EFFECTIVE APPROXIMATION OF HEAT FLOW EVOLUTION OF THE RIEMANN XI FUNCTION, AND AN UPPER BOUND FOR THE DE BRUIJN-NEWMAN CONSTANT

D.H.J. POLYMATH

Abstract. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define the entire function

$$H_t(z) := \int_0^\infty e^{tu^2} \Phi(u) \cos(zu) \ du$$

where Φ is the super-exponentially decaying function

$$\Phi(u) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\pi^2 n^4 e^{9u} - 3\pi n^2 e^{5u}) \exp(-\pi n^2 e^{4u}).$$

Newman showed that there exists a finite constant Λ (the *de Bruijn-Newman constant*) such that the zeroes of H_t are all real precisely when $t \ge \Lambda$. The Riemann hypothesis is the equivalent to the assertion $\Lambda \le 0$, and Newman conjectured the complementary bound $\Lambda \ge 0$.

1. Introduction

Let $H_0: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the function

(1)
$$H_0(z) := \frac{1}{8}\xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{iz}{2}\right),$$

where ξ denotes the Riemann xi function

(2)
$$\xi(s) := \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)$$

(removing the singularities at the poles of the Gamma function) and ζ is the Riemann zeta function. Then H_0 is an entire even function with functional equation $H_0(\bar{z}) = \overline{H_0(z)}$, and the Riemann hypothesis is equivalent to the assertion that all the zeroes of H_0 are real.

It is a classical fact (see [20, p. 255]) that H_0 has the Fourier representation

$$H_0(z) = \int_0^\infty \Phi(u) \cos(zu) \ du$$

where Φ is the super-exponentially decaying function

(3)
$$\Phi(u) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\pi^2 n^4 e^{9u} - 3\pi n^2 e^{5u}) \exp(-\pi n^2 e^{4u}).$$

The sum defining $\Phi(u)$ converges absolutely for negative u also. From Poisson summation one can verify that Φ satisfies the functional equation $\Phi(u) = \Phi(-u)$ (i.e., Φ is even).

De Bruijn [3] introduced the more general family of functions $H_t: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

(4)
$$H_t(z) := \int_0^\infty e^{tu^2} \Phi(u) \cos(zu) \ du.$$

As noted in [7, p.114], one can view H_t as the evolution of H_0 under the backwards heat equation $\partial_t H_t(z) = -\partial_{zz} H_t(z)$. As with H_0 , each of the H_t are entire even functions with functional equation $H_t(\overline{z}) = \overline{H_t(z)}$. De Bruijn showed that the zeroes of H_t are purely real for $t \ge 1/2$, and if H_t has purely real zeroes for some t, then $H_{t'}$ has purely real zeroes for all t' > t. Newman [12] strengthened this result by showing that there is an absolute constant $-\infty < \Lambda \le 1/2$, now known as the *De Bruijn-Newman constant*, with the property that H_t has purely real zeroes if and only if $t \ge \Lambda$. The Riemann hypothesis is then clearly equivalent to the upper bound $\Lambda \le 0$. Recently in [15] the complementary bound $\Lambda \ge 0$ was established, answering a conjecture of Newman [12]. Furthermore, Ki, Kim, and Lee [8] sharpened the upper bound $\Lambda \le 1/2$ of de Bruijn [3] slightly to $\Lambda < 1/2$.

2. Notation

Unless otherwise specified, log denotes the standard branch of the complex logarithm, thus the branch cut is on the negative real axis and imaginary part in $(-\pi, \pi]$. We then define the standard complex powers $z^w := \exp(w \log z)$, and in particular define the standard square root $\sqrt{z} := z^{1/2}$. We record the standard gaussian identity

(5)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-(au^2 + bu + c)\right) du = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \exp\left(\frac{b^2}{4a} - c\right)$$

for any complex numbers a, b, c with Rea > 0.

To obtain effective estimates, it is convenient to use the notation $O_{\leq}(X)$ to denote any quantity that is bounded in magnitude by X. Any expression of the form A=B using this notation should be interpreted as the assertion that any quantity of the form A is also of the form B, thus for instance $O_{\leq}(1) + O_{\leq}(1) = O_{\leq}(3)$. (In particular, the equality relation is no longer symmetric with this notation.)

If F is a meromorphic function, we use F' to denote its derivative. We also use F^* to denote the reflection $F^*(s) := \overline{F(\overline{s})}$ of F. Observe from analytic continuation that if $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is meromorphic and is real-valued on \mathbb{R} then it is equal to its own reflection: $F = F^*$.

We use $x_+ := \max(x, 0)$ to denote the positive part of a real number x.

3. Applying the fundamental solution for the heat equation

We can write H_t in terms of H_0 using the fundamental solution to the heat equation. Namely, for any t > 0, we have from (5) that

$$e^{tu^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\pm 2\sqrt{t}vu} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2} dv$$

for any complex u and either choice of sign \pm . Multiplying by $e^{\pm izu}$ and averaging, we conclude that

$$e^{tu^2}\cos(zu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos\left(\left(z - 2i\sqrt{t}v\right)u\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2} dv$$

for any complex z, u. Multiplying by $\Phi(u)$ and using Fubini's theorem, we conclude that

$$H_t(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_0(z - 2i\sqrt{t}v) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2} dv$$

for any complex z. Using (1), we thus have

(6)
$$H_t(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{8} \xi \left(\frac{1 + iz}{2} + \sqrt{t}v \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2} dv.$$

We now combine this formula with expansions of the Riemann ξ -function. From [20, (2.10.6)] we have the Riemann-Siegel formula

(7)
$$\frac{1}{8}\xi(s) = R_{0,0}(s) + R_{0,0}^*(1-s)$$

for any complex s that is not an integer (in order to avoid the poles of the Gamma function), where $R_{0,0}(s)$ is the contour integral

$$R_{0,0}(s) := \frac{1}{8} \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{0, t_1} \frac{w^{-s} e^{i\pi w^2}}{e^{\pi i w} - e^{-\pi i w}} dw$$

with $0 \swarrow 1$ any infinite line oriented in the direction $e^{5\pi i/4}$ that crosses the interval [0, 1]. From the residue theorem (and the gaussian decrease of $e^{i\pi w^2}$ along the $e^{\pi i/4}$ and $e^{5\pi i/4}$ directions) we may expand

$$R_{0,0}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} r_{0,n}(s) + R_{0,N}(s)$$

for any non-negative integer N, where $r_{0,n}$, $R_{0,N}$ are the meromorphic functions

(8)
$$r_{0,n}(s) := \frac{1}{8} \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) n^{-s},$$

(9)
$$R_{0,N}(s) := \frac{1}{8} \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{N \times N+1} \frac{w^{-s} e^{i\pi w^2}}{e^{\pi i w} - e^{-\pi i w}}$$

and $N \swarrow N+1$ denotes any infinite line oriented in the direction $e^{5\pi i/4}$ that crosses the interval [N,N+1]. For any z that is not purely imaginary, we see from Stirling's approximation that the functions $r_{0,n}(\frac{1+iz}{2}+\sqrt{t}v)$ and $R_{0,N}(\frac{1+iz}{2}+\sqrt{t}v)$ grow slower than gaussian as $v\to\pm\infty$ (indeed they grow like $\exp(O(|v|\log|v|))$, where the implied constants depend on t,z). From this and (6), (7) we conclude that

(10)
$$H_t(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} r_{t,n} \left(\frac{1+iz}{2} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} r_{t,n}^* \left(\frac{1-iz}{2} \right) + R_{t,N} \left(\frac{1+iz}{2} \right) + R_{t,N}^* \left(\frac{1-iz}{2} \right)$$

for any t > 0, any z that is not purely imaginary, and any non-negative integer N, where $r_{t,n}(s)$, $R_{t,N}(s)$ are defined for non-real s by the formulae

$$r_{t,n}(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} r_{0,n} \left(s + \sqrt{t} v \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2} dv$$

$$R_{t,N}(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} R_{0,N} \left(s + \sqrt{t} v \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2} dv;$$

these can be thought of as the evolutions of $r_{0,n}$, $R_{0,N}$ respectively under the forward heat equation.

