840e-16	8.8817840e-16

30	1.0658140e-14	7.2719610e-15	1.4988010e-15
40	1.9095840e-14	7.6605390e-15	9.9364960e-15
50	2.9865000e-14	1.6234970e-10	5.8359540e-11
60	5.4160010e-12	1.3197300e-05	2.8741780e-06
70	8.5729110e-10	6.5922410e+00	4.1672570e-01
80	1.9435480e-06	2.8392880e+04	2.9230400e+04
90	5.7297200e-03	1.7028360e+09	4.3492790e+08
100	8.3667620e+01	7.1864990e+15	4.0966120e+13
n	Lagrange	Chebyshev	
---	-----	-----	
10	2.6972510e-08	2.6972520e-08	
20	4.8294700e-14	2.2204460e-15	
30	5.4067860e-14	3.1086240e-15	
40	5.5178080e-14	4.5963230e-14	
50	2.0317080e-13	8.8928860e-14	
60	3.7414520e-13	1.1102230e-14	
70	2.2604140e-13	1.3233860e-13	
80	2.3658850e-13	2.6173510e-13	
90	1.0169640e-12	1.7746920e-13	
100	7.6183500e-13	2.0278220e-13	

Textbook Newton vs. Divided Difference Newton Interpolation Errors

The textbook implementation of Newton interpolation creates the least accurate interpolating polynomial of e^x for both equally spaced and Chebyshev nodes. The divided difference implementation of Newton interpolation creates a much better performing polynomial that approximates e^x . It ties for second worst with the Vandermonde / Power Series interpolating polynomial for equally spaced nodes, however it is worse than the Vandermonde / Power Series interpolating polynomial for Chebyshev nodes. This is because the textbook version uses the previously calculated polynomial to calculate the coefficient whereas the divided difference method recursively uses the previous two divided differences to calculate the next one. We can see this by calculating the differences between the coefficients from the textbook Newton interpolation and the divided differences from the divided differences version of Newton interpolation.

```
x = linspace(-1, 1, 100)';
f = exp(x);
xx = 0.994;

[y, b] = newton(x, f, xx);
[y, d] = newton_divided_diff(x, f, xx);
```

```

max_diff = 0;
b_m = 0;
d_m = 0;
for i=1:length(b)
    if abs(b(i) - d(i)) > max_diff
        b_m = b(i);
        d_m = d(i);
    end
end

fprintf(['Difference between polynomial and divided difference Newton ' ...
        'interpolation: %e\n'], abs((b_m - d_m) ./ d_m));

```

Difference between polynomial and divided difference Newton interpolation: 6.409826e+11

This error is around 10^{11} . Assuming the smallest $(x-x_i) \approx 0.01 = 10^{-2}$, the error is around 10^9 , which is approximately the difference seen between the maximum error of textbook's Newton interpolation and the divided-difference Newton interpolation at $n=100$.

The same process can be done with the Chebyshev points to compute the maximum difference in error between the textbook implementation and divided differences implementation of Newton interpolation.

```

n = 100;
i = linspace(1, n, n);
a = -1;
b = 1;
x = (((b + a) ./ 2) - ((b - a) ./ 2) .* cos((2 .* i + 1) .* pi ./ ...
      (2 .* n + 2)))';
f = exp(x);
xx = 0.994;

[y, b] = newton(x, f, xx);
[y, d] = newton_divided_diff(x, f, xx);

max_diff = 0;
b_m = 0;
d_m = 0;
for i=1:length(b)
    if abs(b(i) - d(i)) > max_diff
        b_m = b(i);
        d_m = d(i);
    end
end
end

```

```
fprintf(['Difference between polynomial and divided difference Newton ' ...
        'interpolation: %e\n'], abs((b_m - d_m) ./ d_m));
```

```
Difference between polynomial and divided difference Newton interpolation: 8.699348e+29
```

The result above is unreasonably large compared to the difference between the errors of the textbook and divided differences implementation of Newton interpolation. This suggests that likely an equally large negative difference in coefficient could have occurred to cancel out this large positive difference.

