# Entwicklung eines Datenbanksynchronisations-Mikroframeworks auf Basis konvergenter, replizierter Datentypen

Sebastian Götte und Matti Möll 27.10.2014

## 1 History of CDRTs and the current state of research

Data synchronisation has been an important area of research for several decades. Recently, discourse has been heightened due to increasing parallelism of contemporary system architectures. Traditionally, such systems have either relied on a central authority<sup>1</sup> or been largely limited to read-only affairs<sup>2</sup>.

Well-defined automatic merge strategies to reconcile diverging realities in different network locations have been in devlopment for some [Fle06] time now, especially under the aegis of distributed version control systems (DVCSs) such as git, Mercurial and Darcs but have not yet seen widespread deployment.

One possible solution to this problem is what we call Convergent Replicated Data Types (CRDTs). CRDTs have been used by computer scientists for at least 40 years [CS98]. The concept was only formalized and its name coined five years ago in [CS98]. Since then, there has been some further research into the formal properties of CRDTs<sup>3</sup> and first real-world implementations [Cou10] of the concept using the term CRDT have appeared.

In 2007, Amazon.com published a paper detailing the architecture [JMS10] of their *dynamo* key-value store. From a technical standpoint, Dynamo's replication system is behaving like a CRDT set implementation.

We want to give an overview of the history and existing implementations of CRDTs followed [Eck03] by an overview of some concepts that may be used in conjunction with CRDTs to provide useful higher-level behavior and end with some exemplary possible real-world use cases.

## 2 Convergent replication

CRDTs have first been defined in [VMS13]. [?] are using the term CRDT for Collision-free  $Replicated\ Data\ Type$  and are distinguishing between CvRDTs ( $Convergent\ Replicated\ Data\ Types$ ) which they are also calling State-based CRDTs, and CmRDTs ( $Commutative\ Replicated\ Data\ Types$ ) which they are also calling Operation-based or Op-based CRDTs[VMS13]. Since both concepts are shown to be exactly equivalent, we are using the acronym CRDT for either

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See every RDBMS in existence, OpenLDAP and ActiveDirectory, RSS and apt among others

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See e.g. BitTorrent and other classical file sharing systems

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ See [CS98]

approach and settled on the long form Convergent Replicated Data Type since it is describing both very well and is sufficiently handy[Bli11].

#### 2.1 Operation-based CRDTs

An op-based CRDT is a data type whose value is defined solely by a set of commutative operations[Par11] applied to a common base state. For illustration, consider an up/down counter as a simple example. The initial state would be 0 and the operations would be to add or subtract a number. The current counter value is defined as the sum of all add/subtract operations performed on this instance so far. Since addition is commutative, the order of these operations does not matter. In a distributed system, additions and subtractions on the same counter can be performed simultaneously on multiple nodes, and the resulting conflict can be resolved by each node telling each other node all operations that have been performed on its instance.

#### 2.2 State-based CRDTs

A state-based CRDT[Whi04] is a data type which is associated with a partial ordering on its value space. A simple example for a state-based CRDT is an add-only set. The associated partial ordering is the subset relation. The merge operation is the set union.

State- and Operation-based CRDTs are equivalent in that each can be used to emulate the other. Most existing implementations use a state-based storage, where some [BH13] do incorporate some op-based-like behavior for more efficient sync<sup>4</sup>.

### Literatur

- [BH13] Matthew Smith Benjamin Henne, Christian Szongott. Snapme if you can: privacy threats of other peoples' geo-tagged media and what we can do about it. In *Proceedings* of the sixth ACM conference on Security and privacy in wireless and mobile networks, pages 95–106. ACM, 2013.
- [Bli11] BlinkenTV. Elektrischer reporter s01e47 jeff jarvis über journalismus im internetzeitalter. 2011.
- [Cou10] Fanny Coudert. When video cameras watch and screen: Privacy implications of pattern recognition technologies. 2010.
  - [CS98] Hal R. Varian Carl Shapiro. Information rules: A strategic guide to the network economy. 1998.
- [Eck03] Claudia Eckert. Mobil, aber sicher! In *Xpert.press 2003*, pages 85–121. Springer, 2003.
- [Fle06] Peter Fleissner. Die heilung der achillesferse. 2006.
- [JMS10] Boris Egloff Juliane M. Stopfer, Mitja D. Back. Persönlichkeit 2.0. In *Datenschutz* und *Datensicherheit*, pages 459–462. Springer, 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>e.g. Amazon Dynamo is computing a delta using a Merkle tree and then only transmitting the subset of changed entities, which is equivalent to the set of operations since last launch.

- [Par11] Eli Pariser. Beware online filter bubbles. 2011.
- [VMS13] Kenneth Cukier Viktor Mayer-Schönberger. Big Data: A Revolution That Will Transform How We Live, Work and Think. John Murray, 2013.
- [Whi04] James Q. Whitman. The two western cultures of privacy: Dignity versus liberty. In *The Yale Law Journal*, volume 113,6. 2004.