1 Preliminaries

For population $N(t)$, and max supported pop N^*. Let $n = \frac{N}{N^*}$.

Logistic model:
$$\frac{dn}{dt} = rn(1-n) \Bigg|_{\substack{\text{General} \\ \text{Solution}}} : n(t) = \frac{ce^{rt}}{1+ce^{rt}}.$$

Logistic model (with predation): $\frac{dn}{dt} = rn(1 - \frac{n}{s}) - \frac{n^2}{1-n^2}$

Lotka-Volterra predator-prey model: n = prey population, p = predator population:

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = rn(1-p), \quad \frac{dp}{dt} = p(1-n)$$

Converting non-autonomous systems to autonomous:

For non-autonomous system of d equations:
$\frac{dy_1}{dt} = f_1(t, y_1, ...y_d),$
$\frac{dy_2}{dt} = f_2(t, y_1, ...y_d),$
$\vdots$
$\frac{dy_d}{dt} = f_d(t, y_1, ...y_d).$

We can make it into an autonomous one by introducing the variable $y_{d+1} \equiv t$ in place of t, (thus creating an autonomous system of $d+1$ variables) and introduce new indep var s such that $ds/dt = 1$:
$\frac{dy_1}{ds} = f_1(t, y_1, ...y_{d+1}),$
$\frac{dy_2}{ds} = f_2(t, y_1, ...y_{d+1}),$
$\vdots$
$\frac{dy_d}{ds} = f_d(t, y_1, ...y_{d+1}),$
$\frac{dy_{d+1}}{ds} = 1.$

Picard's Thm: let the function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a continuous function of its arguments in a region of the plane containing the rectangle $D = \{(t,y) : t_0 \leq t \leq T, |y - y_0| \leq K\}$, $T, K > 0$. Suppose $\exists L$ (Lipschitz constant) such that $|f(t,u) - f(t,v)| \leq L|u-v|$ whenever $(t,u), (t,v) \in D$. Since D closed & bounded, $\exists M_f > 0$ such that $M_f = \max\{|f(t,u)| : (t,u) \in D\}$. Assume $M_f(T - t_0) \leq K$. Then there exists a unique continuously differentiable function $t \mapsto y(t)$, defined on $[t_0, T]$, such that $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t,y), y(t_0) = y_0$.

Note: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ being continuous $\Rightarrow$ existence of a Lipschitz constant, We can take $L = \sup_D \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right]$.

Thm 1.3.2 (Local Existence / Uniqueness of Solutions for ODE Systems): Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is continuous and has continuous partial derivatives w.r.t. all components of the dependant var y in a neighbourhood of the point (t_0, y_0). Then there is an interval $I = (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$ containing a unique function y (continuously differentiable on I) that satisfies $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t,y), y(t_0) = y_0$.

2 Euler's Method and Taylor Series Methods

Euler's Method: for finding approximate solutions to $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t,y)$ in time interval $t \in [a,b]$.

Let $t_m = a + mh$, $h \in \mathbb{R}$ small. Start with $y_0 = y(t_0) = y(a)$ and use iteration function $\boxed{y_{n+1} = y_n + hf(t_n, y_n)}$. $y_i \approx y(t_i)$.

Error: $\boxed{e_n = |y_n - y(t_n)|}$.

Lemma 2.6.1: Suppose a given sequence of non-negative numbers (v_n) satisfies $v_{n+1} \leq Av_n + B$, $A > 1, B > 0$. Then for $n = 0, 1, 2, ...,$ $\boxed{v_n \leq A^n v_0 + \frac{A^n - 1}{A - 1}B}$.

Bound on error: $\boxed{|e_n| \leq e^{(b-a)L}\frac{M}{2L}h = Dh}$ where h is the timestep, M bounds $|y''(t)|$, and L bounds $\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}$.

Thm 2.6.1 Consider the IVP $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t,y), y(a) = y_0$. Suppose $\exists$ unique, twice-differentiable function f, continuous everywhere with continuous, bounded partial derivative $\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right| < L$ with $L > 0$. Then for $n = 0, 1, ..., N$, and some $D > 0$, the solution y_n given by Euler's method at t_n satisfies $\boxed{e_n = |y_n - y(t_n)| \leq Dh}$ where $h = (b-a)/N, t_n = a + hn$.

