

## 1 Assigned Problems

**Problem 1.1.** *For every  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $a < b$  and  $c > 0$ , then  $ac < bc$ .*

*Proof:* Suppose  $a < b$  and  $c > 0$ .

By order axioms  $b - a$  is positive, and  $c$  is positive by Theorem 6

By closure,  $(b - a)(c) \in \mathbb{R}^+$

If  $ac < bc$ , then by order axioms we can write  $bc - ac > 0$

By distributivity,  $bc - ac = c(b - a)$

By commutativity,  $c * (b - a) = (b - a) * c$

By above closure,  $c * (b - a) > 0$  thus satisfying  $ac < bc$

□

**Problem 1.2.** For every  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $ab > 0$  if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  are both positive or both negative.

*Proof:*  $\longrightarrow$

Suppose  $ab > 0$

Goal:  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+ \vee a, b \in \mathbb{R}^-$

By the trichotomy of real numbers, there exist 3 possibilities for both  $a, b$

Thus,  $3 * 3 = 9$  total combinations

Case #	a	b
1	$a > 0$	$b > 0$
2	$a > 0$	$b < 0$
3	$a > 0$	$b = 0$
4	$a < 0$	$b > 0$
5	$a < 0$	$b < 0$
6	$a < 0$	$b = 0$
7	$a = 0$	$b > 0$
8	$a = 0$	$b < 0$
9	$a = 0$	$b = 0$

Cases 3, 6, 7, 8 where either  $(a = 0)$  or  $(b = 0)$  by Theorem 4 equate to 0, because some  $a * 0 = 0$  where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

This contradicts  $a * b > 0$  because  $a * b = 0$  in all 4 cases.

Similarly, case 9 where both  $a, b = 0$

By theorem 4,  $a * 0 = 0$ , thus  $0 * 0 = 0$

By substitution, we can rewrite  $0 * 0 = 0$  as  $a * b = 0$

This contradicts  $a * b > 0$  and the trichotomy principle.

Thus remains,

Case #	a	b
1	$a > 0$	$b > 0$
2	$a > 0$	$b < 0$
4	$a < 0$	$b > 0$
5	$a < 0$	$b < 0$

For case 1, suppose both  $a, b > 0$

By theorem 6, both  $a, b$  are positive.

By positive closure,  $a * b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , by definition  $a * b$  is positive and  $a * b > 0$

Our result is consistent with  $ab > 0$

For case 2, suppose  $a > 0$  and  $b < 0$

By theorem 6,  $a$  is positive.

By order axioms and negativity,  $b < 0 \equiv -b > 0$

By positive closure,  $-b * a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

By multiplication,  $-b * a \equiv -ba$ .

By order axioms and the definition of positivity, if  $-ba \in \mathbb{R}^+$  then  $-(-ba) \in \mathbb{R}^-$ .

By E7,  $-(-ba) = ba$

By commutativity,  $ba = ab$

Thus, we derive  $ab \in \mathbb{R}^-$ , but this contradicts our hypothesis.

For case 4, suppose  $a < 0$  and  $b > 0$

By theorem 6,  $a$  is positive.

By order axioms,  $a < 0 \equiv -a > 0$

By positive closure,  $-a * b \in \mathbb{R}$

By multiplication,  $-a * b = -ab$

By order axioms and the definition of positivity, if  $-ab \in \mathbb{R}^+$  then  $-(-ab) \in \mathbb{R}^-$ .

By E7,  $-(-ab) = ab$

Thus, we derive  $ab \in \mathbb{R}^-$ , but this contradicts our hypothesis.

The final case 5, in which  $a, b < 0$

By positivity and order axioms  $a < 0$  then  $-a > 0$  and similarly  $b < 0$  then  $-b > 0$ .

By positive closure,  $-a * -b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

By theorem e11,  $-a * -b = ab$

Thus we have  $ab \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

The only affirming cases here are 1, 5 where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$  or  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$

Thus satisfying this statement.

←

Suppose  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+ \vee a, b \in \mathbb{R}^-$

Case 1:  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$

By positive closure,  $a * b \in \mathbb{R}^+$

By theorem 6 and positivity,  $a * b > 0$

Case 2:  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^-$

By negativity and order axioms if  $a < 0$  then  $-a > 0$  and similarly if  $b < 0$  then  $-b > 0$ .

By positive closure,  $-a * -b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

By theorem E11,  $-a * -b = ab$

By positivity and theorem 6,  $ab > 0$

□