

# **Integration of Software Architecture and Process Models**

**Project:** Temporary Staff Coordination System (TSWMS)

**Organization:** Department of Industrial Management, University of Kelaniya

**Student ID:** IM/2022/055

**Student Name :** Chamika Denuwan

---

## 1. Introduction

The effectiveness of the **Temporary Staff Coordination System (TSWMS)** depends on the careful integration of software process methodologies and architectural decisions. This project aims to replace manual, document-based operations—characterized by paper-based marking sheets and manual salary calculations—with a centralized digital solution.

The project adopts an **Agile methodology** to accommodate evolving departmental needs through iterative development. While a **Microservice architecture** is planned for the final implementation to ensure high scalability and modularity, this report justifies the integration of **SOLID principles** and the **C4 architectural model** to ensure long-term stability<sup>55555555</sup>.

---

## 2. Software Process Methodology

The development of TSWMS follows an **Agile** methodology. This addresses the dynamic reality that departmental requirements (such as specific evaluation criteria or leave policies) evolve over time.

- **User Stories:** The project utilizes detailed user stories (e.g., US1 for HOD uploading candidate lists, US16 for staff applying for leave) to break down complex coordination tasks into deliverable increments.
- **Stakeholder Feedback:** Continuous feedback from the Head of Department (HOD) and Staff Coordinator ensures the system remains aligned with university policies.

---

## 3. System and Design Thinking

### System Thinking (The Big Picture)

Instead of viewing "manual salary errors" as isolated incidents, System Thinking identifies the root cause: the lack of communication between attendance books and the finance spreadsheet.

- **Traditional View:** "The salary calculation is wrong; let's recount the attendance books.".
- **System Thinking View:** "The calculation is wrong because data is fragmented across@

@physical books and disconnected Google Forms.

## Design Thinking (Human Needs)

By applying **Empathic** mindsets, the project focuses on the users' pain points, such as mentors' difficulty in tracking mentee job descriptions (JDs).

- **Divergent Thinking:** Brainstorming various features like biometric integration, mobile apps, and automated service letters.
- **Convergent Thinking:** Selecting essential features for the MVP, such as the automated marking scheme and role-based login, to solve the department's immediate efficiency gaps.

---

## 4. Software Architecture: Microservices for TSWMS

### 1. Architectural Overview

Unlike a monolithic system, the TSWMS utilizes a **Microservice architecture**. Functional modules—such as Registration, Leave Management, and Salary Reporting—are built as independent services<sup>17</sup>.

### 2. Justification for Microservices

- **Modularity and Independence:** Each service (e.g., Interview Evaluation) can be built, tested, and deployed independently, which is vital for a complex departmental system.
- **Scalability:** The system can scale to accommodate more departments or an increasing number of temporary staff in future semesters without affecting core stability.
- **Technology Flexibility:** Different modules can use the most suitable tools (Spring Boot for backend, React for frontend) while sharing a centralized MySQL database.

---

## 5. Integration of SOLID Principles

Implementing SOLID principles ensures the system is resilient to change.

- **Single Responsibility:** The SalaryController handles only salary-related logic, ensuring changes to pay rates don't affect the InterviewController.

- **Open/Closed:** The system allows adding new types of staff evaluations by extending existing classes rather than rewriting the core interview logic.
- **Interface Segregation:** Mentors only see the "Assigned Mentee" interface, while the HOD sees the "Salary Approval" interface.

## 6. Integration of the C4 Model

### Level 1: Context Diagram

- **System:** Temporary Staff Coordination System.
- **External Actors:** University Admin (provides candidate data).
- **Internal Actors:** HOD, Coordinator, Mentors, and Temporary Staff.

Figure 1. C4 Level 1: Context Diagram for Temporary Staff Coordination System



A high-level view showing the system and its interactions with primary external and internal users.

### Level 2: Container Diagram

- **Web Application (React):** The responsive user interface for all roles.
- **API Application (Spring Boot):** The logic engine handling leave, registration, and task assignment.
- **Database (MySQL):** Stores user credentials, JDs, and attendance records.

### **Level 3: Component Diagram**

- **Registration Component:** Manages interview marks and shortlisting.
  - **Leave Component:** Handles substitute filtering and HOD approval.
  - **Notification Component:** Sends reminders for contract expiry and task deadlines.
- 

## **7. Project Feasibility and Costing**

The project is economically viable through **Azure Cloud** hosting.

- **Estimated Monthly Cost:** Approximately **\$49.05**.
- **Efficiency Gains:** Automation of salary reports and service letters significantly reduces manual administrative hours.

Would you like me to create the **Level 1 Context Diagram** image tag for your system to include in this report?