$\mathbb{Z}[\varphi]$ and the Fibonacci Sequence Modulo n

Samin Riasat

Abstract

It has long been known that the Fibonacci sequence modulo n is periodic for any integer n > 1. In this paper we present an elementary approach of proving properties of this period by working in $\mathbb{Z}[\varphi]$ and also deduce some new results. In the last section a method for proving identities is shown.

1 Periodicity Modulo n

We will use the following notation

- n is a positive integer.
- F_i is the *i*-th Fibonacci number: $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$ and $F_{i+1} = F_i + F_{i-1}$ for all $i \ge 1$.
- L_i is the *i*-th Lucas number: $L_0 = 2$, $L_1 = 1$ and $L_{i+1} = L_i + L_{i-1}$ for all $i \ge 1$.
- $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio.

All congruences are taken modulo n, unless otherwise stated.

Definition 1. For n > 1, k(n) is the least positive index such that $n \mid F_{k(n)}$. For brevity, we will often denote k(n) simply by k.

Example: k(2) = 3, k(10) = 15 etc.

Definition 2. For n > 1, $\ell(n)$ is the length of the period of Fibonacci sequence modulo n.

Example: $\ell(2) = 3$, $\ell(10) = 60$ etc.

We also define the integral domain

$$\mathbb{Z}[\varphi] = \{ a + b\varphi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

and congruence in $\mathbb{Z}[\varphi]$ as follows:

(i) If 5 is a quadratic residue modulo n, then $a + b\varphi \equiv c + d\varphi \pmod{n}$, that is $(a - c) \equiv (d - b)\varphi \pmod{n}$.

(ii) If 5 is a quadratic non-residue modulo n, then $a+b\varphi \equiv c+d\varphi \pmod{n}$, that is $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv d \pmod{n}$.

The following theorem was proved in [5].

Theorem 1. The Fibonacci sequence mod n is periodic.

Proof. The terms of the Fibonacci sequence mod n can take only n possible values, namely $0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. Note that if a sub-sequence F_k, F_{k+1} repeats at some point, the whole sequence will repeat from that point, since $F_{k+2} = F_{k+1} + F_k$ and so on. There are at most n^2 possible choices for the sub-sequence F_k, F_{k+1} . So it must reappear at some point and hence the sequence is periodic.

Corollary 1. Every positive integer divides infinitely many Fibonacci numbers.

Proof. Because the Fibonacci sequence mod n is periodic for every positive integer n, there are infinitely many positive integers k such that $F_{k+1} \equiv F_{k+2} \equiv 1$. Then $F_k \equiv 1 - 1 = 0$ for all such k and the conclusion follows.

Proposition 1. $\ell(n) = k \cdot \operatorname{ord}_n(F_{k+1})$.

Proof. Since $F_k \equiv 0$, we have $F_{k+1} \equiv F_{k-1} \equiv \lambda$ $(0 \le \lambda \le n-1)$ and from the Fibonacci recurrence it follows that $F_{k+i} \equiv \lambda F_i$ for all i. Let $g = \operatorname{ord}_n(\lambda)$. Then

$$F_{gk+1} \equiv F_{gk+2} \equiv \lambda^g \equiv 1.$$

Also, since g is the order, there are no g' < g such that $F_{g'k+1} \equiv F_{g'k+2} \equiv \lambda^{g'} \equiv 1$. Hence $\ell(n) = gk = k \cdot \operatorname{ord}_n(\lambda)$.

Corollary 2. $n \mid F_m \Leftrightarrow k(n) \mid m$.

Proposition 2. $\ell(n) \in \{k, 2k, 4k\}$ for all n > 1.

