Lecture 15 – Continuations (2)

COSE212: Programming Languages

Jihyeok Park



2023 Fall





- We will learn about continuations with the following topics:
 - Continuations (Lecture 14 & 15)
 - First-Class Continuations (Lecture 16)
 - Compiling with continuations (Lecture 17)
- A continuation represents the rest of the computation.
 - Continuation Passing Style (CPS)
 - Interpreter of FAE in CPS
- In this lecture, let's define the semantics of FAE in CPS.

Contents



1. Recall

Recall: Interpreter of FAE in CPS Recall: Natural Semantics of FAE

2. Reduction Semantics of FAE

Number Addition

Multiplication

Identifier Lookup

Function Definition

Function Application

Semantic Equivalence

Example

Contents



1. Recall

Recall: Interpreter of FAE in CPS Recall: Natural Semantics of FAE

2. Reduction Semantics of FAE

Valaliti e e

Addition

iviuitiplication

Identifier Lookup

Function Application

Function Application

Semantic Equivalence

Example





In the previous lecture, we represented the **continuation** of each expression in the interpreter of FAE as a **function** and implemented the interpreter in **continuation passing style** (CPS):

```
enum Value:
    case NumV(number: BigInt)
    case CloV(param: String, body: Expr, env: Env)
type Env = Map[String, Value]
type Cont = Value => Value

def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = ...
```

Then, how can we define the **continuations** for the semantics of FAE?

Recall: Natural Semantics of FAE



$$\operatorname{Num} \frac{}{\sigma \vdash n \Rightarrow n}$$

$$\operatorname{Num} \frac{}{\sigma \vdash n \Rightarrow n} \qquad \operatorname{Add} \frac{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \qquad \sigma \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\sigma \vdash e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2}$$

The derivation of **big-step operational (natural) semantics** of FAE is shaped as a tree:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Num} \\ \text{Add} \\ \text{Add} \end{array} \frac{ \overline{\varnothing \vdash 1 \Rightarrow 1} \quad \text{Num} \ \overline{\varnothing \vdash 2 \Rightarrow 2} }{ \overline{\varnothing \vdash 1 + 2 \Rightarrow 3} } \quad \text{Num} \ \overline{\varnothing \vdash 4 \Rightarrow 4} \\ \overline{ \qquad \qquad } \overline{\varnothing \vdash (1 + 2) + 4 \Rightarrow 7} \end{array}$$

It is possible but non-trivial to represent **continuations** in the **big-step** operational (natural) semantics.

Let's define the small-step operational (reduction) semantics of FAE to represent continuations.

Contents



1. Recall

Recall: Interpreter of FAE in CPS Recall: Natural Semantics of FAE

2. Reduction Semantics of FAE

Number
Addition
Multiplication
Identifier Lookup
Function Definition
Function Application

Function Application Semantic Equivalence

Example

Reduction Semantics of FAE



• Big-step operational (natural) semantics:

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

• Small-step operational (reduction) semantics:

$$\boxed{\langle\kappa\mid\mid s\rangle\rightarrow\langle\kappa\mid\mid s\rangle}$$

where $\rightarrow \in (\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{S}) \times (\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{S})$ is a **reduction relation** between **states**.

Continuations
$$\mathbb{K} \ni \kappa ::= \square$$
 $\mid (\sigma \vdash e) :: \kappa \mid (+) :: \kappa \mid (\times) :: \kappa \mid (0) :: \kappa$

Value Stacks $\mathbb{S} \ni s ::= \blacksquare \mid v :: s$

Numbers



```
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
    ...
    case Num(n) => k(NumV(n))
```

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \left[\langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \to \langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \right] \\ \text{Num} & \langle (\sigma \vdash n) :: \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle & \to & \langle \kappa \mid \mid n :: s \rangle \end{array}$$

Addition



```
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
    ...
    case Add(1, r) =>
    interpCPS(1, env, {
        lv => interpCPS(r, env, {
            rv => k(numAdd(1v, rv))
        })
    })
}
```

$$\langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{Add}_1 & \langle (\sigma \vdash e_1 + e_2) :: \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle & \rightarrow & \langle (\sigma \vdash e_1) :: (\sigma \vdash e_2) :: (+) :: \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \\ \\ \operatorname{Add}_2 & \langle (+) :: \kappa \mid \mid n_2 :: n_1 :: s \rangle & \rightarrow & \langle \kappa \mid \mid (n_1 + n_2) :: s \rangle \end{array}$$

