Lecture 14 – Continuations (1)

COSE212: Programming Languages

Jihyeok Park



2023 Fall





- Lazy Evaluation
 - Call-by-Name (CBN)
 - Call-by-Need (CBN')
- LFAE FAE with Lazy Evaluation





- Lazy Evaluation
 - Call-by-Name (CBN)
 - Call-by-Need (CBN')
- LFAE FAE with Lazy Evaluation
- We will learn about continuations with the following topics:
 - Continuations (Lecture 14 & 15)
 - First-Class Continuations (Lecture 16)
 - Compiling with continuations (Lecture 17)





- Lazy Evaluation
 - Call-by-Name (CBN)
 - Call-by-Need (CBN')
- LFAE FAE with Lazy Evaluation
- We will learn about continuations with the following topics:
 - Continuations (Lecture 14 & 15)
 - First-Class Continuations (Lecture 16)
 - Compiling with continuations (Lecture 17)
- In this lecture, we will focus on the meaning of **continuations**.

Contents



1. Continuations

2. Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

3. Interpreter of FAE in CPS
Addition and Multiplication
Function Application

Contents



1. Continuations

2. Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

3. Interpreter of FAE in CPS
Addition and Multiplication
Function Application



Many real-world programming languages support **control statements** to change the **control-flow** of a program.



Many real-world programming languages support **control statements** to change the **control-flow** of a program.

For example, C++ supports break, continue, and return statements:

```
int sumEvenUntilZero(int xs[], int len) {
  if (len <= 0) return 0;  // directly return 0 if len <= 0</pre>
  int sum = 0:
 for (int i = 0; i < len; i++) {</pre>
   if (xs[i] == 0) break; // stop the loop if xs[i] == 0
   if (xs[i] % 2 == 1) continue; // skip the rest if xs[i] is odd
   sum += xs[i]:
  return sum;
                                  // finally return the sum
int xs[] = \{4, 1, 3, 2, 0, 6, 5, 8\};
sumEvenUntilZero(xs, 8); //4 + 2 = 6
```

How can we represent them in functional languages?



Many real-world programming languages support **control statements** to change the **control-flow** of a program.

For example, C++ supports break, continue, and return statements:

```
int sumEvenUntilZero(int xs[], int len) {
  if (len <= 0) return 0;  // directly return 0 if len <= 0</pre>
  int sum = 0:
 for (int i = 0; i < len; i++) {</pre>
   if (xs[i] == 0) break; // stop the loop if xs[i] == 0
   if (xs[i] % 2 == 1) continue; // skip the rest if xs[i] is odd
   sum += xs[i]:
  return sum;
                                  // finally return the sum
int xs[] = \{4, 1, 3, 2, 0, 6, 5, 8\};
sumEvenUntilZero(xs, 8); //4 + 2 = 6
```

How can we represent them in functional languages? Continuations!



Intuitively, a continuation represents the rest of the computation.



Intuitively, a continuation represents the rest of the computation.

For example, consider the following FAE expression:

```
/* FAE */
(1 + 3) * 5
```



Intuitively, a continuation represents the rest of the computation.

For example, consider the following FAE expression:

```
/* FAE */
(1 + 3) * 5
```

It implicitly represents the following computation:

- Evaluate 1.
- ② Evaluate 3.
- **3** Add 1 and 3 to get 4.
- 4 Evaluate 5.
- 6 Multiply 4 and 5 to get 20.



Intuitively, a continuation represents the rest of the computation.

For example, consider the following FAE expression:

```
/* FAE */
(1 + 3) * 5
```

It implicitly represents the following computation:

- Evaluate 1.
- ② Evaluate 3.
- 3 Add 1 and 3 to get 4.
- 4 Evaluate 5.
- 5 Multiply 4 and 5 to get 20.

The **continuation** of k-th step is the steps from (k+1)-th to the last one.



Intuitively, a continuation represents the rest of the computation.

For example, consider the following FAE expression:

```
/* FAE */
(1 + 3) * 5
```

It implicitly represents the following computation:

- Evaluate 1.
- ② Evaluate 3.
- 3 Add 1 and 3 to get 4.
- 4 Evaluate 5.
- 5 Multiply 4 and 5 to get 20.

The **continuation** of k-th step is the steps from (k+1)-th to the last one.

For instance, the **continuation** of the 3rd step is the 4th and 5th steps.



Can we **explicitly** represent the **continuations**?



Can we **explicitly** represent the **continuations**?

Yes! Let's represent the **continuation** of the k-th step as a **function** that

- takes the result of the k-th step as an argument and
- **performs** the (k+1)-th to the last steps.



Can we **explicitly** represent the **continuations**?

