

The Orbital Panopticon: Investigating the Technical, Geopolitical, and Sociological Aspects of Global Surveillance

The contemporary global security environment is increasingly defined by a transition from terrestrial-bound monitoring to a pervasive, extraterrestrial surveillance architecture often termed the orbital panopticon. This framework represents a convergence of advanced satellite technologies, neoconservative geopolitical doctrines, and elite influence networks that together orchestrate a state of permanent visibility across the planetary surface. The move toward this orbital paradigm is not merely a technical evolution but a fundamental restructuring of sovereign power, biopolitical management, and the sociological understanding of privacy and anonymity in the twenty-first century. As the "second age of colonialism," the exploration and utilization of space reflect a cosmic social theory where the abiotic environment of orbit becomes the primary site for the consolidation of terrestrial control and capital.

Theoretical Foundations: From Bentham to Cosmic Sociology

The conceptual root of the orbital panopticon lies in Jeremy Bentham's eighteenth-century architectural design for a circular prison where a central observer could monitor all inmates without their knowledge of when specifically they were being watched. This architectural concept was later expanded by Michel Foucault in "Discipline and Punish," where he analyzed the panopticon as a model for modern disciplinary power. The primary effect of such a system is to induce in the subject a state of conscious and permanent visibility that ensures the automatic functioning of power; individuals begin to self-regulate their behavior because the observation is "visible yet unverifiable". In the current era, this model has been projected into the cosmos, creating what is described as the "NeoConOpticon," where ubiquitous global surveillance is justified through the eradication of threats to Western interests and the maintenance of homeland security.

Cosmic sociology examines how social theory links to classical and contemporary science to understand this transition. Dickens and Ormrod argue that space exploration, once viewed as a benign scientific pursuit, is in fact the second age of colonialism, where lands and now orbital slots are conquered and managed in the name of progress. This "satellitization" of Earth involves a consolidation of terrestrial dependency on satellite infrastructure, where sovereign power is derived from the knowledge of the planet acquired via sensors. The biopolitical dimension of this power shift is significant; rather than exercising direct control over biological life, the new paradigm focuses on the abiotic extraterrestrial environment—the health of the satellites themselves—as the prerequisite for sustaining global social and economic life.

Evolution of Surveillance Paradigms

Paradigm	Spatial Context	Primary Actor	Power Mechanism
Benthamite Panopticon	Institutional (Prisons)	Centralized State Guard	Direct Physical Visibility
Foucault's Disciplinary Power	Social Institutions (Schools, Factories)	Distributed Bureaucracy	Internalized Social Norms
Electronic Panopticon	Digital Networks / Workplaces	Corporate / State Administrators	Metadata and Real-time Monitoring
Orbital Panopticon	Global Commons / Space	Elite Networks / Military-Industrial Complex	Persistent Satellite Imaging and AI Analysis
NeoConOpticon	Transnational / Borderless	Corporate-Political Neoconservative Elites	"Full Spectrum Dominance" and Interoperability

The shift toward a culture of "joined-up surveillance" is characterized by the drive for interoperability, where surveillance tools are integrated with other government information and communications systems to be used for multiple tasks across the spectrum of law enforcement and security. This trend reflects a paradigm shift where the security strategy of the European Union and the United States increasingly adopts the military doctrine of "Full Spectrum Dominance," a term popularized by the Project for the New American Century.

Technical Architecture of LEO Satellite Constellations

The technical infrastructure of the orbital panopticon is primarily situated in Low Earth Orbit (LEO), which spans altitudes between 500 and 2,000 kilometers. This proximity to the Earth's surface provides several critical advantages over traditional Medium Earth Orbit (MEO) or Geostationary Equatorial Orbit (GEO) systems. Specifically, LEO satellites offer significantly lower latency, increased capacity, and higher signal-to-noise ratios due to their reduced distance from ground-based user terminals. These systems are not characterized by single, large satellites but by mega-constellations—networks of hundreds or even thousands of small, mass-produced satellites orbiting the planet several times a day.

Comparison of Orbital Characteristics for Surveillance

Characteristic	Low Earth Orbit (LEO)	Geostationary Orbit (GEO)	Implications for Surveillance
Altitude	500 – 2,000 km	~35,786 km	LEO provides higher resolution and lower latency.
Latency	20 – 50 ms	500 – 700 ms	LEO enables real-time "full-motion" video/data streams.
Persistence	Low (satellite passes quickly)	High (stationary over one spot)	LEO requires dense constellations for persistent coverage.

