# STAT/BIOST 571: Homework 8

Philip Pham

March 15, 2019

# Problem 1: GEE and GLMM; interpretation of marginal parameters in logistic regression models; missing data (20 points)

Download the fluoride.csv dataset from the course website. This dataset contains 3846 observations of fluoride intake for 1279 children, with follow-ups at ages 1.5, 3, 6, and 9 months, but with some observations missing for individual children. The variable id indexes unique children, age denotes age in months, income is an indicator for maternal income over 30 thousand dollars per year, fluoride is total fluoride intake (mg per kg of body weight), and fl is an indicator for fluoride > 0.05. Our primary interest is the relationship between the binary outcome fl and the child's age, potentially including effect modification by maternal income. We will fit logistic regression models for the fl outcome with the standard mean variance relationship and either a multiplicative interaction

$$\mu = expit(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \times age + \beta_2 \times income + \beta_3 \times age \times income)$$
 (1)

or just an intercept and a main effect

$$\mu = expit(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \times aqe). \tag{2}$$

In all analyses, we account for correlation within children and assume the data from different children are independent.

(a) Fit model (1) using GEE with independence and exchangeable working correlation models and using a standard GLMM with random intercepts. Report point estimates and standard error estimates for all four regression coefficients and all three model fits in a single table (use robust standard errors for GEE and model-based versions for GLMM).

Correlation Structure	GEE Independent		GEE Exchangeable		Mixed Model	
Coefficient	Estimate	Standard Error	Estimate	Standard Error	Estimate	Standard Error
(Intercept), $\beta_0$	0.576457	0.117464	0.524520	0.115018	1.171506	0.208960
age, $\beta_1$	-0.048729	0.017763	-0.023578	0.017634	-0.054638	0.029439
income, $\beta_2$ age:income, $\beta_3$	-0.964447 $0.076834$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.148575 \\ 0.022462 \end{array}$	-0.944916 $0.061876$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.145484 \\ 0.022088 \end{array}$	-2.083890 $0.131501$	0.269759 $0.036506$

Table 1: Model fits of Equation 1 with different correlation structures to the data in fluoride.csv.

**Solution:** The estimates and standard errors can be found in Table 1. Robust sandwich estimates were used for standard errors in the GEE model. For the Mixed Model correlation structure with random intercepts, model-based standard errors were used.

Code to fit the models is found in the Appendix.

(b) Discuss any differences between the estimated values of  $\beta_1$  from your three fitted models.

**Solution:** In all three models,  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is negative. For those with low income, one is more likely to have low fluoride as one ages. See the second row of Table 1 for the values.

The effect is most pronounced in the mixed model which lets the intercept term vary most freely since each subject has a subject-specific adjustment. The exchangeable model encourages within-subject correlation, so it prefers that the log odds does not vary much with age.

(c) For each of your three fitted models, write a short paragraph summarizing your main findings. Specifically, give scientifically interpretable statements (including confidence intervals) about the relationships between fluoride intake and age in children with maternal income greater than 30 thousand dollars per year and in children with maternal income less than 30 thousand dollars per year.

	Point Estimate	95% CI lower bound	95% CI upper bound
GEE Independent	-0.04872948	-0.08354415	-0.01391481
GEE Exchangeable	-0.02357841	-0.05814036	0.01098355
Mixed Model	-0.05463762	-0.11233786	0.00306262

Table 2: Point estimates and confidence intervals for  $\beta_1$ , which describes how age affects fluoride intake for low-income children.

	Point Estimate	95% CI lower bound	95% CI upper bound
GEE Independent	0.02810417	0.00115686	0.05505148
GEE Exchangeable	0.03829750	0.01222844	0.06436657
Mixed Model	0.07686298	0.03462592	0.11910004

Table 3: Point estimates and confidence intervals for  $\beta_1 + \beta_3$ , which describes how age affects fluoride intake for children with maternal income greater than 30 thousand dollars per year.

Solution:  $\beta_1$  describes the expected observed change in the log odds in children with maternal income less than 30 thousand dollars. Given a year of ageing, one would expect to observe a change of  $\beta_1$ . As discussed in the previous section, this quantity is negative. A 95% confidence interval can be found by using the standard error. These results can be seen in Table 2. For the GEE Exchangeable model and Mixed Model, the intervals contain 0, so only in the GEE Independent model is the decrease in log odds statistically significant at level  $\alpha = 0.0t$ . Thus, I'd conclude that the effect is quite small if it exists at all.

