# Branch Saturation and Quantum Self: A Finite-Information Extension to the Many-Worlds Interpretation

Michael Simkin Taipei, Taiwan

June 13, 2025

#### Abstract

We advance a speculative yet mathematically explicit extension of the Many-Worlds Interpretation (MWI) in which the multiverse is assumed to possess a *finite* informational capacity. Under this constraint, unchecked exponential branching, characteristic of standard MWI, is impossible. Instead, a cosmic "compression force" actively steers distinct branches towards informational similarity, forcing periodic merging. We formalise this idea with (i) a branch  $saturation entropy S_{branch}$  that quantifies how densely the global wavefunction explores the available Hilbert space, and (ii) a novel merge super-operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$  that actively guides branches towards confluence, increasing their fidelity until they exceed a tolerance  $\epsilon$  and can be reconsolidated. The resulting dynamics modifies the usual unitary evolution with a nonlinear, state-dependent interaction that deterministically biases quantum outcomes to reduce branch distinction and facilitate merging, becoming active upon reaching a saturation threshold. We argue how this mechanism is naturally motivated by fundamental information-theoretic limits implied by gravitational physics, such as the Bekenstein-Hawking bound and the covariant holographic principle. Consequences for subjective consciousness are explored: an observer navigating many quantum choices may later report sudden, intuition-like insights and apparent memory compression—phenomenological fingerprints of branch reconvergence. Connections to decoherence theory, quantum Darwinism, and the black-hole information paradox are examined, and potential empirical probes are outlined, particularly in the domain of quantum cognition and engineered quantum systems.

#### Contents

1	Intr	roduction	1
2	Finite-Capacity Hilbert Space		
	2.1	The Holographic Principle and Bekenstein Bound	2
		Effective Hilbert Space Dimension	
3	Bra	nch Enumeration and Saturation Entropy	9
	3.1	Branch Definition and Coarse–Graining	
	3.2	Branch Saturation Entropy $(S_{\text{branch}})$	4
	3.3	Saturation Threshold	4
4	The	e Merge Super-Operator	4
	4.1	Fidelity and Informational Redundancy	4
	4.2	Definition and Action of the Merge Super-Operator $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$	
		The Nonlinear Dynamics of the Universal Wavefunction	

<b>5</b>	Thermodynamic Perspective	6
	5.1 Redundancy Cost and Information Economy	6
	5.2 Entropy Reduction and Landauer's Principle	6
6	Phenomenology for Conscious Agents	7
7	Relation to Decoherence and Quantum Darwinism	8
	7.1 Decoherence as Branch Creation	8
	7.2 Quantum Darwinism and "Reverse Darwinism"	8
8	Empirical Hints and Experimental Proposals	9
9	Discussion and Outlook	10
	9.1 Conceptual Advantages	10
	9.2 Open Questions and Challenges	

### 1 Introduction

The Many–Worlds Interpretation (MWI) of quantum mechanics, first proposed by Hugh Everett III [1,2], offers a compelling solution to the measurement problem by positing that the universal wavefunction undergoes continuous unitary evolution, with no actual collapse. Instead, every quantum measurement or interaction causes the universe, including all observers, to "split" or "branch" into a multitude of mutually orthogonal histories, each corresponding to a different measurement outcome. This framework maintains linearity and determinism at the level of the universal wavefunction, avoiding the ambiguities of wave–function collapse.

However, the relentless proliferation of branches in standard MWI presents a conceptual challenge: an infinite cascade of unique worlds seems to contradict fundamental information—theoretic limits suggested by modern gravitational physics. Notably, the Bekenstein bound [3] and the holographic principle [5–7] imply that the total information content of a bounded region of spacetime is finite, typically scaling with the area of its boundary. If the universe's Hilbert space is truly finite—dimensional, then an unbounded number of distinct quantum states cannot exist.

This paper explores the logical implications of such a finite informational capacity for the MWI. We propose a radical yet conservative extension: that branching is not an unchecked exponential process but is actively counteracted by a quantum compression force that pushes distinct histories towards confluence, preventing unchecked proliferation. This "quantum self—merging" suggests that even initially dissimilar branches are actively steered towards becoming sufficiently similar, thereby allowing them to merge back into a single trajectory. The core idea is that while local quantum mechanics appears probabilistic, at a global scale, a deterministic, nonlinear "compression force" subtly biases outcomes, ensuring the overall informational budget is maintained. This force effectively acts as the "river banks" of the multiverse, guiding its branching streams towards reconvergence.

