

Numerical study of the airflow over a high-altitude pseudo-satellite wing

PhD update

Carlo Brunelli

October 25, 2023



**von KARMAN INSTITUTE
FOR FLUID DYNAMICS**

PhD presentation after 1.5 years

Sections

1 Numerical Methods

- ▶ Numerical Methods
- ▶ Performances
- ▶ Synthetic Eddy Method
- ▶ Laminar Separation Bubble
- ▶ Uncertainty Quantification
- ▶ Other activities
- ▶ Element of novelty
- ▶ Next steps

Numerical Method implemented

1 Numerical Methods

The numerical method currently implemented are:

- Variational Multiscale Method (VMS)
- Streamline upwind Petrov–Galerkin (SUPG)

Different solution method are available for all of them

- Non-linear (NLIN)
- Linearized-Coupled (LC-VMS)
- Linearized-Segregated (LS-VMS)

Variational Multiscale Method

1 Numerical Methods

- Evolution of the SUPG
- Implicit LES
- It does not need calibration
- Residual-based stabilization

Galkerkin formulation

1 Numerical Methods

Conservation of mass:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0 \quad (1)$$

Conservation of momentum:

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} + \nabla p - \nu \Delta \vec{u} - f = 0 \quad (2)$$

Variational Formulation

$$B^G = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} \cdot \vec{v} \, d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} \, d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \nabla(p) \cdot \vec{v} \, d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \nu \nabla \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{v} \, d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} f \cdot \vec{v} \, d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} q(\nabla \cdot \vec{u}) \, d\Omega = 0 \quad (3)$$

Stabilization equations

1 Numerical Methods

$$B^{SUPG}(t, (\vec{u}, p), (\vec{v}, q)) = \int_{\Omega} (\tau_m (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{v} + \nabla q) \cdot \vec{R}_m) d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \tau_c (\nabla \cdot \vec{v}) R_c d\Omega \quad (4)$$

$$B^{VMS1}(t, (\vec{u}, p), (\vec{v}, q)) = \int_{\Omega} (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{v}') \odot (\tau_m \vec{R}_m) d\Omega \quad (5)$$

$$B^{VMS2}(t, (\vec{u}, p), (\vec{v}, q)) = - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \vec{v} \odot (\tau_m \vec{R}_m \otimes \tau_m \vec{R}_m)) d\Omega \quad (6)$$

Stabilization parameters

1 Numerical Methods

$$\tau_m = \left(\frac{4}{\Delta t^2} + \vec{u} \cdot G \vec{u} + C_I \nu^2 G : G \right)^{-1/2} \quad (7)$$

$$\tau_c = (\tau_c \vec{g} \cdot \vec{g})^{-1} \quad (8)$$

Where G is the inverse of the gradient of the map cell. For a cubed shaped element, with h the edge length, $G_{ij} = \frac{1}{h^2} \delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta.

Linearization

1 Numerical Methods

$$\tilde{u} = 2.1875u^n - 2.1875u^{n-1} + 1.3125u^{n-2} - 0.3125u^{n-3} \quad (9)$$

$$(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla)\vec{u} \Rightarrow (\tilde{\vec{u}} \cdot \nabla)\vec{u} \quad (10)$$

Boundary Layer Initialization

1 Numerical Methods

- Avoid Instabilities (close to the leading edge)
- Avoid velocity ramping
- Higher time-step

Using the function exploited by RANS solvers for the wall distance for computing turbulent parameters but now used to detect the airfoil's contour

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u_p|^{p-2} \nabla u_p) = -1 & x \in \Omega \\ u_p = 0 & x \in \Omega_D \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

The true-wall distance is provided by solving the equation for $p \rightarrow \infty$ Solved using a method that resembles Picard's

Boundary Layer Initialization

1 Numerical Methods

The velocity in the x direction in the region identified can be with a simple cubic function (12) where $dn = d/\delta_{99}$, d is the minimum distance to the airfoil, u_∞ is the free-stream flow speed.

$$f(dn) = \begin{cases} u_\infty & dn > 1 \\ (-dn^2 + 2 \cdot dn) \cdot u_\infty & dn < 1 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

The boundary layer function has been obtained by fixing the following boundary conditions:

- Continuity with the external flow, $f(1) = 1$
- Smooth transition between boundary layer and external flow, $f'(1) = 0$
- Non-slip condition at the wall, $f(0) = 0$

Boundary Layer Initialization

1 Numerical Methods

It results in a low-speed zone close to the airfoil, avoiding high speed in really small cells useful for capturing the boundary layer.