 $\beta_1 + \beta_3$  describes the change in children with maternal income greater than 30 thousand dollars per year. This quantity has variance

$$\operatorname{var}(\beta_1 + \beta_3) = \operatorname{var}(\beta_1) + \operatorname{var}(\beta_3) + 2\operatorname{cov}(\beta_1, \beta_3), \tag{3}$$

which we can use this to calculate confidence interals. Results are in Table 3. The estimates are all positive, so the probability of higher fluoride intake increases with age for children with higher maternal incomes. None of the confidence intervals contain 0, so the effect is statistically significant at level  $\alpha=0.05$  in all three models. In the models that account for the within-subject correlation (GEE Exchangeable and Mixed Model), the estimate is larger. The Mixed Model has more parameters and better accounts for the correlation and gives the largest estimate.

(d) Now repeat part (a), but use model (2) instead of (1) (there are now only two regression coefficients to report per model).

Correlation Structure	re GEE Independent		GEE Exchangeable		Mixed Model	
	Estimate	Standard Error	Estimate	Standard Error	Estimate	Standard Error
Coefficient						
(Intercept), $\beta_0$	-0.024537	0.070636	-0.059908	0.068872	-0.126181	0.114182
age, $\beta_1$	-0.002281	0.010757	0.015402	0.010418	0.028707	0.015460

Table 4: Model fits of Equation 2 with different correlation structures to the data in fluoride.csv.

**Solution:** The point estimates and standard errors of fitting the model in Equation 2 can be found in Table 4. Now  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is close to 0 in all three models. Two of the models are slightly greater than 0, and one is slightly less than 0.

(e) Download the dataset fluoride.miss.csv from the course website and repeat the calculations from part (d). Note fluoride.miss.csv is a subset of fluoride.csv, with more missing data.

Correlation Structure	Structure GEE Independent		GEE Exchangeable		Mixed Model	
	Estimate	Standard Error	Estimate	Standard Error	Estimate	Standard Error
Coefficient						
(Intercept), $\beta_0$	-0.165919	0.077793	-0.172837	0.075095	-0.362533	0.124891
age, $\beta_1$	0.008154	0.012001	0.021671	0.011511	0.041221	0.017344

Table 5: Model fits of Equation 2 with different correlation structures to the data in fluoride.miss.csv.

**Solution:** The results of fitting the model in Equation 2 to fluoride.miss.csv can be found in Table 5. Now all three estimates of  $\beta_1$  are positive.

(f) Discuss the differences between your results in parts (d) and (e). Speculate about the missingness mechanism that gave rise to the fluoride.miss.csv dataset and explain how this might account for what you observe. You might find it helpful to conduct exploratory analyses of the two datasets and to consider your findings from part (a) of this problem.

**Solution:** In part (d), the estimates are close to 0. In part (e), the estimates are positive. Only the Mixed Model estimate is statistically significant, however.

Recall that children with higher maternal incomes were more likely to see an increase in fluoride intake with age. Indeed, see Figure 1. In fluoride.miss.csv, we are missing records of children with low maternal income, so the estimate of  $\beta_1$  is closer to that of children with higher maternal income, that is, more positive.

# Comparing Datasets: Distribution by Maternal Income




Figure 1: Number of children by maternal income in fluoride.csv (Full) versus fluoride.miss.csv (Partial).

# Appendix

Code to fit the models is attached on the following pages.

# GEE and GLMM; interpretation of marginal parameters in logistic regression models; missing data

We'll fit models with general estimating equations ( gee ) and general linear mixed models ( 1me4 ).

```
In [1]: library(data.table)
    library(gee)
    library(ggplot2)
    library(lme4)
    library(scales)
    library(tools)
    library(xtable)
```

Loading required package: Matrix

## Fluoride Data

```
In [2]: head(fluoride.data <- data.table(read.csv('fluoride.csv'), key='id'))</pre>
                      fluoride
        id age income
                  1 0.00000000 FALSE
        2 3.0
                  1 0.05063998 TRUE
        2 9.0
                  1 0.04779446 FALSE
        3 1.5
                  0 0.11742604
                             TRUE
        3 3.0
                  0 0.08832044 TRUE
                  0 0.06216184 TRUE
        3 6.0
In [3]: summary(fluoride.data)
                          age income fluoride
        Min. : 2 Min. :1.500 Min. :0.0000 Min. :0.000000
                     1st Qu.:1.500 1st Qu.:0.0000 1st Qu.:0.008185
        1st Qu.: 444
        Median: 934 Median: 3.000 Median: 1.0000 Median: 0.048175
        Mean : 929 Mean :4.675 Mean :0.6382 Mean :0.067876
        3rd Qu.:1409 3rd Qu.:6.000 3rd Qu.:1.0000 3rd Qu.:0.104724
        Max. :1886 Max. :9.000 Max. :1.0000 Max. :1.794320
            fl
        Mode :logical
        FALSE: 1966
        TRUE :1898
```