The functions $r_{0,n}(s)$, $R_{0,N}(s)$ grow slower than gaussian as long as the imaginary part of s is bounded and bounded away from zero. As a consequence, we may shift contours (replacing v by $v + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}\alpha_n$) and write

(11)
$$r_{t,n}(s) = \exp\left(-\frac{t}{4}\alpha_n^2\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\sqrt{t}v\alpha_n\right) r_{0,n}\left(s + \sqrt{t}v + \frac{t}{2}\alpha_n\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2} dv$$

for any complex number α_n with Im(s), $\text{Im}(s + \frac{t}{2}\alpha_n)$ having the same sign. Similarly we may write

(12)
$$R_{t,N}(s) = \exp\left(-\frac{t}{4}\beta_N^2\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\sqrt{t}\nu\beta_N\right) R_{0,N}\left(s + \sqrt{t}\nu + \frac{t}{2}\beta_N\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\nu^2} d\nu$$

for any complex number β_N with Im(s), $\text{Im}(s+\frac{t}{2}\beta_N)$ having the same sign. In the spirit of the saddle point method, we will select the parameters α_n , β_N later in the paper in order to make the phases in $r_{0,n}$, $R_{0,N}$ close to stationary, in order to obtain good estimates and approximations for these terms.

4. Elementary estimates

We have the following elementary estimates:

Lemma 4.1 (Elementary estimates). Let x > 0.

(i) If a > 0 and $b \ge 0$ are such that x > b/a, then

$$O_{\leq}\left(\frac{a}{x}\right) + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{b}{x^2}\right) = O_{\leq}\left(\frac{a}{x - b/a}\right).$$

More generally, if a > 0 and $b, c \ge 0$ are such that x > b/a, $\sqrt{c/a}$, then

$$O_{\leq}\left(\frac{a}{x}\right) + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{b}{x^2}\right) + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{c}{x^3}\right) = O_{\leq}\left(\frac{a}{x - \max(b/a, \sqrt{c/a})}\right).$$

(ii) If x > 1, then

$$\log\left(1+O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right)=O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{x-1}\right).$$

or equivalently

$$1 + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = \exp\left(O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{x-1}\right)\right).$$

(iii) If x > 1/2, then

$$\exp\left(O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right) = 1 + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{x - 0.5}\right).$$

(iv) We have

$$\exp(O_{\le}(x)) = 1 + O_{\le}(e^x - 1).$$

(v) If z is a complex number with $|\text{Im}(z)| \ge 1$ or $\text{Re}z \ge 1$, then

$$\Gamma(z) = \sqrt{2\pi} \exp\left(\left(z - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log z - z + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{12(|z| - 0.33)}\right)\right).$$

(vi) If a, b > 0 and $x \ge x_0 \ge \exp(b/a)$, then

$$\log^a x \le \frac{\log^a x_0}{x_0^b} x^b.$$

Proof. Claim (i) follows from the geometric series formula

$$\frac{a}{x-t} = \frac{a}{x} + \frac{at}{x^2} + \frac{at^2}{x^3} + \dots$$

whenever $0 \le t < x$.

For Claim (ii), we use the Taylor expansion of the logarithm to note that

$$\log\left(1 + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right) = O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} + \frac{1}{3x^3} + \dots\right)$$

which on comparison with the geometric series formula

$$\frac{1}{x-1} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{x^3} + \dots$$

gives the claim. Similarly for Claim (iii), we may compare the Taylor expansion

$$\exp\left(O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right) = 1 + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2!x^2} + \frac{1}{3!x^3} + \dots\right)$$

with the geometric series formula

$$\frac{1}{x - 0.5} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} + \frac{1}{2^2 x^3} + \dots$$

and note that $k! \ge 2^k$ for all $k \ge 2$.

Claim (iv) follows from the trivial identity $e^x = 1 + (e^x - 1)$ and the elementary inequality $e^{-x} \ge 1 - (e^x - 1)$. For Claim (v), we may use the functional equation $\Gamma = \Gamma^*$ to assume that $\text{Im}(z) \ge 0$. We use equations (1.13), (3.1), (3.14) and (3.15) of [2] to obtain the Stirling approximation

$$\Gamma(z) = \sqrt{2\pi} \exp\left(\left(z - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log z - z\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{12z} + R_2(z)\right)$$

where the remainder $R_2(z)$ obeys the bound

$$|R_2(z)| \le (2\sqrt{2} + 1) \frac{C_2\Gamma(2)}{(2\pi)^3|z|^2}$$

for $Re(z) \ge 0$ and

$$|R_2(z)| \le (2\sqrt{2} + 1) \frac{C_2\Gamma(2)}{(2\pi)^3|z|^2|1 - e^{2\pi iz}|}$$

for $Re(z) \le 0$, where C_2 is the constant

$$C_2 := \frac{1}{2}(1 + \zeta(2)) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{\pi^2}{6}\right).$$

In the latter case, we have $\text{Im}(z) \ge 1$ by hypothesis, and hence $|1 - e^{2\pi iz}| \ge 1 - e^{-2\pi}$. We conclude that in all ranges of z of interest, we have

$$|R_2(z)| \le (2\sqrt{2} + 1) \frac{C_2\Gamma(2)}{(2\pi)^3|z|^2(1 - e^{-2\pi})} \le \frac{0.0205}{|z|^2}$$

and hence by Claim (i)

$$\Gamma(z) = \sqrt{2\pi} \exp\left(\left(z - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log z - z\right) \left(1 + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{12(|z| - 0.246)}\right)\right)$$

and the claim then follows by Claim (ii).

For Claim (vi), it suffices to show that the function $x \mapsto \frac{\log^a x}{x^b}$ is nonincreasing for $x \ge \exp(b/a)$. Taking logarithms and writing $y = \log x$, it suffices to show that $a \log y - by$ is non-increasing for $y \ge b/a$, but this is clear from taking a derivative.

5. Initial approximations

In this section we give some initial estimates on the functions $r_{t,n}$, $R_{t,N}$ appearing in Section 3, and use this to approximate H_t .

We begin with the estimation of $r_{t,n}$. We will need the function

(13)
$$M_0(s) := \frac{1}{8} \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \pi^{-s/2} \sqrt{2\pi} \exp\left(\left(\frac{s}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log \frac{s}{2} - \frac{s}{2}\right)$$

defined for all *s* away from the negative axis. Clearly this function is non-vanishing for all such *s*. We may compute the logarithmic derivative

(14)
$$\alpha \coloneqq \frac{M_0'}{M_0}$$

of this function as

(15)
$$\alpha(s) = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2}\log\pi + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{s}{2} - \frac{1}{2s}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2s} + \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{s}{2\pi}.$$

We can also compute one further derivative:

(16)
$$\alpha'(s) = -\frac{1}{2s^2} - \frac{1}{(s-1)^2} + \frac{1}{2s}.$$

If Im(s) > 3, we conclude in particular that

(17)
$$\alpha'(s) = O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{2\operatorname{Im}(s)^{2}}\right) + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Im}(s)^{2}}\right) + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{2\operatorname{Im}(s)}\right)$$
$$= O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{2(\operatorname{Im}(s) - 3)}\right)$$

thanks to Lemma 4.1(i). Finally, we introduce the more general functions

(18)
$$M_t(s) := \exp(\frac{t}{4}\alpha(s)^2)M_0(s)$$

for any $t \ge 0$, as well as the sequence

$$b_n^t := \exp(\frac{t}{4}\log^2 n).$$

Proposition 5.1 (Estimate for $r_{t,n}$). Let σ be real, let T > 10, let n be a positive integer, and let $0 < t \le 1/2$. Then

$$r_{t,n}(\sigma+iT) = M_t(\sigma+iT) \frac{b_n^t}{n^{\sigma+iT+\frac{t}{2}\alpha(\sigma+iT)}} \left(1 + O_{\leq}(\varepsilon_{t,n}(\sigma+iT))\right)$$

where

(20)
$$\varepsilon_{t,n}(\sigma + iT) := \exp\left(\frac{\frac{t^2}{8}|\alpha(\sigma + iT) - \log n|^2 + \frac{t}{4} + \frac{1}{6}}{T - 3.33}\right) - 1,$$

Proof. From (8), (13) and Lemma 4.1(v) one has

$$r_{0,n}(s) = M_0(s)n^{-s} \exp\left(O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{6(|s| - 0.66)}\right)\right)$$

whenever Im(s) > 2. Let α_n denote the quantity

(21)
$$\alpha_n := \alpha(\sigma + iT) - \log n;$$

this is the logarithmic derivative of $M(s)n^{-s}$ at $s = \sigma + iT$. From (11) we have

$$r_{t,n}(\sigma + iT) = \exp\left(-\frac{t}{4}\alpha_n^2\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\sqrt{t}v\alpha_n\right) M_0\left(\sigma + iT + \sqrt{t}v + \frac{t}{2}\alpha_n\right) \times \\ \times \exp\left(-\left(\sigma + iT + \sqrt{t}v + \frac{t}{2}\alpha_n\right) \log n + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{6(|\sigma + iT + \sqrt{t}v + \frac{t}{2}\alpha_n| - 0.66)}\right)\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2} dv.$$

