

Programmers' Niche: The Y of R

Vince Carey

Introduction

Recursion is a general method of function definition involving self-reference. In R, it is easy to create recursive functions. The following R function computes the sum of numbers from 1 to n inclusive:

```
> s <- function(n) {
+   if (n == 1) return(1)
+   return(s(n-1)+n)
+ }
```

Illustration:

```
> s(5)
```

```
[1] 15
```

We can avoid the fragility of reliance on the function name defined as a global variable, by invoking the function through `Recall` instead of using self-reference.

It is easy to accept the recursion/`Recall` facility as a given feature of computer programming languages. In this article we sketch some of the ideas that allow us to implement recursive computation without named self-reference. The primary inspiration for these notes is the article "The Why of Y" by Richard P. Gabriel (Gabriel, 1988).

Notation. Throughout we interpret $=$ and $=$ as the symbol for mathematical identity, and use $<-$ to denote programmatic assignment, with the exception of notation for indices in algebraic summations (e.g., $\sum_{i=1}^n$), to which we give the usual interpretation.

The punch line

We can define a function known as the applicative-order fixed point operator for functionals as

```
> Y <- function(f) {
+   g <- function(h) function(x) f(h(h))(x)
+   g(g)
+ }
```

The following function can be used to compute cumulative sums in conjunction with `Y`:

```
> csum <- function(f) function(n) {
+   if (n < 2) return(1);
+   return(n+f(n-1))
+ }
```

This call

```
> Y(csum)(10)
```

```
[1] 55
```

computes $\sum_{k=1}^{10} k$ without iteration and without explicit self-reference.

Note that `csum` is not recursive. It is a function that accepts a function `f` and returns a function of one argument. Also note that if the argument passed to `csum` is the "true" cumulative sum function, which we'll denote K , then `csum(K)(n)` will be equal to $K(n)$. In fact, since we "know" that the function `s` defined above correctly implements cumulative summation, we can supply it as an argument to `csum`:

```
> csum(s)(100)
```

```
[1] 5050
```

and we can see that

```
> csum(s)(100) == s(100)
```

```
[1] TRUE
```

We say that a function f is a fixed point of a functional F if $F(f)(x) = f(x)$ for all relevant x . Thus, in the calculation above example, we exhibit an instance of the fact that `s` is a fixed point of `csum`. This is an illustration of the more general fact that K (the true cumulative summation function) is a fixed point of `csum` as defined in R.

Now we come to the crux of the matter. `Y` computes a fixed point of `csum`. For example:

```
> csum(Y(csum))(10) == Y(csum)(10)
```

```
[1] TRUE
```

We will show a little later that `Y` is the applicative-order fixed point operator for functionals F , meaning that $Y(F)(x) = F(Y(F))(x)$ for all suitable arguments x . This, in conjunction with a uniqueness theorem for "least defined fixed points for functionals", allows us to argue that K (a fixed point of `csum`) and `Y(csum)` perform equivalent computations. Since we can't really implement K (it is an abstract mathematical object that maps, in some unspecified way, the number n to $\sum_{k=1}^n k$) it is very useful to know that we can (and did) implement an equivalent function in R.

Peeking under the hood

One of the nice things about R is that we can interactively explore the software we are using, typically by mentioning the functions we use to the interpreter.

```
> s
```

```
function(n) {
+   if (n == 1) return(1)
+   return(s(n-1)+n)
+ }
```

We have argued that $Y(csum)$ is equivalent to K , so it seems reasonable to define

```
> K <- Y(csum)
> K(100)
```

```
[1] 5050
```

but when we mention this to the interpreter, we get

```
> K
```

```
function (x)
f(h(h))(x)
<environment: 0x1494d48>
```

which is not very illuminating. We can of course drill down:

```
> ls(environment(K))
```

```
[1] "h"
```

```
> H <- get("h", environment(K))
> H
```

```
function (h)
function(x) f(h(h))(x)
<environment: 0x1494e0c>
```

```
> get("f", environment(H))
```

```
function(f) function(n) {
  if (n < 2) return(1);
  return(n+f(n-1))
}
```

```
> get("g", environment(H))
```

```
function (h)
function(x) f(h(h))(x)
<environment: 0x1494e0c>
```

The lexical scoping of R (Gentleman and Ihaka, 2000) has given us a number of closures (functions accompanied by variable bindings in environments) that are used to carry out the concrete computation specified by K . $csum$ is bound to f , and the inner function of Y is bound to both h and g .