Our proposal formalizes this intuition by introducing:

- (i) A branch saturation entropy  $S_{\text{branch}}$  that quantifies the effective classical information content or the "diversity" of the currently existing branches.
- (ii) A novel merge super-operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$  which actively re-establishes coherence and drives branches towards similarity, thereby reducing the total number of distinct histories.

This leads to a modified form of the global quantum dynamics, which departs from strict unitarity at a macroscopic scale, operating as a feedback mechanism that maintains the overall information content within bounds. We then discuss the potential phenomenological consequences for conscious observers, offering an intriguing explanation for subjective experiences like intuitive insights and memory compression. Finally, we explore the connections of this framework to established concepts in quantum mechanics, such as decoherence and quantum Darwinism, and suggest avenues for potential empirical investigation.

## 2 Finite-Capacity Hilbert Space

The theoretical foundation of our proposal rests on the premise that the Hilbert space of the universe,  $\mathcal{H}_{tot}$ , is finite-dimensional. This is a direct consequence of fundamental bounds on information density derived from gravitational physics.

#### 2.1 The Holographic Principle and Bekenstein Bound

The Bekenstein–Hawking entropy [3,4] established that black holes possess an entropy proportional to the area of their event horizon:  $S_{\rm BH} = \frac{A}{4\ell_P^2}$ , where A is the area and  $\ell_P = \sqrt{\hbar G/c^3}$  is the Planck length. This led to the more general holographic principle [5–7], which posits that the maximum information content of any region of space is not proportional to its volume, but to the area of its boundary.

Applied to the observable universe, the relevant boundary is often taken to be the cosmological horizon. If the universe is accelerating and possesses a positive cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ , it is thought to possess a de Sitter horizon with a fixed temperature and a finite area  $A_{\text{cosmo}} = \frac{12\pi}{\Lambda}$  [7]. The maximum entropy (and thus information) that can be contained within this horizon is bounded by its area:

$$S_{\text{max}} \le \frac{A_{\text{cosmo}}}{4\ell_P^2}.\tag{1}$$

This maximum entropy corresponds to the logarithm of the total number of distinguishable quantum states,  $D_{\text{tot}}$ , accessible within the observable universe:

$$\log_2 D_{\text{tot}} \lesssim S_{\text{max}} \approx 10^{122} \text{ bits.}$$
 (2)

While astronomically large, this value is finite. This implies that  $\dim \mathcal{H}_{tot} = D_{tot} < \infty$ . Consequently, the set of all possible *mutually orthogonal* universal wavefunctions is finite. An infinite number of truly distinct "worlds" or branches cannot be accommodated within such a framework. This fundamental constraint provides the primary motivation for our proposed merging mechanism.

### 2.2 Effective Hilbert Space Dimension

It is crucial to distinguish between the abstract mathematical dimension of Hilbert space and the effective dimension relevant to observable states. Due to decoherence, the universe's wavefunction is typically coarse—grained into an ensemble of effectively classical states. The holographic bound applies to the maximum number of such distinguishable states. Our model postulates that the dynamics of the universe actively manages this finite capacity, ensuring that the number of effectively distinct branches remains within the allowed informational budget.

## 3 Branch Enumeration and Saturation Entropy

In MWI, quantum events lead to the proliferation of branches. To quantify this, we need a robust method for defining and enumerating these branches.

#### 3.1 Branch Definition and Coarse–Graining

Consider the total universal wavefunction  $|\Psi_{\rm univ}(t)\rangle$  evolving unitarily. In MWI, branches emerge through the process of decoherence [8,9]. When a system  $\mathcal{H}_{\rm sys}$  interacts with an environment  $\mathcal{H}_{\rm env}$ , the combined state evolves from a superposition to an entangled state, which, when traced over the environmental degrees of freedom, appears as a mixed state for  $\mathcal{H}_{\rm sys}$ . Specifically, if an initial state  $|\psi_0\rangle_{\rm sys}|E_0\rangle_{\rm env}$  evolves under interaction U to:

$$U |\psi_0\rangle_{\text{sys}} |E_0\rangle_{\text{env}} = \sum_k c_k |s_k\rangle_{\text{sys}} |E_k\rangle_{\text{env}},$$
 (3)

where  $\langle E_k|E_j\rangle \approx \delta_{kj}$  for different environmental states, then the reduced density matrix for the system is  $\rho_{\rm sys} = {\rm tr}_{\rm env}\left(|\Psi_{\rm univ}\rangle\langle\Psi_{\rm univ}|\right) = \sum_k |c_k|^2 |s_k\rangle\langle s_k|$ . The states  $|s_k\rangle_{\rm sys} |E_k\rangle_{\rm env}$  are the effectively classical "branches" of the universe, each representing a distinct macroscopic outcome.

