Mechanising Recursion Schemes with Magic-Free Coq Extraction

David Castro-Perez, Marco Paviotti, and Michael Vollmer

d.castro-perez@kent.ac.uk

02-05-2024



Background

Hylomorphisms

Fold over Lists

One way to guarantee *recursive functions* are *well-defined* is via *Recursion Schemes*.

```
foldr :: (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldr g b [] = b
foldr g b (x : xs) = g x (foldr g b xs)
```

There are many different kinds of Recursion Schemes (e.g. Folds, Paramorphisms, Unfolds, Apomorphisms, . . .)

```
Least Fixed-Point 

Fix f \cong f (Fix f)
```

```
f (Fix f) \longrightarrow f x

\downarrow a

Fix f \longrightarrow x
```

```
data Fix f = In { inOp :: f (Fix f) }
fold :: Functor f =>
                                                f (Fix f) \longrightarrow f x
           (f \times -> x) ->
           Fix f ->
                                                  Fix f ...... x
fold a = f
    where f (In x) = (a_{x}, fmap f) x
                                            f-algebra
```

```
data Fix f = In { inOp :: f (Fix f) }
fold :: Functor f =>
                                                 f (Fix f) \longrightarrow f x
           (f \times -> x) ->
           Fix f ->
                                                   Fix f ...... x
fold a = f
    where f (In_{x}) = (a \cdot fmap f) x
                          initial f-algebra
```

Hylomorphisms: Divide-and-conquer Computations

Hylomorphisms: Divide-and-conquer Computations

```
hylo :: Functor f =>
          (f b -> b) ->
          (a -> f a) ->
          a -> b
hylo a c = a . fmap (hylo a c) . c
                                        f-coalgebra
                                          "divide"
```

Hylomorphisms: Divide-and-conquer Computations

```
hylo :: Functor f \Rightarrow
 (f b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow
 (a \rightarrow f a) \rightarrow
 a \rightarrow b 
hylo a c = a \leftarrow fmap (hylo a c) . c

f-algebra
"conquer"
```

Folds as Hylomorphisms

```
f-coalgebra
data Fix f = In { inOp :: f (Fix f) }
                                                f (Fix f) \longrightarrow f x
fold :: Functor f =>
                                                 in0p
           (f x -> x) ->
           Fix f ->
fold a = a \neq fmap (fold a) . inOp
                                             f-algebra
```

Example: Nonstructural Recursion

Example: Nonstructural Recursion

```
TreeC Int-coalgebra
data TreeC a b = Leaf |
                       Node b a b
split [] = Leaf
                                       TreeC Int [Int] fmap qsort TreeC Int ([Int] -> [Int])
split (h : t) = Node l h r
                                          split
 where
                                                      (l, r) = partition (\langle x - \rangle x < h) t
                                            [Int]
merge Leaf = \acc -> acc
merge (Node l \times r) = \acc -> l (x : r acc)
                                                             TreeC Int-algebra
```

Conjugate Hylomorphisms

Every recursion scheme is a conjugate hylomorphism

recursion scheme	adjunction	conjugates	para-hylo equation	algebra
(hylo-shift law)	$Id \dashv Id$	$\alpha \dashv \alpha$	$x = a \cdot (id \triangle D x \cdot \alpha C \cdot c) : A \leftarrow C$	$a: C \times D A \to A$
mutual recursion	$\Delta\dashv(\times)$	ccf	$\begin{array}{l} x_1 = a_1 \cdot (id \triangle D \ (x_1 \triangle x_2) \cdot c) \ : \ A_1 \leftarrow C \\ x_2 = a_2 \cdot (id \triangle D \ (x_1 \triangle x_2) \cdot c) \ : \ A_2 \leftarrow C \end{array}$	$a_1: C \times D (A_1 \times A_2) \rightarrow A_1$ $a_2: C \times D (A_1 \times A_2) \rightarrow A_2$
accumulator	$- \times P \dashv (-)^P$	ccf	$x = a \cdot (outl \triangle ((D (A x) \cdot c) \times P)) : A \leftarrow C \times P$	$a: C \times D(A^P) \times P \rightarrow A$
course-of-values (§5.6)	$U_D \dashv Cofree_D$	ccf	$x = a \cdot (id \triangle D (D_{\infty} x \cdot [c]) \cdot c) : A \leftarrow C$	$a: C \times D (D_{\infty} A) \to A$
finite memo-table (§5.6)	$U_*\dashvCofree_*$	ccf	$x = a \cdot (id \triangle D (D_* x \cdot [\![c]\!]_*) \cdot c) \ : \ A \leftarrow C$	$a: C \times D(D_* A) \to A$

Table 1. Different types of para-hylos building on the canonical control functor (ccf); the coalgebra is $c: C \to D$ C in each case.

