

Étale cohomology

by Prof. Dr. Jens Franke

notes by Stefan Albrecht

University Bonn – winter term 2023/24

Contents

1	Motivation and basic definitions	2
1.1	Introduction and motivation	2
1.2	Flat morphisms	3
1.3	Grothendieck Topologies	5
1.4	Étale morphisms	9
1.5	The étale topology	14
1.6	The Étale Fundamental Group	14

1 Motivation and basic definitions

1.1 Introduction and motivation

Problem: For varieties X over an algebraically closed field k (and hopefully more general schemes) define a cohomology theory $H^*(X)$ with properties similar to $H_{\text{sing}}^*(X(\mathbb{C})_{\text{ord. top. space}})$. Hopefully, there exists a Lefschitz fixed point formula

$$\#(\text{fixed points of } f \text{ with multiplicity}) = \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim X} (-1)^i \text{Tr}(f^* | H^i(X)). \quad (\text{L})$$

The aim of Grothendieck was to apply this to a program proposed by Weil of studying the congruence zeta function of X by applying (L) to $f = F_X$ given by $[x_0, \dots, x_n] \mapsto [x_0^q, \dots, x_n^q]$, yielding

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim X} (-1)^i \text{Tr}(F_X^* | H^i(X)).$$

Counterexamples $H_{dR}^*(X) = \mathbb{H}^*(X_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \dots)$ (de Rham cohomology) is ok if the characteristic of k is zero but not in char p where it is unsuitable for Weil's program. Similarly, $H^*(X_{\text{Zar}}, \mathbb{Z})$ does not work: $\mathbb{Z}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(V)$ is surjective when X is irreducible, implying vanishing higher sheaf cohomology.

Restrictions on the ring of coefficients: If X is a supersingular elliptic curve over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ then $H^1(X)$ ought to be two-dimensional, but $\text{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} which is non-split precisely over \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{R} , in which case it cannot act on a two-dimensional vector space. This excludes \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{R} as the field of definition and hence also \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{Z} .

Étale cohomology with coefficients $\mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}$, l a prime invertible in k . Then

$$H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}_l) := (\varprojlim H^*(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l.$$

Deligné used this to show the Riemann hypothesis for congruence zeta function.

Other theories include Crystalline cohomology with coefficients in $W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. Scholze has a way of working with \mathbb{Z}_p directly, using the pro-étale site, and a proposal to work with \mathbb{C} coefficients. But it is not clear how to do this.

Hence we will mostly study finite coefficients. If one works over \mathbb{C} , the exact exponential sequence $0 \rightarrow 2\pi i\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow 0$ is important. and we want at least the exactness of

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_{l^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times \xrightarrow{f \mapsto f^{l^n}} \mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow 0. \quad (*)$$

Note that $\mu_{l^n} \cong \mathbb{Z}/l^n\mathbb{Z}$ non-canonically if $k = \bar{k}$ and l is invertible in k . Unfortunately, but not unexpectedly, this is not exact on X_{Zar} . If this were exact, one could hope to get some information from it provided that $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \text{Jac}_C(k)$. The idea of Grothendieck was to enforce the exactness of $(*)$ by considering $V \rightarrow F(V)$ for étale morphisms $V \rightarrow X$ instead of only Zariski open subsets. Then, when $f \in \mathcal{O}_V^\times(V)$ one has an l^n -th root of f on $U = \{(x, \varphi) \mid x \in V, \varphi^{l^n} = f(x)\}$.

1.2 Flat morphisms

Definition 1. M is a *flat* A -module if $T \mapsto M \otimes_A T$ is exact or, equivalently, if $\mathrm{Tor}_p^A(M, T) = 0$ for all T and $p > 0$. An A -algebra B is flat if it is flat as an A -module.

Definition 2. For a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of schemes, f is called *flat* if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions:

- a) For all $x \in X$, $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}$ -algebra.
- b) For affine open subsets $U \subseteq X, V \subseteq Y$ s.t. $f(U) \subseteq V$, $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is flat as an $\mathcal{O}_Y(V)$ -algebra.
- c) There are affine open subsets $U_i \subseteq X, V_i \subseteq Y$ s.t. $f(U_i) \subseteq V_i$, $\mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}_Y(V_i)$ -algebra and $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$.

Remark 1. a) See stacksproject 01U2

- b) Other literature: SGA1: Etale fundamental group, SGA4₁: Topoi, Grothendieck topology, SGA4₂: Etale topology, SGA4₃: Proper and smooth base change, SGA4₂¹: various stuff and Arcata – Introduction to étale cohomology by Deligne, SGA5: l -adic cohomology
Milne: Etale cohomology, Kiehl-Freitag: Etale cohomology and Weil conjectures
Matsumura: Commutative Algebra, Matsumura: Commutative Ring Theory

Let A be a ring, X quasi-compact and separated Spec A -scheme and \mathcal{M} a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. Then $H^*(X, \mathcal{M})$ can be calculated using $\check{H}(\mathcal{U}, -)$ for affine coverings. Hence, by the exactness of $- \otimes_A \tilde{A}$, this gives

Proposition 1. a) Let \tilde{A} be a flat A -algebra, then $H^*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) \cong H^*(X, \mathcal{M}) \otimes_A \tilde{A}$, where $\tilde{X} = X \times_{\mathrm{Spec} A} \mathrm{Spec} \tilde{A} \xrightarrow{p} X$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = p^* \mathcal{M}$.