We represent the universal state at time t as a coarse–grained decomposition into N(t) effectively classical histories:

$$\rho_{\text{univ}}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} p_i |\Psi_i\rangle\langle\Psi_i|, \quad \sum_i p_i = 1.$$
(4)

Here,  $|\Psi_i\rangle$  are the orthogonal (or nearly orthogonal, due to decoherence) branch states of the entire universe, and  $p_i = |\langle \Psi_i | \Psi_{\text{univ}}(t) \rangle|^2$  represent their Born rule probabilities (or more precisely, their squared amplitudes from the universal wavefunction  $|\Psi_{\text{univ}}\rangle$ ). The challenge of how these  $p_i$  values arise and what they mean in MWI is a subject of ongoing debate [10], but for our purposes, we take them as the 'weights' of the branches.

#### 3.2 Branch Saturation Entropy $(S_{\text{branch}})$

To quantify the diversity or classical information content of this ensemble of branches, we define the *branch saturation entropy*:

$$S_{\text{branch}}(t) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} p_i \log p_i.$$
 (5)

This is formally identical to the Shannon entropy of the probability distribution  $\{p_i\}$ . However, its interpretation here is distinct: it measures the "classical redundancy" or "informational diversity" across the effectively distinct branches.

- When N(t) = 1 (a single branch),  $S_{\text{branch}} = 0$ .
- When N(t) branches are equally probable  $(p_i = 1/N(t))$ ,  $S_{\text{branch}} = \log N(t)$ . This represents the maximum diversity for a given number of branches.

 $S_{\text{branch}}$  is distinct from the von Neumann entropy of the universal state,  $S_{\text{vN}}(\rho_{\text{univ}}) = -\operatorname{tr}(\rho_{\text{univ}}\log\rho_{\text{univ}})$ . While  $S_{\text{vN}}(\rho_{\text{univ}})$  measures the quantum uncertainty or entanglement of the state,  $S_{\text{branch}}$  measures the classical uncertainty in which macroscopic history the universe has taken, given the ensemble of branches. For truly orthogonal branches,  $S_{\text{vN}}(\rho_{\text{univ}}) = S_{\text{branch}}$ . However, in a scenario where

branches are only effectively orthogonal (due to decoherence), or where coherence is re–established, the relationship can be more nuanced. Our model posits that the set  $\{|\Psi_i\rangle\}$  represents macroscopically distinguishable configurations.

#### 3.3 Saturation Threshold

When  $S_{\text{branch}}$  approaches the fundamental informational capacity of the universe,  $\log D_{\text{tot}}$ , the Hilbert space becomes "saturated." We postulate that a nonlinear feedback term becomes significant when:

$$S_{\text{branch}}(t) > S_{\text{branch}}^*,$$
 (6)

where  $S_{\text{branch}}^*$  is a threshold value, related to the available informational capacity:

$$S_{\text{branch}}^* \approx \log D_{\text{tot}} \lesssim \frac{A_{\text{cosmo}}}{4\ell_P^2}.$$
 (7)

This threshold implies that the universe cannot sustain an arbitrarily large number of distinct, classical branches. When this limit is approached, a new physical mechanism, the merge super-operator, must become active to reduce the proliferation of branches and conserve information capacity.

## 4 The Merge Super-Operator

The core of our proposal is the existence of a "merge" mechanism that actively reduces the number of distinct branches when the saturation threshold is met. This mechanism is embodied by a nonlinear super-operator,  $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$ .

#### 4.1 Fidelity and Informational Redundancy

The criterion for merging is based on the informational redundancy between branches. We quantify this using the quantum fidelity between any two branch states  $|\Psi_i\rangle$  and  $|\Psi_j\rangle$ :

$$_{ij} = |\langle \Psi_i | \Psi_j \rangle|^2. \tag{8}$$

Fidelity is a measure of the statistical distinguishability of two quantum states. If ij = 1, the states are identical; if ij = 0, they are orthogonal. Branches with  $ij > 1 - \epsilon$  for some small tolerance  $\epsilon \in [0,1)$  are considered informationally redundant or nearly indistinguishable.  $\epsilon$  defines the "epistemic threshold" below which differences are deemed irrelevant for the purpose of maintaining distinct histories. This  $\epsilon$  could be a fundamental constant or an emergent parameter related to the effective classicality of branches.

