

3100 Homework 04: Spiderman's Workout

Created	@October 6, 2025 10:12 PM
⊙ Class	CSCI 3100 Algorithms

Spiderman's Workout

Problem Decomposition

Variant of Subset Sum problem, with constraints

Simply Put:

Given a list of positive integers m=[m0,m1,...,mn],

choose for each element either "+" (up) or "-" (down), applied sequentially, such that:

- 1. The cumulative sum never becomes negative at any point (Spiderman can't go below ground).
- 2. The final cumulative sum equals 0 (he ends back at ground level).
- 3. Among all such valid sequences, the **maximum cumulative sum** (the highest height he reaches) is **as small as possible**.

Subproblem Definition

Let

be the **minimum possible peak height** (maximum height reached so far) along the path after taking the first | moves from the movement array

$$m = [m_0, m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{n-1}],$$

and ending at current height h.

Recursion & Order of Subproblems

Base case

At the beginning:

$$f(0,0) = 0$$

He starts on the ground and hasn't climbed anywhere, so the highest height (peak) reached so far is 0.

All other heights are unreachable:

$$f(0, h > 0) = \infty$$

Recursive step (for i > 0)

For each step $[\cdot]$, Spiderman chooses to go **Up** or **Down** by distance $[m[\cdot-1]]$ (moves are 1-indexed in the theory).

Case 1 — Up move

He was previously at height h - m[i-1].

The new height is \mathbf{n} , and his peak might increase.

$$\mathrm{new}\ \mathrm{peak} = \mathrm{max}(f(i-1,\,h-m_{i-1}),\,h)$$

Case 2 — Down move

He was previously at height h + m[i-1].

Descending never raises the peak:

new peak =
$$f(i-1, h+m_{i-1})$$

Combined recurrence

If both moves are possible, choose the one with the smaller peak:

$$f(i,h) = \min \Big(f(i-1,\, h+m_{i-1}), \; \max(f(i-1,\, h-m_{i-1}),\, h) \Big)$$

With the conditions:

h - m[i-1] ≥ 0 (can't go below ground)

Ignore any terms involving unreachable states (∞).

Boundary & termination

After processing all n moves:

- If f(n, 0) < ∞, that's the minimal peak.
- If f(n, 0) = ∞, output "IMPOSSIBLE".

summary

Symbol	Meaning
m_i	size of the i-th climb (distance)
h	current height after i moves
f(i, h)	minimal possible maximum height after first i moves ending at h
max()	ensures we update the peak when climbing up
min()	chooses the better of up/down options
Base	f(0,0)=0
Answer	f(n,0)

Conclusion

```
f(i,h) = egin{cases} 0, & 	ext{if } i=0 	ext{ and } h=0; \ \infty, & 	ext{if } i=0 	ext{ and } h>0; \ \infty, & 	ext{if both transitions are invalid (unreachable);} \ f(i-1,\,h+m_{i-1}), & 	ext{if } h-m_{i-1}<0 	ext{ (can't go below ground);} \ \min \Big(f(i-1,\,h+m_{i-1}), \, \max(f(i-1,\,h-m_{i-1}),\,h)\Big), & 	ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}
```

Formally,

```
f(i,h) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } h = 0; \\ \infty, & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } h > 0; \\ f(i-1,h+m[i-1]), & \text{if } h-m[i-1] < 0 \text{ and } f(i-1,h+m[i-1]) < \infty; \\ \min \left( f(i-1,h+m[i-1]), \max(f(i-1,h-m[i-1]),h) \right), & \text{if } h-m[i-1] \geq 0; \\ \infty, & \text{if } (h-m[i-1] < 0 \text{ or } f(i-1,h-m[i-1]) = \infty) \text{ and } f(i-1,h+m[i-1]) = \infty. \end{cases}
```

Using Basic Example [1, 1, 1, 1] (Bottom-up), from $i = 0 \sim i = n$ Step 0 — Base case

i=0	h=0	h=1	h=2	h=3	h=4
f(0,h)	0	∞	∞	∞	∞

Start on the ground, peak = 0.

Indexing is done from 1, 0th index doesn't exist (base case)

Step 1 — Move 1 ($m_o = 1$)

h	Came Down from Above (h+1)	Came Up from Below (h-1)	f(1,h)
0	f(0,1)=∞	invalid	∞
1	f(0,2)=∞	max(f(0,0)=0, 1)=1	1
2	f(0,3)=∞	$\max(f(0,1)=\infty,2)=\infty$	∞
3	f(0,4)=∞	∞	∞
4	∞	∞	∞

i=1	h=0	h=1	h=2	h=3	h=4
f(1,h)	∞	1	∞	∞	∞

Step 2 — Move 2 $(m_1 = 1)$

Now use row 1 to compute row 2.

h	Came Down from Above (h+1)	Came Up from Below (h-1)	f(2,h)
0	f(1,1)=1	invalid	1
1	f(1,2)=∞	$\max(f(1,0)=\infty,1)=\infty$	∞
2	f(1,3)=∞	max(f(1,1)=1,2)=2	2
3	f(1,4)=∞	$\max(f(1,2)=\infty,3)=\infty$	∞
4	∞	∞	∞

i=2	h=0	h=1	h=2	h=3	h=4
f(2,h)	1	∞	2	∞	∞

Reachable heights: 0 (UD) and 2 (UU).

Step 3 — Move 3 $(m_2 = 1)$

Now from row 2.

h	Came Down from Above (h+1)	Came Up from Below (h-1)	f(3,h)
0	f(2,1)=∞	invalid	∞
1	f(2,2)=2	max(f(2,0)=1,1)=1	1

h	Came Down from Above (h+1)	Came Up from Below (h-1)	f(3,h)
2	f(2,3)=∞	$\max(f(2,1)=\infty,2)=\infty$	∞
3	f(2,4)=∞	max(f(2,2)=2,3)=3	3
4	f(2,5)=∞	∞	∞

i=3	h=0	h=1	h=2	h=3	h=4
f(3,h)	∞	1	∞	3	∞

Step 4 — Move 4 $(m_3 = 1)$

Now from row 3.

h	Came Down from Above (h+1)	Came Up from Below (h-1)	f(4,h)
0	f(3,1)=1	invalid	1
1	f(3,2)=∞	$\max(f(3,0)=\infty,1)=\infty$	∞
2	f(3,3)=3	max(f(3,1)=1,2)=2	2
3	f(3,4)=∞	$\max(f(3,2)=\infty,3)=\infty$	∞
4	f(3,5)=∞	max(f(3,3)=3,4)=4	4

i=4	h=0	h=1	h=2	h=3	h=4
f(4,h)	1	∞	2	∞	4

Final Result

• Target cell: f(4, 0) = 1

• Meaning: minimal possible peak = 1

• Optimal path example: U D U D

Runtime Analysis

• Time Complexity = O(n x m)

• n = number of movements

m = sum of all movements

• Space Complexity = O(m)

• Space Complexity can be optimized by using one row at a time.

Further Exploration

Modification	Adaptation required	Change in DP structure
Allow negative heights	Relax boundary condition	Domain of h expands
Minimize total climb distance	Replace max() with +	Objective changes
Add direction-change penalty	Add direction dimension d	State space doubles
Limit max building height H	Add transition constraint	Same recurrence
Change ending condition	Modify final selection	Same table
Count valid paths	Use addition instead of min/max	Switch to counting DP