- b) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a quasi-compact separated morphism and $g : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ a flat morphism, \mathcal{M} a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. Then $g^* R^* f_* \mathcal{M} \cong R^* \tilde{f}_* \tilde{g}^* \mathcal{M}$ where $\tilde{X} = X \times_Y \tilde{Y}$.

Remark 2. Base change results for étale cohomology are similar. We have b) if f is proper or if f is of finite type and g is smooth, and the sheaves are of torsion.

Definition 3. f is called *faithfully flat* if it is flat and surjective on points. \tilde{A} is a faithfully flat A -algebra if it is flat and $R \otimes_A \tilde{A} = 0$ implies $R = 0$.

Definition 4.¹ Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes. A descent datum (of quasi-coherent sheaves of modules) for f is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{M} with an isomorphism $\mu : p_1^* \mathcal{M} \cong p_2^* \mathcal{M}$, where

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_Y X \times_Y X & \xrightarrow[p_{23}]{p_{12}, p_{13}} & X \times_Y X \xrightarrow{p_1, p_2} X \\ & \searrow q_1, q_2, q_3 \nearrow & \\ & & \end{array}$$

¹see tag 023A or SGA1, VI for fibred categories: descend data for X -schemes to Y -schemes and ample line bundles

are the different projections, and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 q_1^* \mathcal{M} & \xlongequal{\quad} & p_{12}^* p_1^* \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow[p_{12}^* \mu]{\cong} & p_{12}^* p_2^* \mathcal{M} & \xlongequal{\quad} & q_2^* \mathcal{M} \\
 & \searrow & & & & \swarrow & \\
 & p_{13}^* p_1^* \mathcal{M} & & & & p_{23}^* p_1^* \mathcal{M} & \\
 & \searrow & \cong & & \swarrow & \cong & \\
 & & p_{13}^* p_2^* \mathcal{M} & & p_{23}^* p_2^* \mathcal{M} & & \\
 & & \searrow & & \swarrow & & \\
 & & & q_3^* \mathcal{M} & & &
 \end{array}$$

must commute. A morphism of descent data is a morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ compatible with μ and $\widetilde{\mu}$, i.e. $(p_2^* \varphi) \mu = \widetilde{\mu} (p_1^* \varphi)$

Remark 3. We have a functor

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Desc}_{\mathrm{QCoh}(X), f}, \quad \mathcal{N} \mapsto (f^* \mathcal{N}, \text{the canonical iso } p_1^* f^* \mathcal{N} \cong p_2^* f^* \mathcal{N}).$$

One would like this to be an equivalence of categories. It has a right adjoint

$$(\mathcal{RM})(U) = \{m \in \mathcal{M}(f^{-1}U) \mid \mu p_1^* m = p_2^* m\}$$

Proposition 2 (stacks loc.cit., SGA1.VII.1, Milne). *If f is faithfully flat and quasi-compact, the above functor $\mathrm{QCoh}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Desc}_{\mathrm{QCoh}(X), f}$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. If f has a section, the inverse image along that section is an inverse functor. In general, base change with $f : X \rightarrow Y$ reduces to this situation, provided that f is separated, which is a situation one can reduce to. \square

Corollary 1. *If f is faithfully flat, $\mathcal{O}_Y(V) = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}U) \mid p_1^* \lambda = p_2^* \lambda\}$.*

Remark 4. Both quasi-compactness and quasi-coherence in proposition 2 are needed. Consider $Y = \mathrm{Spec} R$, R a PID with $\mathrm{Spec} R$ infinite,

$$X = \coprod_{m \in \mathrm{mSpec}} \mathrm{Spec} R_m, \quad N_1 = \coprod_{m \in \mathrm{mSpec} R} R/m \rightarrow N_2 = \coprod_{m \in \mathrm{mSpec} R} R/m,$$

then it is easy to see that this inclusion does not split, but it splits canonically after applying $-\otimes_R R_m$, giving rise to a morphism of descent data which does not descend to a morphism $N_2 \rightarrow N_1$.

Definition 5. A morphism $i : X \rightarrow Y$ in a category \mathcal{A} is an effective monomorphism if for all objects T ,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, X) \xrightarrow{\varphi \mapsto i\varphi} \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(T, Y) \mid \sigma f = \widetilde{\sigma} f \text{ for all } \sigma, \sigma' : Y \rightarrow S \text{ s.t. } \sigma i = \widetilde{\sigma} i\}$$

is bijective. $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is an effective epimorphism if it is an effective monomorphism in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y, T) \xrightarrow[p \cong]{\varphi \mapsto \varphi p} \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, T) \mid f \sigma = f \widetilde{\sigma} \text{ for all } \sigma, \widetilde{\sigma} : S \rightarrow X \text{ s.t. } p \sigma = p \widetilde{\sigma}\}.$$

Remark 5. If $X \times_Y X$ exists, f being an effective epimorphism is equivalent to it being a coequalizer of $X \times_Y X \xrightarrow[p_2]{p_1} X$.