Characteristic	Low Earth Orbit (LEO)	Geostationary Orbit (GEO)	Implications for Surveillance
Imaging Capability	Sub-meter Resolution	Kilometric / Regional	LEO allows for tracking of individual vehicles/assets.
Launch Cost	Lower (per satellite)	Very High	LEO facilitates rapid technological refresh cycles.

The rapid expansion of LEO constellations is evidenced by the growth in active satellites, which increased from approximately 1,000 in 2014 to nearly 10,000 by 2024. Companies like SpaceX, through its Starlink project, account for nearly two-thirds of all active satellites as of 2025, while sovereign networks such as China's Guowang (13,000 satellites) and Qianfan (15,000 satellites) aim to establish autonomous orbital infrastructures. These constellations utilize Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR), multi-spectral imagers, and hyperspectral sensors that can see through cloud cover and darkness, providing persistent surveillance for national security and maritime tracking.

The Role of Artificial Intelligence and Edge Computing

The volume of data generated by high-resolution, large-scale imagery presents an immense processing challenge. To mitigate the bottlenecks associated with downlinking raw data to ground stations, the industry is integrating Edge Computing and Artificial Intelligence (AI) directly into satellite payloads. On-board AI analysis allows for real-time interpretation of Earth Observation (EO) data near its source, facilitating efficient decision-making. Machine learning algorithms are trained to recognize relevant targets and anomalies—such as unauthorized border crossings or specific military deployments—while sorting out irrelevant data like cloud cover, thereby reducing the required downlink bandwidth.

Furthermore, AI methodologies like Reinforcement Learning (RL) are employed to optimize the operation of mega-constellations, managing complex tasks such as resource allocation (battery and memory) and data routing across the network. Advanced deep learning models also enable "superresolution," which reconstructs high-quality images from low-resolution inputs by combining data from multiple satellites or using generative models to enhance feature detection. This shift toward autonomous orbital intelligence fundamentally alters the landscape of satellite operations, moving away from traditional ground-based mission control toward an adaptive, AI-driven fleet management system.

Geopolitical Strategy: PNAC and Full Spectrum Dominance

The strategic blueprint for the orbital panopticon was articulated in the late 1990s by the Project for the New American Century (PNAC), a neoconservative think tank with deep ties to the American Enterprise Institute. PNAC was founded in 1997 by William Kristol and Robert Kagan with the explicit goal of promoting American global leadership through military preeminence. Their landmark document, "Rebuilding America's Defenses," advocated for "Full Spectrum Dominance"—the ability of U.S. forces to control land, sea, air, space, and cyberspace to defeat any adversary and control any situation.

A primary focus of PNAC was the militarization of the "international commons" of space. The report argued that American military preeminence in the twenty-first century would rest increasingly on the ability to operate militarily in space. Key recommendations included the development and deployment of a global missile defense system and the creation of a new military service—the U.S. Space Forces—with the mission of "space control". PNAC ideologues, including figures like John Bolton, Dick Cheney, and Paul Wolfowitz, viewed space not as a sanctuary but as a critical theater where the United States must maintain "unquestioned military preeminence" to preserve a unipolar global order.

PNAC Signatories and Subsequent Government Roles

Signatory	PNAC Role / Association	Bush Administration Position	Impact on Space / Defense Policy
Dick Cheney	Founding Signatory	Vice President	Architect of the War on Terror; promoted missile defense.
Donald Rumsfeld	Founding Signatory	Secretary of Defense	Leading proponent of military space presence and "space control."
Paul Wolfowitz	Founding Signatory	Deputy Secretary of Defense	Crafted the Defense Policy Guidance on global preeminence.
John Bolton	Founding Signatory	Under Sec. of State / UN Ambassador	Opposed international treaties limiting space militarization.
Elliott Abrams	Founding Signatory	National Security Council	Influenced regional force projection and regime change policy.
Zalmay Khalilzad	Founding Signatory	Ambassador to Iraq/Afghanistan	Executed the "theater war" component of PNAC strategies.

The PNAC agenda was characterized by a preoccupation with regime change in Iraq, which predicated the September 11 attacks. When members of PNAC assumed high-level positions in the George W. Bush administration, their "imperial dreams" transitioned into substantive policy. The 2006 National Space Policy under Bush marked a definitive step toward this agenda, asserting the right to "unhindered" U.S. action in space and the intent to dissuade or deter others from developing capabilities intended to impede U.S. space rights. Critics argue that this unilateralist vision transformed America into a planetary empire, seeking to preserve the "Pax Americana" through a secure foundation of military dominance across all domains.