## 4.2 Definition and Action of the Merge Super-Operator $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$

When the branch saturation entropy  $S_{\text{branch}}$  exceeds its threshold  $S_{\text{branch}}^*$ , a global quantum compression force becomes active, represented by the merge super-operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$ . The primary action of  $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$  is not merely to restore coherence between \*already\* similar branches, but to actively steer the universal wavefunction, subtly biasing quantum outcomes and driving distinct branches towards informational similarity. This deterministic bias ensures that branches will increasingly meet the fidelity criterion  $ij > 1 - \epsilon$ , facilitating their subsequent reconsolidation.

Once branches are sufficiently similar,  $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$  then acts to explicitly re–establish coherence between them. This re–coherence is formalised by adding off–diagonal terms to the density matrix:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}[\rho_{\text{univ}}] = \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ \text{branches } i, j \text{ driven to } i_j > 1 - \epsilon}} w_{ij} \left( |\Psi_i \rangle \langle \Psi_j| + |\Psi_j \rangle \langle \Psi_i| \right). \tag{9}$$

The sum here is over pairs of branches i, j that have been actively steered by the compression force to achieve a fidelity exceeding the threshold  $1 - \epsilon$ . The weight  $w_{ij}$  is a positive real function, for example,  $w_{ij} \propto p_i p_j \sqrt{ij}$ . The specific form of  $w_{ij}$  would govern the precise dynamics of merging, but its role is to assign higher "priority" to the merging of more probable or more effectively converged branches.

This process can be conceptualized as a "deterministic hacking" of the quantum realm: while local quantum events appear probabilistic, the global compression force deterministically nudges the underlying amplitudes and phases of the universal wavefunction to increase the likelihood of convergent outcomes, thereby "forcing" branches to become more alike and ready for merging.

#### 4.3 The Nonlinear Dynamics of the Universal Wavefunction

The full evolution of the universal density matrix  $\rho_{\text{univ}}(t)$  over an infinitesimal time interval  $\Delta t$  is postulated to obey:

$$\rho_{\text{univ}}(t + \Delta t) = U \rho_{\text{univ}}(t) U^{\dagger} + \Gamma_{\text{merge}} \cdot \Theta \left( S_{\text{branch}}(t) - S_{\text{branch}}^* \right) \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}[\rho_{\text{univ}}(t)]. \tag{10}$$

Here,  $U = \exp(-iH\Delta t/\hbar)$  is the usual unitary propagator governed by the global Hamiltonian H.

- $\Gamma_{\text{merge}}$ : A positive rate constant (with units of inverse time) that determines the strength and timescale of the merging process. It represents the "force" of reconvergence.
- $\Theta(\cdot)$ : The Heaviside step function, which ensures that the merging term becomes active only when the branch saturation entropy  $S_{\text{branch}}(t)$  exceeds the critical threshold  $S_{\text{branch}}^*$  defined in (6). Below this threshold, the universe evolves purely unitarily.

This equation describes a profoundly nonlinear and non-unitary evolution for  $\rho_{\text{univ}}(t)$ . It deviates from standard quantum mechanics by introducing an entropy-reducing term that is conditional on the global informational state of the multiverse. The  $\Gamma_{\text{merge}} \cdot \Theta(S_{\text{branch}} - S_{\text{branch}}^*) \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}[\rho_{\text{univ}}(t)]$  term, when active, embodies the "quantum compression force." Its action is to subtly alter the amplitudes or phases of the universal wavefunction (or effectively bias local quantum outcomes) such that branches are steered towards confluence. This means the evolution is not purely statistical at a fundamental level, but has a deterministic drive towards minimizing branch diversity. After the operation, the density matrix would typically need to be re-normalized, implicitly, by adjusting the  $p_i$  values such that  $\sum p_i = 1$  and redefining the branches. The re-emergence of coherence means the  $\rho_{\text{univ}}$  can no longer be written as a simple diagonal sum over distinct  $|\Psi_i\rangle\langle\Psi_i|$  terms; rather, the set of effective branches N(t) is reduced, and their definitions change.

A more formal approach would require  $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$  to be a trace-preserving, positive map, or to model the explicit renormalization. However, for a high-level phenomenological model, we envision that the combined effect of  $U\rho_{\text{univ}}U^{\dagger}$  and  $\Gamma_{\text{merge}}\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}[\rho_{\text{univ}}]$  leads to a new density matrix that can again be decomposed into a smaller or more compact set of effective branches, thus implicitly reducing  $S_{\text{branch}}$ .