Proposition 3 (SGA1.VIII.4 or stacks 023Q). *Every fpqc (quasi-compact faithfully flat) morphism of schemes is an effective epimorphism, i.e.*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(Y, T) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(X, T) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{Hom}(X \times_Y X, T)$$

is an exact sequence of sets.

Remark 6. This implies that for every scheme T , the functor $X \mapsto T(X) := \mathrm{Hom}(X, T)$ satisfies the sheaf condition in the following sense:

$$T(Y) \xrightarrow{\tau \mapsto \tau f} \{t \in T(X) \mid tp_1 = tp_2\}.$$

That this should be interpreted as a kind of sheaf axiom becomes obvious if we have a covering $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$, $X = \coprod_{i=1}^n U_i \xrightarrow{f} Y$. Then $X \times_Y X = \coprod_{i,j=1}^n (U_i \cap U_j)$ with $tp_1|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ identified with $t|_{U_i \cap U_j}$.

Proposition 4 (01UA). *Every flat morphism (locally) of finite presentation is open.*

1.3 Grothendieck Topologies

As Deligne did in Arcata, we prefer the definition of Grothendieck topology by sieves.

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{C} be a category, $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$. A *sieve* (or \mathcal{C} -sieve) over X is a class \mathcal{S} of morphisms with target X , such that $(U \rightarrow X) \in \mathcal{S}$ implies $(V \rightarrow U \rightarrow X) \in \mathcal{S}$ for every morphism $V \rightarrow U$ in \mathcal{C} . The empty class of morphisms is called the *empty sieve*, and the class of all morphisms with target X is called the *all sieve* (over X). For a morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{C} , $f^*\mathcal{S} = \{v : U \rightarrow Y \mid fu \in \mathcal{S}\}$.

Remark 1. a) Obviously, $f^*\mathcal{S}$ is a sieve over Y if \mathcal{S} is a sieve over X .

b) The fact that we work with categories where $\mathrm{Ob} \mathcal{C}$ is a proper class creates set-theoretic difficulties. Our way of dealing with this is to mostly ignore them.

c) The intersection of any class of sieves over X is a sieve over X . Thus, for every class $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ of morphisms with target X , there is a smallest sieve over X containing all f_i , namely $\{\xi : U \rightarrow X \mid \xi = f\eta \text{ for } \eta : U \rightarrow Y_i \text{ for some } \eta\}$. This is called the sieve generated by the f_i .

Example 1. a) X an ordinary topological space, $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{O}_X$ turned into a category by its half ordering by \subseteq . If $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ is an open covering, then the sieve generated by the (unique morphisms from) U_i is the sieve of all $V \in \mathbb{O}_X$ s.t. $V \subseteq U_i$ for at least one i .

b) If X is a complex space (e.g. $X = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$) with its complex topology, and $U \subseteq X$ open and $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, then $\mathcal{S} = \{V \subseteq U \mid \exists \varphi \in \mathcal{O}_X(V) \text{ s.t. } \varphi^2 = f|_V\}$ is a \mathbb{O}_X -sieve over U .

Remark. Thus, a morphism is in a sieve iff it is small enough "to pass through the sieve".

Definition 2. A *Grothendieck topology* \mathbb{T} on a category \mathcal{C} associates to every object X of \mathcal{C} a class \mathbb{T}_X of sieves over X , called the *covering sieves* of X . The following conditions must be verified:

(GTTriv) The all sieve over X covers X .

(GTTrans) If $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{T}_X$ and $f : Y \rightarrow X$, then $f^*\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{T}_Y$.

(GTLoc) If $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}_X$ and \mathcal{S} any sieve over X such that $f^*\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{T}_Y$ for all $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{T} , then $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{T}_X$.

We will often write $\mathcal{S} / = X$ for $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{T}_X$ if there are no ambiguities (or $\mathcal{S} / =_{\mathbb{T}} X$ if there are).

Remark 1. Pretopologies are specified by specifying a class of admissible coverings $\mathcal{U} = (f_i : Y_i \rightarrow X)_{i \in I}$. Various assumptions must be satisfied, like that $(U_i \times_X Y \rightarrow Y)_{i \in I}$ still form an admissible covering of Y (including the existence of the fibre product). By putting $\mathbb{T}_X = \{\text{admissible coverings } \mathcal{S} \text{ of } X \text{ with all } f_i \in \mathcal{S}\}$ one gets a Grothendieck topology. Equivalent pretopologies define the same \mathbb{T}_X . If the category has fibre products, one gets a pretopology from a Grothendieck topology \mathbb{T}_X by calling a covering admissible iff the f_i generate a sieve in \mathbb{T}_X . This is the largest pretopology in its equivalence class.

Example 2. X an ordinary topological space, $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{O}_X$, and $\mathcal{S} \neq U$ iff $U = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{S}} V$. Other Grothendieck topologies can be introduced as well.

- a) $X = [0, 1]_{\mathbb{R}}$, put $\mathcal{S} \neq U$ iff there are countable many $(U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $U \setminus \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i$ is a set of Lebesgue measure 0, or $\mathcal{S} = U = \emptyset$.
- b) Rigid analytic geometry (Tate style) or real algebraic geometry (Delfs-Knebusch) enforce quasi-compactness of certain open subsets of X , making it harder to be a covering.
- c) X a Noetherian scheme, $d \in \mathbb{N}$. $\mathcal{S} \neq \mathcal{U}$ iff $\text{codim}(U \setminus \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{S}} V) \geq d$, making it easier to be a covering.