Elite Networks and the Intelligence Community: The Yale Connection

The influence of elite networks, particularly Yale University's secret societies, is central to the history and operation of the U.S. intelligence and surveillance apparatus. Skull and Bones, founded in 1832 and legally known as the Russell Trust Association (RTA), is perhaps the most

prominent of these clandestine groups. The society initiates fifteen seniors annually into its headquarters, "The Tomb," through rituals that emphasize the "death of the barbarian world" and rebirth into an elite company. Over nearly two centuries, Bonesmen have ascended to the highest echelons of business and government, including three U.S. Presidents: William Howard Taft, George H.W. Bush, and George W. Bush.

The Russell Trust Association as an Organized Influence Network

Analysis of the RTA characterizes it as an "Organized Influence Network" (OIN) that functions as a clandestine, extra-constitutional branch of the State. The society's core strength lies in institutionalizing lifelong elite loyalty through psychological mechanisms and secret oaths that may supersede constitutional allegiance. This creates a significant structural security vulnerability, as members in key executive and intelligence roles may prioritize the network's plutocratic goals over democratic oversight. Historical evidence suggests the RTA's wealth was originally founded in the nineteenth-century opium trade, providing it with financial immunity and the ability to maintain long-term strategic operations without public transparency.

Notable Bonesman	Yale Class	Role in Intelligence / Security
George H.W. Bush	1948	Director of Central Intelligence (DCI); President.
George W. Bush	1968	President; oversaw expansion of post-9/11 surveillance.
James Jesus Angleton	1941	Chief of CIA Counterintelligence for two decades.
William F. Buckley Jr.	1950	CIA Officer; founder of National Review.
William Bundy	1939	CIA Officer; high-level foreign policy advisor.
Henry Stimson	1888	Secretary of War; architect of U.S. national security.

The presence of Bonesmen at the founding and apex of the CIA suggests a historic capability to control the flow of threat intelligence and manage global conflicts outside the traditional constitutional mandate. Yale's influence extends beyond Skull and Bones to other societies like Book and Snake (whose alumni include NASA administrator Bill Nelson and former CIA Director Porter Goss) and Elihu (whose members include former Director of National Intelligence John Negroponte). This concentrated network of "spooks"—Yale slang for secret society members that became agency slang for spies—constitutes a formidable, self-perpetuating establishment that has shaped American national security policy for decades.

The Process of Satellitization and its Geopolitical Impacts

The "satellitization" of Earth refers to the historical and political process by which terrestrial power becomes dependent on extraterrestrial infrastructure. This process has evolved through several distinct phases:

1. **Initial Satellitization:** The era beginning with Sputnik, where satellites were primarily

- used for prestige and basic communications.
2. **Terrestrial Dependency:** A consolidation period where global economic and military operations became reliant on space-based GPS, communication, and basic Earth observation.
 3. **Space Observing Space:** The current scramble to develop Space-Based Space Surveillance (SBSS) and space situational awareness systems to control orbital domains from within orbit.

This transition impacts state sovereignty by shifting the locus of control from physical territory to "astrographically" delimited areas of responsibility (AOR). Nations now compete to establish dominions within space to protect their terrestrial sovereign interests, leading to what is termed "astro geopolitics". Military power is now inextricably linked to space activities, with some NATO-type militaries finding it nearly impossible to conduct major combat operations without access to space assets. The global space power balance, while currently led by the U.S., is increasingly challenged by revisionist powers like China, whose programs are expected to erode American influence by 2030.

Strategic Dimensions of Space Power

- **Indirect Power:** Leveraging space capabilities for diplomatic goals and "soft power" through international space cooperation agreements like the Artemis Accords.
- **Direct Non-Military Power:** Using space for strategic economic influence, environmental monitoring, and disaster management to provide biopolitical legitimacy to the state.
- **Military Dominance:** Changing the balance of forces in land and maritime domains through superior intelligence, communication, and offensive counter-space capabilities.

The biopolitical implication of this "ex-orbital" governance is the emergence of a power paradigm that does not directly control biological life but rather derives legitimacy from the knowledge required to sustain the planetary order. In the Anthropocene, saving orbital space—the abiotic zone—is seen as a prerequisite for saving life on Earth, as satellite data address roughly 60% of the essential climate variables needed for global survival.