By (15) and the hypothesis $T \ge 10$, the imaginary part of α_n may be lower bounded by

$$\operatorname{Im}(\alpha_n) \ge -\frac{1}{2T} - \frac{1}{T} \ge -0.15;$$

since $t \le 1/2$, we conclude that $\sigma + iT + \sqrt{t}v + \frac{t}{2}\alpha_n$ has imaginary part at least T - 0.08. Thus

$$r_{t,n}(s) = \exp(-\frac{t}{4}\alpha_n^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-\sqrt{t}v\alpha_n) M_0\left(\sigma + iT + \sqrt{t}v + \frac{t}{2}\alpha_n\right) \times \\ \times \exp\left(-\left(\sigma + iT + \sqrt{t}v + \frac{t}{2}\alpha_n\right) \log n + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{6(T - 0.74)}\right)\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2} dv.$$

From (17) we have

$$\alpha'(s) = O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{2(T - 3.08)}\right)$$

for all s on the line segment between $\sigma + iT$ and $\sigma + iT + \sqrt{tv} + \frac{t}{2}\alpha_n$. Applying Taylor's theorem with remainder to a branch of the complex logarithm of M_0 , we conclude that

$$M_0(\sigma + iT + \sqrt{t}v + \frac{t}{2}\alpha_n) = M_0(\sigma + iT)\exp\left(\alpha(\sigma + iT)(\sqrt{t}v + \frac{t}{2}\alpha_n) + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{|\sqrt{t}v + \frac{t}{2}\alpha_n|^2}{4(T - 3.08)}\right)\right).$$

Inserting this estimate, writing $\alpha(\sigma + iT) = \alpha_n + \log n$, estimating $\frac{1}{6(T-0.74)}$ by $\frac{1}{6(T-3.08)}$ and $|\sqrt{t}v + \frac{t}{2}\alpha_n|^2$ by $2tv^2 + \frac{t^2}{2}|\alpha_n|^2$, and simplifying, we conclude that

$$\begin{split} r_{t,n}(s) &= M_0(\sigma + iT) \exp\left(\frac{t}{4}\alpha_n^2 - (\sigma + iT)\log n\right) \times \\ &\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(O_{\leq}\left(\frac{\frac{t}{2}\nu^2 + \frac{t^2}{8}|\alpha_n|^2 + \frac{1}{6}}{T - 3.08}\right)\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\nu^2} d\nu. \end{split}$$

Using (21), (18), (19) we see that

$$M_0(\sigma + iT) \exp\left(\frac{t}{4}\alpha_n^2 - (\sigma + iT)\log n\right) = M_t(\sigma + iT) \frac{b_n^t}{n^{\sigma + iT + \frac{t}{2}\alpha(\sigma + iT)}}$$

and so it suffices to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(O_{\leq}\left(\frac{\frac{t}{2}v^2 + \frac{t^2}{8}|\alpha_n|^2 + \frac{1}{6}}{T - 3.08}\right)\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2} dv = 1 + O\left(\exp\left(\frac{\frac{t^2}{8}|\alpha_n|^2 + \frac{t}{4} + \frac{1}{6}}{T - 3.33}\right) - 1\right).$$

Since $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-v^2} dv$ integrates to one, and $\frac{1}{T-3.08} \le \frac{1}{T-3.33}$, it suffices by Lemma 4.1(iv) to show that

(22)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(\frac{tv^2}{2(T-3.08)}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2} dv \le \exp\left(\frac{t}{4(T-3.33)}\right).$$

Using (5), the left-hand side may be calculated exactly as

$$\left(1-\frac{t}{2(T-3.08)}\right)^{-1/2}$$
.

Applying Lemma 4.1(ii) and using the hypotheses $t \le 1/2$, $T \ge 10$, one has

$$1 - \frac{t}{2(T - 3.08)} = \exp\left(O_{\leq}\left(\frac{t}{2(T - 3.33)}\right)\right)$$

and the claim follows.

Now we begin the estimation of $R_{t,N}$. We begin with the following estimates of Arias de Reyna [1] on the term $\int_{N \swarrow N+1} \frac{w^{-s}e^{i\pi w^2}}{e^{\pi iw}-e^{-\pi iw}}$ appearing in (9):

Proposition 5.2. Let σ be real and T' > 0, and define the quantities

$$(23) s \coloneqq \sigma + iT'$$

(24)
$$a \coloneqq \sqrt{\frac{T'}{2\pi}}$$

$$(25) N := \lfloor a \rfloor$$

(26)
$$p := 1 - 2(a - N)$$

(27)
$$U := \exp\left(-i\left(\frac{T'}{2}\log\frac{T'}{2\pi} - \frac{T'}{2} - \frac{\pi}{8}\right)\right).$$

Let K be a positive integer. Then we have an expansion

$$\int_{N \swarrow N+1} \frac{w^{-s} e^{i\pi w^2}}{e^{\pi i w} - e^{-\pi i w}} = (-1)^{N-1} U a^{-\sigma} \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \frac{C_k(p,\sigma)}{a^k} + RS_K(s) \right)$$

where $C_0(p, \sigma) = C_0(p)$ is independent of σ and is given explicitly by the formula

(28)
$$C_0(p) := \frac{e^{\pi i(\frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{3}{8})} - i\sqrt{2}\cos\frac{\pi p}{2}}{2\cos(\pi p)}$$

(removing the singularities at $p = \pm 1/2$), while for $k \ge 1$ the $C_k(p, \sigma)$ are quantities obeying the bounds

$$|C_k(p,\sigma)| \le \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \frac{9^{\sigma} \Gamma(k/2)}{2^k}$$

for $\sigma > 0$ and

(30)
$$|C_k(p,\sigma)| \le \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{2\pi ((3-2\log 2)\pi)^{k/2}}$$

for $\sigma \leq 0$, while the error term RS_K(s) is a quantity obeying the bounds

(31)
$$|RS_K(s)| \le \frac{1}{7} 2^{3\sigma/2} \frac{\Gamma((K+1)/2)}{(a/1.1)^{K+1}}$$

for $\sigma \geq 0$, and

(32)
$$|RS_K(s)| \le \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{9}{10} \right)^{\lceil -\sigma \rceil} \frac{\Gamma((K+1)/2)}{(a/1.1)^{K+1}}$$

if $\sigma < 0$ and $K + \sigma \ge 2$.

Proof. This follows from [1, Theorems 3.1, 4.1, 4.2] combined with [1, (3.2), (5.2)]. The dependence of $C_k(p,\sigma), k \ge 1$ on σ and the dependence of $RS_K(s)$ on s is suppressed in [1], but can be discerned from the definitions of these quantities (and the related quantities $g(\tau, z), P_k(z) = P_k(z, \sigma), Rg_K(\tau, z)$) in [1, (3.9), (3.10), (3.7), (3.6)].

Note that p ranges in the interval [-1, 1]. One can show that

$$|C_0(p)| \le \frac{1}{2}$$

for all $p \in [-1, 1]$; this follows for instance from the n = 0 case of [1, Theorem 6.1].

