# A Course in Model Theory

# Katin Tent & Martin Ziegler

## October 26, 2020

# Contents

1	The Basics	2
	1.1 Structures	2
2	Elementary Extensions and Compactness	2
3	Quantifier Elimination 3.1 Preservation theorems	2

#### 1 The Basics

#### 1.1 Structures

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B}$  be L-structures. A map  $h:A\to B$  is called a **homomorphism** if for all  $a_1,\dots,a_n\in A$   $h(c^{\mathfrak{A}})=c^{\mathfrak{B}}$ 

### 2 Elementary Extensions and Compactness

### 3 Quantifier Elimination

#### 3.1 Preservation theorems

**Lemma 3.1** (Separation Lemma). Let  $T_1, T_2$  be two theories. Assume  $\mathcal{H}$  is a set of sentences which is closed under  $\land, \lor$  and contains  $\bot$  and  $\top$ . Then the following are equivalent

1. There is a sentence  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$  which separates  $T_1$  from  $T_2$ . This means

$$T_1 \vdash \varphi$$
 and  $T_2 \vdash \neg \varphi$ 

2. All models  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  of  $T_1$  can be separated from all models  $\mathfrak{A}_2$  of  $T_2$  by a sentence  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ . This means

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 \models \varphi$$
 and  $\mathfrak{A}_2 \models \neg \varphi$ 

*Proof.*  $2 \to 1$ . For any model  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  of  $T_1$  let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}_1}$  be the set of all sentences from  $\mathcal{H}$  which are true in  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ . (2) implies that  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}_1}$  and  $T_2$  cannot have a common model. By the Compactness Theorem there is a finite conjunction  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{A}_1}$  of sentences from  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}_1}$  inconsistent with  $T_2$ . Clearly

$$T_1 \cup \{ \neg \varphi_{\mathfrak{A}_1} \mid \mathfrak{A}_1 \models T_1 \}$$

is inconsistent  $\Box$