Sociological Consequences: The End of Anonymity and the Panopticon Effect

The proliferation of high-resolution orbital surveillance, combined with terrestrial biometric data collection, has led to what social scientists call the "end of anonymity". We are entering an era where everyday activities in public spaces are monitored by "a thousand different eyes," including commercial satellite imagery from companies like Maxar and Planet. This democratization of high-resolution imagery allows hobbyists and Open Source Intelligence (OSINT) analysts to track global conflicts and identify military movements in real-time, effectively stripping away the "fog of war".

The Internalization of the Gaze

The "Foucault Panopticon Effect" describes how individuals modify their behavior because they feel they are under constant observation. In the context of global surveillance, this internalization can stifle democratic dissent and challenge the very notion of individual liberty. When individuals know they are being watched—whether via gait signatures, facial recognition, or satellite geolocation—they tend to adjust their actions to be more socially acceptable or compliant with established norms. This "chilling effect" on dissent is a structural consequence of living in a surveillance society where privacy itself is often treated as a form of wrongdoing or a signal of suspicion.

Surveillance Impact	Mechanism	Psychological / Social Outcome
Behavioral Biometrics	Tracking gait, swipe patterns, typing rhythms	Identity becomes an "ongoing biological performance."
Social Credit Systems	Evaluation of citizens based on metadata	Citizens become "surveillance subjects" judged by political values.
Predictive Surveillance	AI algorithms flagging "anomalies"	Decision paralysis, anxiety, and erosion of trust.
Consensual Surveillance	Exchanging data for convenience	Gradual undermining of anonymity through consumer habits.
Global Monitoring	Persistent satellite observation of public spaces	Erasure of the "buffer zone" of time for diplomacy and personal life.

In "smart cities," citizens' movements and consumption habits are monitored in real-time, creating a model of consensual surveillance where convenience is exchanged for data. This model transforms citizens into subjects of an extreme form of mass surveillance that can subtly influence behavior, employment opportunities, and access to services. In nations like China, the social credit system uses this logistical data to assign "reliability scores," manifesting the panopticon as a tool for comprehensive social steering.

Legal Frameworks and Regulatory Irrelevance

The international legal regime for outer space, anchored in the 1967 Outer Space Treaty (OST), is increasingly struggling to keep pace with the rapid technological advancement of the orbital panopticon. The OST prohibits national sovereignty claims and the stationing of weapons of mass destruction, but it says nothing about private property or the specific regulation of remote sensing for surveillance purposes. The 1986 UN Remote Sensing Principles encourage data sharing for the benefit of all countries but are non-binding and do not address modern privacy concerns.

Gaps in Current Space Law

- **Privacy Rights:** There is no binding international treaty that directly addresses the privacy implications of high-resolution satellite imagery.
- **Private Property:** The OST's silence on private property is being exploited by the Artemis Accords, which seek to establish a framework for commercial resource exploitation.
- **Accountability:** While the Liability Convention holds nations responsible for damages caused by their space objects, it does not explicitly regulate the "intangible damage" caused by mass surveillance and the loss of anonymity.
- **Regulatory Inconsistency:** National policies vary widely; for example, the U.S. and EU restrict imagery resolution finer than 0.31 meters for commercial entities, whereas nations like India or China may not impose similar constraints.

In Canada, the Remote Sensing Space Systems Act (RSSSA) is criticized by industry experts for being increasingly irrelevant and prohibitive, prioritizing security concerns over economic development in a rapidly growing global commercial marketplace. This reflects a broader trend where policymakers struggle to balance the "rich heritage of space" with the "new reality of

widely available commercial data". Legal disputes over the appropriation of remote sensing data remain ambiguous, as the principle of non-appropriation under the OST is often pitted against the proprietary rights of commercial satellite operators.

The Convergence of Corporate and State Surveillance

The orbital panopticon is increasingly managed through public-private partnerships (PPP) that integrate commercial space services into the national security apparatus. The U.S. Space Force and intelligence organizations like the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) and the Space Development Agency (SDA) rely extensively on commercial providers for remote sensing, communication, and situational awareness. This integration is driven by several factors:

1. **Technological Miniaturization:** Microelectronics and imaging sensor miniaturization have fueled the rise of the commercial market.
2. **Innovative Procurement:** Governments act as "anchor customers," using contractual mechanisms like anchor tenancy and export finance to catalyze private investment in space capabilities.
3. **National Space Policy:** U.S. policy recognition that long-term national security interests are served by maintaining a leading, competitive commercial space-based remote sensing market.