Proposition 5.3 (Estimate for $R_{t,N}$). Let $0 \le \sigma \le 1$, let $T \ge 100$, and let $0 < t \le 1/2$. Set

$$T' \coloneqq T + \frac{\pi t}{8}$$

and then define $a, N, p, U, C_0(p)$ using (24), (25), (27), (28). Then

$$R_{t,N}(\sigma+iT) = (-1)^{N-1} U e^{\pi i\sigma/4} \exp\left(\frac{t\pi^2}{64}\right) M_0(iT') \left(C_0(p) + O_{\leq}(\tilde{\varepsilon}(\sigma+iT))\right)$$

where

(34)
$$\tilde{\varepsilon}(\sigma + iT) := \left(\frac{0.397 \times 9^{\sigma}}{a - 0.125} + \frac{5}{3(T' - 3.33)}\right) \exp\left(\frac{3.49}{T' - 3.33}\right).$$

Proof. We apply (12) with $\beta_N := \pi i/4$ to obtain

$$R_{t,N}(\sigma + iT) = \exp\left(\frac{t\pi^2}{64}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{t}v\pi i}{4}\right) R_{0,N}(\sigma + iT' + \sqrt{t}v) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2} dv.$$

From (9) we have

$$R_{0,N}(\sigma + iT' + \sqrt{t}v) = \frac{1}{8} \frac{s_{\nu}(s_{\nu} - 1)}{2} \pi^{-s_{\nu}/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s_{\nu}}{2}\right) (-1)^{N-1} U a^{-\sigma - \sqrt{t}v} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{K_{\nu}} \frac{C_{k}(p, \sigma + \sqrt{t}v)}{a^{k}} + RS_{K_{\nu}}(s_{\nu})\right)$$

for any positive integer K_v that we permit to depend (in a measurable fashion) on v, where $s_v := \sigma + iT' + \sqrt{t}v$. From (13) and Lemma 4.1(v) we thus have

$$R_{0,N}(\sigma + iT' + \sqrt{t}v) = M_0(s_v) \exp\left(O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{12(T' - 0.33)}\right)\right) (-1)^{N-1} U a^{-\sigma - \sqrt{t}v} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{K_v} \frac{C_k(p, \sigma + \sqrt{t}v)}{a^k} + RS_K(s_v)\right).$$

From (17) and Taylor expansion of a logarithm of M, we have

$$M_0(s_v) = M_0(iT') \exp\left(\alpha(iT')(\sigma + \sqrt{t}v) + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{(\sigma + \sqrt{t}v)^2}{4(T' - 0.33)}\right)\right).$$

From (15), (24) one has

$$\alpha(iT') = O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{2T'}\right) + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{T'}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{iT'}{2\pi} = \log a + \frac{i\pi}{4} + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{3}{2T'}\right)$$

and hence (bounding $\frac{3}{2T'}$ by $\frac{6}{4(T'-0.33)}$)

$$\alpha(iT')(\sigma + \sqrt{t}v) = (\sigma + \sqrt{t}v)\log a + \frac{\pi i\sigma}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{t}v\pi i}{4} + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{6|\sigma + \sqrt{t}v|}{4(T' - 0.33)}\right).$$

We conclude that

$$\exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{t}v\pi i}{4}\right)R_{0,N}(\sigma + iT' + \sqrt{t}v) = M_0(iT')\exp\left(O_{\leq}\left(\frac{(\sigma + \sqrt{t}v)^2 + 6|\sigma + \sqrt{t}v| + \frac{1}{3}}{4(T' - 0.33)}\right)\right) \times \\ \times (-1)^{N-1}Ue^{\pi i\sigma/4}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{K_v}\frac{C_k(p, \sigma + \sqrt{t}v)}{a^k} + RS_{K_v}(s_v)\right).$$

Bounding $6|\sigma + \sqrt{t}v| \le 3(\sigma + \sqrt{t}v)^2 + 3$, we have

$$\frac{(\sigma + \sqrt{t}v)^2 + 6|\sigma + \sqrt{t}v| + \frac{1}{3}}{4(T' - 0.33)} \le \frac{(\sigma + \sqrt{t}v)^2 + \frac{5}{6}}{T' - 0.33}$$

Putting all this together, we obtain

$$\begin{split} R_{t,N}(\sigma+iT) &= (-1)^{N-1} U e^{\pi i \sigma/4} \exp\left(\frac{t\pi^2}{64}\right) M_0(iT') \times \\ &\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(O_{\leq}\left(\frac{(\sigma+\sqrt{t}v)^2+\frac{5}{6}}{T'-0.33}\right)\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{K_v} \frac{C_k(p,\sigma+\sqrt{t}v)}{a^k} + RS_{K_v}(s_v)\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2} dv. \end{split}$$

We separate the k=0 term from the rest. By Lemma 4.1(iv) and the fact that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-v^2}$ integrates to one, we can write the above expression as

(35)
$$R_{t,N}(\sigma+iT) = (-1)^{N-1} U e^{\pi i \sigma/4} \exp\left(\frac{t\pi^2}{64}\right) M_0(iT') \left(C_0(p)(1+O_{\leq}(\epsilon)) + O_{\leq}(\delta)\right)$$

where

$$\epsilon := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\exp\left(\frac{(\sigma + \sqrt{t}v)^2 + \frac{5}{6}}{T' - 0.33}\right) - 1 \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2} dv$$

and

$$\delta := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(\frac{(\sigma + \sqrt{t}v)^2 + \frac{5}{6}}{T' - 0.33}\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K_v} \frac{|C_k(p, \sigma + \sqrt{t}v)|}{a^k} + |RS_{K_v}(s_v)|\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2} dv.$$

Bounding $(\sigma + \sqrt{t}v)^2 \le 2\sigma^2 + 2tv^2$ and using (5) we obtain

$$\epsilon \le \exp\left(\frac{2\sigma^2 + \frac{5}{6}}{T' - 0.33}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2t}{T' - 0.33}\right)^{-1/2} - 1.$$

Applying Lemma 4.1(ii) and using the hypotheses $t \le 1/2$, $T \ge 100$, one has

$$1 - \frac{2t}{T' - 0.33} = \exp\left(O_{\leq}\left(\frac{2t}{T' - 3.33}\right)\right)$$

and hence

$$\epsilon \le \exp\left(\frac{2\sigma^2 + t + \frac{5}{6}}{T' - 3.33}\right) - 1.$$

With $t \le 1/2$ and $0 \le \sigma \le 1$, one has $2\sigma^2 + t + \frac{5}{6} \le \frac{10}{3}$. By the mean value theorem we then have

(36)
$$\epsilon \le \frac{10}{3(T'-3.33)} \exp\left(\frac{10}{3(T'-3.33)}\right).$$

Now we work on δ . Making the change of variables $u := \sigma + \sqrt{t}v$, we have

$$\delta = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(\frac{u^2 + \frac{5}{6}}{T' - 0.33}\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{K}_u} \frac{|C_k(p, u)|}{a^k} + |RS_{\tilde{K}_u}(u + iT')|\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} e^{-(u - \sigma)^2/t} du,$$

where \tilde{K}_u is a positive integer parameter that can depend arbitrarily on u (as long as it is measurable, of course).

We choose \tilde{K}_u to equal 1 when $u \ge 0$ and $\max(\lfloor -\sigma \rfloor + 3, \lfloor \frac{T'}{\pi} \rfloor)$ when u < 0, so that Proposition 5.2 applies. The expression

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{K}_u} \frac{|C_k(p,u)|}{a^k} + |RS_{\tilde{K}_u}(u+iT')|$$

is then bounded by

(37)
$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \frac{9^{u} \Gamma(1/2)}{2a} + \frac{1}{7} 2^{3u/2} \frac{\Gamma(1)}{(a/1.1)^{2}} \le \frac{0.200 \times 9^{u}}{a} + \frac{0.173 \times 2^{3u/2}}{a^{2}}$$

for $u \ge 0$ and

(38)
$$\sum_{1 \le k \le \tilde{K}_u} \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2} - u}}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{2\pi ((3 - 2\log 2)\pi)^{k/2} a^k} + \frac{1}{2} (9/10)^{\lceil -u \rceil} \frac{\Gamma((\tilde{K}_u + 1)/2)}{(a/1.1)^{\tilde{K}_u + 1}}$$

for u < 0. One can calculate that

$$\frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{2\pi} \le 0.036 \le \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{((3-2\log 2)\pi)^{1/2}} \le 0.445 \le 1.1$$

and hence we can bound (38) by

$$0.0362^{-u} \sum_{1 \le k \le \frac{T'}{\sigma}} (0.445)^k \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{a^k} \frac{1}{2} 2^{-u} \sum_{\frac{T'}{\sigma} \le k \le -u+4} \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{(a/1.1)^k}.$$