## 5 Thermodynamic Perspective

The merging process can be understood as a physical mechanism that enforces an informational economy, minimizing redundancy within the universe's finite informational capacity.

#### 5.1 Redundancy Cost and Information Economy

Let's consider the relationship between  $S_{\text{branch}}$  and the von Neumann entropy of the universal state,  $S_{\text{vN}}(\rho_{\text{univ}}) = -\operatorname{tr}(\rho_{\text{univ}}\log\rho_{\text{univ}})$ . For a diagonal density matrix  $\rho_{\text{univ}} = \sum_i p_i |\Psi_i\rangle\langle\Psi_i|$  with orthogonal states  $|\Psi_i\rangle$ ,  $S_{\text{vN}}(\rho_{\text{univ}}) = S_{\text{branch}}$ . However, if the  $|\Psi_i\rangle$  are only approximately orthogonal (e.g., due to coarse–graining or residual coherence), or if  $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$  acts to reintroduce coherence, this identity breaks down.

The quantity  $C = S_{\text{branch}} - S_{\text{vN}}(\rho_{\text{univ}})$  can be interpreted as a measure of "classical redundancy" or "excess diversity" not captured by the underlying quantum state's uncertainty. When branches are well–separated and fully decohered,  $S_{\text{branch}}$  represents the classical entropy of the multi–world ensemble. The  $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$  operator's primary effect is to reduce  $S_{\text{branch}}$  by coalescing nearly identical states (or by making states nearly identical, then coalescing them), thereby reducing C. This is analogous to data compression in information theory, where redundant bits are removed.

#### 5.2 Entropy Reduction and Landauer's Principle

The dynamics described by (10) explicitly introduce an entropy–reducing term. While decoherence typically increases the von Neumann entropy of the system (or the sum of system and environment entropies), our merge operator acts to counteract this. This non–unitary reduction of  $S_{\text{branch}}$  is crucial. It suggests that the creation of distinct informational branches in MWI comes with a "cost" when the universe's capacity is strained.

This aligns with the spirit of Landauer's Principle, which states that the erasure of information incurs a thermodynamic cost (minimum energy dissipation) [11]. Our proposal can be seen as the reverse: if the storage of excess information (redundant branches) becomes too costly in a finite universe, then the "erasure" or compression of these branches (merging) is a natural consequence, potentially associated with energy release or an inherent drive towards minimal complexity at the cosmological scale. The universe actively "prunes" its state space to maintain informational efficiency.

The system will tend towards a dynamic equilibrium where the rate of branch creation through unitary evolution and decoherence is balanced by the rate of branch merging, ensuring  $S_{\text{branch}}$  hovers around  $S_{\text{branch}}^*$ .

## 6 Phenomenology for Conscious Agents

One of the most intriguing aspects of this finite–information MWI is its potential to offer phenomenological explanations for certain subjective experiences of conscious observers. Let  $\rho_{\text{brain}}(t)$  denote the reduced state of an observer's neural substrate.

In standard MWI, once an observer has branched, the different copies of that observer exist in mutually inaccessible, non–interacting worlds. Each copy experiences a unique history, and there is no communication or interference between them. In our finite–information MWI, however, the merge super–operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$  introduces a mechanism for distinct neural histories to coherently interfere and reconsolidate. This can lead to striking internal experiences:

- a) Intuitive Insight or "Pre-Rational Knowing": Imagine an observer (or multiple branching copies of them) attempting to solve a complex problem or make a difficult decision. Over time, different branches might explore different solution pathways or arrive at slightly varying conclusions. If these distinct mental processes lead to very similar neural configurations (i.e., highly correlated memory traces or problem representations), then when branch saturation occurs, M<sub>ϵ</sub> would act to merge these near-identical "thought-branches." Furthermore, the deterministic "compression force" might subtly bias the brain's internal quantum processes, nudging thought patterns in different branches towards common solutions. The coherent summation of these multiple, convergent mental pathways could then manifest as a sudden, powerful, and pre-rational "knowing" or "gut feeling." The observer feels they "just know" the answer, without being able to recall the specific step-by-step reasoning that led to it. This is because the individual reasoning paths, being highly similar (due to the force's action and natural convergence), have merged, leaving only the reinforced, shared conclusion.
- b) Memory Compression and Forgetting: If an observer's life involves many quantum choices that lead to highly redundant episodic details (e.g., slightly different timings of mundane events, minute variations in conversation), the merging process would preferentially fuse these similar memory streams. The underlying compression force would actively guide the neural states corresponding to these slightly divergent memories towards confluence. This would lead to a "compression" of memory, where redundant details decay or are actively forgotten, leaving only the shared narrative across the merged branches. The observer's memory effectively becomes an average or a statistically robust summary of the converged histories. This could explain the natural forgetting of insignificant details and the tendency for memory to reconstruct coherent, streamlined narratives.
- c) Deja Vu Overlays and Transient Confusion: When branches are very similar but not perfectly aligned for a full merge (i.e., ij is high but perhaps just below  $1 \epsilon$ , or the merge is in progress due to the compression force), partial interference among these near-identical histories could occur. This might lead to the subjective sensation of  $d\tilde{A}l'j\tilde{A}\tilde{a}$  vu, where a current experience feels eerily familiar, as if it has been lived before, despite no clear memory of it. It could also manifest as transient moments of confusion, ambiguity, or a feeling of "missing something" from a memory, as the boundary between distinct histories blurs.

