

001  
002  
003  
004  
005  
006  
007  
008  
009  
010  
011  
012  
013  
014

# Deep Search: Stochastic N-Player Planning in Shared-Resource Environments

2025

Author

george.manolachi@s.unibuc.ro

## Abstract

Recent advancements in Game AI have largely focused on two-player, zero-sum, deterministic environments (e.g. Chess, Go). However, multi-player environments with stochastic elements present distinct challenges in state-space complexity and opponent modeling. This paper presents **Deep Diver**, a comprehensive research testbed and AI agent designed for Deep Sea Adventure, a Japanese board game characterized by a shared resource constraint and stochastic movement. I propose a Monte Carlo Tree Search algorithm, modified to accommodate N-player dynamics and stochastic transitions via chance nodes.

## 1 Introduction

The field of Game AI has changed significantly over the past decade, driven by major breakthroughs in systems mainly designed for two-player, zero-sum games. Successes such as AlphaGo Zero have shown how powerful deep reinforcement learning and search algorithms can be when operating in deterministic, head-to-head environments.

This binary "win-loss" dynamic fails to capture the chaotic complexity of real world decision making. When an environment transitions from two players to  $N > 2$  players and introduces stochastic elements, the adversarial model fractures. And agent must now not only determine how to win, but whom to oppose and when to align with others. This challenge is deepened further in environments with shared resource constraints, where a "Tragedy of the Commons" dynamic forces agents to balance individual greed against the collective survival of the group.

To investigate these complexities, this research utilizes the board game **Deep Sea Adventure** as a testbed, in which, unlike games with independent resources (e.g. Catan), it couples the utility of all players to a single, shared oxygen tank. A greedy move by one player depletes the time and resources available to all others, instantly altering the viability of every other player's strategy.

015  
016  
017  
018  
019  
020  
021  
022  
023  
024  
025  
026  
027  
028  
029  
030  
031  
032  
033  
034  
035  
036  
037  
038  
039  
040  
041  
042  
043

044	<b>1.1 Contributions</b>	085
045	• <b>Game environment:</b> Complete game imple-	086
046	mentation in C++, used as a research testbed	087
047	and lightweight game engine simulating Deep	088
048	Sea Adventure.	089
049	• <b>Stochastic MCTS:</b> WIP	090
050	• <b>N-Player Backpropagation:</b> WIP	091
051	• <b>Behavioral Benchmarking:</b> WIP	092
052	<b>1.2 Summary of approach</b>	093
053	My approach focuses on modifying the standard	094
054	Monte Carlo Tree Search to accommodate the	095
055	specifics of Deep Sea Adventure. Standard MCTS	096
056	assumes a deterministic transition between states; I	097
057	replace this with a stochastic model where actions	
058	lead to Chance Nodes, which do not represent a	
059	decision, but rather a probabilistic branching point	
060	(simulating the dice roll) that resolves into a final	
061	state. A simulation phase that mimics "greedy"	
062	human play-outs is implemented to provide more	
063	accurate heuristic evaluations at the leaf nodes, en-	
064	suring that the agent does not underestimate the	
065	aggression of its opponents.	
066	<b>1.3 Motivation</b>	
067	I chose this project because Deep Sea Adventure of-	
068	fers a unique intersection of game theory and prob-	
069	ability that is currently under-explored in AI litera-	
070	ture. While other "Push-Your-Luck" games exist,	
071	very few enforce a coupled constraint as strictly as	
072	this game does. The shared oxygen tank creates	
073	a semi-cooperative dependency that dissolves into	
074	cutthroat competition as resources become scarce.	
075	Solving this requires an agent that is not just calcu-	
076	lating odds, but actively managing a shared econ-	
077	omy of risk, a problem set with broad applications	
078	in multi-agent autonomous systems and resource	
079	management.	
080	<b>1.4 Related Work</b>	
081	• (Browne et al., 2012)	
082	• (Cazenave and Jouandeau, 2010)	
083	• (Sturtevant, 2008)	
084	• (Schrittwieser et al., 2021)	
085	<b>2 Approach</b>	116
086	<b>2.1 Domain Description: The Mechanics of</b>	117
087	<b>Deep Sea Adventure</b>	118
088	To evaluate the efficacy of stochastic tree search, I	119
089	utilized the Japanese board game <i>Deep Sea Adven-</i>	120
090	<i>ture</i> as the research environment. Before detailing	121
091	the AI architecture, it is necessary to understand the	122
092	unique constraints this game imposes, which differ	123
093	significantly from standard testbeds like Chess or	124
094	Go.	125
095	The game is a multi-player "push-your-luck"	126
096	race consisting of $N$ players (2-6) and a linear path	127
097	of treasure chips. The core mechanics are:	128
098	• <b>Shared Resource Constraint:</b> All players	129
099	share a single oxygen supply, initialized at 25	130
100	units. The oxygen depletes at the start of every	131
101	turn based on the total number of treasures a	132
102	player is currently holding. This creates a	
103	"Tragedy of the Commons" dynamic where	
104	one player's greed penalizes the entire group.	
105	• <b>Stochastic Movement:</b> Players move by	
106	rolling two 3-sided dice. The effective move-	
107	ment $M$ is calculated as $M = \text{Roll}(2d3) - T_{held}$ , where $T_{held}$	
108	is the number of treasures carried. This introduces a negative feedback	
109	loop: greedier players move slower and con-	
110	sume more oxygen.	
111	• <b>The Goal:</b> The objective is to maximize col-	
112	lected treasure points ( $P$ ) while returning to	
113	the submarine before the oxygen reaches zero.	
114	Failure to return results in $P = 0$ .	
115	<b>2.2 Data Source &amp; Exploratory Data Analysis</b>	116
116	Unlike traditional supervised learning tasks rely-	117
117	ing on static datasets, this project utilizes Self-Play	118
118	Data Generation.	119
119	The preliminary data source consists of observa-	120
120	tional data from manual human play and theoretical	121
121	probability analysis of the game mechanics. Rather	122
122	than training on a pre-existing dataset, I derived	123
123	the constraints and heuristics from an analytical	124
124	decomposition of the game rules.	125
125	<b>2.2.1 Observation A: The Fairness of State</b>	126
126	<b>Zero</b>	127
127	Based on manual gameplay sessions, it was ob-	128
128	served that the Order of Play (the starting sequence	129
129	of players) appears to have negligible impact on	130
130	the final win probability. Unlike Chess or Connect-	131
131	4 (First-move advantage), the stochastic nature of	132

