



# Spiderman's Workout

## Spiderman's Workout

### Necessary Components

- Base Case =  $f(0, 0) = 0$ ,  $f(0, h > 0) = \text{inf}$
- Final Answer =  $f(n, 0)$
- Recursive Steps

$$f(i, h) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } h = 0; \\ \infty, & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } h > 0; \\ \infty, & \text{if both transitions are invalid (unreachable);} \\ f(i-1, h+m_{i-1}), & \text{if } h-m_{i-1} < 0 \text{ (can't go below ground);} \\ \min(f(i-1, h+m_{i-1}), \max(f(i-1, h-m_{i-1}), h)), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Order of table construction
  - bottom-up
  - top-down is impossible (we cannot arbitrary set a peak height)
- Time Complexity
  - $O(n \times \text{sum}(m))$
- Space Complexity
  - $O(2m)$  (optimized)
- Trivial Example to use
  - $[1, 1, 1, 1]$

### Problem Decomposition

- Variant of Subset Sum problem, with constraints

#### Simply Put:

Given a list of positive integers  $m=[m_0, m_1, \dots, m_n]$ ,

choose for each element either "+" (up) or "-" (down), applied sequentially, such that:

1. The cumulative sum never becomes negative at any point (Spiderman can't go below ground).
2. The final cumulative sum equals 0 (he ends back at ground level).
3. Among all such valid sequences, the **maximum cumulative sum** (the highest height he reaches) is **as small as possible**.

## Subproblem Definition

Let

$$f(i, h)$$

be the **minimum possible peak height** (maximum height reached so far) along the path after taking the first  $i$  moves from the movement array

$$m = [m_0, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n-1}],$$

and ending at current height  $h$ .

If it's impossible to reach height  $h$  after  $i$  moves without going below ground, then  $f(i, h) = \infty$ .

---

## Recursion & Order of Subproblems

### Base case

At the beginning:

$$f(0, 0) = 0$$

He starts on the ground and hasn't climbed anywhere, so the highest height (peak) reached so far is 0.

All other heights are unreachable:

$$f(0, h > 0) = \infty$$


---

### Recursive step (for $i > 0$ )

For each step  $i$ , Spiderman chooses to go **Up** or **Down** by distance  $m[i-1]$  (moves are 1-indexed in the theory).

### Case 1 — Up move

He was previously at height  $h - m[i-1]$ .

The new height is  $h$ , and his peak might increase.

$$\text{new peak} = \max(f(i-1, h - m_{i-1}), h)$$

## Case 2 — Down move

He was previously at height  $h + m[i-1]$ .

Descending never raises the peak:

$$\text{new peak} = f(i-1, h + m_{i-1})$$

---

## Combined recurrence

If both moves are possible, choose the one with the smaller peak:

$$f(i, h) = \min \left( f(i-1, h + m_{i-1}), \max(f(i-1, h - m_{i-1}), h) \right)$$

With the conditions:

- $h - m[i-1] \geq 0$  (can't go below ground)
- Ignore any terms involving unreachable states ( $\infty$ ).

---

## Boundary & termination

After processing all  $n$  moves:

- If  $f(n, 0) < \infty$ , that's the minimal peak.
- If  $f(n, 0) = \infty$ , output "IMPOSSIBLE".

---

## summary

Symbol	Meaning
$m_i$	size of the $i$ -th climb (distance)
$h$	current height after $i$ moves
$f(i, h)$	minimal possible <i>maximum height</i> after first $i$ moves ending at $h$
$\max()$	ensures we update the peak when climbing up
$\min()$	chooses the better of up/down options
Base	$f(0,0)=0$
Answer	$f(n,0)$

## Conclusion

$$f(i, h) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } h = 0; \\ \infty, & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } h > 0; \\ \infty, & \text{if both transitions are invalid (unreachable);} \\ f(i-1, h+m_{i-1}), & \text{if } h-m_{i-1} < 0 \text{ (can't go below ground);} \\ \min(f(i-1, h+m_{i-1}), \max(f(i-1, h-m_{i-1}), h)), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Formally,

$$f(i, h) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } h = 0; \\ \infty, & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } h > 0; \\ f(i-1, h+m[i-1]), & \text{if } h-m[i-1] < 0 \text{ and } f(i-1, h+m[i-1]) < \infty; \\ \min(f(i-1, h+m[i-1]), \max(f(i-1, h-m[i-1]), h)), & \text{if } h-m[i-1] \geq 0; \\ \infty, & \text{if } (h-m[i-1] < 0 \text{ or } f(i-1, h-m[i-1]) = \infty) \text{ and } f(i-1, h+m[i-1]) = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Using Basic Example [1, 1, 1, 1] (Bottom-up), from  $i = 0 \sim i = n$

### Step 0 — Base case

i=0	h=0	h=1	h=2	h=3	h=4
f(0,h)	0	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$

Start on the ground, peak = 0.