These predictions offer a qualitatively consistent framework for understanding certain anomalous cognitive phenomena that are difficult to explain within a purely classical or standard MWI framework. They align with anecdotal reports of sudden clarity in high–branching decision contexts, where an overload of choices (high  $S_{\text{branch}}$ ) might trigger the merging process.

## 7 Relation to Decoherence and Quantum Darwinism

Our proposed framework intersects with, and in some ways reinterprets, established concepts in quantum foundations, particularly decoherence and quantum Darwinism.

#### 7.1 Decoherence as Branch Creation

Standard decoherence theory [8,9] explains the emergence of classicality from quantum mechanics. It describes how interaction with an environment suppresses the off-diagonal (coherence) terms of a system's reduced density matrix, leading to an effectively classical pointer basis. In MWI, this process corresponds precisely to the splitting of the universal wavefunction into an ensemble of

mutually non–interacting branches. Each branch becomes "classical" because its internal coherence is lost to the environment.

Our model builds upon decoherence. The branches  $|\Psi_i\rangle$  in (4) are precisely the macroscopically robust, decohered states selected by the environment. However, where standard MWI views this as a permanent and irreversible splitting, our model introduces a novel, non-unitary reversal mechanism. The  $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$  operator actively re-establishes coherence and, crucially, steers distinct branches towards each other, thereby consolidating them. This is a profound departure: decoherence drives the system towards a diagonal state (maximally classical branches), while  $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$  (and the underlying compression force) introduces off-diagonal terms and biases outcomes that lead to a reduction in the number of effectively classical branches.

#### 7.2 Quantum Darwinism and "Reverse Darwinism"

Quantum Darwinism [12] is a specific aspect of decoherence where the environment acts as a communication channel, selecting and amplifying robust, stable states (the "pointer states") by creating multiple redundant copies of their information across many environmental degrees of freedom. This leads to the objective reality we perceive: only states that are "eavesdropped" upon by many environmental "observers" become stable and classical.

Our merge term (10) can be viewed as a form of reverse Darwinism or "Darwinian pruning." While quantum Darwinism amplifies robust states via environmental redundancy, our merge operator acts against excessive proliferation of even robust states if they become informationally redundant beyond the universe's capacity.

- Standard Darwinism: "Survival of the fittest" (most robust, environmentally copied) states. This leads to branch proliferation.
- Reverse Darwinism (Merging): "Survival of the most informationally distinct" states, or "pruning of the over-redundant." Once redundancy among branches exceeds the holographic capacity, the compression force actively guides overlapping fragments towards interference (merge), re-establishing coherence and reducing the effective number of distinct histories. This effectively "rewrites" some of the emergent classicality in favor of informational compression.

This mechanism ensures that the "fittest" (most robust and frequently copied) states are those that not only survive but also, if too numerous and similar, efficiently reconsolidate to maintain the universe's informational budget. The dynamics could be interpreted as a feedback loop that continually adjusts the number of distinct realities, preventing an "information explosion" within the multiverse.

## 8 Empirical Hints and Experimental Proposals

While directly testing global multiverse dynamics is beyond current capabilities, our proposed finite-information MWI, with its explicit nonlinear dynamics, suggests indirect probes and experimental analogues.

• Quantum Cognition Experiments: The phenomenological predictions for conscious agents (intuitive insights, memory compression, deja vu) could be investigated within the nascent field of quantum cognition.