Remark 2. You can think of (GTLoc) as the condition that being a covering is a local property.

Fact 1. a) Every sieve \mathcal{T} containing a covering sieve \mathcal{S} is itself covering.

b) The intersection of finitely many covering sieves is covering.

Proof. a) If $(f : U \rightarrow X) \in \mathcal{S}$, then $f^*\mathcal{T}$ is the all-sieve on U which covers U by (GTTrans). By (GTLoc), \mathcal{T} covers X .

b) It is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_2$ covers X , where both $\mathcal{S}_i \neq X$. If $(f : U \rightarrow X) \in \mathcal{S}_1$, then $f^*\mathcal{T} = f^*\mathcal{S}_2 \neq U$ by (GTTrans) and since $\mathcal{S}_2 \neq X$. Again by (GTLoc), $\mathcal{T} \neq X$. \square

Proposition 1. Let S be a scheme, P a Zariski-local property of S -schemes and $\underline{\text{Sch}}_S^P$ be the full subcategory of the category $\underline{\text{Sch}}_S$ of S -schemes, with class of objects being the S -schemes with property P , and let \mathcal{C} be a class of morphisms in $\underline{\text{Sch}}_S^P$. The following assumptions must be satisfied:

(A) \mathcal{C} is closed under composition, base-change and finite coproducts.

(B) If U is a quasi-compact S -scheme with $P(U)$ and $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ is a finite affine open covering, then the morphism $\coprod_{i=1}^n U_i \rightarrow U$ belongs to \mathcal{C} .

If X is an S -scheme with $P(X)$ then the following conditions to a sieve \mathcal{S} over X are equivalent:

(C1) There are open coverings $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ and morphisms $V_i \rightarrow U_i$ for all $i \in I$ such that $(V_i \rightarrow U_i \rightarrow X) \in \mathcal{S}$ and V_i is covered (in the ordinary sense) by its Zariski-open subsets W such that $(W \rightarrow V_i \rightarrow U_i) \in \mathcal{C}$

(C2) The same conditions, but the U_i and V_i must be affine.

In addition, we obtain a Grothendieck topology \mathbb{T} on $\underline{\text{Sch}}_S^P$ by associating to X the class \mathbb{T}_X of all sieves with these equivalent properties.

Remark 3. a) In (A), the stability under base change includes the condition that $X_Y \tilde{X}$ has P when X, Y, \tilde{X} have this property and $(X \rightarrow Y) \in \mathcal{C}$.

b) If the elements of \mathcal{C} are open maps, then the conditions (C1) and (C2) can be modified by simply requiring that $(V_i \rightarrow U_i) \in \mathcal{C}$ without changing anything else, i.e. $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ and $(V_i \rightarrow U_i) \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{S}$.

Example 3. a) P the trivial property and \mathcal{C} the class of all fpqc morphisms. We get the fpqc topology on $\underline{\text{Sch}}_S$.

ã) Let S be Noetherian, P : local Noetherianness and \mathcal{C} the class of fpqc morphisms. This will NOT work as (A) is violated: For instance, with $S = X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}$, the fibre product $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ is non-noetherian: The ideal $I = (x \otimes y - y \otimes x \mid x, y \in \mathbb{C})$ is not finitely generated as $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}} \cong I/I^2$. This is a \mathbb{C} -vector space of dimension equal to the continuum (the transcendence degree of \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}).

b) Let \mathcal{C} be the class of all fppf (faithfully flat of finite presentation) morphisms and the trivial property (or local Noetherianness) for P . Then fibre products don't cause any trouble, since then $\tilde{X} \times_X Y$ is of finite type over \tilde{X} and local Noetherianness is preserved. One gets the fppf-topology on (locally noetherian) S -schemes. In this case, quasi-finiteness can be added to "of finite presentation" without modifying the topology: (stacks 056X)

c) The class \mathcal{C} of all surjective morphisms which are Zariski-local isomorphisms, with P = trivial, or local Noetherianness, or regularity, ... and one gets the Zariski topology on $\underline{\text{Sch}}_S$.

Proof. (of proposition 1) It is clear that (C2) implies (C1). Assume conversely that $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ and $(p_i : V_i \rightarrow U_i) \in \mathcal{C}$ such that V_i is covered by the open $W \subseteq V_i$ such that $(W \rightarrow V_i \rightarrow X) \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{C}$. (We call such W \mathcal{S} -small.) Let $U_i = \bigcup_{j \in J_i} U_{ij}$ be an open affine covering and $V_{ij} = p_i^{-1} U_{ij} = V_i \times_{U_i} U_{ij}$. Thus $(V_{ij} \rightarrow U_{ij}) \in \mathcal{C}$ by (A). If $W \subseteq V_i$ is \mathcal{S} -small, the same holds for $W \cap V_{ij}$, showing that V_{ij} is covered by its \mathcal{S} -small open subsets. Thus we may assume that the U_i are affine and the V_i quasi-compact. By an application of (B), we may also assume that the V_i are affine. Then (C2) holds.