Big O (Landau) notation: If method is $O(h^p)$ then the error decays at least as quickly as h^p (for small h).

$\boxed{(\exists\, h_0, C > 0) : (\forall\, 0 < h < h_0), |z| \leq Ch^p \Rightarrow z = O(h^p)}$

Say z is of order p.

The Flow Map: Consider IVP $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t,y), y(a) = y_0$ with unique solution in $t \in [a,b]$. Starting at arbitrary $t_0 \in [a,b], y_0$ we may see where $y(t)$ ends up, denoted $y(t; t_0, y_0)$.

Fix t_0 and look at the **flow map** $\boxed{\Phi_{t_0,h}(y_0) = y(t_0 + h; t_0, y_0)}$. (*actually a family of maps parameterised by h*).

Numerical methods approximate flow maps: Euler's method approximates flow map with $\hat{\Phi}_{t,h}(y) = y + hf(t,y)$.

One-step methods: approximate the solution through the iteration of an approximated flow map.

Constructing Taylor series methods:

Start with Taylor series:
$y(t_0 + h) = y(t_0) + y'(t_0)h + \frac{1}{2}y''(t_0)h^2 + \frac{1}{6}y'''(t_0)h^3 + ...$
Also $y'(t) = f(t,y) \Rightarrow$
$y'' = \frac{d}{dt}f(t,y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(t,y)\frac{dt}{dt} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}f(t,y)\frac{dy}{dt}$
$= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(t,y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}f(t,y)y' = f_t + f_y f.$ (By chain rule)
$\Phi_{t,h}(y) = y + hf(t,y) + \frac{1}{2}h^2(f_t(t,y) + f_y(t,y)f(t,y)) + \frac{1}{6}y'''h^3 + ...$

Which we can truncate to get the 2nd order Taylor series method

$\boxed{\hat{\Phi}_{t,h}(y) = y + hf(t,y) + \frac{1}{2}h^2(f_t(t,y) + f_y(t,y)f(t,y))}$.

3 Convergence of One-Step Methods

Def 3.1.2 (Convergence): A method is said to be **convergent** iff for any T,

$$\lim_{\substack{h \to 0 \\ h = T/N}} \max_{n=0,1,...,N} \|e_n\| = 0.$$

Def 3.2.1 (Local Error): The **local error** of a one-step method is the difference between the flow map Φ_h and it's discrete approximation Ψ_h

$$le(y, h) = \Psi_h(y) - \Phi_h(y).$$

It measures how much error is introduced in a single timestep of size h.

Def 3.2.2 (Consistency): Suppose the local error for our method satisfies

$$\|le(y,h)\| \leq Ch^{p+1}$$

where C is a constant that depends on $y(t)$ and it's derivatives, and $p \geq 1$. Then the method is **consistent** at order p.

Def 3.2.3 (Stability): Suppose that a method satisfies an $h-$independant Lipschitz condition on D (spatial domain)

$$\|\Psi_h(u) - \Psi_h(v)\| \leq (1 + h\hat{L})\|u - v\| \quad \forall u, v \in D.$$

Then the method is **stable**. *Note $\hat{L}$ need not be the same Lipschitz constant as for the vector field.*

Thm 3.2.1 (Convergence of One-Step Methods): Given a differential equation and a one-step method Ψ_h which is **consistent** and **stable**. Then the method is **convergent**.

Interpolating Polynomials: Given s distinct *abscissa points* $c_0, ... c_s$ and *data points* $g_0, ..., g_s$, there exists a unique interpolating polynomial $P(x) \in \mathbb{P}_{s-1}$ passing through all points (c_i, g_i).