- Hypothesis: When human subjects perform tasks involving high decision entropy (i.e., many possible choices, high cognitive branching) followed by a period of incubation or consolidation, we might observe signatures of merging.
- Proposed Measurement: Techniques like Magnetoencephalography (MEG) or functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) could be used to look for specific patterns of neural activity or connectivity. If merging involves re–establishing coherence and active steering of neural states, one might look for transient increases in specific types of neural synchrony or coherence between brain regions that were previously thought to be processing distinct information streams, especially following periods of high cognitive load.
- Behavioral Correlates: Quantifiable measures of problem—solving (e.g., sudden jumps in insight scores), memory accuracy (e.g., increased recall of shared information, decreased recall of specific, unique details), and subjective reports (e.g., prevalence of deja vu experiences in controlled settings) could be correlated with the complexity of decision trees subjects are exposed to.
- Laboratory Analogues with Controlled Quantum Systems: While we cannot create an entire universe, the principles of branch saturation and merging could be emulated in highly controlled quantum systems.
  - Engineered Photonic Lattices: Design experiments where a large number of 'modes' or 'paths' can be created (analogous to branches). Introduce a nonlinear feedback mechanism that effectively 'merges' modes if their intensity distribution or phase relation becomes too similar, especially if the total number of excited modes exceeds a predefined limit. This limit could be imposed by non-linear optical elements or engineered dissipation, effectively implementing the "compression force" at a smaller scale.
  - Superconducting Qubits/Ion Traps: Create highly entangled states with many distinct pathways, then introduce a controllable, weak, non-linear interaction that acts selectively on nearly identical components of the wave function, potentially observable as a deviation from expected unitary evolution or a re-establishment of coherence between specific components. The key here would be a non-linear interaction that actively \*favors\* certain relative phases or state overlaps.
  - Observation: We would look for deviations from expected linear quantum mechanics at the point where the 'branching' (e.g., number of excited modes, entangled components) becomes sufficiently high, potentially observing a reduction in the effective dimensionality of the system's state space or a deterministic bias in measurement outcomes that would otherwise be probabilistic.
- Astrophysical and Cosmological Limits: Black holes are prime examples of systems that saturate informational capacity.
  - Black Hole Microstate Counts: If the universe's capacity is finite, the information within black holes must also be finite. While the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy already quantifies this, our model suggests that if a black hole were to form in a region where the local "branch saturation" was extremely high, the merging process might subtly influence its evolution, perhaps manifesting as deviations from standard Hawking radiation spectrum or specific signatures in its microstate statistics.
  - Cosmological Redundancy Pruning: Over cosmic timescales, if the universe's total information budget is strictly limited, there might be subtle long-term effects on the evolu-

tion of large—scale structure that reflect a "pruning" of highly redundant cosmological branches. This is, admittedly, highly speculative and difficult to observe.

These experimental avenues, though challenging, offer the only conceivable pathway to probe the core tenets of a finite—information MWI, moving it beyond pure philosophical speculation.

### 9 Discussion and Outlook

Our proposed finite—information Many—Worlds Interpretation offers a novel perspective on the evolution of the multiverse, grounded in the informational limits suggested by gravitational physics. By introducing a branch saturation entropy and a merge super—operator embodying a quantum compression force, we provide a mathematically explicit framework that naturally curbs the runaway exponential branching characteristic of standard MWI.

#### 9.1 Conceptual Advantages

- Resolution of Infinite Branching: The most direct advantage is that it reconciles MWI with the Bekenstein bound and the holographic principle, addressing the conceptual difficulty of an infinite number of distinct realities arising from a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. The multiverse remains vast, but finite and self-regulating.
- Phenomenological Richness: It provides a potential scientific basis for subjective cognitive phenomena such as intuitive insights, memory compression, and deja vu, by linking them directly to the quantum dynamics of branch reconvergence driven by a cosmic compression force.
- Dynamic Equilibrium: It suggests a dynamic equilibrium for the multiverse, where branching is balanced by merging, leading to a stable informational capacity over cosmic timescales.
- Avoiding Ontological Extravagance: While still ontologically rich, it curtails the "uncountable" set of worlds to a "countable" (albeit very large) set, making the MWI more palatable to those concerned about infinite ontological commitments.

#### 9.2 Open Questions and Challenges

This speculative extension inevitably raises several profound questions and challenges that require further theoretical development:

- 1. **Derivation from First Principles:** The most critical challenge is to derive the nonlinear evolution equation (10) and the specific form of the merge super–operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$  (especially its active steering component) from more fundamental principles. Could this compression force emerge from a quantum theory of gravity, or from a deeper information–theoretic framework where information itself is a dynamic variable with a finite budget? What specific physical interaction could mediate such a global, non–linear effect that biases quantum outcomes?
- 2. Compatibility with Relativistic Causality and No–Communication Theorems: The merge super–operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$  and the underlying compression force act globally on branches defined across the entire universe, potentially influencing distant, quantumly entangled systems.