It remains to show the properties of a Grothendieck topology. For (GTTriv) this is trivial (U_i any affine covering and $V_i = U_i$). Also, (GTTrans) is easy. If $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a morphism one puts $\tilde{U}_i = f^{-1} U_i$, $\tilde{V}_i = \tilde{U}_i \times_{U_i} V_i$ and $(\tilde{V}_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_i) \in \mathcal{C}$ by (A). Also, if $W \subseteq V$ is \mathcal{S} -small, then its inverse image in \tilde{V}_i is $f^* \mathcal{S}$ -small, and these inverse images cover \tilde{V}_i . For (GTLoc), let $\mathcal{S} \neq X$ and \mathcal{T} any sieve such that $f^* \mathcal{T} \neq Y$ for all $(f : Y \rightarrow X) \in \mathcal{S}$. We must show $\mathcal{T} \neq X$.

Case 1: One can choose $V_i = U_i \xrightarrow{\text{id}} U_i$ in the condition (C1) for $\mathcal{S} \neq X$. Then the restriction $\mathcal{T}|_{U_i} := (U_i \hookrightarrow X)^* \mathcal{T}$ covers U_i . Thus there are an open covering $U_i = \bigcup_{j \in J_i} U_{ij}$ and $V_{ij} \rightarrow U_{ij}$ as in (C1) for $\mathcal{T}|_{U_i}$, and then $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} \bigcup_{j \in J_i} U_{ij}$, together with the morphisms $V_{ij} \rightarrow U_{ij}$, does the same for X .

Case 2: X is affine, and there is a morphism $(p : V \rightarrow X) \in (\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{C})$ with V affine, s.t. p generates \mathcal{S} . Then $p^* \mathcal{T} \neq V$. Write $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ and morphisms $(V_i \rightarrow U_i) \in \mathcal{C}$ such that the \mathcal{S} -small open subsets of V_i cover V_i . Then one can satisfy (C2) for \mathcal{T} by $U' = X$, $V' = \coprod_{i=1}^n V_i \rightarrow \coprod_{i=1}^n U_i \rightarrow V \rightarrow X = U'$, where the arrows are in \mathcal{C} by (A), (B), and assumption, respectively.

Case 3: General case: If $V_i \rightarrow U_i$ are as in (C2) for \mathcal{S} , then the pullback of \mathcal{T} to any \mathcal{S} -small open subset W of V_i covers W . By case 1, the pullback of \mathcal{T} to V_i covers V_i . By case 2, $\mathcal{T}|_{U_i} \neq U_i$. By case 1 again, $\mathcal{T} \neq X$. \square

Definition 3. A presheaf on a category \mathcal{C} (with values in sets, (abelian) groups, rings) is a contravariant functor from \mathcal{C} to $\underline{\text{Set}}$ (or groups, rings, ...). If a Grothendieck topology \mathbb{T} on \mathcal{C} is given, then a presheaf \mathcal{F} is called (\mathbb{T}) -separated, if

$$F(X) \rightarrow \prod_{(p:U \rightarrow X) \in \mathcal{S}} F(U), \quad f \mapsto (F(p)f)_p \quad (*)$$

is injective. We call a separated presheaf F a sheaf if the image of $(*)$ is $\varprojlim_{(p:U \rightarrow X) \in \mathcal{S}} F(U)$. In other

words, the image of $(*)$ must be the family of all $(f_p)_p$ such that $F(q')f_p = F(p')f_q$ in $F(W)$ whenever

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{p'} & V \\ \downarrow q' & & \downarrow q \\ U & \xrightarrow{p} & X \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram in \mathcal{C} , with $p, q \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proposition 2. *In the situation of proposition 1, a presheaf G is a sheaf (resp. separated) if and only if for every object X of $\underline{\text{Sch}}_S^P$ the presheaf $U \mapsto G(U)$ on X equipped with its Zariski topology is a sheaf (resp. separated), and for every morphism $p : U \rightarrow V$ in \mathcal{C} the sequence*

$$G(V) \xrightarrow{p^*} G(U) \xrightleftharpoons[p_2^*]{p_1^*} G(U \times_V U)$$

is exact in the sense that the first morphism is the equalizer of the second two (resp. if p^ is injective*

Proof. Let $S \neq X$, we must show that $G(X) \rightarrow \varprojlim_S G$ is bijective (resp. injective), and for the proof of bijectiveness, we may assume injective.

Case 1: S is already covering for X_{Zar} : Trivial.

Case 2: There is a morphism $p : U \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{C} such that the S -small open subsets W of U cover U (as sets). If $g_1, g_2 \in G(X)$ have the same image in $\varprojlim_S G$, then $p^*g_1|_W = p^*g_2|_W$ when $W \subseteq U$ is S -small. By our first assumption on G , $p^*g_1 = p^*g_2$. As p^* is injective by our second assumption, $g_1 = g_2$. Let $\gamma \in \varprojlim_S G$. By our first assumption on G , there is $g_U \in G(U)$ such that $g_U|_W = \gamma|_W$ whenever $W \subseteq U$ is S -small. Let $W, \widetilde{W} \subseteq U$ be S -small, then for $p_1, p_2 : U \times_X U \rightarrow U$ we have

$$p_1^*g_U|_{W \times_X \widetilde{W}} = p_1^*\gamma|_{W \times_X \widetilde{W}} = \gamma|_{W \times_X \widetilde{W}} = p_2^*\gamma|_{W \times_X \widetilde{W}} = p_2^*g_U|_{W \times_X \widetilde{W}}.$$