Self-reference via self-application

Before we work through the definition of Y , we briefly restate the punch line: Y transforms a functional having K as fixed point into a function that implements a recursive function that is equivalent to K . We want to understand how this occurs.

Define

```
> ss <- function(f)function(n) {
+   if (n==1) return(1)
+   return(n+f(f)(n-1))
+ }
> s(100) # s() defined above
```

```
[1] 5050
```

```
> ss(ss)(100)
```

```
[1] 5050
```

s is intuitively a recursive function with behavior equivalent to K , but relies on the interpretation of s in the global namespace at time of execution.

$ss(ss)$ computes the same function, but avoids use of global variables. We have obtained a form of self-reference through self-application. This could serve as a reasonable implementation of K , but it lacks the attractive property possessed by $csum$ that $csum(K)(x) = K(x)$.

We want to be able to establish functional self-reference like that possessed by ss , but without requiring the seemingly artificial self-application that is the essence of ss .

Note that $csum$ can be self-applied, but only under certain conditions:

```
> csum(csum(csum(csum(88))))(4)
```

```
[1] 10
```

If the outer argument exceeded the number of self-applications, such a call would fail. Curiously, the argument to the innermost call is ignored.

Y

We can start to understand the function of Y by expanding the definition with argument $csum$. The first line of the body of Y becomes

```
g <- function(h) function(x) csum(h(h))(x)
```

The second line can be rewritten:

```
g(g) = function(x) csum(g(g))(x)
```

because by evaluating g on argument g we get to remove the first function instance and substitute g for h .

If we view the last “equation” syntactically, and pretend that $g(g)$ is a name, we see that $Y(csum)$ has created something that looks a lot like an instance of recursion via named self-reference. Let us continue with this impression with some R:

```
> cow <- function(x) csum(cow)(x)
> cow(100)
```

```
[1] 5050
```

Y has arranged bindings for constituents of g in its definition so that the desired recursion occurs without reference to global variables.

We can now make good on our promise to show that Y satisfies the fixed point condition $Y(F)(x) = F(Y(F))(x)$. Here we use an R-like notation to express formal relationships between constructs described above. The functional F is of the form

```
F = function(f) function(x) m(f,x)
```

where m is any R function of two parameters, of which the first may be a function. For any R function r ,

```
F(r) = function(x) m(r,x)
```

Now the expression $Y(F)$ engenders

```
g = function(h) function(x)F(h(h))(x)
```

and returns the value $g(g)$. This particular expression binds g to h , so that the value of $Y(F)$ is in fact

```
function(x) F(g(g))(x)
```

Because $g(g)$ is the value of $Y(F)$ we can substitute in the above and find

```
Y(F) = function(x)Y(F)(x)
      = function(x) F(Y(F))(x)
```

as claimed.

Exercises

1. There is nothing special about recursions having the simple form of `csum` discussed above. Interpret the following mystery function and show that it can be modified to work recursively with Y .

```
> myst = function(x) {
+   if (length(x) == 0)
+     return(x)
+   if (x[1] %in% x[-1])
+     return(myst(x[-1]))
+   return(c(x[1], myst(x[-1])))
+ }
```

2. Extend Y to handle mutual recursions such as Hofstadter's male-female recursion:

$$F(0) = 1, M(0) = 0,$$

$$F(n) = n - M(F(n - 1)),$$

$$M(n) = n - F(M(n - 1)).$$

3. Improve R's debugging facility so that debugging K does not lead to an immediate error.

Bibliography

- R. Gabriel. The why of Y . *ACM SIGPLAN Lisp Pointers*, 2:15–25, 1988.
- R. Gentleman and R. Ihaka. Lexical scope and statistical computing. *JCGS*, 9:491–508, 2000.