Vandermonde / Power Series Interpolation Error

The Vandermonde / Power Series interpolating polynomial ties for the second worst approximation of e^x for equally spaced nodes and is 3rd best for Chebyshev points. It has an error bounded by the condition number of the Vandermonde matrix times machine epsilon.

```
x = linspace(-1, 1, 100)';
i = linspace(1, 100, 100);
a = -1;
b = 1;
x_c = (((b + a) ./ 2) - ((b - a) ./ 2) .* cos((2 .* i + 1) .* pi ./ ...
        (2 .* 100 + 2)))';
fprintf('Error bound for equally spaced points Vandermonde: %e\n', ...
        cond(vander(x)) * eps());
fprintf('Error bound for Chebyshev points Vandermonde: %e\n', ...
        cond(vander(x_c)) * eps());
```

```
Error bound for equally spaced points Vandermonde: 2.736128e+04
Error bound for Chebyshev points Vandermonde: 1.213174e+05
```

For the Chebyshev points, the error is 3 decimal places below the error bound for the GEPP. This is because the calculation of the Chebyshev points loses 2 decimal places of accuracy. On the other hand, for the equally spaced points, the error is above the error bound for GEPP. This is likely because of the Runge phenomenon that states the error for an interpolating polynomial increases arbitrarily as n approaches infinity.

Lagrange Interpolation Error

The Lagrange interpolating polynomial has the second best approximation of e^x for both equally spaced nodes and Chebyshev points. We can calculate the error bound for Lagrange interpolation by the formula below.

```

x = linspace(-1, 1, 100)';
i = linspace(1, 100, 100);
a = -1;
b = 1;
x_c = (((b + a) ./ 2) - ((b - a) ./ 2) .* cos((2 .* i + 1) .* pi ./ ...
    (2 .* 100 + 2)))';
xx = 0.994;

[y, l] = lagrange(x, f, xx);
[y, l_c] = lagrange(x_c, f, xx);

err_bound = max(abs(l)) * eps();
err_bound_c = max(abs(l_c)) * eps();

fprintf('Error bound for equally spaced points Lagrange Interpolation: %e\n', ...
    err_bound);
fprintf('Error bound for Chebyshev points Lagrange Interpolation: %e\n', ...
    err_bound_c);

```

```

Error bound for equally spaced points Lagrange Interpolation: 1.347704e+10
Error bound for Chebyshev points Lagrange Interpolation: 2.211104e-16

```

The error bound for equally spaced points is quite accurate whereas the error bound for Chebyshev points about 3 decimal places off. This is because the calculation of the Chebyshev points loses 2 decimal places of accuracy.

Chebyshev Interpolation Error

The Chebyshev interpolating polynomial has the best approximation of e^x for both equally spaced nodes and Chebyshev points. Its error can be bounded by the error of the GEPP subprocess that occurs in the coefficient calculations. The error will be bounded by the condition number of the matrix T times machine epsilon.

```

x = linspace(-1, 1, 100)';
i = linspace(1, 100, 100);
a = -1;
b = 1;
x_c = (((b + a) ./ 2) - ((b - a) ./ 2) .* cos((2 .* i + 1) .* pi ./ ...
    (2 .* 100 + 2)))';
xx = 0.994;
[y, T] = chebyshev(x, f, xx);
[T_c, y_c] = chebyshev(x_c, f, xx);
fprintf('Error bound for equally spaced points Chebyshev Interpolation: %e\n', ...

```

```

        cond(T) * eps());
fprintf('Error bound for Chebyshev points Chebyshev Interpolation: %e\n', ...
        cond(T_c) * eps());

```

```

Error bound for equally spaced points Chebyshev Interpolation: 1.022029e+01
Error bound for Chebyshev points Chebyshev Interpolation: 2.220446e-16

```

The error bound for equally spaced points is quite accurate which suggests that the main source of error was from the GEPP subprocess that is used to calculate the coefficients of the polynomial. However the error bound for Chebyshev points is around 3 decimal places off. This is because the calculation of the Chebyshev points loses 2 decimal places of accuracy.