R. Hinze, N. Wu, J. Gibbons: Conjugate Hylomorphisms - Or: The Mother of All Structured Recursion Schemes. POPL 2015.

Conjugate Hylomorphisms

- Every complex recursion scheme is an hylomorphism via its associated adjunction/conjugate pair
- (e.g) folds with parameters (accumulators) use the curry/uncurry adjunction

recursio

(hylo-sh

mutual r

 A recursion scheme from comonads (RSFCs, Uustalu, Vene, Pardo, 2001) is an conjugate hylomorphism via the coEilemberg-Moore category for the cofree comonad

accumul

course-of-values (§5.6) finite memo-table (§5.6) $U_* \dashv Cofree_*$ ccf

 $U_D \dashv Cofree_D \quad ccf \qquad x = a \cdot (id \triangle D (D_\infty x \cdot [c]) \cdot c) : A \leftarrow C$ $x = a \cdot (id \land D(D_+ x \cdot [c]) \cdot c) : A \leftarrow C$

 $a: C \times D(D_{\infty}A) \to A$

 $a: C \times D(D_+A) \rightarrow A$

Table 1. Different types of para-hylos building on the canonical control functor (ccf); the coalgebra is $c: C \to D$ C in each case.

R. Hinze, N. Wu, J. Gibbons: Conjugate Hylomorphisms - Or: The Mother of All Structured Recursion Schemes, POPL 2015.

Why Mechanising Hylomorphisms in Coq?

- Structured Recursion Schemes have been used in Haskell to structure functional programs, but they do not ensure termination/productivity
- On the other hand, Coq does not capture all recursive definitions
- The benefits of formalising hylos in Coq is three fold:
 - Giving the Coq programmer a *library* where for most recursion schemes they do not have to prove termination properties
 - **Extracting code** into ML/Haskell to provide termination guarantees even in languages with non-termination
 - Using the laws of hylomorphisms as tactics for program calculation and optimisation

- 1. Avoiding axioms: functional extensionality, heterogeneous equality,
- 2. Extracting "clean" code: close to what a programmer would have written directly in OCaml.
- 3. Fixed-points of functors, non-termination, etc.

- 1. Avoiding axioms: functional extensionality, heterogeneous equality,
- 2. Extracting "clean" code: close to what a programmer would have written directly in OCaml.
- 3. Fixed-points of functors, non-termination, etc.

Our solutions (the remainder of this talk):

1. Machinery for building setoids, use of decidable predicates, ...

- 1. Avoiding axioms: functional extensionality, heterogeneous equality,
- 2. Extracting "clean" code: close to what a programmer would have written directly in OCaml.
- 3. Fixed-points of functors, non-termination, etc.

Our solutions (the remainder of this talk):

- 1. Machinery for building setoids, use of decidable predicates, ...
- 2. Avoiding type families and indexed types.

- 1. Avoiding axioms: functional extensionality, heterogeneous equality,
- 2. Extracting "clean" code: close to what a programmer would have written directly in OCaml.
- 3. Fixed-points of functors, non-termination, etc.

Our solutions (the remainder of this talk):

- 1. Machinery for building setoids, use of decidable predicates, ...
- 2. Avoiding type families and indexed types.
- 3. Containers & recursive coalgebras

Roadmap

Part I: Extractable Containers in Coq

Part II: Recursive Coalgebras & Coq Hylomorphisms

Part III: Code Extraction & Examples

Part I

Extractable Containers in Coq

Containers

Containers are defined by a pair $S \triangleleft P$:

- a type of shapes S: Type
- a family of positions, indexed by shape $P: S \to \mathsf{Type}$

Containers

Containers are defined by a pair $S \triangleleft P$:

- a type of shapes S : Type
- a family of positions, indexed by shape $P: S \to \mathsf{Type}$