This commercialization of space allows private companies to market their products to both government and commercial clients, building sustainable markets while simultaneously realizing national space ambitions. However, this close bond between corporate and political elites—central to the "NeoConOpticon" concept—raises profound ethical questions about the right to limitless profit-making at the expense of global privacy and collective well-being.

Conclusion: The Horizon of the Orbital Panopticon

The orbital panopticon represents a definitive shift in the nature of global power, where the convergence of LEO technology, neoconservative strategy, and elite influence networks has created a planetary-scale surveillance machine. The technical architecture of mega-constellations and AI-driven analysis provides a level of persistent observation that was previously unimaginable, fundamentally altering the sociological experience of anonymity and privacy. The influence of groups like PNAC and Yale's secret societies highlights the degree to which this apparatus is embedded in a broader project of "Full Spectrum Dominance" and elite control.

As state sovereignty moves toward an "astrographic" model, the biopolitical management of the Earth system becomes increasingly dependent on maintaining the abiotic infrastructure of space. Yet, the legal and regulatory frameworks designed to govern this domain remain rooted in a mid-twentieth-century paradigm that is ill-equipped to address the challenges of the twenty-first. The future of the orbital panopticon will be determined by the ongoing tension between the pursuit of technological preeminence and the preservation of fundamental human rights. Addressing the societal challenges of mass surveillance requires a delicate balance between fostering progress and protecting the liberties that define an open and democratic society. Unless new international norms and binding treaties are established to regulate the "ex-orbital" gaze, the planet risks becoming a permanent "invisible prison" where visibility ensures obedience, and the "devil" of surveillance equals the "death" of true privacy.

Works cited

1. Cosmic Society: Towards a Sociology of the Universe,
[https://mtusociology.github.io/assets/files/%5BPeter_Dickens%5D_Cosmic_Society_Towards_a_Sociology\(BookFi.org\).pdf](https://mtusociology.github.io/assets/files/%5BPeter_Dickens%5D_Cosmic_Society_Towards_a_Sociology(BookFi.org).pdf)
2. The Sovereignty of Sovereignties: On the Satellitization of Planet Earth, <https://journals.openedition.org/espacepolitique/12509>
3. Foucault Panopticon Effect → Area → Sustainability,
<https://lifestyle.sustainability-directory.com/area/foucault-panopticon-effect/>
4. Google Earth – Page 2 - iRevolutions, <https://irevolutions.org/tag/google-earth/page/2/>
5. Psychology of Surveillance → Term - Lifestyle → Sustainability Directory,
<https://lifestyle.sustainability-directory.com/term/psychology-of-surveillance/>
6. “Full Spectrum Dominance” as European Union Security Policy: On the trail - Taylor & Francis eBooks,
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9780203852156-16/full-spectrum-dominance-european-union-security-policy-trail-neoconopticon-ben-hayes>
7. American supremacy | Anabaptist World, <https://anabaptistworld.org/american-supremacy/>
8. Analysis of Low Earth Orbit Satellites - Department of Infrastructure,
<https://www.infrastructure.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/bcarr-paper-analysis-of-low-earth-orbit-satellites.pdf>
9. the Future of Low Earth Orbit (LEO) Constellations - Refonte Learning,
<https://www.refontelearning.com/blog/the-future-of-low-earth-orbit-leo-constellations>
10. Edge AI for Earth Observation - IEEE Computer Society,
<https://www.computer.org/csdm/magazine/ic/2025/03/11076162/28eYRuNbcre>
11. Satellite-based data analysis - Fraunhofer EMI,
<https://www.emi.fraunhofer.de/en/research/focus-topics/satellite-based-data-analysis.html>
12. On the Role of AI in Managing Satellite Constellations: Insights from the ConstellAI Project - arXiv, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2507.15574>
13. Project for the New American Century - Powerbase, https://powerbase.info/index.php/Project_for_the_New_American_Century
14. Project for the New American Century - SourceWatch, https://www.sourcewatch.org/index.php/Project_for_the_New_American_Century
15. Mahajan, R. (2003). Full spectrum dominance: U.S. power in Iraq and beyond. New York, <http://www.kropfpolisci.com/iraq.war.mahajan.pdf>
16. The Militarization of Space and U.S. Global Dominance: the China Connection, <https://apjjf.org/tom-barry/2287/article>
17. Skull and Bones Society | Order 322 Initiation, History & Members - Study.com, <https://study.com/academy/lesson/skull-bones-history-overview-order-322.html>
18. Skull & Bones | PDF | Governance - Scribd, <https://www.scribd.com/document/961896076/Skull-Bones>
19. Lifting the Veil: The Rites and Rituals of the World's Most Secret Society Clubs - Spyscape, <https://spyscape.com/article/lifting-the-veil-rites-and-rituals-of-the-worlds-most-secret-societies>
20. Skull and Bones | History, Presidents, & Facts - Britannica, <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Skull-and-Bones-Yale>
21. Skull And Bones | Boldt Castle: a Virtual Tour - WordPress.com, <https://boldtcastle.wordpress.com/fun-facts/stand-alones/skull-and-bones/>
22. The Last Secrets of Skull and Bones | The Stacks Reader, <http://www.thestacksreader.com/the-last-secrets-of-skull-and-bones/>
23. The role of space power in geopolitical competition - Council on Geostrategy, <https://www.geostrategy.org.uk/research/the-role-of-space-power-in-geopolitical-competition/>
24. Space, the New Geopolitical Arena: Satellites, Conflicts, and Space Situational Awareness - Air University, https://www.airuniversity.af.edu/Portals/10/JOTA/journals/Volume-7_Issue_1/19-Camargo-Correa_eng1.pdf
25. Private property and militarisation: the new frontiers of space law -