Multiplication



```
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
    ...
    case Mul(1, r) =>
    interpCPS(1, env, {
        lv => interpCPS(r, env, {
            rv => k(numMul(1v, rv))
        })
    })
    })
```

$$\langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{llll} \operatorname{Mul}_1 & \langle (\sigma \vdash e_1 \times e_2) :: \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle & \rightarrow & \langle (\sigma \vdash e_1) :: (\sigma \vdash e_2) :: (\times) :: \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \\ \\ \operatorname{Mul}_2 & \langle (\times) :: \kappa \mid \mid n_2 :: n_1 :: s \rangle & \rightarrow & \langle \kappa \mid \mid (n_1 \times n_2) :: s \rangle \end{array}$$

Identifier Lookup



```
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
    ...
    case Id(x) => k(lookupId(x, env))
```

$$\boxed{\langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle}$$

Id
$$\langle (\sigma \vdash x) :: \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \kappa \mid \mid \sigma(x) :: s \rangle$$

Function Definition



```
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
    ...
    case Fun(p, b) => k(CloV(p, b, env))
```

$$\langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle$$

Fun
$$\langle (\sigma \vdash \lambda x.e) :: \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \kappa \mid \mid \langle \lambda x.e, \sigma \rangle :: s \rangle$$

Function Application



```
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
    ...
    case App(f, e) => interpCPS(f, env, v => v match
        case CloV(p, b, fenv) =>
        interpCPS(e, env, v => {
            interpCPS(b, fenv + (p -> v), k)
            })
        case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
        )
```

$$\langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle$$

$$\operatorname{App}_1\langle(\sigma\vdash e_1(e_2))::\kappa\mid\mid s\rangle\to\langle(\sigma\vdash e_1)::(\sigma\vdash e_2)::(\textbf{0})::\kappa\mid\mid s\rangle$$

$$\operatorname{App}_2\langle(@)::\kappa\mid\mid v_2::\langle\lambda x.e,\sigma\rangle::s\rangle\to\langle(\sigma[x\mapsto v_2]\vdash e)::\kappa\mid\mid s\rangle$$

Semantic Equivalence



• The **reflexive transitive closure** (\rightarrow^*) of (\rightarrow) :

$$\frac{\langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \to^* \langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle}{\langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \to^* \langle \kappa' \mid \mid s' \rangle \to \langle \kappa'' \mid \mid s'' \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \to^* \langle \kappa' \mid \mid s' \rangle \to \langle \kappa'' \mid \mid s'' \rangle}{\langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \to^* \langle \kappa'' \mid \mid s'' \rangle}$$

The semantic equivalence between natural and reduction semantics:

$$\varnothing \vdash e \Rightarrow v \iff \langle (\varnothing \vdash e) :: \Box \mid | \blacksquare \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \Box \mid | v :: \blacksquare \rangle$$

More generally, the following are equivalent:

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v \iff \langle (\sigma \vdash e) :: \kappa \mid\mid s \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \kappa \mid\mid v :: s \rangle$$

for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\text{fin}} \mathbb{V}$, $e \in \mathbb{E}$, $v \in \mathbb{V}$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{K}$, and $s \in \mathbb{S}$.

Example



Let's interpret the expression $(\lambda x.1 + x)(2)$:

$$(\operatorname{App}_{1}) \begin{tabular}{l} \langle (\varnothing \vdash (\lambda x.1 + x)(2)) :: \Box & || \blacksquare \\ (\operatorname{Fun}) \begin{tabular}{l} \langle (\varnothing \vdash \lambda x.1 + x) :: (\varnothing \vdash 2) :: (@) :: \Box & || \blacksquare \\ (\operatorname{Fun}) \begin{tabular}{l} \langle (\varnothing \vdash 2) :: (@) :: \Box & || \langle \lambda x.1 + x, \varnothing \rangle :: \blacksquare \\ (\operatorname{Num}) \begin{tabular}{l} \langle (@) :: \Box & || 2 :: \langle \lambda x.1 + x, \varnothing \rangle :: \blacksquare \\ (\operatorname{App}_{2}) \begin{tabular}{l} \langle ([x \mapsto 2] \vdash 1 + x) :: \Box & || \blacksquare \\ (\operatorname{Add}_{1}) \begin{tabular}{l} \langle ([x \mapsto 2] \vdash 1) :: ([x \mapsto 2] \vdash x) :: (+) :: \Box & || \blacksquare \\ (\operatorname{Num}) \begin{tabular}{l} \langle ([x \mapsto 2] \vdash x) :: (+) :: \Box & || 1 :: \blacksquare \\ (\operatorname{Add}_{2}) \begin{tabular}{l} \langle (+) :: \Box & || 2 :: 1 :: \blacksquare \\ (\operatorname{Add}_{2}) \begin{tabular}{l} \langle (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (\operatorname{Add}_{2}) \begin{tabular}{l} \langle (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (\operatorname{Add}_{2}) \begin{tabular}{l} \langle (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \blacksquare \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \square \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \square \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \square \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \square \\ (-1) :: \Box & || 3 :: \square \\ (-1) :: \Box & ||$$

Thus, $\langle (\varnothing \vdash (\lambda x.1 + x)(2)) :: \Box \mid | \blacksquare \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \Box \mid | 3 :: \blacksquare \rangle$.