As these $W \times_X \widetilde{W}$ cover $U \times_X U$ as a set, $p_1^*g_U = p_2^*g_U$. By our assumption there is a unique $g \in G(X)$ such that $p^*g = g_U$. We must show that the image of g in $\varprojlim_S G$ is γ . Let $\widetilde{S} \subseteq S$ be the subsieve of S generated by the S -small $W \subseteq U$. Then $\widetilde{S} \neq X$, and the image of g in $\varprojlim_{\widetilde{S}} G$ equals $\gamma|_{\widetilde{S}}$ by construction. For $(\nu : V \rightarrow X) \in \widetilde{S}$, this implies that $G(\nu)g = \gamma|_V$ as they have the same image in $\varprojlim_{\nu^*\widetilde{S}} G$, and $\nu^*\widetilde{S} \neq V$. Thus the claim about g is shown.

Case 3: General case. Let $V_i \rightarrow U_i$ be as in the definition of a Grothendieck topology. If g_1, g_2 have the same image in $\varprojlim_S G$ then $g_1|_{U_i} = g_2|_{U_i}$ by case 2, hence $g_1 = g_2$ by the first assumption. Let $\gamma \in \varprojlim_S G$, by case 2 there is $\gamma_i \in G(U_i)$ such that the image of γ_i in $\varprojlim_{S|_{U_i}} G$ equals the restriction of γ . Then $\gamma_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = \gamma_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ as their images in $\varprojlim_{S|_{U_i \cap U_j}} G$ are both equal to the restriction of γ to $S|_{U_i \cap U_j} \neq U_i \cap U_j$. By our first assumption, there is $g \in G(X)$ such that $g|_{U_i} = \gamma_i$. In a similar way as in the end of case 2, one sees that the image of g in $\varprojlim_S G$ equals γ . \square

Corollary 1. *If X is any S -scheme then*

$$U \rightarrow X(U) := \text{Hom}_{\underline{\text{Sch}}_S}(U, X)$$

is an fpqc-sheaf on $\underline{\text{Sch}}_S$.

Exercise: If $F \in \text{QCoh}(S)$, then $(v : U \rightarrow S) \mapsto v^*F$ is an fpqc sheaf, and $H^*(S_{\text{Zar}}, F) \cong H^*(S_{\text{fpqc}}, F)$

1.4 Étale morphisms

Proposition 1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism locally of finite type between Noetherian schemes, $x \in X$, and $y = f(x)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- a) $\Omega_{X/Y,x} = 0$.
- b) *There is an open neighbourhood U of x in X such that $\Delta_{X/Y} : U \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ is an open embedding.*
- c) *We have $\mathfrak{m}_x = (f^*\mathfrak{m}_y)\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, and $k(x)$ is a separable finite field extension of $k(y)$.*

If f is separated, such that $\Delta_{X/Y}$ is a closed embedding defined by the quasi-coherent sheaf of ideals $J \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X \times_Y X}$, then the above is also equivalent to

- d) $J_x = 0$.

Remark. The Noetherianness assumption can be dropped with little effort.

Proof. (Sketch) As a), b), and c), as well as the claim in d) are local in X , we may assume that $X = \text{Spec } B$, $Y = \text{Spec } A$ are affine. Then the equivalence of b) with d) is obvious as J is locally finitely generated: If d) holds, there is an open neighbourhood U of x in X such that $J|_U$ vanishes. The equivalence of a) with d) then comes from a well-known fact (Remark 1 below) about Kähler differentials. By Nakayama's lemma $(\Omega_{X/Y})_x = 0$ if and only if $0 = (\Omega_{X/Y})_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} k(x) \cong (\Omega_{f^{-1}\{y\}/k(y)})_x$, by the compatibility of Kähler differentials with base change. The $k(y)$ -algebra $(k(y) \otimes_A B)_{\mathfrak{m}_x}$ has vanishing Kähler differentials over $k(y)$ iff this local $k(y)$ -algebra is a finite separable field extension $l/k(y)$, i.e. $\mathfrak{m}_x = (f^*\mathfrak{m}_y)\mathcal{O}_x$ (othersie B_x has nilpotent elements) and $k(x) = l$ is separable over $k(y)$. \square

Remark 1. a) If f is separated and J as in (d), then $\Omega_{X/I} \cong \Delta_{X/Y}^* J \cong \Delta_{X/Y}^* (J/J^2)$.

b) If A and B are as in the proof, $\Omega_{B/A} \cong I/I^2$, $I = \ker(B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B)$.

c) $\text{Der}_{B/A}(B, M) \cong \text{Hom}_B(I/I^2, M)$, given by $d \mapsto \varphi(a \otimes b) = ad(b)$ and $d(b) = \varphi(1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1)$.

Definition 1. a) A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ locally of finite type between locally Noetherian schemes is *unramified* at $x \in X$ iff it satisfies the equivalent definitions of proposition 1.

b) It is called *étale* at x if it is flat and unramified at x .

c) It is called *étale* iff it is étale at all $x \in X$.

d) It is called an *étale covering* if it is étale and finite.

