

AssignmentIV

Silpa Soni Nallacheruvu (19980824-5287) Hernan Aldana (20000526-4999)

2024-12-09

Summary

Exercise 4:1

Question 1

Report the model selection process briefly. Based on your chosen model, which factors affect the probability of not surviving? Report odds ratios with confidence intervals for the most important variables/factors, and interpret them. Use the variable names from the table (not V3, V4, etc.).

Approach:

- Model Selection Process:
 - Use a stepwise selection with AIC to identify a parsimonious model.
- Analysis of the Final Model:
- Extract coefficients, odds ratios, and their 95% confidence intervals for significant variables.

-Ensure variable names are replaced with their descriptions (e.g., Age, Sex, etc.) instead of column names.

- Interpretation:
 - Interpret the results from the AIC, odds ratios, and confidence intervals, to determine the best model.

Code and results :

```
##
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
##   filter, lag

## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
##   intersect, setdiff, setequal, union

##
## Call:
## glm(formula = Survival ~ ConsciousnessLevel + TypeOfAdmission +
##     Age + Cancer + Patient + BloodCarbonDioxide + BloodPH + BloodPressure,
##     family = binomial, data = data_ca4)
##
## Coefficients:
```

```
##              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)    -4.7353420   1.6104573  -2.940 0.003278 **
## ConsciousnessLevel  2.6208042   0.6859650   3.821 0.000133 ***
## TypeOfAdmission    3.0547147   0.9339217   3.271 0.001072 **
## Age               0.0385864   0.0133655   2.887 0.003889 **
## Cancer            2.3388380   0.8671971   2.697 0.006997 **
## Patient           -0.0020714   0.0008783  -2.359 0.018345 *
## BloodCarbonDioxide -2.4646334   1.0619854  -2.321 0.020299 *
## BloodPH           2.0884994   0.9031831   2.312 0.020757 *
## BloodPressure     -0.0099893   0.0070360  -1.420 0.155682
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##    Null deviance: 200.16  on 199  degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 130.19  on 191  degrees of freedom
## AIC: 148.19
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
## Waiting for profiling to be done...
##              Variable      OddsRatio      CI.Lower      CI.Upper
## (Intercept)      (Intercept)  0.008779445  0.0002996746  0.1795376
## ConsciousnessLevel ConsciousnessLevel 13.746774142  4.3144886742  65.2810381
## TypeOfAdmission   TypeOfAdmission 21.215131928  4.3561881520 189.1540175
## Age               Age      1.039340550  1.0141827727  1.0692793
## Cancer            Cancer    10.369181076  1.9513659807  66.5483329
## Patient           Patient    0.997930702  0.9961324780  0.9995944
## BloodCarbonDioxide BloodCarbonDioxide 0.085040009  0.0080634712  0.5539141
## BloodPH           BloodPH    8.072791946  1.4001269889  53.6032965
```

Conclusion :

Model selection

- From the output the final model includes the following variables: ConsciousnessLevel, TypeOfAdmission, Age, Cancer, Patient, BloodCarbonDioxide, BloodPH, and BloodPressure.
- These variables were selected using a stepwise AIC, which ensures a balance between model complexity and goodness of fit.

Significant Variables

- Variables with a p-value < 0.05 are considered significant predictors of survival
 - ConsciousnessLevel
 - TypeOfAdmission
 - Age
 - Cancer
 - BloodCarbonDioxide,
 - BloodPH

Odds Ratios and Confidence Intervals:

1. ConsciousnessLevel:
 - Odds Ratio: 13.75 (CI: 4.31-65.28)
 - Patients who are unconscious or in a coma have a significantly higher probability of not surviving compared to those who are conscious.
2. TypeOfAdmission:
 - Odds Ratio: 21.25 (CI: 4.36-189.15)
 - Acute admissions are associated with a significantly higher probability of not surviving compared to non-acute admissions.
3. Age:
 - Odds Ratio: 1.04 (CI: 1.01-1.07)
 - For each additional year of age, the odds of not surviving increase by 4%.
4. Cancer:
 - Odds Ratio: 10.37 (CI: 1.95-66.54)
 - Patients with cancer have over 10 times higher odds of not surviving compared to those without cancer.
5. BloodCarbonDioxide:
 - Odds Ratio: 0.085 (CI: 0.008-0.55)
 - Lower blood carbon dioxide levels significantly reduce the odds of not surviving.
6. BloodPH:
 - Odds Ratio: 8.07 (CI: 1.4-53.60)
 - Lower blood pH levels significantly increase the odds of not surviving.

Conclusion:

The selected model indicates that factors such as consciousness level, type of admission, age, cancer, blood carbon dioxide, and blood pH are significant predictors of survival. Patients who are unconscious, have acute admissions, are older, have cancer, and have abnormal blood gas levels are at higher risk of not surviving. These results can help identify high-risk patients and improve treatment strategies to increase survival rates.

Question 2

How well does your chosen model fit the data? In assignment 3, deviance was used to assess model fit. However, for individual-level data, deviance is unsuitable. Instead, perform the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test using the recommended R code.

Approach:

- Understand the Hosmer-Lemeshow Test:
 - The Hosmer-Lemeshow test evaluates whether the observed event rates match the expected probabilities predicted by the model.
 - The null hypothesis is that the model fits the data well (a high p-value suggests no evidence of poor fit).
- Implementation
 - We have to use the function for the test `ResourceSelection::hoslem.test()`

- We have to specify the predicted probabilities from the final model and the actual outcomes. (m_step and Survival respectively).
- Set the number of groups for grouping observation

Code and results :

```
##
## Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness of fit (GOF) test
##
## data: data_ca4$Survival, predicted_probs
## X-squared = 3.2956, df = 8, p-value = 0.9145
```

Hosmer-Lemeshow Test Results:

- Test Statistic (χ^2): 3.2956
- Degrees of Freedom: 8
- p-value: 0.9145

Interpretation:

1. The p-value of 0.9145 tells us not reject the null hypothesis that the model fits the data well.

Conclusion:

The Hosmer-Lemeshow test indicates that the model fits the data well. The observed event rates are consistent with the expected probabilities predicted by the model. This suggests that the model is a good fit for the data and can be used to make accurate predictions about survival probabilities.