For $u \ge 0$, we can estimate (37) by

$$0.2 \times 9^{u} (\frac{1}{a} + \frac{0.865}{a^2}) \le \frac{0.2 \times 9^{u}}{a - 0.865}$$

thanks to Lemma 4.1(i). For u < 0, we observe that if $k \le 2a^2 = \frac{T'}{\pi}$ then

$$\frac{\Gamma(k+2/2)}{a^{k+2}} = \frac{k}{2a^2} \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{a^k} \leq \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{a^k}$$

and hence by the geometric series formula

$$\sum_{\substack{2 \le k \le \frac{T'}{k} \text{ even}}} (0.445)^k \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{a^k} \le \frac{(0.445)^2}{1 - (0.445)^2} \frac{\Gamma(2/2)}{a} \le \frac{0.247}{a^2}$$

and similarly

$$\sum_{3 \le k \le \frac{T'}{L}, k \text{ odd}} (0.445)^k \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{a^k} \le \frac{(0.445)^3}{1 - (0.445)^2} \frac{\Gamma(3/2)}{(a/1.1)^3} \le \frac{0.098}{a^3}$$

and hence we can bound (38) by

$$0.0362^{-u} \left(\frac{0.445 \sqrt{\pi}}{a} + \frac{0.247}{a^2} + \frac{0.098}{a^3} \right) + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-u} \sum_{\frac{T'}{\pi} \le k \le -u+4} \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{(a/1.1)^k}.$$

By Lemma 4.1(i) we have

$$0.036 \left(\frac{0.445 \sqrt{\pi}}{a} + \frac{0.247}{a^2} + \frac{0.098}{a^3} \right) \le \frac{0.029}{a - 0.353}$$

and thus we can bound (38) by

$$\frac{0.029 \times 2^{-u}}{a - 0.353} + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-u} \sum_{\frac{T'}{\pi} \le k \le -u + 4} (1.1)^k \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{a^k}.$$

Putting this together, we conclude that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{K}_u} \frac{|C_k(p,u)|}{a^k} + |RS_{\tilde{K}_u}(u+iT')| \leq \frac{0.2 \times 9^u}{a-0.865} + \frac{0.029 \times 2^{-u}}{a-0.353)} + \frac{2^{-u}}{2} \sum_{\frac{T'}{a} \leq k \leq -u+4} (1.1)^k \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{a^k}$$

for all *u* (positive or negative). We conclude that $\delta \leq \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3$, where

$$\delta_{1} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(\frac{u^{2} + \frac{5}{6}}{T' - 0.33}\right) \frac{0.2 \times 9^{u}}{a - 0.865} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} e^{-(u - \sigma)^{2}/t} du$$

$$\delta_{2} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(\frac{u^{2} + \frac{5}{6}}{T' - 0.33}\right) \frac{0.029 \times 2^{-u}}{a - 1.25} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} e^{-(u - \sigma)^{2}/t} du$$

$$\delta_{3} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(\frac{u^{2} + \frac{5}{6}}{T' - 0.33}\right) \frac{2^{-u}}{2} \sum_{\frac{T'}{\leq k \leq -u + 4}} (1.1)^{k} \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{a^{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} e^{-(u - \sigma)^{2}/t} du.$$
(39)

For δ_1 , we translate u by σ to obtain

$$\delta_1 = \frac{0.2 \times 9^{\sigma}}{a - 0.865} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(\frac{u^2 + 2\sigma u + \sigma^2 + \frac{5}{6}}{T' - 0.33} + 2u \log 3\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} e^{-u^2/t} du$$

and hence by (5)

(40)
$$\delta_1 = \frac{0.2 \times 9^{\sigma}}{a - 0.865} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2 + \frac{5}{6}}{T' - 0.33} + \frac{t(\log 3 + \frac{\sigma}{T' - 0.33})^2}{1 - \frac{t}{T' - 0.33}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{t}{T' - 0.33}\right)^{-1/2}.$$

One can write

(41)
$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{t}{T' - 0.33}} = 1 + \frac{t}{T' - 0.33 - t} \le 1 + \frac{t}{T' - 0.83}$$

while by Lemma 4.1(ii) we have

(42)
$$1 - \frac{t}{T' - 0.33} = \exp\left(O_{\leq}\left(\frac{t}{T' - 0.33 - t}\right)\right) = \exp\left(O_{\leq}\left(\frac{t}{T' - 0.83}\right)\right).$$

We conclude that

$$\delta_1 \le \frac{0.2 \times 9^{\sigma}}{a - 0.865} \exp\left(\frac{5 + 3t + 6\sigma^2}{6(T' - 0.83)} + t\left(\log 3 + \frac{\sigma}{T' - 0.33}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{t}{T' - 0.83}\right)\right).$$

From Lemma 4.1(i) and the hypothesis $0 \le \sigma \le 1$, we have

$$\left(\log 3 + \frac{\sigma}{T' - 0.33}\right)^2 \le (\log^2 3) \left(1 + \frac{2\sigma/\log 3}{T' - 0.33 - \frac{\sigma}{2\log 3}}\right)$$
$$\le (\log^2 3) \left(1 + \frac{2\sigma/\log 3}{T' - 0.83}\right)$$

and therefore by a further application of Lemma 4.1(i)

$$\left(\log 3 + \frac{\sigma}{T' - 0.33}\right)^{2} \left(1 + \frac{t}{T' - 0.83}\right) \le \log^{2} 3 \left(1 + \frac{\frac{2\sigma}{\log 3} + t}{T' - 0.83 - \frac{2\sigma t/\log 3}{2\sigma/\log 3 + t}}\right)$$

$$\le \log^{2} 3 \left(1 + \frac{\frac{2\sigma}{\log 3} + t}{T' - 0.83 - t}\right)$$

$$\le \log^{2} 3 \left(1 + \frac{\frac{2\sigma}{\log 3} + t}{T' - 1.33}\right)$$

and thus

$$\delta_1 \leq \frac{0.2 \times 9^{\sigma} \exp(t \log^2 3)}{a - 0.865} \exp\left(\frac{5 + 3t + 6\sigma^2 + 12t\sigma \log 3 + 6t^2 \log^2 3}{6(T' - 1.33)}\right).$$

By repeating the proof of (40), we have

$$\delta_2 = \frac{0.029 \times 2^{-\sigma}}{a - 0.353} \exp \left(\frac{\sigma^2 + \frac{5}{6}}{T' - 0.33} + \frac{t \left(-\log \sqrt{2} + \frac{\sigma}{T' - 0.33} \right)^2}{1 - \frac{t}{T' - 0.33}} \right) \left(1 - \frac{t}{T' - 0.33} \right)^{-1/2}.$$

We can bound $(-\log \sqrt{2} + \frac{\sigma}{T' - 0.33})^2$ by $\log^2 \sqrt{2}$. Using (41), (42) we thus have

$$\delta_2 \le \frac{0.029 \times 2^{-\sigma} \exp(t \log^2 \sqrt{2})}{a - 0.353} \exp\left(\frac{5 + 3t + 6\sigma^2}{6(T' - 1.33)}\right).$$

With $t \le 1/2$ and $0 \le \sigma \le 1$ one has

$$0.2 \exp(t \log^2 3) \le 0.366$$

$$0.029 \exp(t \log^2 \sqrt{2}) \le 0.031$$

$$\frac{5+3t+6\sigma^2}{6} \le \frac{5+3t+6\sigma^2+12t\sigma\log 3+6t^2\log^2 3}{6} \le 3.49$$

and hence

$$\delta_1 \le \frac{0.366 \times 9^{\sigma}}{a - 0.865} \exp\left(\frac{3.49}{T' - 1.33}\right)$$

and

$$\delta_2 \le \frac{0.031 \times 2^{-\sigma}}{a - 0.353} \exp\left(\frac{3.49}{T' - 1.33}\right).$$

Now we turn to δ_3 , which will end up being extremely small compared to δ_1 or δ_2 . By (39) and the Fubini-Tonelli theorem, we have

$$\delta_3 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \sum_{k \ge \frac{T'}{2/2}} (1.1)^k \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{a^k} \int_{-\infty}^{4-k} \exp\left(\frac{u^2 + \frac{5}{6}}{T' - 0.33} - \frac{(u - \sigma)^2}{t} - u \log 2\right) du.$$

Since $u \le 4 - k$, $k \ge \frac{T'}{2.2\pi}$, and $T' \ge T \ge 100$, we have $k \ge 14$ and $u \le -10$; since $\sigma \ge 0$, we may thus lower bound $(u - \sigma)^2/t$ by u^2/t . Since $t \le 1/2$, we can upper bound $\frac{u^2 + \frac{5}{6}}{T' - 0.33} - \frac{u^2}{t}$ by (say) $-\frac{u^2}{2t}$, thus

$$\delta_3 \le \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \sum_{k \ge \frac{T'}{22\pi}} (1.1)^k \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{a^k} \int_{-\infty}^{4-k} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2t} - u \log 2} du.$$