# Fluoride Data with Missing Entries

```
3.0
             1 0.00000000 FALSE
2
2
   6.0
             1 0.05063998
                           TRUE
             1 0.04779446 FALSE
2
   9.0
            0 0.08832044
   3.0
                           TRUF
   6.0
            0 0.06216184
                           TRUE
             1 0.03531871 FALSE
 1.5
```

In [5]: summary(fluoride.miss.data)

```
id
                     age
                                   income
                                                  fluoride
          2.0
                               Min. :0.0000 Min.
                                                      :0.000000
Min.
      :
                Min.
                     :1.500
1st Qu.: 485.0
                1st Qu.:3.000
                               1st Qu.:1.0000
                                               1st Qu.:0.006707
Median : 975.0
                Median :3.000
                               Median :1.0000
                                               Median :0.042219
     : 954.9
Mean
                Mean :4.709
                               Mean :0.7811
                                               Mean :0.064560
3rd Qu.:1431.0
                3rd Qu.:6.000
                               3rd Qu.:1.0000
                                               3rd Qu.:0.100249
Max. :1886.0
                Max. :9.000
                               Max. :1.0000
                                               Max. :1.794320
   f1
Mode :logical
FALSE: 1679
TRUE :1478
```

## **Models**

## **General Estimating Equations (GEE)**

```
In [6]: gee.age.independent <- gee(fl ~ age, id=id,</pre>
                                    family=binomial,
                                    data=fluoride.data)
        gee.age.exchangeable <- update(gee.age.independent, corstr='exchangeable')</pre>
        gee.interaction.independent <- update(gee.age.independent, formula=.~. + income + age:income)</pre>
        gee.interaction.exchangeable <- update(gee.interaction.independent, corstr='exchangeable')</pre>
        Beginning Cgee S-function, @(#) geeformula.q 4.13 98/01/27
        running glm to get initial regression estimate
         (Intercept)
        -0.024537225 -0.002280917
        Beginning Cgee S-function, @(#) geeformula.q 4.13 98/01/27
        running glm to get initial regression estimate
         (Intercept)
        -0.024537225 -0.002280917
        Beginning Cgee S-function, @(#) geeformula.q 4.13 98/01/27
        running glm to get initial regression estimate
        (Intercept)
                             age
                                      income age:income
         0.57645733 - 0.04872948 - 0.96444671 0.07683365
        Beginning Cgee S-function, @(#) geeformula.q 4.13 98/01/27
        running glm to get initial regression estimate
        (Intercept)
                             age
                                      income age:income
         0.57645733 - 0.04872948 - 0.96444671 0.07683365
```

## **General Linear Mixed Models (GLMM)**

```
In [7]: glmm.age <- glmer(fl ~ age + (1|id), family=binomial, data=fluoride.data)
glmm.interaction <- update(glmm.age, formula=.~. + income + age:income)</pre>
```

## **Missing Data and GEE**

```
In [8]: gee.age.independent.miss <- update(gee.age.independent, data=fluoride.miss.data)</pre>
        gee.age.exchangeable.miss <- update(gee.age.exchangeable, data=fluoride.miss.data)</pre>
        gee.interaction.independent.miss <- update(gee.interaction.independent, data=fluoride.miss.data
        gee.interaction.exchangeable.miss <- update(gee.interaction.exchangeable, data=fluoride.miss.da
        ta)
        Beginning Cgee S-function, @(#) geeformula.g 4.13 98/01/27
        running glm to get initial regression estimate
         (Intercept)
                              age
        -0.165918518 0.008153993
        Beginning Cgee S-function, @(#) geeformula.q 4.13 98/01/27
        running glm to get initial regression estimate
         (Intercept)
        -0.165918518 0.008153993
        Beginning Cgee S-function, @(#) geeformula.q 4.13 98/01/27
        running glm to get initial regression estimate
                            age
                                     income age:income
         0.59166829 - 0.05778955 - 0.97965768 0.08589372
        Beginning Cgee S-function, @(#) geeformula.q 4.13 98/01/27
        running glm to get initial regression estimate
        (Intercept)
                                     income age:income
                            age
         0.59166829 - 0.05778955 - 0.97965768 \ 0.08589372
```

## Missing Data and GLMM

```
In [9]: glmm.age.miss <- update(glmm.age, data=fluoride.miss.data)
glmm.interaction.miss <- update(glmm.interaction, data=fluoride.miss.data)</pre>
```