Figure: Boundary Layer Initialization

Sections

2 Performances

- ▶ Numerical Methods
- ▶ **Performances**
- ▶ Synthetic Eddy Method
- ▶ Laminar Separation Bubble
- ▶ Uncertainty Quantification
- ▶ Other activities
- ▶ Element of novelty
- ▶ Next steps

Weak Scaling

2 Performances

Weak scalability: the solution time almost does not change with constant problem size per processor




Figure: Taylor Green weak scalability

Strong Scaling

2 Performances

Strong scalability: doubling the number of processors halves the solution time




Figure: Taylor Green Strong scalability

Sections

3 Synthetic Eddy Method

- ▶ Numerical Methods
- ▶ Performances
- ▶ **Synthetic Eddy Method**
- ▶ Laminar Separation Bubble
- ▶ Uncertainty Quantification
- ▶ Other activities
- ▶ Element of novelty
- ▶ Next steps

SyntheticEddyMethod.jl

3 Synthetic Eddy Method

- publication
- presented at *JuliaCon2023* at MIT

Features:

- Create fluctuations that respect the divergence-free condition (DFSEM)
- Create velocity fluctuations for inlet boundary conditions
- Create coherent eddies in 3D domain
- Define custom Reynolds Stress Tensor
- Import from file custom Reynolds Stress Tensor

Synthetic Eddy Method

3 Synthetic Eddy Method

Reynolds decomposition:

$$\vec{u}(\vec{x}, t) = \vec{U}(\vec{x}, t) + \vec{u}'(\vec{x}, t) \quad (13)$$

Compute velocity fluctuations, using a suitable shape function:

$$u_i(\mathbf{x}) = U_i(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=1}^N a_{ij} \epsilon_j^k f_{\sigma(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^k) \quad (14)$$

Sections

4 Laminar Separation Bubble

- ▶ Numerical Methods
- ▶ Performances
- ▶ Synthetic Eddy Method
- ▶ **Laminar Separation Bubble**
- ▶ Uncertainty Quantification
- ▶ Other activities
- ▶ Element of novelty
- ▶ Next steps

Simulations


4 Laminar Separation Bubble

- VMS linearized coupled sd7003s - Re 60 000 - AoA 4°
- VMS linearized segregated DU89 - Re 250 000 — 500 000 - AoA 1° — 5°

Models

4 Laminar Separation Bubble

sd7003s - Re 60 000 - AoA 4°



VMS linearized coupled sd7003s

4 Laminar Separation Bubble

Copuled: velocity and pressure are solved at the same time. $Re\ 60\ 000 - AoA\ 4^\circ$.

Initialization with velocity-ramping





Figure: Comparison with VMS literature results

VMS linearized coupled sd7003s

4 Laminar Separation Bubble





Figure: Bubble position function of freestream turbulence intensity

VMS Linearized-Segregated

4 Laminar Separation Bubble



Segregated: each time step pressure and velocity system are solved one after the other multiple times. It is possible to re-use the matrices and preconditioner. It is an iterative method.



VMS Linearized-Segregated

4 Laminar Separation Bubble



PhD research aims to simulate a new airfoil. $Re\ 250\ 000 - AoA\ 1^\circ$



VMS Linearized-Segregated

4 Laminar Separation Bubble



Re 250 000 - AoA 5°



VMS Linearized-Segregated

4 Laminar Separation Bubble



Re 500 000 - AoA 1°



VMS Linearized-Segregated

4 Laminar Separation Bubble

Re 500 000 - AoA 5°



Mesh Sensitivity

4 Laminar Separation Bubble

Mesh settings	\mathcal{C}	\mathcal{M}	\mathcal{F}	\mathcal{SF}
Airfoil divisions	150	200	200	300
Z divisions	12	16	22	16
First cell height [m]	4.8e-6	2.8e-6	1.6e-6	1.6e-6
Number of Cells	4.1e5	6.6e5	8.5e6	8.9e6
CL	0.3539	0.3514	0.3504	0.3519
CD	0.00915	0.00950	0.00929	0.00947
Separation (x/c)	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60