Contents



1. Recall

Recall: Interpreter of FAE in CPS Recall: Natural Semantics of FAE

2. Reduction Semantics of FAE

Addition

Multiplication

. Identifier Lookup

Function Definition

Function Application

Semantic Equivalence

Example





In our new implementation for FAE using CPS, we define the type of continuations using the **closures** (**first-class functions**) in Scala.

```
enum Value:
    case NumV(number: BigInt)
    case CloV(param: String, body: Expr, env: Env)
type Env = Map[String, Value]
type Cont = Value => Value

def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = ...
```

How can we define continuations if we want to implement the interpreter for FAE in CPS using a **non-functional** language (e.g., C)?

Let's define the continuations as **data structures** (e.g., algebraic data types) in such languages (**first-order representations**).





```
enum Cont:
   case EmptyK
   case EvalK(env: Env, expr: Expr, k: Cont)
   case AddK(k: Cont)
   case MulK(k: Cont)
   case AppK(k: Cont)

type Stack = List[Value]
```

```
Continuations \mathbb{K} \ni \kappa ::= \square (EmptyK)  \mid (\sigma \vdash e) :: \kappa \quad (\text{EvalK})   \mid (+) :: \kappa \quad (\text{AddK})   \mid (\times) :: \kappa \quad (\text{MulK})   \mid (@) :: \kappa \quad (\text{AppK})  Value Stacks \mathbb{S} \ni s ::= \blacksquare \mid v :: s \quad (\text{List[Value]})
```





We define a reduce function that takes a state $\langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle$ and **reduces** it to another state $\langle \kappa' \mid \mid s' \rangle$ using the reduction relation \rightarrow we defined before:

$$\langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \kappa' \mid \mid s' \rangle$$

```
def reduce(k: Cont, s: Stack): (Cont, Stack) = ???
```

And the evalK function **iteratively reduces** the state until it reaches the empty continuation \square and returns the single value in the value stack:

```
def evalK(str: String): String =
  import Cont.*
  def aux(k: Cont, s: Stack): Value = reduce(k, s) match
    case (EmptyK, List(v)) => v
    case (k, s) => aux(k, s)
  aux(EvalK(Map.empty, Expr(str), EmptyK), List.empty).str
```

$$\langle (\varnothing \vdash e) :: \Box \mid \mid \blacksquare \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \Box \mid \mid v :: \blacksquare \rangle$$





```
def reduce(k: Cont, s: Stack): (Cont, Stack) = (k, s) match
    case (EvalK(env, expr, k), s) => expr match
    ...
    case Add(1, r) => (EvalK(env, 1, EvalK(env, r, AddK(k))), s)
    ...
    case (AddK(k), r :: 1 :: s) => (k, numAdd(1, r) :: s)
    ...
```

$$\langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \rightarrow \langle \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{Add}_1 & \langle (\sigma \vdash e_1 + e_2) :: \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle & \rightarrow & \langle (\sigma \vdash e_1) :: (\sigma \vdash e_2) :: (+) :: \kappa \mid \mid s \rangle \\ \\ \operatorname{Add}_2 & \langle (+) :: \kappa \mid \mid n_2 :: n_1 :: s \rangle & \rightarrow & \langle \kappa \mid \mid (n_1 + n_2) :: s \rangle \end{array}$$

Similarly, we can define the reduce function for the other cases.

Summary



1. Recall

Recall: Interpreter of FAE in CPS
Recall: Natural Semantics of FAE

2. Reduction Semantics of FAE

Number Addition

Multiplication

Identifier Lookup

Function Definition

Function Application

Semantic Equivalence

Example

Exercise #8



- Please see this document¹ on GitHub.
 - Implement interpCPS function.
 - Implement reduce function.
- It is just an exercise, and you don't need to submit anything.
- However, some exam questions might be related to this exercise.

Next Lecture



First-Class Continuations

Jihyeok Park
 jihyeok_park@korea.ac.kr
https://plrg.korea.ac.kr