We can bound $e^{-\frac{u^2}{2t}} \le e^{\frac{(k-4)u}{2t}}$, in the range of integration and thus

$$\int_{-\infty}^{4-k} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2t} - u \log 2} du \le \frac{1}{\frac{k-4}{2t} - \log 2} e^{-\frac{(k-4)^2}{2t} + (k-4) \log 2} \le \frac{1}{\frac{k-4}{2t} - \log 2} e^{-(k-4)^2 + (k-4) \log 2};$$

bounding

$$\frac{k-4}{2t} - \log 2 = \frac{k-4-2t\log 2}{2t} \ge \frac{k-6}{2t}$$

we conclude that

$$\delta_3 \le \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k \ge \frac{T'}{2.2\pi}} (1.1)^k \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{(k-6)a^k} e^{-(k-4)^2 + (k-4)\log 2}.$$

For $k \ge 14$ one can easily verify that $(1.1)^k \Gamma(k/2) e^{-(k-4)^2 + (k-4) \log 2} \le 10^{-30}$; discarding the $\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ and $\frac{1}{k-6}$ factors we thus have

$$\delta_3 \le \sum_{k>14} \frac{10^{-30}}{a^k} \le \frac{2 \times 10^{-30}}{a^{14}}$$

(say). Since

$$\frac{0.031 \times 2^{-\sigma}}{a - 0.353} + \frac{2 \times 10^{-30}}{a^{14}} \le \frac{0.031 \times 2^{-\sigma}}{a - 0.865}$$

we thus have

$$\delta \le \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 \le \frac{0.366 \times 9^{\sigma} + 0.031 \times 2^{-\sigma}}{a - 0.865} \exp\left(\frac{3.49}{T' - 1.33}\right).$$

Inserting this and (36), (33) into (35), and crudely bounding $2^{-\sigma}$ by 9^{σ} , we obtain the claim. \Box

Combining Propositions 5.1, 5.3 with (10) and the triangle inequality (and noting that $M_0 = M_0^*$, $M_t = M_t^*$ and $\alpha = \alpha^*$, and that U has magnitude 1), we conclude the following "A + B - C approximation to H_t ":

Corollary 5.4 (A + B - C approximation). Let $0 \le y \le 1$, $x \ge 200$, and $0 < t \le 1/2$. Set

$$T' \coloneqq \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\pi t}{8}$$

and then define $a, N, p, U, C_0(p)$ using (24), (25), (27), (28). Define the quantities

$$A(x+iy) := M_t(\frac{1-y+ix}{2}) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{b_n^t}{n^{\frac{1-y+ix}{2} + \frac{t}{2}\alpha(\frac{1-y+ix}{2})}}$$

$$B(x+iy) := M_t(\frac{1+y-ix}{2}) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{b_n^t}{n^{\frac{1+y-ix}{2} + \frac{t}{2}\alpha(\frac{1-y-ix}{2})}}$$

$$C(x+iy) := 2e^{-\pi iy/8}(-1)^N \exp\left(\frac{t\pi^2}{64}\right) \operatorname{Re}(M_0(iT')C_0(p)Ue^{\pi i/8})$$

where M_0, b_n^t were defined in (18), (19). Then

$$H_t(x+iy) = A(x+iy) + B(x+iy) - C(x+iy) + O_{\leq}(E_A(x+iy) + E_B(x+iy) + E_C(x+iy))$$

where

$$\begin{split} E_A(x+iy) &\coloneqq |M_t(\frac{1-y+ix}{2})| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{b_n^t}{n^{\frac{1-y}{2}+\frac{t}{2}\mathrm{Re}\alpha(\frac{1-y+ix}{2})}} \varepsilon_{t,n}(\frac{1-y+ix}{2}) \\ E_B(x+iy) &\coloneqq |M_t(\frac{1+y+ix}{2})| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{b_n^t}{n^{\frac{1+y}{2}+\frac{t}{2}\mathrm{Re}\alpha(\frac{1+y+ix}{2})}} \varepsilon_{t,n}(\frac{1+y+ix}{2}) \\ E_C(x+iy) &\coloneqq \exp\left(\frac{t\pi^2}{64}\right) |M_0(iT')| (\tilde{\varepsilon}(\frac{1-y+ix}{2}) + \tilde{\varepsilon}(\frac{1+y+ix}{2})) \end{split}$$

and $\varepsilon_{t,n}$, $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ were defined in (20), (34).

In our application we will just need the cruder "A + B" approximation that is immediate from the above corollary and (33):

Corollary 5.5 (A + B approximation). With the notation and hypotheses as in Corollary 5.4, we have

$$H_t(x+iy) = A(x+iy) + B(x+iy) + O_{\leq}(E_A(x+iy) + E_B(x+iy) + E_{C,0}(x+iy))$$

where

$$E_{C,0}(x+iy) := \exp\left(\frac{t\pi^2}{64}\right) |M_0(iT')| (1+\tilde{\varepsilon}(\frac{1-y+ix}{2})+\tilde{\varepsilon}(\frac{1+y+ix}{2})).$$

It will be convenient to normalize by the first term

(43)
$$B_0(x+iy) := M_t(\frac{1+y-ix}{2})$$

of B(x + iy). This expression is non-zero, and we conclude (under the hypotheses of Corollary 5.5) that

(44)
$$\frac{H_t(x+iy)}{B_0(x+iy)} = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{b_n^t}{n^{s_*}} + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^N n^y \frac{b_n^t}{n^{\overline{s_*}+\kappa}} + O_{\leq}(e_A + e_B + e_{C,0})$$

where

(45)
$$\lambda := \lambda(x+iy) := \frac{M_t(\frac{1-y+ix}{2})}{M_t(\frac{1+y-ix}{2})}$$

(46)
$$s_* = s_*(x+iy) := \frac{1+y-ix}{2} + \frac{t}{2}\alpha(\frac{1+y-ix}{2})$$

(47)
$$\kappa := \kappa(x+iy) := \frac{t}{2} \left(\alpha(\frac{1-y+ix}{2}) - \alpha(\frac{1+y+ix}{2}) \right)$$

(48)
$$e_A := e_A(x+iy) := |\lambda| \sum_{n=1}^N n^y \frac{b_n^t}{n^{\operatorname{Re}(s) + \operatorname{Re}(\kappa)}} \varepsilon_{t,n}(\frac{1-y+ix}{2})$$

(49)
$$e_B := e_B(x+iy) := \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{b_n^t}{n^{\text{Re}(s)}} \varepsilon_{t,n} (\frac{1+y+ix}{2})$$

(50)
$$e_{C,0} := e_{C,0}(x+iy) := \frac{\exp\left(\frac{t\pi^2}{64}\right)|M_0(iT')|}{|M_t(\frac{1+y+ix}{2})|} (1 + \tilde{\varepsilon}(\frac{1-y+ix}{2}) + \tilde{\varepsilon}(\frac{1+y+ix}{2})).$$

It will be convenient to bound these quantities by expressions that depend on N rather than x. Observe that

$$N = \lfloor \sqrt{\frac{T'}{2\pi}} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{x}{4\pi} + \frac{t}{16} \rfloor$$

and hence

$$(51) x_N \le x < x_{N+1}$$

where

$$(52) x_N \coloneqq 4\pi N^2 - \frac{\pi t}{4}$$

Similarly one has

$$2\pi N^2 \le T' < 2\pi (N+1)^2.$$

Proposition 5.6. Let the notation and hypotheses be as in Corollary 5.4, and let λ , κ , e_A , e_B , $e_{C,0}$ be defined by (45)-(50).