Lagrange Polynomials: For a set of abscissae $c_0, ... c_s$, the Lagrange polynomials ℓ_i, $i = 1, ..., s$ are defined by
$$\ell_i(x) = \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}} \frac{x - c_j}{c_i - c_j}.$$
The Lagrange polynomial ℓ_i is the interpolating polynomial through the data $g_j = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } j = i \\ 0 \text{ if } j \neq i \end{cases}$. $\{\ell_i\}$ form a basis for $\mathbb{P}_{s-1}$, and any polynomial $Q(x)$ has the simple form
$$Q(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} Q(c_i)\ell_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} g_i \ell_i(x).$$
Numerical Quadrature: Given a smooth function $g(x) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, and s **quadrature points** $0 \leq c_1 < ... < c_s \leq 1$ we can estimate $\int_0^1 g(x)\, dx$ by integrating the corresponding interpolating polynomial $P(x) \in \mathbb{P}_{s-1}$. Define the weights
$$b_i = \int_0^1 \ell_i(x)\, dx.$$
Then our approximate integral is
$$\int_0^1 g(x)\, dx \approx \int P(x)\, dx = \sum_{i=1}^{s} g(c_i) \int_0^1 \ell_i(x)\, dx = \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i g(c_i)$$
Therefore for interval $[t_0, t_0 + h]$ we have
$$\int_{t_0}^{t_0+h} g(x)\, dx \approx \int_{t_0}^{t_0+h} P(x)\, dx = \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i g(t_0 + hc_i).$$
A quadrature rule has **order** p if it integrates any polynomial $\in \mathbb{P}_{p-1}$ exactly. We always have $p \geq s$, and for optimal choice of c_i we have $p = 2s$.

One-Step Collocation: Given an ODE we wish to construct the **collocation polynomial** $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ that satisfies
$$u(t_0) = y_0 u'(t_0 + c_i h) = f(u(t_0 + c_i h)).$$
In particular, it agrees with our solut at t_0, and it's derivative matches that of the solution at each $c_1, ..., c_s$. We can use such a polynomial to approximate a numerical solution to our ODE by decomposing it's derivative u' into Lagrange polynomial components, and then integrating over $[t_0, t_0 + h]$ to get $u(t_0 + h) = u(t_1)$.

Let $F_i = u'(t_0 + h c_i)$ be the value of the derivative of the polynomial at node c_i. Then
$$F_i = f(y_0 + h \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{ij} F_j), \quad \text{(A)}$$
$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i F_i. \quad \text{(B)}$$
where
$$a_{ij} = \int_0^{c_i} \ell_j(x)\, dx,$$
$$b_i = \int_0^1 \ell_i(x)\, dx.$$
First solve the sd-dimensional system of non-linear equations given by (A), and plug into (B).

Rem 3.6.1 (Continuous Approximations): Collocation provides a continuous approximation $u(t)$ of the solution $y(t)$ on each interval $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$.

Rem 3.6.2 (Optimal Node Placement): For optimal order of accuracy, use **Gauss-Legendre** collocation methods. This means placing nodes at roots of shifted Legendre polynomials. For $s = 1, 2, 3$, the optimal nodes are
$$c_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad p = 2,$$
$$c_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}, \ c_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}, \quad p = 4,$$
$$c_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{15}}{10}, \ c_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \ c_3 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{15}}{10}, \quad p = 6.$$

Runge-Kutta Methods (Autonymous Case): Generalisation of collocation methods, since they don't have coefficients that rely on integrals of Lagrange polynomials, they can have any coefficients. A **Runge-Kutta** method is any method of form
$$Y_i = y_n + h \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{ij} f(Y_j), \quad i = 1, ..., s,$$
$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i f(Y_i).$$
where s is the **number of stages**, b_i are the **weights**, and a_{ij} are the **internal coefficients**. Such a method generates the discrete flow-map
$$\Psi_h(y) = y + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i f(Y_i(y, h)).$$

Butcher Tables: Store coefficients of Runge-Kutta methods in form

$$\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & b^T \end{array}$$

where $c = (c_i)$, $b = (b_j)$, $A = (a_{ij})$. If A is lower triangular (with 0s on diag) then the method is **explicit**, otherwise **implicit**.

Butcher Table Examples:
Euler Method (Explicit):
$$\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline & 1 \end{array}.$$
Trapezoidal (Implicit):
$$\begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & & \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \hline & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{array}.$$

Order Conditions for RK Methods:
Consider an RK method with $b = (b_j)$, $A = (a_{ij})$. For the order to be p, the following conditions must be satisfied (as well as any conditions for it to be $< p$):
$$p = 1 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i = 1,$$
$$p = 2 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i c_i = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{That is } b^T c = \frac{1}{2},$$
$$p = 3 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i c_i^2 = \frac{1}{3} \text{ And } \sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_i a_{ij} c_j = \frac{1}{6}.$$
There is no explicit RK method of order greater than 4. For best order, use Gauss-Legendre methods, which have order $p = 2s$.