Yes! Let's represent the **continuation** of the k-th step as a **function** that

- takes the result of the k-th step as an argument and
- **performs** the (k+1)-th to the last steps.

```
/* FAE */
(1 + 3) * 5
```

- Evaluate 1.
- 2 Evaluate 3.
- **3** Add 1 and 3 to get 4.
- 4 Evaluate 5.
- 6 Multiply 4 and 5 to get 20.



- 1 Evaluate 1.
- 2 Evaluate 3.
- **3** Add 1 and 3 to get 4.
- 4 Evaluate 5.
- 5 Multiply 4 and 5 to get 20.

```
/* FAE */
(1 + 3) * 5
```





- Evaluate 1.
- 2 Evaluate 3.
- **3** Add 1 and 3 to get 4.
- 4 Evaluate 5.
- 6 Multiply 4 and 5 to get 20.





- 1 Evaluate 1.
- 2 Evaluate 3.
- **3** Add 1 and 3 to get 4.
- 4 Evaluate 5.
- 5 Multiply 4 and 5 to get 20.





- ① Evaluate 1.
- 2 Evaluate 3.
- **3** Add 1 and 3 to get 4.
- Evaluate 5.
- 5 Multiply 4 and 5 to get 20.





- Evaluate 1.
- 2 Evaluate 3.
- 3 Add 1 and 3 to get 4.
- 4 Evaluate 5.
- 5 Multiply 4 and 5 to get 20.





- Evaluate 1.
- 2 Evaluate 3.
- **3** Add 1 and 3 to get 4.
- 4 Evaluate 5.
- 5 Multiply 4 and 5 to get 20.

```
/* FAE */
 x1 => {
   x2 => {
     x3 => {
       x4 => {
         x5 => x5
                       // no more steps (continuation of step 5)
       (x3 * x4)
                        // step 5
     }(5)
                        // step 4
   (x1 + x2)
                        // step 3
 }(3)
                         // step 2
}(1)
                         // step 1
```





- 1 Evaluate 1.
- 2 Evaluate 3.
- **3** Add 1 and 3 to get 4.
- 4 Evaluate 5.
- 5 Multiply 4 and 5 to get 20.

by using the syntactic sugar for variable declarations:

$$\mathcal{D}\llbracket \operatorname{val} x = e; \ e' \rrbracket = (\lambda x. \mathcal{D}\llbracket e' \rrbracket) (\mathcal{D}\llbracket e \rrbracket)$$

Contents



1. Continuations

2. Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

3. Interpreter of FAE in CPS
Addition and Multiplication
Function Application



Continuation-passing style (CPS) is a style of programming that passes the continuation as an explicit parameter to function calls.



Continuation-passing style (CPS) is a style of programming that passes the continuation as an explicit parameter to function calls.

For example, consider the following Scala sum function:





Continuation-passing style (CPS) is a style of programming that passes the continuation as an explicit parameter to function calls.

For example, consider the following Scala sum function:

Let's rewrite the sum function in CPS:

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def sumCPS(n: Int, k: Cont): Int = ???
sumCPS(3, x => x * 5)  // (1 + 2 + 3) * 5 = 30
```



```
def sum(n: Int): Int =
  if (n <= 1) 1
  else sum(n - 1) + n</pre>
```

Let's rewrite the sum function in CPS:

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def sumCPS(n: Int, k: Cont): Int = ???
```



```
def sum(n: Int): Int =
  if (n <= 1) 1
  else sum(n - 1) + n</pre>
```

Let's rewrite the sum function in CPS:

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def sumCPS(n: Int, k: Cont): Int = k(sum(n))
```

It is not the correct implementation of sum in CPS because it depends on the original sum function.

Let's replace sum(n) with the body of sum.



```
def sum(n: Int): Int =
  if (n <= 1) 1
  else sum(n - 1) + n</pre>
```

Let's rewrite the sum function in CPS:

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def sumCPS(n: Int, k: Cont): Int = k(
   if (n <= 1) 1
   else sum(n - 1) + n
)</pre>
```

Let's utilize the following equivalence:

```
e0(if (e1) e2 else e3) == if (e1) e0(e2) else e0(e3)
```





```
def sum(n: Int): Int =
  if (n <= 1) 1
  else sum(n - 1) + n</pre>
```

Let's rewrite the sum function in CPS:

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def sumCPS(n: Int, k: Cont): Int =
   if (n <= 1) k(1)
   else k(sum(n - 1) + n)</pre>
```

But, it still depends on the original sum function.

Let's consider the continuation of sum(n - 1):

```
k(sum(n-1) + n) == (x \Rightarrow k(x + n))(sum(n-1))
== sumCPS(n-1, x \Rightarrow k(x + n))
```