Proof. We will work in $\mathbb{Z}[\varphi]$. Because $F_k \equiv 0$, we have

$$\varphi^{k} \equiv (1 - \varphi)^{k} \Leftrightarrow \varphi^{k+1} \equiv \varphi(1 - \varphi)^{k} \Leftrightarrow \varphi^{k+1} - (1 - \varphi)^{k+1} \equiv (1 - \varphi)^{k}(2\varphi - 1)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \varphi^{k+1} - (1 - \varphi)^{k+1} \equiv \sqrt{5}\varphi^{k} \Leftrightarrow F_{k+1} \equiv \varphi^{k} \pmod{n}.$$

On the other hand, $1 - \varphi = -1/\varphi$ implies

$$\varphi^k \equiv \left(-\frac{1}{\varphi}\right)^k \Rightarrow \varphi^{2k} \equiv (-1)^k \Rightarrow \varphi^{4k} \equiv 1.$$
 (1)

If $\varphi^k \equiv 1$, then $F_{k+1} \equiv 1$ and $\ell(n) = k$. Otherwise, (i) if k is even then $F_{2k+1} \equiv \varphi^{2k} \equiv 1$, which implies $\ell(n) = 2k$. (ii) if k is odd then $F_{4k+1} \equiv \varphi^{4k} \equiv 1$, implying $\ell(n) = 4k$. Hence the conclusion.

Remark: It is not difficult to see that $\varphi^k = F_k \varphi + F_{k-1}$ holds for all k. Thus $F_{k+1} \equiv F_{k-1} \equiv \varphi^k$ follows from here as well.

From $F_{k+1} \equiv \varphi^k \pmod{n}$ we can propose a new definition for $\ell(n)$:

Definition 3. $\ell(n) = \operatorname{ord}_n(\varphi)$ for all n > 1.

Now we present a very short proof of another theorem of Wall in [5].

Theorem 2. $\ell(n)$ is even for n > 2.

Proof. Assume the contrary. Then $\ell(n) = k$ implies $\varphi^k \equiv 1$. Hence $\varphi^{2k} \equiv 1$ and, by **Definition 1**, $(-1)^k \equiv 1$. Therefore k is even (since n > 2) and the conclusion follows. \square

Proposition 3. If n > 2 and k(n) is odd, then $\ell(n) = 4k(n)$.

Proof. From the last theorem it follows that $\ell(n) \neq k$. Suppose that $\ell(n) = 2k$. Then **Definition 1** implies $1 \equiv \varphi^{2k} \equiv (-1)^k \equiv -1$, a contradiction. Therefore $\ell(n) = 4k$.

Now we will prove the central theorems of this section. From here onwards p will represent a prime.

Theorem 3. If p > 3, n > 1 and $n \mid F_p$, then k(n) = p and $\ell(n) = 4p$.

Proof. It is well known that $\gcd(F_i, F_j) = F_{\gcd(i,j)}$. Hence for all $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ we have $\gcd(F_p, F_i) = 1$, $\gcd(n, F_i) = 1$. Thus p is the least positive integer such that $n \mid F_p$, i.e., k(n) = p. Since $3 \nmid p$, F_p is odd, implying n is odd, and so n > 2. Because k(n) is odd, by **Proposition 2**, $\ell(n) = 4p$.

Theorem 4. If n is prime and p > 3, then $\ell(n) = 4p \Leftrightarrow n \mid F_p$.

Proof. Using **Theorem 3**, we need only prove that $\ell(n) = 4p \Rightarrow n \mid F_p$. From **Proposition 1**, $4p \in \{k(n), 2k(n), 4k(n)\}$. Hence $k(n) \in \{p, 2p, 4p\}$. If k(n) = p, we are done. So assume that k(n) = 2p. Since $n \mid F_{2p} = F_p L_p$ and $n \nmid F_p$, we must have $n \mid L_p = \varphi^p + (-1/\varphi)^p$. Hence $\varphi^{2p} \equiv 1$, $\ell(n) = 2p$, a contradiction.

Now suppose that k(n) = 4p. Then $n \mid F_{4p} = F_{2p}L_{2p}$ and since $n \nmid F_{2p}$, $n \mid L_{2p} = \varphi^{2p} + (-1/\varphi)^{2p}$. But then $\varphi^{4p} \equiv -1$, a contradiction. Therefore k(n) = p.

Theorem 5. If q is a prime and p > 3, then $\ell(q^n) = 4p \Leftrightarrow q^n \mid F_p$.