A container extension is a functor defined as follows

$$[\![S \triangleleft P]\!] X = \Sigma_{s:S} P \ s \to X$$

$$[\![S \triangleleft P]\!] f = \lambda(s,p). \ (s,f \circ p)$$

Consider the functor $F X = 1 + X \times X$

 S_F and P_F define a container that is isomorphic to F

$$S_F = 1 + 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_F & (\mathsf{inl} \, \boldsymbol{\cdot}) = 0 \\ P_F & (\mathsf{inr} \, \boldsymbol{\cdot}) = 1 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & \operatorname{inl} \bullet & \cong & (\operatorname{inl} \bullet, !_{\mathbb{N}}) \\ & \operatorname{inr} (7,9) & \cong & (\operatorname{inr} \bullet, \lambda x, \operatorname{case} x \ \{ \ \operatorname{inl} \bullet \Rightarrow 7; \ \operatorname{inr} \bullet \Rightarrow 9 \ \}) \end{array}$$

Consider the functor $F X = 1 + X \times X$

 S_F and P_F define a container that is isomorphic to F

Two cases ("shapes")

$$S_F = 1 + 1$$

$$P_F (inl \cdot) = 0$$
$$P_F (inr \cdot) = 1 + 1$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & \operatorname{inl} \bullet & \cong & (\operatorname{inl} \bullet, !_{\mathbb{N}}) \\ & \operatorname{inr} (7,9) & \cong & (\operatorname{inr} \bullet, \lambda x, \operatorname{case} x \; \{ \; \operatorname{inl} \bullet \Rightarrow 7; \; \operatorname{inr} \bullet \Rightarrow 9 \; \}) \end{array}$$

No positions on the left shape

Consider the functor $F X = 1 + X \times X$

 S_F and P_F define a container that is isomorphic to F

$$S_F = 1 + 1$$

$$P_F (inl \cdot) = 0$$
$$P_F (inr \cdot) = 1 + 1$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & \operatorname{inl} \bullet & \cong & (\operatorname{inl} \bullet, !_{\mathbb{N}}) \\ & \operatorname{inr} (7,9) & \cong & (\operatorname{inr} \bullet, \lambda x, \operatorname{case} x \ \{ \ \operatorname{inl} \bullet \Rightarrow 7; \ \operatorname{inr} \bullet \Rightarrow 9 \ \}) \end{array}$$

Two positions on the right shape

Consider the functor $F X = 1 + X \times X$

 S_F and P_F define a container that is isomorphic to F

$$S_F = 1 + 1$$

$$P_F (\operatorname{inl} \cdot) = 0$$

$$P_F (\operatorname{inr} \cdot) = 1 + 1$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & \operatorname{inl} \bullet & \cong & (\operatorname{inl} \bullet, !_{\mathbb{N}}) \\ & \operatorname{inr} (7,9) & \cong & (\operatorname{inr} \bullet, \lambda x, \operatorname{case} x \ \{ \ \operatorname{inl} \bullet \Rightarrow 7; \ \operatorname{inr} \bullet \Rightarrow 9 \ \}) \end{array}$$

Mechanising Containers: Setoids and Morphisms

To avoid the functional extensionality axiom, we use:

- setoids: types with an associated equivalence
- *proper morphisms* of the respectfulness relation: functions that map related inputs to related outputs

```
Setoids: Given setoid A, and x y : A, we write x = e y : Prop.
```

Morphisms: Given setoid A and setoid B, we write f: A -> B.

Mechanising Containers: Setoids and Morphisms

To avoid the functional extensionality axiom, we use:

```
    se
    pri
    Coq's extraction mechanism ignores the Prop field.
    We provide a (very basic!) mechanism to help building morphisms.
    Building on top of setoids & morphisms allows the use of Coq's generalised rewriting.
    Morphisms: Given setoid A and setoid B, we write T: A >> B.
```

Containers in Coq: A Bad Attempt

```
Assume a Shape : Type and Pos : Shape -> Type.

We can define a container extension in the straightforward way:

Record App (X : Type) :=

MkCont { shape : Shape; contents : Pos shape -> X }.
```

Containers in Coq: A Bad Attempt

```
Assume a Shape : Type and Pos : Shape -> Type.

We can define a container extension in the straightforward way:

Record App (X : Type) :=

MkCont { shape : Shape; contents : Pos shape -> X }.
```

- The above definition forces us to use dependent equality and UIP/Axiom K/...E.g.: dealing with eq_dep s1 p1 s2 p2 if p1 : Pos s1 and p2 : Pos s2.
- Type families lead to OCaml code with Obj.magic.

