

PRESENTED BY GROUP 24

EXAMINING ANOMALY DETECTION AND REINFORCEMENT LEARNING TECHNIQUES

CMPT 318 TERM PROJECT

FALL 2023



<https://redfoxsec.com/blog/top-cybersecurity-trends-2023/>

Submitted By: Rebecca Reedel (301454910), Asmita Srivastava (301436340), Mrinal Goshalia (301478325)

INTRODUCTION

- The Cyber Threat Landscape is evolving.
- More complicated attacks require More Sophisticated Detection Systems and Mitigations.
- Zero-day Exploits are increasingly popular and are undetectable by Signature-Based IDS.



PROBLEM SCOPE

One of the many ways the Cyber Attack Space is growing, is the increasing popularity of automated systems, such as **Supervisory Control Systems**.

Malicious Attacks on SCS can cause devastating **cascading failures**.

An example would be an attack on the SkyTrain System.






SOLUTION

Since Supervisory Control Systems automate the processes of **critical** resources, it is imperative that malicious and anomalous behaviours be **detected VERY quickly!**

Therefore, we need **automated systems** monitoring all processes. This is done through Anomalous Intrusion Detection Systems using **Machine Learning Technology.**


FEATURE ENGINEERING



Principal Component Analysis

- Feature engineering technique to assess and model raw data.
- Helps in reducing redundancy from multi-dimensional data to essential components.
- Goal : Reduce redundancy in data by extracting 3 principal components on energy consumption data.


Proportion of Variance



Principal Component Analysis

Response Variables	Contribution to PC1	Contribution to PC2
Global_active_power	4.631402e-01	0.0996963094
Global_reactive_power	3.909151e-04	0.0006986944
Voltage	2.108480e+00	0.5697202583
Global_intensity	1.733467e+01	5.9705662244

Proportion of Variance



Principal Component Analysis Cont.

- Contribution by Voltage:

$$2.108480e+00*(48.1\%) + 0.5697202583*(23.9\%)$$


- Contribution by Global Intensity:

$$1.733467e+01*(48.1\%) + 5.9705662244*(23.9\%)$$

- Principal Components:

Global Active Power, Global Reactive Power and Global Intensity.

Proportion of Variance




TIME WINDOW

WEDNESDAYS, 00:00:00 - 04:00:00

- The time window was selected after due diligence based on time series' dimensions.
- This time window yields = 36960 observations, which is (154 wednesdays) x (240 minutes) worth of data.

Hidden Markov Models

- HMMs are a form of probabilistic modelling, taking into account state transition and their probability of outcomes.
- The true state is 'hidden', hence needing to be estimated as different stages in the model training process.
- An HMM model involves a set of parameters which it can be modelled using, these parameters are based upon the data provided under training.
- HMMs can help predict malware if we train it under some 'normal' designated data and have it deviate from any possible security threats known as anomalies.




<https://gist.github.com/fohria>

TRAIN-TEST SPLIT

When creating a Hidden Markov Model, it is very important to split the initial data into **train** and **test** sets.

- **Train set:** used during creation of the HMM, and is what the model learns from.
- **Test set:** used on the model afterwards and is crucial for checking that the model will react well to **unseen data**.




We chose a 70/30 proportion split for train and test respectively.

Log-Likelihood

Log-likelihood in HMMs gives us a measure of the performance of the model fit and helps in understanding the state observations.

Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC)

BIC offers a good measure to decide the best model given its increasing complexity, avoiding overfitting by estimating the model to the data provided.



FINDING THE MODEL

Before doing any Anomaly Detection, we had to find the most reliable and best results model

Best Model = High Log-Likelihood and Low BIC

We calculated the difference as:

Difference = BIC - Log-Likelihood (all negative)

The best models had the smallest difference.