Proof. $q \neq 2$; otherwise $3 = \ell(2) \mid \ell(2^n) = 4p$, which contradicts p > 3. Thus q is odd. Now $L_i = F_{i+1} + F_{i-1}$ implies $\gcd(F_i, L_i) \in \{1, 2\}$ for all i. The rest of the proof is similar to that of **Theorem 4**.

Theorem 6. If p > 3 and $\ell(n) = 4p$, then n has a prime factor q with multiplicity $r \ge 1$ such that $q^r \mid F_p$.

Proof. Let $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_j^{a_j}$ be the prime factorization of n. Then

$$\ell(n) = \text{lcm}(\ell(p_1^{a_1}), \dots, \ell(p_j^{a_j})) = 4p.$$
 (2)

Therefore $\ell(p_i^{a_i}) \in \{2, 4, p, 2p, 4p\}$ for all i. But there is no x such that $\ell(x) \in \{2, 4, p\}$. Hence $\ell(p_i^{a_i}) \in \{2p, 4p\} \, \forall i$. If $\ell(p_i^{a_i}) = 4p$ for some i, we are done by **Theorem 5**. Otherwise, $\ell(p_i^{a_i}) = 2p$ for all i, which implies from (1.2) $\ell(n) = 2p$, a contradiction. Hence the result.

As a consequence of these results we arrive at the following conclusion.

Proposition 4. If q is an odd prime and $r \geq 2$, then the following statements are equivalent, and they imply that q is a Wall-Sun-Sun prime.

- (i) $q^r \mid F_p$.
- (ii) $k(q^r) = k(q^{r-1}) = \dots = k(q^2) = k(q) = p$. (iii) $\ell(q^r) = \ell(q^{r-1}) = \dots = \ell(q^2) = \ell(q) = 4p$.

Proof. The above theorems imply that (i), (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. On the other hand, it is well known that $q \mid F_{q-\left(\frac{q}{5}\right)}$ for all odd primes q, where $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ is the *Legendre symbol*. Because p is the least index such that $q \mid F_p$, we must have $p \mid q - \left(\frac{q}{5}\right)$ i.e. $F_p \mid F_{q-\left(\frac{q}{5}\right)}$. Hence $q^2 \mid q^r \mid F_p \mid F_{q-\left(\frac{q}{5}\right)}$, so q must be a Wall-Sun-Sun prime, as desired.

It should, however, be noted that no prime p has yet been found such that $q^2 \mid F_p$, and the results obtained above may suggest a possible approach for investigating the existence of such primes.

2 The Range of ℓ

In 1913, R. D. Carmichael proved the following theorem:

Theorem 7. Every Fibonacci number except F_1, F_2, F_6 and F_{12} has a prime divisor which does not divide any smaller Fibonacci number. Such prime divisors are called *characteristic* divisors.

Based on this result let us attempt to find X, the range of ℓ .

Proposition 5. $\ell(2) = 3$ is the only odd element of X.

Proof. This follows directly from **Theorem 2**.

Proposition 6. $8n + 4 \in X$ for all n.

Proof. For n=1 we have $\ell(8)=12$. Otherwise, let p be a characteristic divisor of F_{2n+1} . Then k(p) = 2n + 1 and from **Proposition 3**, $\ell(p) = 4(2n + 1) = 8n + 4$, as desired.

Proposition 7. $4n + 2 \in X$ for all n.

Proof. For n=1 we have $\ell(4)=6$. Otherwise, let p be a characteristic divisor of $F_{4n+2}=F_{2n+1}L_{2n+1}$. Then $p\mid L_{2n+1}=\varphi^{2n+1}+(-1/\varphi)^{2n+1}$ which implies $\varphi^{4n+2}\equiv 1\pmod{p}$. Thus $\ell(p)=4n+2$.

Proposition 8. $8n \in X$ for all n.

Proof. For n=3 we have $\ell(6)=24$. Otherwise, let p be a characteristic divisor of $F_{4n}=F_{2n}L_{2n}$. Then $p\mid L_{2n}=\varphi^{2n}+(-1/\varphi)^{2n}$ which implies $\varphi^{4n}\equiv -1\pmod{p}$. Thus $\varphi^{8n}\equiv 1\pmod{p}$ and we conclude that $\ell(p)=8n$.