Extractable Containers in Coq (I)

Observations:

- 1. UIP is **not** an axiom in Coq for types with a decidable equality.
- 2. If a type family is defined as a **predicate subtype**, Coq can erase the predicate and extract code that is equivalent to the supertype. E.g. {x | P x} for some P: X -> Prop.

Extractable Containers in Coq (and II)

Our containers are defined by:

Container extensions that lead to "clean" code extraction:

Extractable Containers in Coq (and II)

```
Our conta

    All proofs of the form V1 V2 : valid(s,p) = true are provably

  • Sh :
                equal in Cog to eq_refl.
  Po :
              • Given p1 p2 : {p | valid(s, p)}, p1 = p2 iff
                proil_sig p1 = proil_sig p2.
  vali
              • Extraction will treat the contents of container extensions equivalently
                to contents: Po -> X
Container
                (no unsafe coercions).
    Recolu App (A . Iype)
    := MkCont { shape : Sh:
                  contents : {p | valid (shape, p)} -> X
```

Example: $F X = 1 + X \times X$

Container definition:

```
Inductive ShapeF := Lbranch | Rbranch.
Inductive PosF := Lpos | Rpos.

Definition validF (x : ShapeF * PosF) : bool
     := match fst x with | Lbranch => false | Rbranch => true end.
```

Example: $F X = 1 + X \times X$

Example object equivalent to inr (7,8)

end).

The argument of container extensions occurs in strictly positive positions:

We can define least/greatest fixed points of container extensions.

We provide a library of polynomial functors as containers, as well as custom shapes (e.g. binary trees) that we use in our examples.

Not discussed:

- Container morphisms and natural transformations
- Container composition $S \triangleleft P = (S_1 \triangleleft P_1) \circ (S_2 \triangleleft P_2)$
- Container equality

Part II

Recursive Coalgebras & Coq Hylomorphisms

Algebras & Container Initial Algebras

```
The least fixed-point of a container extension App C is:
    Inductive LFix C := Lin { lin_op : App C (LFix C) }.
Algebras are of type Alg C X = App C X \sim X.
Cartamorphisms:
cata : Alg C X ~> LFix C ~> X
cata_univ : forall (a : Alg C X) (f : LFix C ~> X).
  f \o Lin =e a \o fmap f <-> f =e cata a
```

Coalgebras & Container Terminal Coalgebras

```
The greatest fixed-point of a container extension App C is:
    CoInductive GFix C := Gin { gin_op : App C (GFix C) }.
Coalgebras are of type CoAlg C X = X \sim App C X.
Anamorphisms:
ana : CoAla C X ~> X ~> GFix C
ana_univ : forall (c : CoAlg C X) (f : X ~> GFix C).
  gin_op \setminus o f = e fmap f \setminus o c <-> f = e ana c
```

We cannot define a hylo using arbitrary coalgebras...

We cannot define a hylo using arbitrary coalgebras... But it exists for **recursive coalgebras**.

J. Adámek, S. Milius, L.S. Moss: On Well-Founded and Recursive Coalgebras. FoSSaCS 2020.

We cannot define a hylo using arbitrary coalgebras... But it exists for recursive coalgebras.

Recursive coalgebras: coalgebras (c : CoAlg C X) that terminate in all inputs.

• i.e. their anamorphisms only produce finite trees.

We cannot define a hylo using arbitrary coalgebras... But it exists for recursive coalgebras.

Recursive coalgebras: coalgebras (c : CoAlg C X) that terminate in all inputs.

- i.e. their anamorphisms only produce finite trees.
- i.e. they decompose inputs into "smaller" values of type X

J. Adámek, S. Milius, L.S. Moss: On Well-Founded and Recursive Coalgebras. FoSSaCS 2020.

We define a predicate RecF c x that states that c: CoAlg C X terminates on x: X.

Using RecF, we define:

Recursive coalgebras:
 RCoAlg C X = {c | forall x, RecF c x}

2. Given a well-founded relation R, well-founded coalgebras WfCoalg C $X = \{c \mid forall \ x \ p, \ R \ (contents \ (c \ x) \ p) \ x\}$

We define a predicate RecF c x that states that c: CoAlg C X terminates on x: X.