This raises serious concerns about compatibility with relativistic causality. Does the instantaneous "recognition" and deterministic biasing of distant, highly similar branches imply superluminal communication or a violation of local realism? Standard no–communication theorems prove that quantum entanglement alone cannot be used for superluminal signalling. However, (10) is explicitly nonlinear and non–unitary, which could, in principle, bypass such theorems. A rigorous analysis is needed to determine if the dynamics allows for any measurable causal paradoxes.

- 3. Energy and Information Conservation: The entropy-reducing nature of  $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$  implies a non-conservation of von Neumann entropy at the level of the ensemble of classical branches. What are the thermodynamic consequences of such a process? Is there a corresponding energy transformation or dissipation? Does it violate any fundamental conservation laws, or does it point to a more complex interplay between information, energy, and spacetime curvature?
- 4. The Nature of  $\epsilon$  and  $\Gamma_{\text{merge}}$ : Are the fidelity tolerance  $\epsilon$  (the threshold for merging) and the merge rate  $\Gamma_{\text{merge}}$  (the strength of the compression force) fundamental constants of nature, emergent properties, or context-dependent parameters? Their precise values would dictate the frequency and scale of merging events and the "tightness" of the informational budget.
- 5. Microscopic vs. Macroscopic Merging: While our discussion focuses on macroscopic branches, could this mechanism apply at the microscopic level? If so, what are its implications for quantum coherence and the emergence of classicality in general? Does it modify standard quantum mechanics for individual particles? Our current formulation suggests it activates at high saturation, implying it's primarily a macroscopic effect, but the boundary between micro and macro in this context warrants further exploration.
- 6. **Observational Distinguishability:** As noted in Section 8, direct observation is extremely challenging. Developing more concrete, testable predictions (even if indirect) remains paramount for this proposal to gain scientific traction beyond conceptual speculation.
- 7. **Identity and Self:** The subjective experience of merging raises deep philosophical questions about personal identity. If distinct copies of "me" merge, which "me" survives? Our model suggests a type of averaged or compressed "me" persists, implying that identity is not strictly preserved across all informational details, but rather by the most robust and shared aspects of consciousness. The deterministic steering component adds another layer, suggesting that even our choices might be subtly influenced by this global force to ensure our own informational consistency.

In conclusion, the finite—information MWI offers a compelling conceptual framework for quantum reality that is consistent with the latest insights from gravitational physics. By proposing a dynamic, self—regulating multiverse that balances branching with self—merging driven by an active compression force, it navigates the ontological extravagance of an infinite number of worlds while providing intriguing explanations for aspects of subjective experience. This model, while speculative, opens up fertile ground for interdisciplinary research at the intersection of quantum foundations, information theory, cosmology, and the science of consciousness.

#### References

[1] H. Everett III, "Relative state formulation of quantum mechanics," Rev. Mod. Phys. 29, 454âĂS462 (1957).

- [2] B. S. DeWitt and N. Graham (eds.), The Many-Worlds Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics, Princeton University Press (1973).
- [3] J. D. Bekenstein, "Universal upper bound on the entropy—to—energy ratio for bounded systems," *Phys. Rev. D* 23, 287 (1981).
- [4] S. W. Hawking, "Particle creation by black holes," Commun. Math. Phys. 43, 199âAŞ220 (1975).
- [5] G. âĂŹt Hooft, "Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity," in *Salamfestschrift*, edited by A. Ali, J. Ellis, and S. Randjbar–Daemi (World Scientific, Singapore, 1993), arXiv:gr-qc/9310026.
- [6] L. Susskind, "The world as a hologram," J. Math. Phys. 36, 6377 (1995).
- [7] R. Bousso, "The holographic principle," Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 825 (2002).
- [8] W. H. Zurek, "Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 715 (2003).
- [9] M. Schlosshauer, Decoherence and the Quantum-to-Classical Transition, Springer (2007).
- [10] D. Wallace, The Emergent Multiverse: Quantum Theory According to the Everett Interpretation, Oxford University Press (2012).
- [11] R. Landauer, "Irreversibility and Heat Generation in the Computing Process," *IBM J. Res. Dev.* 5, 183âĂŞ191 (1961).
- [12] W. H. Zurek, "Quantum Darwinism," Nat. Phys. 5, 181âĂŞ188 (2009).