Table: Mesh sensitivity analysis DU89, Reynolds 500 000, Aoa 1°

Time Sensitivity

4 Laminar Separation Bubble

Mesh	\mathcal{C}	\mathcal{C}	\mathcal{M}	\mathcal{M}
Time average[s]	10	20	10	20
CL	0.3538	0.3539	0.3516	0.3514
CD	0.00910	0.00915	0.00939	0.00950
Separation (x/c)	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60

Table: Time average sensitivity analysis DU89, Reynolds 500 000, Aoa 1°

dt[s]	$2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5 \cdot 10^{-4}$
CL	diverged	0.3514	0.3511
CD	diverged	0.00950	0.00911
Separation (x/c)	diverged	0.60	0.60

Table: Time sensitivity analysis DU89, Reynolds 500 000, Aoa 1°

Sections

5 Uncertainty Quantification

- ▶ Numerical Methods
- ▶ Performances
- ▶ Synthetic Eddy Method
- ▶ Laminar Separation Bubble
- ▶ **Uncertainty Quantification**
- ▶ Other activities
- ▶ Element of novelty
- ▶ Next steps

Model Variables

5 Uncertainty Quantification

RANS Re 60 000 - AoA 4° sd7003.

- TI ($\mu = 5.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$; $\sigma = 2 \cdot 10^{-4}$)- turbulence intensity
- $\mu_r \in [1, 10]$ - turbulent viscosity ratio

$k - \omega$ parameters:


- $\sigma\omega_1$ ($\mu = 0.5$; $\sigma = 0.0667$)
- α_1 ($\mu = 0.31$; $\sigma = 0.03$)
- β^* ($\mu = 0.09$; $\sigma = 0.0041$)

γRe_θ parameters

- $s_1 \in [2, 11]$
- $C_1 \in [2, 4]$

Sobol Indexes

5 Uncertainty Quantification



Sections

6 Other activities

- ▶ Numerical Methods
- ▶ Performances
- ▶ Synthetic Eddy Method
- ▶ Laminar Separation Bubble
- ▶ Uncertainty Quantification
- ▶ **Other activities**
 - ▶ Element of novelty
 - ▶ Next steps

Other activities

6 Other activities

- Synthetic Eddy Method publication
- JuliaCon 2023 conference
- Co-author AIAA 2023 conference paper
- Testing standard passive flow controls (no improvement in aerodynamic efficiency)

Sections

7 Element of novelty

- ▶ Numerical Methods
- ▶ Performances
- ▶ Synthetic Eddy Method
- ▶ Laminar Separation Bubble
- ▶ Uncertainty Quantification
- ▶ Other activities
- ▶ **Element of novelty**
- ▶ Next steps

Elements of novelty

7 Element of novelty

- Systematic usage of Julia in fluid-dynamics
- Usage of VMS for high Reynolds airfoil
- First LES code in Julia - fully parallelized - working with 3D airfoils up to Re 500 000
- Synthetic Eddy Method coded in Julia and coupled with the VMS

It has been challenging, but it seems we are on the right path!

Sections

8 Next steps

- ▶ Numerical Methods
- ▶ Performances
- ▶ Synthetic Eddy Method
- ▶ Laminar Separation Bubble
- ▶ Uncertainty Quantification
- ▶ Other activities
- ▶ Element of novelty
- ▶ **Next steps**

Next steps

8 Next steps

Expected papers:

- VMS paper (prof. Janssens reading)
- Experimental validation of the LS-VMS - publish paper
- LC-VMS - (publish paper?)

Expected conferences:

- AIAA2024 conferences (LS-VMS, LC-VMS)
- DLES14 (VMS usage, validation test cases)
- ICAS2024 (Passive Flow Controls)

Expected research:

- Uncertainty Quantification using Polynomials Chaos Transformation on $\gamma - Re_\theta$ and VMS
- Start coding the adjoint optimization
- Test a passive flow control with the VMS