Using RecF, we define:

Recursive coalgebras:
 RCoAlg C X = {c | forall x, RecF c x}

- Given a well-founded relation R, well-founded coalgebras
 WfCoalg C X = {c | forall x p, R (contents (c x) p) x}
- Definitions (1) and (2) are equivalent

We define a predicate RecF c x that states that c: CoAlg C X terminates on x: X.

Using RecF, we define:

Recursive coalgebras:
 RCoAlq C X = {c | forall x, RecF c x}

```
Civen a well founded relation B. well founded soal
```

- 2. Given a well-founded relation R, well-founded coalgebras WfCoalg C $X = \{c \mid forall \ x \ p, \ R \ (contents \ (c \ x) \ p) \ x\}$
- Definitions (1) and (2) are equivalent
- Our mechanisation represents (2) in terms of (1)

We define a predicate RecF c x that states that c: CoAlg C X terminates on x: X.

Using RecF, we define:

1. Recursive coalgebras:

```
RCoAlg C X = \{c \mid forall x, RecF c x\}
```

2. Given a well-founded relation R, well-founded coalgebras

```
WfCoalg C X = \{c \mid forall \ x \ p, \ R \ (contents \ (c \ x) \ p) \ x\}
```

- Definitions (1) and (2) are equivalent
- Our mechanisation represents (2) in terms of (1)
- Termination proofs may be easier using (1) or (2), depending on the use case

Recursive Hylomorphisms

Recall:

Hylomorphisms are solutions to the equation $f = a \circ \text{fmap } f \circ c$.

Due to termination, this solution may not exist, or may not be unique.

If c is recursive, then the solution is unique, and guaranteed to exist.

Recursive Hylomorphisms

Recall:

Hylomorphisms are solutions to the equation $f = a \circ \text{fmap } f \circ c$.

Due to termination, this solution may not exist, or may not be unique.

If c is recursive, then the solution is unique, and guaranteed to exist.

```
Definition hylo_def (a : Alg F B) (c : Coalg F A)
  : forall (x : A), RecF c x -> B :=
  fix f x H :=
    match c x as cx
        return (forall e : Pos (shape cx), RecF c (cont cx e)) -> B
  with
    | MkCont sx cx => fun H => a (MkCont sx (fun e => f (cx e) (H e)))
  end (RecF_inv H).
```

Universal Property of Recursive Hylomorphisms

We define wrappers over hylo_def:

```
hylo : Alg C B \sim> RCoAlg C A \sim> A \sim> B
```

From this definition, we can prove the universal property of hylomorphisms. Given a : Alg C B and c : RCoAlg C A:

```
hylo_univ : forall f : A ~> B,
    f =e a \o fmap f \o c <-> f = hylo a c
```

A Note on Recursive Anamorphisms

For simplicity, we define recursive anamorphisms as rana c = hylo Lin c.

- This way we avoid the need to convert GFix to LFix.
- We prove (straightforward) that rana c is equal to ana c, followed by converting the result to LFix.

Proving the Laws of Hylomorphisms

The following hylo_fusion laws are straightforward consequences of hylo_univ.

```
Lemma hylo_fusion_l
    : h \o a =e b \o fmap h -> h \o hylo a c =e hylo b c.

Lemma hylo_fusion_r
    : c \o h =e fmap h \o d -> hylo a c \o h =e hylo a d.

Lemma deforest : cata a \o rana c =e hylo a c.
```

Proving the Laws of Hylomorphisms

The following hylo_fusion laws are straightforward consequences of hylo_univ.

```
Lemma hylo_fusion_l
    : h \o a =e b \o fmap h -> h \o hylo a c =e hylo b c.
```

The proofs in Coq are almost direct copies from pen-and-paper proofs: By hylo_univ, hylo b c is the only arrow making the outer square commute.

R. Hinze, N. Wu, J. Gibbons: Conjugate Hylomorphisms - Or: The Mother of All Structured Recursion Schemes. POPL 2015.

- Our formalisation allows to do equational reasoning that closely mirrors pen-and-paper proofs.
- hylo_fusion can be applied to calculate optimised programs by fusing simpler specifications in Coq.
- This leads to more modular development and proofs, without affecting the performance of the extracted code.