133 the dice and the shared Oxygen pool balances the  
134 initiative. Consequently, the state space at  $t = 0$  is  
135 assumed to be neutral, requiring no artificial handi-  
136 caps for model training.

## 137 2.2.2 Observation B: The "Greed Limit" 138 Probability

139 Through probability analysis of the movement me-  
140 chanics, a critical threshold for carrying capacity  
141 was identified. Movement is determined by rolling  
142  $2d3$ , resulting in an expected value of  $E[\text{move}] =$   
143 4. Since carrying treasure chips ( $b$ ) subtracts di-  
144 rectly from movement speed ( $v = \text{roll} - b$ ), we  
145 derive a mathematical "Greed Limit":

- 146 • **Safe Load** ( $b \leq 2$ ): The player retains a posi-  
147 tive expected velocity.
- 148 • **Critical Load** ( $b \geq 3$ ): The expected velocity  
149 drops to 1 or lower. Given the variance of  $2d3$ ,  
150 carrying 3 treasures makes the probability of  
151 a "stall" **33%**.

152 This mathematical boundary will serve as a hard  
153 heuristic for the "Random" agent in our baseline  
154 comparisons, preventing it from making mathemati-  
155 cally suicidal moves. From my tests so far, random  
156 agents almost always drown.

## 157 2.3 Models & Hardware Implementation

158 The core solver is a custom C++ implementation  
159 of Monte Carlo Tree Search.

### 160 2.3.1 The Model: Parallelized MCTS

- 161 • **Language:** C++20
- 162 • **Algorithm:** MCTS with UCT (Upper Confi-  
163 dence Bound for Trees). The implementation  
164 distinguishes between Decision Nodes (Player  
165 choices) and Chance Nodes (dice rolls).
- 166 • **Policy:** The simulation phase (rollout) will  
167 use the simple greedy heuristics derived in the  
168 EDA to terminate bad branches early.

### 169 2.3.2 Hardware Specifications

170 The implementation is tailored to use the **AMD**  
171 **Ryzen 9 9950x** CPU.

172 This CPU provides 16 cores and 32 threads. As  
173 MCTS is a highly parallelizable algorithm, I plan to  
174 utilize Root Parallelization, where multiple search  
175 trees are executed concurrently on separate threads,  
176 and their statistics are aggregated to select the final  
177 move. This approach also minimizes synchronisation  
178 overhead compared to Leaf Parallelisation.

## 179 2.4 Evaluation & Comparison Methods

180 To validate the effectiveness of the MCTS agent, I  
181 am considering the following metrics:

- 182 • **Baseline Dominance:** The MCTS agent will  
183 play 1000 games against a Random (Heuristic  
184 based) Agent. The targeted win rate is >95%
- 185 • **Search Efficiency:** Another benchmark will  
186 be done on the engine's performance by mea-  
187 suring Nodes Per Second, a standard practice  
188 for MCTS-based algorithms.
- 189 • **Heuristic Impact:** The Vanilla MCTS, using  
190 random rollouts, will be thoroughly compared  
191 to the Heuristic MCTS

## 192 3 Limitations

193 WIP

## 194 4 Conclusions and Future Work

195 WIP

## 196 References

197 Cameron B Browne, Edward Powley, Daniel White-  
198 house, Simon M Lucas, Peter I Cowling, Philipp  
199 Rohlfshagen, Stephen Tavener, Diego Perez, Spyridon  
200 Samothrakis, and Simon Colton. 2012. A survey  
201 of monte carlo tree search methods. *IEEE Transac-*  
202 *tions on Computational Intelligence and AI in games*,  
203 4(1):1–43.

204 Tristan Cazenave and Nicolas Jouandeau. 2010. Mont-  
205 ecarlo tree reductions for stochastic games. In *Pro-*  
206 *ceedings of the 2010 conference on Communcations*  
207 *and Networking in China*.

208 Julian Schrittwieser, Thomas Hubert, Amol Mandhane,  
209 Mohammadadmin Barekatain, Julian Schrittwieser, and  
210 David Silver. 2021. Planning in stochastic environ-  
211 ments with a learned model. *International Confer-*  
212 *ence on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.

213 Nathan R Sturtevant. 2008. An analysis of monte carlo  
214 tree search in multiplayer games. In *Computers and*  
215 *Games: 6th International Conference, CG 2008, Bei-*  
216 *jing, China, September 29-October 1, 2008. Proceed-*  
217 *ings 6*, pages 37–46. Springer.