#### **Estimates and Standard Errors**

```
In [10]: summarize.model <- function(model) {
    coefficients <- summary(model)$coefficients
    standard.error <- if (is(model, 'gee')) {
        coefficients[,'Robust S.E.']
    } else if (is(model, 'glmerMod')) {
        coefficients[,'Std. Error']
    }
    data.frame(coefficient=row.names(coefficients),
        estimate=coefficients[,'Estimate'],
        standard.error=standard.error,
        row.names=NULL)
}</pre>
```

```
In [11]: key.model <- function(model) {</pre>
             data.frame(
                 correlation.structure=if (is(model, 'gee')) {
                      if (is.null(getCall(model)$corstr)) {
                          'GEE Independent'
                      } else {
                          paste('GEE', toTitleCase(getCall(model)$corstr))
                      }
                 } else if (is(model, 'glmerMod')) {
                      'Mixed Model'
                 },
                 has.interaction=nrow(summary(model)$coefficients) == 4,
                 is.missing=getCall(model)$data == quote(fluoride.miss.data)
             )
         }
In [12]: model.summaries <- do.call(rbind, lapply(list(</pre>
             gee.age.independent, gee.age.exchangeable, glmm.age,
             gee.interaction.independent, gee.interaction.exchangeable, glmm.interaction,
             gee.age.independent.miss, gee.age.exchangeable.miss, glmm.age.miss,
             gee.interaction.independent.miss, gee.interaction.exchangeable.miss, glmm.interaction.miss
         ),
                 function(model) {
                     cbind(key.model(model), summarize.model(model))
                 }))
         write.csv(model.summaries, file='model_summaries.csv', row.names=FALSE)
In [13]: | data.frame(list(a=c(p=1), b=c(p=2), c=c(p=3)))
            a b c
          p 1 2 3
```

#### **Confidence Intervals**

```
In [15]: interaction.models <- list(</pre>
              `GEE Independent`=gee.interaction.independent,
              `GEE Exchangeable`=gee.interaction.exchangeable,
              `Mixed Model`=glmm.interaction)
          (beta.1.intervals <- t(data.frame(</pre>
              lapply(interaction.models, make.intervals, indicator=c(0, 1, 0, 0)), check.names=FALSE)))
          (beta.1.3.intervals <- t(data.frame(</pre>
              lapply(interaction.models, make.intervals, indicator=c(0, 1, 0, 1)), check.names=FALSE)))
                          Point Estimate 95\% CI lower bound 95\% CI upper bound
                            -0.04872948
                                             -0.08354415
                                                              -0.013914806
            GEE Independent
                            -0.02357841
                                             -0.05814036
                                                              0.010983553
          GEE Exchangeable
                                             -0.11233786
                                                              0.003062619
               Mixed Model
                            -0.05463762
                          Point Estimate 95\% CI lower bound 95\% CI upper bound
                                                               0.05505148
            GEE Independent
                            0.02810417
                                             0.001156858
                                                               0.06436657
          GEE Exchangeable
                            0.03829750
                                             0.012228442
               Mixed Model
                            0.07686298
                                             0.034625919
                                                               0.11910004
         print(xtable(beta.1.intervals,
In [16]:
                        caption=paste(
                            'Point estimates and confidence intervals for $\\beta 1$,',
                            'which describes how age affects fluoride intake for low-income',
                            'children.'),
                        label='tab:beta_1_intervals',
                        digits=c(0, 8, 8, 8)), booktabs=TRUE,
                sanitize.colnames.function=identity,
                sanitize.rownames.function=identity,
                size='small',
                file='beta_1_intervals.tex')
In [17]: print(xtable(beta.1.3.intervals,
                        caption=paste(
                            'Point estimates and confidence intervals for $\\beta_1 + \\beta_3$,',
                            'which describes how age affects fluoride intake for children',
                            'with maternal income greater than 30 thousand dollars per year.'),
                        label='tab:beta_1_3_intervals',
                        digits=c(0, 8, 8, 8)), booktabs=TRUE,
                sanitize.colnames.function=identity,
                sanitize.rownames.function=identity,
                size='small',
                file='beta_1_3_intervals.tex')
In [19]: options(warn=-1)
          pdf('dataset_comparison.pdf', width=6, height=3.75)
          ggplot(rbind(cbind(Dataset='Full', fluoride.data),
                        cbind(Dataset='Partial', fluoride.miss.data))) +
            geom\_bar(aes(x=factor(income, labels = c('\u2264 30k', '> 30k')))) +
            facet_wrap(~Dataset) +
            scale_y_continuous('Children count', label=comma) +
            scale x discrete('Maternal income') +
            ggtitle('Comparing Datasets: Distribution by Maternal Income')
          dev.off()
          options(warn=0)
```

png: 2