Remark. See 00U0 for the definitions the non-Noetherian case, which are essentially the same. By 00U9 locally every étale morphism comes by base-change from a Noetherian morphism. See also EGA IV.17.

Fact 1 (00U2). a) The class of étale morphisms is stable under composition and base change.

b) If $g \circ f$ is étale and g unramified, then f is étale.

c) If f is étale and a closed embedding, then f is an open embedding.

Proof. a) The stability of flatness under base change is assumed to be known here, and for unramifiedness this follows from $\Omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{Y}} \cong \Omega_{X/Y}$ for every Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

For treatment of compositions, let the morphisms always be $f : X \rightarrow Y, g : Y \rightarrow S$. Again for flatness this is well-known. Unramifiedness of $g \circ f$ follows from the exact sequence

$$f^* \Omega_{Y/S} \xrightarrow{f^*} \Omega_{X/S} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Y} \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{F1})$$

b)

c) This follows from Proposition 1.2.4. even when f is flat of finite presentation, X, Y arbitrary. \square

Fact 2. A flat morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ is étale at $x \in X$ if and only if this holds for $f^{-1}(y)/x$ at x . The same holds for unramified morphisms.

Example 1. a) $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is étale at $x \in X$ iff $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a finite separable field extension of k .

b) Every open or closed embedding is unramified.

c) Every open embedding is étale.

Lemma 1. If A is an algebra over a field K , the following conditions are equivalent:

a) A/K is étale,

b) $A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n L_i$, each L_i/K separable,

c) The trace form $B_{A/K}(a, b) := \text{Tr}_{A/K}(ab)$ is a perfect pairing on $A \times A$.

Proof. Omitted. \square

Remark 2. If L/K is a finitely generated field extension, then $\Omega_{X/Y} \cong 0$ iff L/K is finite and separable.

Proposition 2. Let X be locally Noetherian, \mathcal{A} a coherent locally free \mathcal{O}_X -algebra. Then $\text{Spec } \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is étale over x if and only if the trace bilinear form $B_{\mathcal{A}_x/\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}, B(a, b) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}_x/\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}(\overline{ab})$ is non-degenerate. In particular, $\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}$ is an étale covering if the trace bilinear form is non-degenerate everywhere.

Proof. Flatness is automatic by our assumptions. The assertion then follows with little work from fact 2 and lemma 1. \square

Corollary 1. In the situation of the proposition, $p : \text{Spec } \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is an étale covering if and only if there is an open subset $U \subseteq X$ with $\text{codim}(Y, X) \geq 2$ for every irreducible component Y of $X \setminus U$, and $p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is an étale covering.

Proof. Without losing generality $X = \text{Spec } R$ is affine and \mathcal{A} is defined by the free R -algebra A . Using a base of the R -module A and a matrix representation of $B_{A/R}$,

$$\{x \in X \mid \text{Spec } A \rightarrow X \text{ is not étale over } x\} = V(d)$$

where $d \in A$ is the determinant of that matrix representation of $B_{A/R}$. By Krull's principal ideal theorem all irreducible components of this closed subset have codimension at most 1. \square

Proposition 3. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an étale morphism of locally Noetherian S -schemes, then $f^* \Omega_{Y/S} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Surjectivity follows from the cotangent sequence (F1) using only that f is unramified. For the isomorphism claim consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \Delta_{X/S} & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{X/Y}} & X \times_Y X & \xrightarrow{j} & X \times_S X \\
 & \searrow f & \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\
 & & Y & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{Y/S}} & Y \times_S Y
 \end{array}$$

It is sufficient to give the proof when all schemes are affine and therefore separated. Then all diagonals are closed embeddings and given by coherent sheaves of ideals, e.g. $\Delta_{X/S}$ by $J_{X/S}$. The square being cartesian implies that j is a closed embedding with sheaf of ideals $J_j = p^* J_{Y/S}$ (this uses that p is flat). As $\Delta_{X/Y}$ is an open embedding,

$$\Omega_{X/S} = \Delta_{X/S}^* J_{X/S} = \Delta_{X/Y}^* j^* J_{X/S} \cong \Delta_{X/Y}^* j^* J_j \cong \Delta_{X/Y}^* j^* p^* J_{Y/S} = f^* \Delta_{Y/S}^* J_{Y/S} = f^* \Omega_{Y/S}$$

□

Proposition 4. *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of locally finite type between locally Noetherian schemes, and if f is étale at $x \in X$, then X is regular at x iff Y is at $y = f(x)$.*

Proof. From the étaleness of f one gets $\mathfrak{m}_x^l / \mathfrak{m}_x^{l+1} \cong \mathfrak{m}_y^l / \mathfrak{m}_y^{l+1} \otimes_{k(y)} k(x)$ and the dimensions of the local rings are equal to the smallest d such that the dimension of these vector spaces are $O(l^{d-1})$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} =: d$ and therefore X is regular if and only if $\dim_{k(x)} \mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2 = d$ if and only if $\dim_{k(y)} \mathfrak{m}_y / \mathfrak{m}_y^2 = d$ if and only if Y is regular. □

Proposition 5 (Arcata Def 1.1.). *Let S be an R -algebra of finite type, where R is Noetherian. Then the following are equivalent.*

(A1) *If A is a Noetherian R -algebra, $I \subseteq A$ a nilpotent ideal, then in any diagram of solid arrows*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S & \longrightarrow & A/I \\
 \uparrow & \searrow & \uparrow \\
 R & \longrightarrow & A
 \end{array} \tag{L}$$

there is a unique dotted arrow (a ring homomorphism) making the diagram commute.