(i) One has

$$|\lambda| \le e^{0.02y} \left(\frac{x}{4\pi}\right)^{-y/2}$$

(ii) One has

$$\operatorname{Re} s_* \ge \frac{1+y}{2} + \frac{t}{2} \log \frac{x}{4\pi} - \frac{(1-3y)_+ t}{2x^2}.$$

(iii) One has

$$\kappa = O_{\leq} \left(\frac{ty}{2(x-6)} \right).$$

(iv) One has

$$e_A \le e^{0.02y} \left(\frac{x}{4\pi}\right)^{-y/2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{y + \frac{ty}{2(x-6)}} \frac{b_n^t}{n^{\text{Re}(s)}} \left(\exp\left(\frac{\frac{t^2}{32} \log^2 \frac{x}{4\pi n^2} + 0.313}{T - 3.33}\right) - 1 \right).$$

(v) One has

$$e_B \le \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{b_n^t}{n^{\text{Re}(s)}} \left(\exp\left(\frac{\frac{t^2}{32} \log^2 \frac{x}{4\pi n^2} + 0.313}{T - 3.33} \right) - 1 \right).$$

(vi) One has

$$e_C \le \left(\frac{T'}{2\pi}\right)^{-\frac{1+y}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{16}\log^2\frac{x}{4\pi}\right) (1.46 + \frac{1.33 \times (3^y + 3^{-y})}{a - 0.125}).$$

Proof. From the mean value theorem we have

$$\log \lambda = -y \frac{d}{d\sigma} \log \left| M_t \left(\sigma + \frac{ix}{2} \right) \right|$$

for some $\frac{1-y}{2} \le \sigma \le \frac{1+y}{2}$. From (14), (18) we have

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma}\log\left|M_t\left(\sigma+\frac{ix}{2}\right)\right| = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{t}{2}\alpha\left(\sigma+\frac{ix}{2}\right)\alpha'\left(\sigma+\frac{ix}{2}\right) + \alpha\left(\sigma+\frac{ix}{2}\right)\right).$$

From (17) one has

(53)
$$\alpha'\left(\sigma + \frac{ix}{2}\right) = O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{x - 6}\right)$$

and from Taylor expansion we also have

$$\alpha(\sigma + \frac{ix}{2}) = \alpha(\frac{ix}{2}) + O_{\leq}(\frac{\sigma}{x - 6});$$

from (15) one has

$$\alpha\left(\frac{ix}{2}\right) = O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{ix}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{x}{4\pi} + i\frac{\pi}{4} + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{2}{x}\right)$$

and hence

(54)
$$\alpha(\sigma + \frac{ix}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{x}{4\pi} + i\frac{\pi}{4} + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{2+\sigma}{x-6}\right).$$

Inserting these bounds, we conclude that

$$\log \lambda = -y \operatorname{Re} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{x}{4\pi} + i \frac{\pi}{4} + O_{\leq} \left(\frac{2+\sigma}{x-6} \right) \right) \left(1 + O_{\leq} \left(\frac{t}{2(x-6)} \right) \right) \right).$$

Expanding this out, we have

$$\log \lambda = -y(\frac{1}{2}\log \frac{x}{4\pi} + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{2 + \sigma + \frac{t}{4}\log \frac{x}{4\pi} + \frac{t\pi}{8} + \frac{t(2 + \sigma)}{2(x - 6)}}{x - 6}\right).$$

With $\sigma \le 1$, $0 < t \le 1/2$, and $x \ge 200$ one has

$$2 + \sigma + \frac{t\pi}{8} + \frac{t(2+\sigma)}{2(x-6)} \le 3.21$$

and thus

$$\log \lambda \le -\frac{y}{2} \log \frac{x}{4\pi} + y \frac{\frac{t}{4} \log \frac{x}{4\pi} + 3.21}{x - 6}.$$

The function $x \mapsto \frac{\log \frac{x}{4\pi}}{x-6}$ is decreasing for $x \ge 200$, hence

$$y\frac{\frac{t}{4}\log\frac{x}{4\pi} + 3.21}{x - 6} \le y\frac{\frac{t}{4}\log\frac{200}{4\pi} + 3.21}{200 - 6} \le 0.02.$$

Claim (i) follows. We remark that one can improve the $e^{0.02y}$ factor here by Taylor expanding α to second order rather than first order, but we will not need to do so here.

To prove claim (ii), it suffices by (23) to show that

$$\operatorname{Re}\alpha(\frac{1+y-ix}{2}) \ge \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{x}{4\pi} - \frac{(1-3y)_+}{x^2}.$$

By (15) one has

$$\operatorname{Re}\alpha(\frac{1+y-ix}{2}) = \frac{1+y}{(1+y)^2+x^2} + \frac{2(1-y)}{(1-y)^2+x^2} + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\sqrt{(1+y)^2+x^2}}{4\pi}.$$

Bounding $\frac{1}{(1-y)^2+x^2} \ge \frac{1}{(1+y)^2+x^2}$ and $\sqrt{(1+y)^2+x^2} \ge x$, we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Re}\alpha(\frac{1+y-ix}{2}) \ge \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{x}{4\pi} - \frac{1-3y}{(1-y)^2 + x^2}.$$

For $1 - 3y \ge 0$ we simply discard the second term on the right-hand side; otherwise we bound $\frac{1}{(1-y)^2 + x^2} \le \frac{1}{x^2}$, and the claim follows.

Claim (iii) is immediate from (53) and the fundamental theorem of calculus. Now we turn to (iv), (v). From (54) one has

$$\alpha(\frac{1 \pm y + ix}{2}) - \log n = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{x}{4\pi n^2} + i\frac{\pi}{4} + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{3}{x - 6}\right)$$

for either choice of sign \pm . In particular, we have

$$(55) \qquad |\alpha(\frac{1\pm y+ix}{2}) - \log n|^2 = \frac{1}{4}\log^2\frac{x}{4\pi n^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{16} + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{3|\log\frac{x}{4\pi n^2} + i\frac{\pi}{2}|}{x-6} + \frac{9}{(x-6)^2}\right).$$

For any $1 \le n \le N$, we have

$$1 \le n^2 \le N^2 \le a^2 = \frac{x + \frac{\pi t}{16}}{4\pi};$$

since $x \ge 200$ and $0 < t \le 1/2$, the right-hand side is certainly bounded by $(\frac{x}{4\pi})^2$, so that

$$\frac{4\pi}{x} \le \frac{x}{4\pi n^2} \le \frac{x}{4\pi}$$

and hence

$$|\log\frac{x}{4\pi n^2}+i\frac{\pi}{2}|\leq |\log\frac{x}{4\pi}+i\frac{\pi}{2}|$$

and for $x \ge 200$ one can check that $\frac{|\log \frac{x}{4\pi} + i\frac{\pi}{2}|}{x-6}$ is decreasing in x. Thus

$$\frac{\pi^2}{16} + \frac{3|\log\frac{x}{4\pi n^2} + i\frac{\pi}{2}|}{x - 6} + \frac{9}{(x - 6)^2} \le \frac{\pi^2}{16} + \frac{3|\log\frac{200}{4\pi} + i\frac{\pi}{2}|}{200 - 6} + \frac{9}{(200 - 6)^2} < 0.667.$$

For $0 < t \le \frac{1}{2}$ we also have

$$\frac{t^2}{8} \times 0.667 + \frac{t}{4} + \frac{1}{6} \le 0.313.$$

We conclude from (20) that

$$\varepsilon_{t,n}\left(\frac{1 \pm y + ix}{2}\right) \le \exp\left(\frac{\frac{t^2}{32}\log^2\frac{x}{4\pi n^2} + 0.313}{T - 3.33}\right) - 1.$$

Inserting this bound into (48), (49) and using claims (i), (ii), we obtain claims (iv), (v).

Now we establish (vi). From (18) we have

$$\frac{\exp\left(\frac{t\pi^2}{64}\right)|M_0(iT')|}{|M_t(\frac{1+y+ix}{2})|} = \exp\left(\frac{t\pi^2}{64} - \frac{t}{4}\operatorname{Re}\alpha\left(\frac{1+y+ix}{2}\right)^2\right) \frac{|M_0(iT')|}{|M_0\left(\frac{1+y+ix}{2}\right)|}.$$

Note that $\frac{1+y+ix}{2} = iT' + \frac{1+y}{2} - \frac{\pi it}{8}$. From (17) we see that $|\alpha'(s)| \le \frac{1}{x-6}$ for any s on the line segment between iT' and $\frac{1+y+ix}{2}$. From Taylor's theorem with remainder applied to a branch of $\log M_0$, we conclude that

$$\frac{|M_0(iT')|}{|M_0(\frac{1+y+ix}{2})|} = \exp\left(\text{Re}\left(\left(-\frac{1+y}{2} + \frac{\pi it}{8}\right)\alpha(iT')\right) + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{\left(-\frac{1+y}{2} + \frac{\pi it}{8}\right)^2}{2(x-6)}\right)\right).$$

For $0 \le y \le 1$ and $0 < t \le \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\frac{|-\frac{1+y}{2} + \frac{\pi it}{8}|^2}{2} \le 0.52$$

and from (15) one has

$$\alpha(iT') = O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{2T'}\right) + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{1}{T'}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{iT'}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{T'}{2\pi} + \frac{i\pi}{4} + O_{\leq}(\frac{3}{2T'})$$

and hence

$$\frac{|M_0(iT')|}{|M_0\left(\frac{1+y+ix}{2}\right)|} = \exp\left(-\frac{1+y}{4}\log\frac{T'}{2\pi} - \frac{t\pi^2}{32} + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{3|-\frac{1+y}{2} + \frac{\pi it}{8}|}{2T'} + \frac{0.52}{x-6}\right)\right).$$

