MOTTASIMITAD NIUB NUMBER: 21287070

PROBLEM SET 1: UNCONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION

6) I(x,y) = 2x+3y

C(x,y) = x 2 - 5x y + 5 y 2 + 6x - 9y + 5 The profit function is P(x,y) = I(x,y) - C(x,y) = 2x+3y - (x2-2xy+2y2+6x-9y+5)

 $P(x,y) = -x^2 - 2y^2 + 2xy - 4x + 42y - 5$ 

The problem to solve is the following: | Max P(x,y) xy x20, y20 P: R2 -> R , Pe C2 The moximum can be found where

=> Hp definite negative

∇P(x,y)=0, Hp(x,y) definite negotine

 $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{L}}(x,y) = -2x + 2y - 4$   $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{L}}(x,y) = -4y + 2x + 4z$   $\Delta \mathcal{L}(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{L}} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{L}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2x + 2y - 4 \\ -4y + 2x + 4z \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $\nabla P(x,y) = 0 \iff \begin{cases} -2x + 2y - 4 = 0 & \longrightarrow y = x + 2 \\ -4y + 2x + 12 = 0 & \longrightarrow -4x - 8 + 2x + 12 = 0 & \longrightarrow x = 2, y = 4 \end{cases}$ 

 $H_{P}(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3^{2}}{2^{2}} \frac{3}{2} x^{2} & \frac{3}{2^{2}} \frac{3}{2} y \\ \frac{3^{2}}{2^{2}} \frac{3}{2} x^{2} & \frac{3}{2^{2}} \frac{3}{2} y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ 2 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{def } H_{P} = 8 - 4 = 4 = \lambda_{A} \lambda_{2} > 0 \\ + n H_{P} = -6 = \lambda_{A} + \lambda_{2} < 0 \end{cases}$   $= > H_{P} \text{ definition}$ 

The point (2,4) notingies PP(2,4)=0 and

Hp(2,4) definite negative, which means it is a moximum for P(x,y). Funtherwore, it sotisfies x >0 and y >0, thus it is a solution for the given problem.

For the pair (2,4) the profit is P(2,4) = -4-32+16-8+48-5 = 15 (williams of euros)

For the pair (2,4) the profit is P(2,4) = -4 - 32 + 46 - 3 + 48 - 5 = 45 (william of 12) Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{12}$  Symmetric, g(x) = xTAx and counder the problem | wax g(x). Counder the Lagrangian associated to the problem given: | h.t.  $xTx = L(x,\lambda) = g(x) - \lambda g(x) = xTAx - \lambda(xTx - 4)$ An aptimal solution of the ploblem must parisfy the KKT conditions  $\nabla_x L(x^*, \lambda^*, \mu^*) = \nabla g(x^*) - \lambda \nabla g(x^*) = 0$   $= 2Ax^* - 2\lambda^*x^* = 0 \longrightarrow Ax^* = \lambda^*x^*$ Thus an aptimal is of the form  $(x^*, \lambda^*) : Ax^* = \lambda^*x^*$ This means  $x^*$  is an eigenvector of A and  $A^* \in \mathbb{R}$ , since A is symmetric, is the corresponding eigenvalue. Since  $X^*x = 4$ , we have  $(x^*)^*Ax^* = (x^*)^*\lambda^*x^* = \lambda^*(x^*)^*x^* = \lambda^*$ The ginen solution is a  $= \lambda^*x^*$ wayimum of g(x) if  $g(x^*)$  is negative definite: g(x) = 2A.  $g(x^*)^*Hg(x^*)x^* = (x^*)^*2Ax^* = 2(x^*)^*Ax^* = 2\lambda^* \longrightarrow g(x^*)$  negative of A.

Thus reasoning can be extended to  $x^*x = x^*$  dimensions under the same cumposition reasoning can be extended to  $x^*x = x^*$  dimensions under the same cumposition reasoning can be extended to  $x^*x = x^*$  dimensions under the same cumposition reasoning can be extended to  $x^*x = x^*$  dimensions under the same cumposition reasoning can be extended to  $x^*x = x^*$ 

This reasoning can be extended to u>z dimensions under the same conditions

13) Gineu Y, ..., Ym, y, ER2 j=1,..., w, w, ..., w, w, e 1R+, j=1,..., w consider the problem fun & w; 11x-y; 11 s.t. x e R2 (a) The function  $S(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j || x - y_j || = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j \sqrt{(x_4 - y_{j,4})^2 + (x_2 - y_{j,2})^2}$ is unumured where the function g(x) = \( \sum\_{j=1}^{2} \w; ||x-y; ||^2 = \sum\_{j=1}^{2} \w; [(x\_1-y\_{j,1})^2 + (x\_2-y\_{j,2})^2] \) is unimized. Therefore, cousider the equivalent problem of xt. xell?  $\partial \partial_{x_4} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j \left[ z(x_4 - y_{j_4}) \right] = 2x_4 \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j y_{j_4}$  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_z} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j \left[ 2(x_z - y_{jz}) \right] = 2x_z \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j y_{jz}$ =>  $\nabla g(x) = 2x \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j y_j = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j y_j \\ 2x_2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j y_j \end{bmatrix}$  $\nabla g(x) = 0 \iff \begin{cases} x_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j} y_{j,1} = 0 \\ x_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j} y_{j,2} = 0 \end{cases} x^{*} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j} y_{j,1} / \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j} y_{j,2} / \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j} \end{bmatrix}$ Thus x\* = 1 = w; y; is such that  $\nabla g(x^*) = 0$ Consider the Hessian:  $H_g(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\lambda = 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j > 0$  ( $w_j > 0$ , j = 1, ..., w) => Hg(x\*) is positive definite => x\* is a minimu of g(x) and an optimal relation for the given problem Notice that x\* can be seen as the central point of the networn with masses w; in position y; since it has the same form of a center of mass. (b) Since the Herrian Hg(x) is positive definite txEIR2, the Sunction is course. This implies that the optimal solution is unque. (c) Counder the potential energy of the medianical system given P= [ wili. The height his can be expressed in terms of x as follows: c=dj+||x-yj||, c=constant -> dj=e-1|x-yj|| h; = Rp - d; -> R; = Rp - (c-11x-y; 11), Rp: height h; = kp-c + 11x-y;11 Ri = K + 11 X - Yill, K = coustant Thus we have p(x) = \( \sum\_{\text{if }} \w; \left( \k + \ll \x - y; \ll \right) : => P(x) = k \( \sum\_{j=1}^{\infty} W\_j + \sum\_{j=1}^{\infty} W\_j || x-y\_j || = coust. + \( \gamma \) reference level Therefore, win p(x) = unint coust + g(x) => (x\* unin. for g(x) <=> x\* unin. for p(x))