Polytechnique Insights,
<https://www.polytechnique-insights.com/en/columns/space/private-property-and-militarization-the-new-frontiers-of-space-law/> 26. Digital biometrics: The verified human and the end of anonymity - Medium,
<https://medium.com/@inteddygence/digital-biometrics-the-verified-human-and-the-end-of-anonymity-f655b0e0cfa1> 27. Episode #207: The End of Secrecy: How OSINT is Redefining Intelligence, <https://myweirdprompts.com/episode/rise-of-open-source-intelligence/> 28. You're Being Watched: How Surveillance Became the Silent Force Shaping Modern Life.,
<https://alaminhydrar.medium.com/youre-being-watched-how-surveillance-became-the-silent-force-shaping-modern-life-fb44e7ee5590> 29. The Nexus of Logistics and Social Control: Mass Surveillance in the Digital Era,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387194906_The_Nexus_of_Logistics_and_Social_Control_Mass_Surveillance_in_the_Digital_Era 30. International Space Law Explained | United Nations, <https://www.un.org/en/peace-and-security/international-space-law-explained> 31. Legal Considerations for Data Processing From Outer Space Through Remote Sensing - Mayer Brown,
<https://www.mayerbrown.com/-/media/files/public-relations/kourinian.pdf%3Frev=43f018d7681f4cd48f083927c6e31e62> 32. Balancing Privacy Rights and the Production of High-Quality ...,
<https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.0c02365> 33. Space law - Wikipedia,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Space_law 34. Remote sensing and the international law of space - The Space Review, <https://www.thespacereview.com/article/4941/1> 35. 2022 Independent Review of the Remote Sensing Space Systems Act (RSSSA),
<https://www.international.gc.ca/transparency-transparence/remote-sensing-systemes-teledetection/2022.aspx?lang=eng> 36. Space Agenda 2025,
https://cspc.aerospace.org/sites/default/files/2024-11/SpaceAgenda2025_Compilation_Web_1.pdf 37. Operational and Policy Implications of Integrating Commercial Space Services into U.S. Department of Defense Operations - RAND Corporation,
https://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/research_reports/RRA2500/RRA2562-2/RAND_RRA2562-2.pdf 38. Eyes Wide Open | CSET Georgetown,
<https://cset.georgetown.edu/wp-content/uploads/CSET-Eyes-Wide-Open.pdf> 39. INNOVATIVE PUBLIC PROCUREMENT IN SPACE PHASE 2 FULL REPORT,
<https://www.spacepartnership.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2025/04/BryceTech-Innovative-Procurement-in-Space-Phase-2.pdf> 40. Enabling Commercialization of Space in Canada - Novaspace,
<https://nova.space/wp-content/uploads/2025/05/Whitepaper-Final-Space-Canada.pdf>