# streaming algorithm Written Assignment #2

### 0656124 劉承順

### 2018/4/23

#### 1. Algorithm:

input: x

compute A(x) n times. save the results.

if the results have more than  $\frac{1}{2}n$  "Yes", claim x is a "Yes-instance", output "Yes-instance".

otherwise, claim x is a "No-instance", output "No-instance".

The time complexity is  $O(\log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \times \text{ time complexity of } A(x))$ . proof:

Suppose x is a "Yes-instance" w.l.o.g.

Let  $X_i = 1$  if A(x) = "Yes" at *i*th time. $(X_i = 0 \text{ if } A(x) = "No")$ 

Let  $X = \Sigma X_i$ .

Because A have 2/3 chance to be correct,  $Pr(A(x)="Yes")=\frac{2}{3}$ .

Then  $E(X) = \mu = \frac{2}{3}n$ .

By Chernoff bounds,

Pr[ $X \leq (1-\delta)\mu$ ]  $\leq e^{-\mu\frac{\delta^2}{2}}$ . Substitute  $\delta$  with  $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\mu$  with  $\frac{2}{3}n$ : Pr[ $X \leq \frac{1}{2}n$ ]  $\leq e^{-\frac{1}{48}n} = \frac{1}{a^n}$ , for some constant a > 1. We need  $\frac{1}{a^n} \leq \epsilon$ , just let  $n \geq \log\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ . And we have  $\Pr[X \geq \frac{1}{2}n] \geq 1 - \epsilon$ , that is, the probability that this algorithm is correct is more than  $1 - \epsilon$ .

### 2. **input**: stream of n elements(real number). Algorithm:

for every two pass $\{ / \text{until find } k\text{-th smallest number } \}$ randomly find  $n^{\frac{1}{c}}$  elements as pivots at first pass;

let  $c_i(0 \le i \le n^{\frac{1}{c}})$  be the number of elements which is bigger than *i*-th pivot and smaller than i + 1-th pivot. obtain all  $c_i$  at second pass.

suppose  $\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} c_j < k \leq \sum_{j=0}^{i} c_j$ , than the k-th smallest number must between i-th pivot and i+1-th pivot. so in next iteration, only consider elements between i-th pivot and i+1-th pivot.

This algorithm runs O(c) pass in best case and average case.  $O(n^{1-\frac{1}{c}})$  pass in worst case. Because every  $c_i$  cost  $O(\log n)$  space, the total space complexity is  $O(n^{\frac{1}{c}}\log n)$ .

#### 3. (a)

In case  $k=2, X_1$  and  $X_2$  are pairwise independent *iff* they are mutually independent. In case k=3, define  $x \in \{1,2,3,4\}$  with same probability for each element.

Let 
$$X_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & if & x \in \{1, 2\} \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$X_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & if & x \in \{1, 3\} \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$X_3 = \begin{cases} 1 & if & x \in \{1, 4\} \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$
we have  $P(X_1 = 1)P(X_2 = 1) = \frac{1}{4} = P(X_1 = 1 \land X_2 = 1),$ 

$$P(X_1 = 1)P(X_3 = 1) = \frac{1}{4} = P(X_1 = 1 \land X_3 = 1),$$

$$P(X_2 = 1)P(X_3 = 1) = \frac{1}{4} = P(X_2 = 1 \land X_3 = 1).$$
but  $P(X_1 = 1)P(X_2 = 1)P(X_3 = 1) = \frac{1}{8} \neq P(X_1 = 1 \land X_2 = 1 \land X_3 = 1)$ 

$$1) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

So pairwise independence does not imply mutually independence.

In case  $k \geq 4$ , by induction, if case k-1 is proved, add new variable  $X_k$ .

Let  $Pr[X_k = 0] = 1$ ,  $X_k$  is independent with  $X_1, ..., X_{k-1}$ .

 $X_1,...X_k$  are still pairwise independent, but  $X_1,X_2,X_3$  are not mutually independent.

So case k is also proved.

(b) 
$$cov(X,Y)=E[XY]-E[X]E[Y].$$
 If X,Y are independent,  $E[X]E[Y]=[\Sigma_i p_i X_i][\Sigma_j p_j Y_j]$ 

```
=\Sigma_{i,j}p_ip_jX_iY_j
   =\Sigma_{i,j}Pr[X=X_i \wedge Y=Y_j]X_iY_j
   =E[XY].
   So, cov(X,Y)=0.
   If X_1,...,X_n are pairwise independent,
   Var(X=\Sigma X_i)=\Sigma Var(X_i)+\Sigma_{i\neq j}cov(X_i,X_j)
   =\Sigma Var(X_i).
4. (a)
   Let F be one of MSF(G).
   Replace F \cap E_r with F_r.(They are both MSF(E_r))
   that is, F' = (F \setminus E_r) \cup F_r.
   F' is MSF(G), because the total weight of F' holds, and F' is still in
   G. And because both F \cap E_r and F_r connects all connected components
   of E_r, F' is a MSF.
   F' is also MSF(G'), so \mu(G) = \mu(G')
   Use disjoint-set forest with Union-by-rank.
   Input: a sequence of edges e_i = \{u_i, v_i\} and the edge weight w(e_i).
   Algorithm:
   F \leftarrow \emptyset;
   for each node x{ //init disjoint-set forest
   p[x] \leftarrow x, //set parent
   t[x] \leftarrow 0 //\text{weight}
   };
   for each incoming edge e_i {
   if (F \cup e \text{ is acyclic})
   F \leftarrow F \cup e_i;
   Union(u_i, v_i);
   If tree of u_i is higher than tree of v_i, p(root(v_i)) = root(u_i), t[root(v_i)] =
   w(e_i), vice versa.;
   }else{
   discard e_k whose weight w(e_k) is largest among all edges on the cycle;
   //to find it, travel from u_i to root(u_i), and v_i to root(v_i), find the min-
   imum t[x].
```

## output F;

this algorithm runs in O(mlogn) time, because trees of disjoint-set forest are of  $O(\log n)$  height.

5. (a) I don't know.