Indexing is done from 1, 0th index doesn't exist (base case)

### Step 1 — Move 1 ( $m_0 = 1$ )

h	Came Down from Above (h+1)	Came Up from Below (h-1)	f(1,h)
0	f(0,1)= $\infty$	invalid	$\infty$
1	f(0,2)= $\infty$	max(f(0,0)=0, 1)=1	1
2	f(0,3)= $\infty$	max(f(0,1)= $\infty$ , 2)= $\infty$	$\infty$
3	f(0,4)= $\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$
4	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$

i=1	h=0	h=1	h=2	h=3	h=4
f(1,h)	$\infty$	1	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$

### Step 2 — Move 2 ( $m_1 = 1$ )

Now use row 1 to compute row 2.

h	Came Down from Above (h+1)	Came Up from Below (h-1)	f(2,h)
0	$f(1,1)=1$	invalid	<b>1</b>
1	$f(1,2)=\infty$	$\max(f(1,0)=\infty,1)=\infty$	$\infty$
2	$f(1,3)=\infty$	$\max(f(1,1)=1,2)=2$	<b>2</b>
3	$f(1,4)=\infty$	$\max(f(1,2)=\infty,3)=\infty$	$\infty$
4	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$

i=2	h=0	h=1	h=2	h=3	h=4
f(2,h)	<b>1</b>	$\infty$	<b>2</b>	$\infty$	$\infty$

Reachable heights: 0 (UD) and 2 (UU).

### Step 3 — Move 3 ( $m_2 = 1$ )

Now from row 2.

h	Came Down from Above (h+1)	Came Up from Below (h-1)	f(3,h)
0	$f(2,1)=\infty$	invalid	$\infty$
1	$f(2,2)=2$	$\max(f(2,0)=1,1)=1$	<b>1</b>
2	$f(2,3)=\infty$	$\max(f(2,1)=\infty,2)=\infty$	$\infty$
3	$f(2,4)=\infty$	$\max(f(2,2)=2,3)=3$	<b>3</b>
4	$f(2,5)=\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$

i=3	h=0	h=1	h=2	h=3	h=4
f(3,h)	$\infty$	<b>1</b>	$\infty$	<b>3</b>	$\infty$

### Step 4 — Move 4 ( $m_3 = 1$ )

Now from row 3.

h	Came Down from Above (h+1)	Came Up from Below (h-1)	f(4,h)
0	$f(3,1)=1$	invalid	<b>1</b>
1	$f(3,2)=\infty$	$\max(f(3,0)=\infty,1)=\infty$	$\infty$
2	$f(3,3)=3$	$\max(f(3,1)=1,2)=2$	<b>2</b>
3	$f(3,4)=\infty$	$\max(f(3,2)=\infty,3)=\infty$	$\infty$
4	$f(3,5)=\infty$	$\max(f(3,3)=3,4)=4$	<b>4</b>

i=4	h=0	h=1	h=2	h=3	h=4
f(4,h)	<b>1</b>	$\infty$	<b>2</b>	$\infty$	<b>4</b>

## Final Result

- Target cell: `f(4, 0) = 1`
- Meaning: minimal possible peak = **1**
- Optimal path example: **U D U D**

## Runtime Analysis

- Time Complexity =  $O(n \times m)$ 
  - $n$  = number of movements
  - $m$  = sum of all movements
- Space Complexity =  $O(m)$ 
  - Space Complexity can be optimized by using one row at a time.

## Further Exploration

Modification	Adaptation required	Change in DP structure
Allow negative heights	Relax boundary condition	Domain of $h$ expands
Minimize total climb distance	Replace <code>max()</code> with <code>+</code>	Objective changes
Add direction-change penalty	Add direction dimension <code>d</code>	State space doubles
Limit max building height $H$	Add transition constraint	Same recurrence
Change ending condition	Modify final selection	Same table
Count valid paths	Use addition instead of min/max	Switch to counting DP