Def 4.1.1 (Fixed Point): A point y^* is a fixed point of $f(y)$ if $f(y^*) = 0$. Soluion passing through a fixed point will be

$y(t) \equiv y^*$, constant in time. Denote set of all fixed points of an ode system as $\mathcal{F} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \Phi(y) = y\}$

Def 4.2.1 (Fixed Point of Numerical Method): Consider a one-step numerical method with described by the map $\Psi_h(y)$. Then a point y^* is a **fixed point** if $\Psi_h(y^*) = y^*$, and therefore produces the constant in time approximate solution $y_n \equiv y^*$. Denote the set of all fixed points of Ψ_h by $\mathcal{F}_h = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \Psi_h(y) = y\}$.

For the Euler method $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_h$.

Fixed points in $\mathcal{F}_h$ that are not fixed points of $\mathcal{F}$ are called **spurious fixed points**.

Thm 4.2.1: For Runge-Kutta methods, $\mathcal{F}_h \supseteq \mathcal{F}$.
Generally spurious fixed points of RK methods move depending on h, and usually tend to ∞.

Def 4.3.1 (Stability and Asymptotic Stability): y^* is:
stable for the given ODE if $\forall\, \varepsilon > 0$ (sufficiently small) $\exists\, \delta > 0$ such that $\forall\, t > 0$
$$\|y_0 - y^*\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|y(t; y_0) - y^*\| < \epsilon;$$
asymptotically stable if it is **stable** and $\exists\, \gamma > 0$ such that for any initial condition such that $\|y - 0\| < \gamma$
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|y(t; y_0) - y^*\| = 0;$$
unstable if it is not **stable**.

Thm 4.3.1 (Linearisation Thm): Consider the equation in $\mathbb{R}^d$
$$\frac{dy}{dt} = By + F(y)$$
subject to initial condition $y(0) = y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Assume $B \in \text{Mat}(d, \mathbb{R})$ has all eigenvalues with negative real parts, and $F(y) \in C^1$ in a neighbourhood of $y = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, with $F(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $F'(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, where $F'(y)$ is the jacobian of F. Then $y = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is an **asymptotically stable** critical point. If B has any eigenvalues with positive real part, then $y = 0$ is **unstable**.

Thm 4.3.2 (Linearisation Thm II): Suppose that our derivative $f \in C^2$ has a fixed point y^*. If the eigenvalues of
$$J = f'(y)$$
lie strictly in the left half plane of $\mathbb{C}$, then y^* is **asymptotically stable**. If J has any eigenvalues in the right half plane of $\mathbb{C}$, then y^* is **unstable**.

Def 4.4.1 (Stability and Asymptotic Stability of Maps): Consider a general map $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \to R$ and fixed point y^* of Ψ such that $\Psi(y^*) = y^*$. Define $y^n(y_0)$ to be n applications of Ψ to y_0, so $y^2(y_0) = \Psi(\Psi(y_0))$. We say that y^* is:
stable for the given ODE if $\forall\, \varepsilon > 0$ (sufficiently small) $\exists\, \delta > 0$ such that $\forall\, t > 0$
$$\|y_0 - y^*\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|y^n(y_0) - y^*\| < \epsilon;$$
asymptotically stable if it is **stable** and $\exists\, \gamma > 0$ such that for any initial condition such that $\|y - 0\| < \gamma$
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|y^n(y_0) - y^*\| = 0;$$

unstable if it is not **stable**.

spectral radius: Let K be a matrix. Then $\rho(K)$ denotes the **spectral radius** of K, the radius of the smallest circle centered at the origin enclosing all eigenvalues of K.

Thm 4.4.1 (Spectral Radius and Stability):
Let $z_n = \|K^n y_0\|$.
Then $z_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for all y_0, iff $\rho(K) < 1$.
Moeover, $z_n \to \infty$ for some y_0 iff $\rho(K) > 1$.
Finally, if $\rho(K) = 1$ then z_n remains bounded as $n \to \infty$.