The above results may be summarized into the following theorem:

Theorem 8. The elements of X are precisely 3 and all even numbers > 4.

3 Proving Identities

In this section we will use the following facts, to prove some identities. For integers a, b, c, d,

- $a + b\varphi = c + d\varphi \Leftrightarrow a = b \text{ and } c = d.$
- $(a + b\varphi) + (c + d\varphi) = e + f\varphi$ for integers e, f such that e = a + c, f = b + d.
- $(a+b\varphi)(c+d\varphi)=k+l\varphi$ for integers k,l such that k=ac+bd,l=ad+bc+bd.
- $\bullet \ \varphi^n = F_n \varphi + F_{n-1}.$

Identity 1.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} F_n = F_{n+2} - 1. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n F_n$. We have

$$\frac{\varphi^{n+1} - 1}{\varphi - 1} - 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi^k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (F_k \varphi + F_{k-1}) = S_n \varphi + S_{n-1}.$$

On the other hand, $\varphi^{n+1} - 1 = F_{n+1}\varphi + F_n - 1$. Hence

$$\frac{F_{n+1}\varphi + F_n - 1}{\varphi - 1} = S_n\varphi + S_{n-1} + 1 \Leftrightarrow F_{n+1}\varphi + F_n = S_n(\varphi^2 - \varphi) + (S_{n-1} + 1)\varphi - S_{n-1}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow F_{n+1}\varphi + F_n = (S_{n-1} + 1)\varphi + S_n - S_{n-1}.$$

We conclude that $S_{n-1} + 1 = F_{n+1}$, as desired.

Identity 2.

$$F_{m+n-1} = F_m F_n + F_{m-1} F_{n-1}, \quad \text{or,} \quad F_{m+n} = F_m F_{n+1} + F_{m-1} F_n.$$
 (4)

Proof. Because $\varphi^{m+n} = \varphi^m \cdot \varphi^n$, we get

$$\begin{split} F_{m+n}\varphi + F_{m+n-1} &= (F_m\varphi + F_{m-1})(F_n\varphi + F_{n-1}) \\ &= (F_mF_n + F_{m-1}F_n + F_mF_{n-1})\varphi + F_mF_n + F_{m-1}F_{n-1} \\ &= (F_mF_{n+1} + F_{m-1}F_n)\varphi + F_mF_n + F_{m-1}F_{n-1}. \end{split}$$

Hence (3.2) follows.

Identity 3.

$$F_{kn+c} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} F_k^i F_{k-1}^{n-i} F_{c+i}. \tag{5}$$

Proof. From $\varphi^{kn+c} = (\varphi^k)^n \cdot \varphi^c$, we can write

$$F_{kn+c}\varphi + F_{kn+c-1} = (F_k\varphi + F_{k-1})^n \cdot \varphi^c = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} F_k^i \varphi^i F_{k-1}^{n-i}\right) \varphi^c$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} F_k^i F_{k-1}^{n-i} \varphi^{c+i} = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} F_k^i F_{k-1}^{n-i} (F_{c+i}\varphi + F_{c+i-1})$$

$$= \varphi \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} F_k^i F_{k-1}^{n-i} F_{c+i} + \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} F_k^i F_{k-1}^{n-i} F_{c+i-1}$$

Thus

$$F_{kn+c} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} F_k^i F_{k-1}^{n-i} F_{c+i}.$$

It is clear that many other identities, can be proven in similar ways and new identities may as well be deduced. Finally, the methods discussed here can easily be generalized to other Fibonacci-like sequences.

6

References

- [1] Fibonacci number, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fibonacci_number
- [2] Pisano period, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pisano_period
- [3] Pisano period, http://mathworld.wolfram.com/PisanoPeriod.html
- [4] Carmichael's theorem, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carmichael's_theorem
- [5] D. D. Wall, Fibonacci Series Modulo m, American Mathematical Monthly 67 (1960), 525–532.

Samin Riasat University of Dhaka, Bangladesh nayel71@gmail.com