

Appendix: a sketch of a $\det(AB)$ proof, for those who are interested

The conventional way to prove that $\det(AB) = (\det A)(\det B)$ is by using so-called ‘elementary row operations’. Here’s an alternative, if you’re interested. It involves some rules of *block matrices*, and can be formalised using proof by induction.

First, we need to establish a preliminary result. Consider a square matrix, D . We will create a bigger square matrix by adding one extra row and column, as follows

$$M_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} a & \mathbf{v} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & D \end{array} \right). \quad (2.11)$$

a is a single number, \mathbf{v} is an arbitrary row vector, and $\mathbf{0}$ is a column of zeros. For example, if

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

then M_1 might look like

$$M_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 5 & 6 & 7 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & 4 \end{array} \right)$$

(The dimensions of \mathbf{v} and $\mathbf{0}$ are determined by the sizes of the other elements in the matrix.) By expanding (2.11) down column 1, we see that

$$\det M_1 = a \det D$$

Now consider

$$M_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} a & b & \mathbf{u} \\ c & d & \mathbf{v} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & D \end{array} \right).$$

Expanding this down column 1 gives

$$\det M_2 = a \det \left(\begin{array}{c|c} d & \mathbf{v} \\ \hline 0 & D \end{array} \right) - c \det \left(\begin{array}{c|c} b & \mathbf{u} \\ \hline 0 & D \end{array} \right).$$

Using the earlier result for matrices of the form M_1 , this becomes

$$\det M_2 = ad \det D - cb \det D,$$

which can be written as

$$\det M_2 = \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \det D.$$

Hopefully you can now see the pattern. If we keep building matrices by adding a single row and column and column at a time, we can show in general that

$$\det \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline 0 & D \end{array} \right) = \det A \det D \quad (2.12)$$

where A and D are any square matrices. B is a rectangular matrix containing arbitrary elements, and 0 is a rectangular null matrix.

It also gives me a reason to write this out properly, because I can never remember how to do it when I want it, and end up working it out from scratch every time.

We now need a couple more facts about matrix multiplication. First, multiplying on the left by a *lower triangular* matrix adds multiples of one column to another. What I mean by this is, for example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 & 0 \\ \beta & \gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b + \alpha a & c + \beta a + \gamma b \\ d & e + \alpha d & f + \beta d + \gamma e \\ g & h + \alpha g & i + \beta g + \gamma h \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.13)$$

Second, matrices with the same block structure multiply as follows:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c|c} E & F \\ \hline G & H \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} AE + BG & AF + BH \\ \hline CE + DG & CF + DH \end{array} \right) \quad (2.14)$$

(where, for example, AE denotes the normal matrix multiplication of A and E .) You can check this result by writing down a couple of 4×4 matrices and multiplying them.

We're now ready to put all this together and construct the proof. Consider

$$\det \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & I \\ \hline 0 & B \end{array} \right)$$

We know that a determinant is unchanged by adding multiples of columns to each other. Therefore, from (2.13), a determinant of a matrix is unchanged if we multiply the matrix by a lower-triangular matrix on the left. Hence

$$\det \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & I \\ \hline 0 & B \end{array} \right) = \det \left[\left(\begin{array}{c|c} I & 0 \\ \hline -B & I \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & I \\ \hline 0 & B \end{array} \right) \right]$$

Carrying out the matrix multiplication using (2.14),

$$\det \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & I \\ \hline 0 & B \end{array} \right) = \det \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & I \\ \hline -AB & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Each block is $n \times n$, so we can take out n minus signs from the lower n rows of the determinant, to get

$$\det \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & I \\ \hline 0 & B \end{array} \right) = (-1)^n \det \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & I \\ \hline AB & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Then we can swap the first n columns with the second n columns. Each column swap introduces a factor of (-1) , so overall this cancels out the $(-1)^n$ in front:

$$\det \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & I \\ \hline 0 & B \end{array} \right) = \det \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I & A \\ \hline 0 & AB \end{array} \right).$$

Then, using (2.12) on both sides, we obtain

$$\det A \det B = \det AB$$

as required.

There's a subtlety here. To make the proof watertight, we need to look a bit more closely at the order in which multiples of columns are added to each other...