

1 Introduction

Theorem 1.1.

Proof: We prove this by induction.

Base case: $n = 0$

By definition of the fibonacci sequence, $f_{5(0)} = f_0 = 0$

Goal: Define that 0 is a multiple of 5.

By definition, $5|0$ implies $0 = 5 * q$ where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

By theorem E8, q can be 0.

Thus, $5|f_0$

Inductive Case: Suppose f_{5k} is a multiple of 5

By definition, $f_{5k} = 5 * q$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

Goal: Show that $f_{5(k+1)}$ is a multiple of 5

Working backwards, we can rewrite $f_{5(k+1)}$ as f_{5k+5}

Using the definition of the fibonacci sequence,

We can define, $f_{5k+5} = f_{5k+4} + f_{5k+3}$

$f_{5k+4} = f_{5k+3} + f_{5k+2}$

$f_{5k+3} = f_{5k+2} + f_{5k+1}$

$f_{5k+2} = f_{5k+1} + f_{5k}$

With some algebra, $f_{5k+5} = (f_{5k+3} + f_{5k+2}) + (f_{5k+2} + f_{5k+1})$

Simplifying, $(f_{5k+2} + f_{5k+1} + f_{5k+1} + f_{5k}) + (f_{5k+1} + f_{5k} + f_{5k+1})$

Further, $(f_{5k+1} + f_{5k} + f_{5k+1} + f_{5k+1} + f_{5k}) + (f_{5k+1} + f_{5k} + f_{5k+1})$

Finally, $5(f_{5k+1}) + 3(f_{5k})$

By our inductive hypothesis, we can rewrite f_{5k} as $5 * q$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

So we have, $5(f_{5k+1}) + (3)(5)(q)$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

Factoring, $5((f_{5k+1}) + (3)(q))$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

By definition, since 5 is a factor of our expression, it is a multiple of 5.

□

Theorem 1.2.

Proof: We prove this by strong induction.

Lets evaluate our base cases:

Case 1: $n = 0$

Working backwards,

$$f_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\alpha^0 - \beta^0)$$

By definition, the Fibonacci sequence defines $f_0 = 0$

$$\text{Thus, } 0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\alpha^0 - \beta^0)$$

$$\text{Rewriting, we have } 0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(1 - 1)$$

$$\text{Thus, } 0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(0)$$

$$\text{By E8, } 0 = 0$$

With context, we have shown that the first value of the fibonacci sequence f_0 is equivalent to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\alpha^0 - \beta^0)$

Case 2: $n = 1$

Working backwards,

$$\text{Substituting, } f_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\alpha^1 - \beta^1)$$

By definition, the Fibonacci sequence defines $f_1 = 1$

$$\text{Thus, } 1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\alpha^1 - \beta^1)$$

$$\text{Substituting, } 1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} - \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)$$

$$\text{Simplifying, } 1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}-(1-\sqrt{5})}{2}\right)$$

$$1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)$$

$$1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)$$

$$1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\sqrt{5})$$

$$1 = 1$$

$$\text{Thus, we have shown that } f_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\alpha^1 - \beta^1)$$

Inductive Step: Assume that $f_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\alpha^k - \beta^k)$ holds for all $0 \leq k \leq n$, where $n \geq 1$.

$$\text{Goal: Show that } f_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1})$$

By the Fibonacci sequence, we can define $f_{k+1} = f_k + f_{k-1}$

Using our inductive hypothesis,

$$f_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\alpha^k - \beta^k) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\alpha^{k-1} - \beta^{k-1})$$

$$= \frac{(\alpha^k - \beta^k)}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{(\alpha^{k-1} - \beta^{k-1})}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$= \frac{(\alpha^k - \beta^k + \alpha^{k-1} - \beta^{k-1})}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$= \frac{(\alpha^k + \alpha^{k-1} - \beta^k - \beta^{k-1})}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha^{k-1}(1+\alpha) - \beta^{k-1}(1+\beta)}{\sqrt{5}}$$

Substituting from our definitions,

$$= \frac{\alpha^{k-1}(\alpha^2) - \beta^{k-1}(\beta^2)}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$\text{Thus, } f_{k+1} = \frac{\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1}}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$\text{Finally, } f_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1})$$

□

Theorem 1.3.

Proof: We prove this by strong induction.

Lets evaluate our base cases:

Case 1: $n = 1$

$1 = (2k + 1)2^L$, where $k, L \in \mathbb{N}$

Suppose we have $k = 0, L = 0$.

$1 = (2(0) + 1)2^0$

Simplifying, $1 = 1$

Thus, we have shown there exist a $k, L \in \mathbb{N}$ that satisfy the statement.

Inductive Step:

Suppose for $1 \leq n \leq b$, b can be written in the form $(2k + 1)2^L$, where $k, L \in \mathbb{N}$

Goal: Show that $b + 1$ can also be written as $(2k + 1)2^L$, where $k, L \in \mathbb{N}$

By theorem 16, $b + 1$ can either be even or odd. Thus we have two cases.

Case 1: $b + 1$ is even

By definition, $b + 1 = 2q$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

Because q is less than b . By our hypothesis, $q = (2k + 1)2^L$, where $k, L \in \mathbb{N}$

Substituting we have our intended form, $b + 1 = 2((2k + 1)2^L)$

Further, $b + 1 = (2k + 1)2^{L+1}$, where $k, L \in \mathbb{N}$

Thus we have shown that when $n = b + 1$, n can be written as the product of an odd number and a power of 2.

Case 1: $b + 1$ is odd

By definition, $b + 1 = 2q + 1$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

Because q is less than b . By our hypothesis, $q = (2k + 1)2^L$, where $k, L \in \mathbb{N}$

Substituting, $b + 1 = 2((2k + 1)2^L) + 1$

By closure, $(2k + 1)2^L \in \mathbb{N}$

Rewriting, $b + 1 = 2(m) + 1$, where $m, b \in \mathbb{N}$

Because $2^0 = 1$, and $a * 1 = a$,

Further, $b + 1 = (2m + 1)(2^0)$

Thus we have shown that when $n = b + 1$, n can be written as the product of an odd number and a power of 2.

□

Theorem 1.4.

(a) $x \notin A \cap B$ if and only if $x \notin A$ or $x \notin B$

Rationale: $x \in A \cap B \equiv x \in A$ and $x \in B$

$\neg(x \in A \text{ and } x \in B) \equiv (x \notin A \text{ or } x \notin B)$

(b) $x \notin A \cup B$ if and only if $x \notin A$ and $x \notin B$

Rationale: $x \in A \cup B \equiv x \in A$ or $x \in B$

$\neg(x \in A \text{ or } x \in B) \equiv (x \notin A \text{ and } x \notin B)$

(c) $x \notin A \setminus B$ if and only if $x \notin A$ or $x \in B$

Rationale: $x \in A \setminus B \equiv x \in A$ and $x \notin B$

$\neg(x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B) \equiv (x \notin A \text{ or } x \in B)$