## INTRODUCTION TO THE PID CONTROLLER AND ITS SOFTWARE IMPLEMENTATION — HOMEWORK

## PANTELIS SOPASAKIS

Guidelines: Solve the following exercises and submit your answers by email at p.sopasakis @gmail.com. Your answers should be submitted in a single PDF file along with a ZIP file with your source code.

**Evaluation criteria:** Any correct answer is acceptable. You will be evaluated for the correctness of your answers and source code (85%) and the quality and readability of your source code (15%). The relative importance of each question is indicated in brackets.

**Deadline:** 20 February, 2019, by close of play (17:00)

In the lecture, we presented a discrete-time approximation of the PID controller using the following integral approximation

$$\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} e(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau \approx T_s e(t_k) \tag{1}$$

which is known as the rectangle rule.

(1) [10%] Give a discrete-time approximation of the PID controller using the *trapezoidal rule* or *trapezoid rule*, that is,

$$\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} e(\tau) d\tau \approx \frac{T_s}{2} \left( e(t_k) + e(t_{k-1}) \right)$$
 (2)

- (2) [10%] Write some simple pseudocode for the discrete-time PID controller you derived in question No. 1 using the trapezoidal rule.
- (3) [15%] Implement a discrete-time PID controller using the trapezoidal rule in MATLAB. You may build up on the source code found at https://alphaville.github.io/pid-101/matlab/.
- (4) [15%] Compare the discrete-time PID controller implementation you produced in question No. 3 to the one found at <a href="https://alphaville.github.io/pid-101/matlab/">https://alphaville.github.io/pid-101/matlab/</a>. Try out different sampling times. According to your observations, how does the sampling time affect the behaviour of the closed-loop system?
- (5) [20%] Suppose that due to a technical glitch, there is a constant bias of  $u_{\text{bias}} = 5^{\circ}$  on the steering angle, that is, the controller commands a control action u(t) to the wheels, but the actual steering angle is  $u(t) + u_{\text{bias}}$ . Perform simulations to demonstrate that a PD controller cannot eliminate the offset. According to your observations, what is the effect that  $K_p$  and  $K_d$  have on the offset?
- (6) [20%] Experiment with the values of the proportional gain  $(K_p)$ , the derivative gain  $(K_d)$  and the integral gain  $(K_i)$  and determine values that lead to a nice, non-oscillatory behaviour with no offset (there is no *single* correct answer). According to your observations, how do  $K_p$ ,  $K_d$  and  $K_i$  affect the frequency and amplitude of the oscillations of the error?
- (7) [10%] Simulate the controlled system starting from the initial position  $p_x(0) = 0$  m and  $p_y(0) = 1$  m and initial orientation  $\theta(0) = 0$  rad towards the set-point  $p_y^{\rm sp} = 1.5$  m using the PID controller from question No. 6.

Homework for the course "The PID controller and its software implementation." The slides are available at https://alphaville.github.io/pid-101/. The associated MATLAB source code can be found at https://github.com/alphaville/pid-101/matlab/. For questions you may reach me by email at p.sopasakis@gmail.com or in person in Office No. ... during office hours. Last updated: February 5, 2019.