Suppose λ is an eigenvalue of T and v a corresponding eigenvector. Then

$$0 = (T - 2I)(T - 3I)(T - 4I)v$$

$$= (T^3 - 9T^2 + 26T - 24I)v$$

$$= T^3v - 9T^2v + 26T - 24v$$

$$= \lambda^3v - 9\lambda^2v + 26\lambda v - 24v$$

$$= (\lambda^3 - 9\lambda^2 + 26\lambda - 24)v$$

If null $T = \{0\}$ (because it implies surjectivity) or range $T = \{0\}$ the result is obvious. Assume both contain non-zero vectors.

Since null $T \neq \{0\}$, we have that 0 is an eigenvalue of T and that E(0,T) = null T. Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ denote the other distinct eigenvalues of T. Now 5.41 implies that $V = \text{null } T \oplus E(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \cdots \oplus E(\lambda_m, T)$. We will prove that range $T = E(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \cdots \oplus E(\lambda_m, T)$.

Suppose $v \in E(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \cdots \oplus E(\lambda_m, T)$. Then $v = v_1 + \cdots + v_m$ for some v_1, \ldots, v_m , where each $v_j \in E(\lambda_j, T)$. Moreover, $v = T(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}v_1) + \cdots + T(\frac{1}{\lambda_m}v_m)$, which implies that $v \in \text{range}(T)$. Hence

$$E(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \cdots \oplus E(\lambda_m, T) \subset \operatorname{range} T.$$

For the inclusion in the other direction, suppose $v \in \operatorname{range} T$. Note that $\operatorname{range} T$ stays the same when we restrict T to $E(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \cdots \oplus E(\lambda_m, T)$. Then $v = T(v_1 + \cdots + v_m)$ for some v_1, \ldots, v_m , where each $v_j \in E(\lambda_j, T)$. Therefore $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m v_m$ and, because $\lambda_j v_j \in E(\lambda_j, T)$ for each j, this proves that $v \in E(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \cdots \oplus E(\lambda_m, T)$. Thus $\operatorname{range} T \subset E(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \cdots \oplus E(\lambda_m, T)$, completing the proof.

$$\begin{split} \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T &= \dim V \\ &= \dim (\operatorname{null} T + \operatorname{range} T) \\ &= \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T - \dim (\operatorname{null} T \cap \operatorname{range} T) \end{split}$$

Therefore $\dim(\operatorname{null} T \cap \operatorname{range} T) = 0$, implying (c) is true.

Suppose (c) holds. By 1.45, null T + range T is a direct sum. Then, by 2.43 and 3.22, we have

$$\dim(\operatorname{null} T \oplus \operatorname{range} T) = \dim\operatorname{null} T + \dim\operatorname{range} T = \dim V$$

Since $\operatorname{null} T \oplus \operatorname{range} T$ is a subspace of V, it follows that $\operatorname{null} T \oplus \operatorname{range} T = V$, implying (a) and completing the proof.

$$STv_j = S(\lambda_j v_j)$$

$$= \alpha_j \lambda_j v_j$$

$$= \alpha_j Tv_j$$

$$= T(\alpha_j Tv_j)$$

$$= TSv_j$$

For the converse, we will prove the contrapositive, that is, if $\langle u, v \rangle \neq 0$, then ||u|| > ||u + av|| for some $a \in \mathbb{F}$.

Suppose $\langle u, v \rangle \neq 0$. Note that neither u nor v can equal 0. We have

$$\begin{aligned} ||u+av||^2 &= \langle u+av, u+av \rangle \\ &= \langle u, u \rangle + \langle u, av \rangle + \langle av, u \rangle + \langle av, av \rangle \\ &= ||u||^2 + \langle u, av \rangle + \langle av, u \rangle + |a|^2 ||v||^2 \\ &< ||u||^2 \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows provided that $\langle u, av \rangle + \langle av, u \rangle + |a|^2 ||v||^2 < 0$. By 6.14, we can write u = cv + w for some $c \in \mathbb{F}$ and $w \in V$ such that $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$. Note that $c \neq 0$, because $\langle v, v \rangle \neq 0$ and

$$0 \neq \langle u, v \rangle = \langle cv + w, v \rangle = \langle cv, v \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle = c \langle v, v \rangle$$

Choose a = -c, then

$$\begin{split} \langle u,av\rangle + \langle av,u\rangle + |a|^2||v||^2 &= \langle cv+w,av\rangle + \langle av,cv+w\rangle + |a|^2||v||^2 \\ &= \langle cv,av\rangle + \langle w,av\rangle + \langle av,cv\rangle + \langle av,w\rangle + |a|^2||v||^2 \\ &= c\bar{a}\langle v,v\rangle + a\bar{c}\langle v,v\rangle + |a|^2||v||^2 \\ &= (c\bar{a}+a\bar{c}+||c||^2)||v||^2 \\ &= (-c\bar{c}-c\bar{c}+||c||^2)||v||^2 \\ &= -|c|^2||v||^2 \\ &< 0 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \operatorname{null} T^* &= \dim (\operatorname{range} T)^{\perp} \\ &= \dim W - \dim \operatorname{range} T \\ &= \dim W + \dim \operatorname{null} T - \dim V \end{aligned}$$

where the first line follows from 7.7 (a), the second from 6.50 and the third from 3.22. We alse have

$$\dim \operatorname{range} T^* = \dim(\operatorname{null} T)^{\perp}$$
$$= \dim V - \dim \operatorname{null} T$$
$$= \dim \operatorname{range} T$$

where the first line follows from 7.7 (b), the second from 6.50 and the third from 3.22.