Initial Models

More Models


Test Data Comparison

FINDING THE MODEL


Number of States	Log-Likelihood	Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC)	Difference (BIC - Log-Likelihood)
4	-22311.92	44857.54	67169.46
8	-15789.89	32382.49	48172.38
12	-14030.23	29757.3	43787.53
16	-9814.59	22545.32	32359.91
20	-7754.399	19969.37	27723.769
24	-6609.507	19549.17	26158.677

Initial Models

We started with 6 models from 4 to 24, in increments of 4 each time



More Models



Test Data Comparison

FINDING THE MODEL

Number of States	Log-Likelihood	Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC)	Difference (BIC - Log-Likelihood)
4	-22311.92	44857.54	67169.46
8	-15789.89	32382.49	48172.38
12	-14030.23	29757.3	43787.53
16	-9814.59	22545.32	32359.91
18	-8433.143	20514	28947.143
19	-8940.159	21924.3	30864.459
20	-7754.399	19969.37	27723.769
21	-7577.963	20053.41	27631.373
22	-7561.34	20477.4	28038.74
23	-7124.731	20081.74	27206.471
24	-6609.507	19549.17	26158.677

Initial Models

We started with 6 models from 4 to 24, in increments of 4 each time

More Models

After inspecting the Log-Like of all 6 models, we saw that n_states = 16, 20 and 24 were the best. We decided to make 5 more models in-between those values

Test Data Comparison


FINDING THE MODEL

Number States	Training Set (Normalised Log-Likelihood)	Testing Set (Normalised Log-Likelihood)
21	-0.29294739	-0.68717166
23	-0.27542643	-0.6198013
24	-0.25550901	-0.60284881

The best and final model has **n_states = 24**

Initial Models

We started with 6 models from 4 to 24, in increments of 4 each time



More Models

After inspecting the Log-Like of all 6 models, we saw that n_states = 16, 20 and 24 were the best. We decided to make 5 more models in-between those values



Test Data Comparison

Using the 3 best models, we did forwardbackward substitution with the test data to get the log-like. Then normalized the log-likes by dividing by dataset size. The best model for both LL was chosen for anomaly detection

Using HMMs for Anomaly Detection

Lower log-likelihood = values don't match with the expected behaviour of data set

lower log-likelihood = more anomalous data

1. Filter Datasets

We completed the same feature engineering (except. PCA analysis) on the 3 datasets

2. Create Model

Using the best n_states value we got during the last set (n_states = 24), We created 3 HMMs for each anomalous dataset.

3. Compute Log-Likelihood

Using the built-in method, we computed the log-like for each model


Using HMMs for Anomaly Detection

We found that the **3rd data set** had the lowest log-likelihood and therefore probably contains the most anomalies.

Anomalous Data Set Number	Log-Likelihood
1	-14368.92
2	-15192.82
3	-29273.81

Reinforcement Learning

- Reinforcement Learning is a Machine Learning algorithm based on state, action and reward.
- In this environment, given a state the goal is to take actions in order to maximize cumulative reward in the end.
- In the cybersecurity realm, RL systems can be trained for intrusion detection by identifying abnormal behaviour and responding to malware accordingly.




Hyperparameter Choices

- **Alpha (α)** represents the model's learning rate
 - We chose alpha value = 0.2
- **Gamma (γ)** is the 'discount factor,' determining the weight assigned to future rewards as compared to immediate rewards.
 - We chose gamma value = 0.6
- **Epsilon (ϵ)** defines the exploration process in the greedy-action selection procedure.
 - We chose epsilon value = 0.2



Q-table Analysis

- Reward is almost always positive.
- Commodities and Real-Estate exhibit the widest ranges
 - They also average the highest q-values
- Forex and Stock values have moderate to high q-values
 - Are generally in the mid-range with moderate variability
- Cryptocurrencies and Stocks tend to average the lowest q-values
 - Cryptocurrencies vary from having both positive and negative q-values.