Part III

Code Extraction & Examples

A Tree Container for Divide & Conquer

Our divide-and-conquer examples use a tree container TreeC A B that is isomorphic to:

$$T A B X = A + B \times X \times X$$

Given two setoids A and B, we define the following wrappers in Coq:

 $a_node : B \sim> X \sim> X \sim> App (TreeC A B) X$

a_leaf : A ~> App (TreeC A B) X

 $a_out : App (TreeC A B) X \sim> A + B * X * X$

Quicksort Definition

```
Definition mergeF (x : App (TreeC unit int) (list int)) : list int :=
  match a out x with
  | inl _ => nil
  | inr (p, l, r) \Rightarrow List.app l <math>(h :: r)
  end.
Definition splitF (l : list int) : App (TreeC unit int) (list int) :=
  match x with
    nil => a_leaf tt
    cons h t \Rightarrow let (l, r) := List.partition (fun x \Rightarrow x \iff h) t in
                  a node h 1 r
  end.
```

Quicksort Extraction

```
Definition qsort := hylo merge split. Extraction qsort.
```

Quicksort Extraction

```
Definition qsort := hylo merge split.
Extraction qsort.
```

Using Hylo-fusion for Program Optimisation

```
Definition qsort_times_two
  : {f | f =e map times_two \o hylo merge split}.
  eapply exist.
  (* ... *)
  rewrite (hylo_fusion_l H); reflexivity.
Defined.

Extraction qsort_times_two.
```

Using Hylo-fusion for Program Optimisation

A Recursion Scheme for Dynamic Programming

Given a functor G, we can construct a memoisation table $G_*A = \mu X.A \times GX$. We can index the memoisation table, extract its head, and insert a new element:

$$\mathsf{look}: \mathbb{N} \times G_*A \to 1+A \quad \mathsf{head}: G_*A \to A \quad \mathsf{Cons}: A \times G(G_*A) \to G_*A$$

Given an algebra $a:G(G_*A)\to A$, we can construct

$$a' = \mathsf{Cons} \circ \mathsf{pair} \ a \ \mathsf{id} : G(G_*A) \to G_*A$$

a' computes the current value, as well as storing it in the memoisation table.

A Recursion Scheme for Dynamic Programming

Given a functor G, we can construct a memoisation table $G_*A = \mu X.A \times GX$. We can index the memoisation table, extract its head, and insert a new element:

$$\mathsf{look}: \mathbb{N} \times G_*A \to 1+A \quad \mathsf{head}: G_*A \to A \quad \mathsf{Cons}: A \times G(G_*A) \to G_*A$$

Given an algebra $a:G(G_*A)\to A$, we can construct

$$a' = \mathsf{Cons} \circ \mathsf{pair} \ a \ \mathsf{id} : G(G_*A) \to G_*A$$

a' computes the current value, as well as storing it in the memoisation table.

Dynamorphisms: dyna $a c = \text{head} \circ \text{hylo } a' c$

Knapsack

```
Definition knapsack_alg (wvs : list (nat * int))
  (x : App NatF (Table NatF int)) : int
  := match x with
      MkCont sx kx =>
       match sx with
        inl tt => fun _ => 0
        inr tt => fun kx => let table := kx posR in
                             max_int 0 (memo_knap table wvs)
      end kx
     end.
```

Knapsack

```
let knapsack wvs x =
  ((let rec f n =
    if n=0 then
      { lFix_out = { shape = Uint63.of_int 0;
                      cont = fun_- \rightarrow f_0 }
    else
      let fn = f(n-1) in
      { lFix_out = { shape = max_int (Uint63.of_int 0)
                                       (memo_knapsack fn wvs);
                      cont = fun e -> fn } }
  ) in f x).lFix_out.shape
```

Wrap-up

Summary

Hylomorphisms in Coq

- Modular specification of functions, without sacrificing performance thanks to hylo_fusion.
- Modular treatment of divide-and-conquer and termination proofs using recursive coalgebras.
- Clean OCaml code extraction.

Summary

Hylomorphisms in Coq

- Modular specification of functions, without sacrificing performance thanks to hylo_fusion.
- Modular treatment of divide-and-conquer and termination proofs using recursive coalgebras.
- Clean OCaml code extraction.

Future work:

- Improve extraction & inlining.
- Effects.
- Dealing with setoids & equalities.