```
def sum(n: Int): Int =
  if (n <= 1) 1
  else sum(n - 1) + n</pre>
```

Let's rewrite the sum function in CPS:

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def sumCPS(n: Int, k: Cont): Int =
   if (n <= 1) k(1)
   else sumCPS(n - 1, x => k(x + n))
```



```
def sum(n: Int): Int =
  if (n <= 1) 1
  else sum(n - 1) + n</pre>
```

Let's rewrite the sum function in CPS:

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def sumCPS(n: Int, k: Cont): Int =
  if (n <= 1) k(1)
  else sumCPS(n - 1, x => k(x + n))
```

If all functions are written in CPS, a program satisfies the properties:

- Every function takes a continuation as an explicit parameter.
- A continuation is used at most once in a function body.
- Every function call is in a tail position. (tail-call optimization)
- Every function ends with a function call.

Contents



1. Continuations

2. Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

3. Interpreter of FAE in CPS
Addition and Multiplication
Function Application

Interpreter of FAE in CPS



In the interpreter of FAE, continuations of the evaluation of expressions are **implicitly** represented by the call stack.



In the interpreter of FAE, continuations of the evaluation of expressions are **implicitly** represented by the call stack.



In the interpreter of FAE, continuations of the evaluation of expressions are **implicitly** represented by the call stack.

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = ???
```



In the interpreter of FAE, continuations of the evaluation of expressions are **implicitly** represented by the call stack.

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value =
  k(interp(expr, env))
```



In the interpreter of FAE, continuations of the evaluation of expressions are **implicitly** represented by the call stack.

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = k(expr match
    case Num(n) => NumV(n)
    case Add(l, r) => numAdd(interp(l, env), interp(r, env))
    case Mul(l, r) => numMul(interp(l, env), interp(r, env))
    case Id(x) => env.getOrElse(x, error(s"free identifier: $x"))
    case Fun(p, b) => CloV(p, b, env)
    case App(f, a) => interp(f, env) match
    case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(a, env)))
    case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
)
```



In the interpreter of FAE, continuations of the evaluation of expressions are **implicitly** represented by the call stack.

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
  case Num(n) => k(NumV(n))
  case Add(1, r) => k(numAdd(interp(1, env), interp(r, env)))
  case Mul(1, r) => k(numMul(interp(1, env), interp(r, env)))
  case Id(x) => k(env.getOrElse(x, error(s"free identifier: $x")))
  case Fun(p, b) => k(CloV(p, b, env))
  case App(f, a) => k(interp(f, env) match
      case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(a, env)))
      case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
)
```





```
type Cont = Int => Int
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
...
case Add(1, r) =>
    k(numAdd(interp(1, env), interp(r, env)))
...
```

The current evaluation part is interp(1, env).

Its continuation is lv => k(numAdd(lv, interp(r, env))).





Let's rewrite it by passing the continuation into interpCPS.





```
type Cont = Int => Int
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
...
    case Add(1, r) =>
        (interpCPS(1, env, {
            lv => k(numAdd(1v, interp(r, env))) // cont. of `interp(1, env)`
        })
...
```

Similarly, the current evaluation part is interp(r, env).

Its continuation is rv => k(numAdd(lv, rv)).





Let's rewrite it by passing the continuation into interpCPS.









```
type Cont = Int => Int
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
  case Add(1, r) =>
    (interpCPS(1, env, {
      lv => interpCPS(r, env, {
        rv => k(numAdd(lv, rv))
      })
    })
  case Mul(1, r) =>
    (interpCPS(1, env, {
      lv => interpCPS(r, env, {
        rv => k(numMul(lv, rv))
      })
    })
```



```
type Cont = Int => Int
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
...
    case App(f, a) => k(interp(f, env) match
        case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(a, env)))
        case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
)
...
```

In a similar way, we can rewrite function application case.





```
type Cont = Int => Int
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
...
    case App(f, a) => interpCPS(f, env, fv => fv match
        case CloV(p, b, fenv) => k(interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(a, env))))
    case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
)
...
```



```
type Cont = Int => Int
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
...
    case App(f, a) => interpCPS(f, env, fv => fv match
        case CloV(p, b, fenv) =>
        interpCPS(a, env, {
            av => k(interp(b, fenv + (p -> av)))
        })
        case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
)
...
```



```
type Cont = Int => Int
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
    ...
    case App(f, a) => interpCPS(f, env, fv => fv match
        case CloV(p, b, fenv) =>
        interpCPS(a, env, {
            av => interpCPS(b, fenv + (p -> av), k)
        })
    case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
    )
    ...
```

Summary



1. Continuations

2. Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

3. Interpreter of FAE in CPS
Addition and Multiplication
Function Application

Next Lecture



• Continuations (2)

Jihyeok Park
 jihyeok_park@korea.ac.kr
https://plrg.korea.ac.kr