<https://www.learndatasci.com/tutorials/reinforcement-q-learning-scratch-python-openai-gym/>


Policy Results Analysis

```
> computePolicy(model)
```

48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55
"Commodities"	"Forex"	"Cryptocurrencies"	"Stocks"	"Real_Estates"	"Forex"	"Commodities"	"Forex"
56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63
"Forex"	"Cryptocurrencies"	"Cryptocurrencies"	"Cryptocurrencies"	"Commodities"	"Cryptocurrencies"	"Commodities"	"Real_Estates"
64	65	66	67	68	69	100	70
"Real_Estates"	"Cryptocurrencies"	"Stocks"	"Stocks"	"Forex"	"Commodities"	"Commodities"	"Real_Estates"
71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78
"Commodities"	"Real_Estates"	"Cryptocurrencies"	"Commodities"	"Stocks"	"Real_Estates"	"Cryptocurrencies"	"Stocks"
1	79	2	3	4	5	6	7
"Commodities"	"Forex"	"Real_Estates"	"Cryptocurrencies"	"Real_Estates"	"Real_Estates"	"Forex"	"Real_Estates"
10	8	80	11	9	81	12	82
"Commodities"	"Real_Estates"	"Stocks"	"Commodities"	"Cryptocurrencies"	"Real_Estates"	"Real_Estates"	"Real_Estates"
13	83	14	84	15	85	16	86
"Real_Estates"	"Commodities"	"Real_Estates"	"Stocks"	"Real_Estates"	"Stocks"	"Real_Estates"	"Forex"
17	87	18	88	19	89	20	90
"Real_Estates"	"Commodities"	"Commodities"	"Stocks"	"Stocks"	"Cryptocurrencies"	"Real_Estates"	"Stocks"
21	91	22	92	23	93	24	94
"Commodities"	"Stocks"	"Real_Estates"	"Forex"	"Cryptocurrencies"	"Cryptocurrencies"	"Commodities"	"Cryptocurrencies"
25	95	26	96	27	97	28	98
"Real_Estates"	"Commodities"	"Real_Estates"	"Forex"	"Commodities"	"Forex"	"Commodities"	"Stocks"
29	99	30	31	32	33	34	35
"Real_Estates"	"Forex"	"Commodities"	"Real_Estates"	"Forex"	"Stocks"	"Forex"	"Commodities"
36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43
"Commodities"	"Stocks"	"Forex"	"Real_Estates"	"Real_Estates"	"Cryptocurrencies"	"Real_Estates"	"Commodities"
44	45	46	47				
"Real_Estates"	"Cryptocurrencies"	"Commodities"	"Stocks"				

Policy Results Analysis

- Displays the **optimal action** to take at each state, maximizing expected cumulative reward.
- Each state has been assigned its optimal action/investment sector
- Useful when determining which sector to invest in given a specific budget
- Policy also produces a high, positive reward of 12033.83 suggesting that the investment/trading strategy is successful and produces a significant financial gain.



Behaviour towards Unseen Data

TASK:

- We trained the reinforcement model on the unseen data given the specified budget range (\$15 - \$45 million)

KEY HIGHLIGHTS:

- Real-estate is a very lucrative investment sector, being the optimal action for the most number of states.
- Cryptocurrency and Forex are less lucrative and only appear to do well in a select few states....invest with caution
- Commodities also appears to do well in a select states and are distributed across the state range

State	OptimalAction
15	Real_Estates
16	Real_Estates
17	Real_Estates
18	Commodities
19	Stocks
20	Real_Estates
21	Commodities
22	Real_Estates
23	Cryptocurrencies
24	Commodities
25	Real_Estates
26	Real_Estates
27	Commodities
28	Commodities
29	Real_Estates
30	Commodities
31	Real_Estates
32	Forex
33	Stocks
34	Forex
35	Commodities
36	Commodities
37	Stocks
38	Forex
39	Real_Estates
40	Real_Estates
41	Cryptocurrencies
42	Real_Estates
43	Commodities
44	Real_Estates
45	Cryptocurrencies


CONCLUSION



- Using feature engineering and probabilistic models like **HMMs** can help understand the data better to detect unusual behaviours indicative of security threats and malware.
- Machine learning models like **Reinforcement Learning** can help increase the efficiency of IDS in detecting malware by learning through the shortcomings, maximizing reward for safe and secure software solutions.

PRESENTED BY GROUP 24

THANK YOU VERY MUCH!



CMPT 318 - Fall 2023