Bounding $\frac{1}{2T'} \le \frac{1}{x-6}$ and $\left| -\frac{1+y}{2} + \frac{\pi it}{8} \right| \le 1.02$, this becomes

$$\frac{|M_0(iT')|}{|M_0\left(\frac{1+y+ix}{2}\right)|} = \left(\frac{T'}{2\pi}\right)^{-\frac{1+y}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{t\pi^2}{32} + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{3.58}{x-6}\right)\right)$$

and hence

$$\frac{\exp\left(\frac{t\pi^2}{64}\right)|M_0(iT')|}{|M_t(\frac{1+y+ix}{2})|} = \left(\frac{T'}{2\pi}\right)^{-\frac{1+y}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{t\pi^2}{64} - \frac{t}{4}\operatorname{Re}\alpha\left(\frac{1+y+ix}{2}\right)^2 + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{3.58}{x-6}\right)\right).$$

By repeating the proof of (55) we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\alpha(\frac{1\pm y+ix}{2})^2 = \frac{1}{4}\log^2\frac{x}{4\pi} - \frac{\pi^2}{16} + O_{\leq}\left(\frac{3|\log\frac{x}{4\pi n^2} + i\frac{\pi}{2}|}{x-6} + \frac{9}{(x-6)^2}\right).$$

As before we have

$$\frac{3|\log\frac{x}{4\pi n^2} + i\frac{\pi}{2}|}{x - 6} + \frac{9}{(x - 6)^2} \le \frac{3|\log\frac{200}{4\pi n^2} + i\frac{\pi}{2}|}{200 - 6} + \frac{9}{(200 - 6)^2} \le 0.05$$

and thus

$$\frac{\exp\left(\frac{t\pi^2}{64}\right)|M_0(iT')|}{|M_t(\frac{1+y+ix}{2})|} = \left(\frac{T'}{2\pi}\right)^{-\frac{1+y}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{16}\log^2\frac{x}{4\pi} + O_{\leq}\left(0.05 + \frac{3.58}{x-6}\right)\right)$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{T'}{2\pi}\right)^{-\frac{1+y}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{16}\log^2\frac{x}{4\pi} + 0.069\right).$$

Finally, since $T' \ge \frac{x}{2} \ge 100$, one has

$$\exp\left(\frac{3.49}{T' - 3.33}\right) \le 1.037$$

and

$$\frac{5}{3(T'-3.33)} \le 0.173$$

and hence by (34)

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}(\frac{1 \pm y + ix}{2}) \le \frac{1.24 \times 3^{\pm y}}{a - 0.125} + 0.18$$

and hence

$$\exp(0.069)(1+\tilde{\varepsilon}(\frac{1-y+ix}{2})+\tilde{\varepsilon}(\frac{1+y+ix}{2})) \leq 1.46+\frac{1.33\times(3^y+3^{-y})}{a-0.125}$$

giving the claim.

6. Bounding Dirichlet series

•••

...

7. Estimation for small x

...

8. A NEW UPPER BOUND FOR THE DE BRUIJN-NEWMAN CONSTANT

In this section we prove

Theorem 8.1. We have $\Lambda \leq 0.48$.

We first recall from [3, Theorem 13] that if $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Delta > 0$ are such that all the zeroes of H_t lie in the strip $\{z : |\mathrm{Im}(z)| \leq \Delta\}$, then for any t' > t, the zeroes of $H_{t'}$ lie in the strip $\{z : |\mathrm{Im}(z)| \leq (\Delta^2 - 2(t - t'))_+^{1/2}\}$. In particular this implies that $\Lambda \leq t + \frac{\Delta^2}{2}$. Thus, to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that the zeroes of $H_{0.4}$ lie in the strip $\{|\mathrm{Im}(z)| \leq 0.4\}$. On the other hand, since the zeroes of $H_{0}(z) = \frac{1}{8}\xi\left(\frac{1+iz}{2}\right)$ lie in the strip $\{|\mathrm{Im}(z)| \leq 1\}$, the zeroes of $H_{0.4}$ lie in the strip $\{z : |\mathrm{Im}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\}$. Since $H_{0.4}$ is even with $H_{0.4} = H_{0.4}^*$, it thus suffices to show that

$$H_{0.4}(x+iy) \neq 0$$

whenever $x \ge 0$ and $0.4 \le y \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$.

Henceforth t = 0.4. As in Section 5, we introduce the quantities

$$T := \frac{x}{2}$$

$$T' := T + \frac{\pi t}{8} = \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\pi}{20}$$

$$N := \sqrt{\frac{T'}{2\pi}} = \sqrt{\frac{x}{4\pi} + \frac{\pi}{40}}$$

and we split into cases depending on the value of N.

- 8.1. The case of large N: $N \ge 2000$
- 8.2. The case of medium N: $11 \le N < 2000$
- 8.3. The case of small N: $N \le 10$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Arias de Reyna, *High-precision computation of Riemann's zeta function by the Riemann-Siegel asymptotic formula, I*, Mathematics of Computation, Volume 80, Number 274, April 2011, Pages 9951009.
- [2] W. G. C. Boyd, *Gamma Function Asymptotics by an Extension of the Method of Steepest Descents*, Proceedings: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Vol. 447, No. 1931 (Dec. 8, 1994), pp. 609–630.
- [3] N. C. de Bruijn, The roots of trigonometric integrals, Duke J. Math. 17 (1950), 197-226.
- [4] G. Csordas, T. S. Norfolk, R. S. Varga, A lower bound for the de Bruijn-Newman constant Λ, Numer. Math. 52 (1988), 483–497.
- [5] G. Csordas, A. M. Odlyzko, W. Smith, R. S. Varga, A new Lehmer pair of zeros and a new lower bound for the De Bruijn-Newman constant Lambda, Electronic Transactions on Numerical Analysis. 1 (1993), 104–111.
- [6] G. Csordas, A. Ruttan, R.S. Varga, *The Laguerre inequalities with applications to a problem associated with the Riemann hypothesis*, Numer. Algorithms, **1** (1991), 305–329.
- [7] G. Csordas, W. Smith, R. S. Varga, Lehmer pairs of zeros, the de Bruijn-Newman constant Λ, and the Riemann hypothesis, Constr. Approx. 10 (1994), no. 1, 107–129.
- [8] H. Ki, Y. O. Kim, and J. Lee, *On the de Bruijn-Newman constant*, Advances in Mathematics, **22** (2009), 281–306
- [9] D. H. Lehmer, On the roots of the Riemann zeta-function, Acta Math. 95 (1956) 291–298.
- [10] H. L. Montgomery, The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function, Analytic number theory (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXIV, St. Louis Univ., St. Louis, Mo., 1972), pp. 181–193. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.
- [11] H. L. Montgomery, R. C. Vaughan, Multiplicative number theory. I. Classical theory. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 97. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [12] C. M. Newman, Fourier transforms with only real zeroes, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 61 (1976), 246–251.
- [13] T. S. Norfolk, A. Ruttan, R. S. Varga, *A lower bound for the de Bruijn-Newman constant* Λ *II.*, in A. A. Gonchar and E. B. Saff, editors, Progress in Approximation Theory, 403–418. Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [14] A. M. Odlyzko, An improved bound for the de Bruijn-Newman constant, Numerical Algorithms 25 (2000), 293–303.
- [15] B. Rodgers, T. Tao, The De Bruijn-Newman constant is nonnegative, preprint.
- [16] Y. Saouter, X. Gourdon, P. Demichel, An improved lower bound for the de Bruijn-Newman constant, Mathematics of Computation. 80 (2011), 2281–2287.
- [17] J. Stopple, *Notes on Low discriminants and the generalized Newman conjecture*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math., vol. 51, no. 1 (2014), pp. 23–41.
- [18] J. Stopple, Lehmer pairs revisited, Exp. Math. 26 (2017), no. 1, 45–53.
- [19] H. J. J. te Riele, A new lower bound for the de Bruijn-Newman constant, Numer. Math., 58 (1991), 661–667.
- [20] E. C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function, Second ed. (revised by D. R. Heath-Brown), Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986.

22 D.H.J. POLYMATH

http://michaelnielsen.org/polymath1/index.php