(A2) *The same condition, but with the sharper assumption $I^2 = 0$.*

(A3) *The condition (A2) with the sharper assumption that A is a local ring.*

(B) *S is an étale R -algebra (i.e. S is flat over R and $\Omega_{S/R} = 0$).*

(C1) *There is a representation $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (f_1, \dots, f_n)_T$, where $T = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, such that the Jacobian determinant $\det(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j})_{ij}$ maps to a unit in S .*

(C2) *If $S \cong R[x_1, \dots, x_n] / J$, $J \subseteq T = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is any representation of S as an R -algebra, then there are $g, f_1, \dots, f_n \in T$ such that $V(g) \cap V(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \emptyset$, $J_g = \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle_{T_g}$ and the Jacobian determinant as in (C1) maps to a unit in S .*

Proof. (A1) \Rightarrow (A2) \Rightarrow (A3) is trivial. (A2) \Rightarrow (A1) is an induction on the smallest k such that $I^{2^k} = 0$.

(A3) \Rightarrow (A2): By assumption our lifting problem has local solutions $S \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$. As S is a

finitely presented A -algebra, these come from $S \rightarrow A_{\alpha_p}, \alpha_p \in R$. There are finitely many $\alpha_i = \alpha_{p_i}$ such that $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle_A = A$, and the compositions $S \rightarrow A_{\alpha_i} \rightarrow A_{\alpha_i \alpha_j}$ and $S \rightarrow A_{\alpha_j} \rightarrow A_{\alpha_i \alpha_j}$ coincide because this is so after composition with any morphism $A_{\alpha_i \alpha_j} \rightarrow A_q$ for any $q \in \text{Spec } A \setminus V(\alpha_i \alpha_j)$, and the map from $A_{\alpha_i \alpha_j}$ to the product of these A_q is injective. It is then well-known that there is a unique ring morphism $S \rightarrow A$ making all triangles $S \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_{\alpha_i}$ commute, and it is easy to see that this is the only solution to (L).

(A) \Rightarrow (B): One way to show flatness is to consider any presentation $S \cong T/J, T = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. By induction on n we get commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} & T/J^n \\ \uparrow & \searrow \lambda_{n+1} & \uparrow \\ R & \longrightarrow & T/J^{n+1} \end{array}$$

splitting the surjective morphism $\hat{T} \rightarrow S$ where \hat{T} is the completion of T with respect to J . As our rings are Noetherian, \hat{T} is a flat T -module, hence a flat R -module, and so is its direct summand S . $\Omega_{S/R} = 0$ follows from

Fact 3. In the situation of (L) assume $I^2 = 0$. Then $\text{Der}(S/R, I)$ acts simply transitively on the set of dotted arrows $\alpha : S \rightarrow A$ making (L) commute, provided that such a solution α exists. The action of $\delta \in \text{Der}(S/R, I)$ on α is $\tilde{\alpha}(s) = \alpha(s) + \delta(s)$.

As by our assumption the set of solutions to (L) is not empty, we have $\text{Der}(S/R, I) = 0$ for all such I . This can be applied to $A = S \oplus M, I = M$. Then $I^2 = 0$ and $\text{Der}(S/R, M) = 0$ for any S -module M . Hence $\Omega_{S/R} = 0$.

(B) \Rightarrow (C2): Let $T = R[x_1, \dots, x_n], S = T/J$ as in (C2). By the short exact sequence

$$J/J^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{T/R} \otimes_T S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/R} \rightarrow 0$$

and (B), the map $J/J^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{T/R} \otimes_T S \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S dX_i$ (sending $f + J^2$ to $((\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + J)dx_i)_i$) must be surjective. Because of this it is possible to choose the f_i in (C2) such that the Jacobian determinant becomes a unit in S (e.g. s.t. the image of f_j is $(\delta_{ij} dX_i)_i$). Let $J' = \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle_T, X = \text{Spec } S, X' = \text{Spec } S',$ where $S' = T/J', Y = \text{Spec } R$. Repeating the above argument with J' implies $(\Omega_{S'/R})_x = 0$ for all x in the closed subscheme $X \subseteq X'$. Thus $X' \rightarrow S$ is unramified at the image of X' , and therefore $U \rightarrow Y$ is unramified, where $U \subseteq X'$ is some open neighbourhood of the image of X . By Fact 1, $X \rightarrow U$ is étale, hence $X \rightarrow X'$ is étale and by Fact 1 $X \rightarrow X'$ is an open embedding. It is thus possible to choose an element $g \in T$ whose image in $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(X') = T/J'$ equals 1 on the clopen subset $X \subseteq X'$ and 0 on its complement. It is then easy to see that g does what we want.

(C2) \Rightarrow (C1): We start with any presentation $\pi : R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/J \xrightarrow{\cong} S$ and apply (C2). With the notations from (C2), $\pi' : R[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]/J' \xrightarrow{\cong} S$, where J' is the ideal generated by J and $1 - gX_{n+1}$ and π' sending X_i to $\pi(X_i)$ when $i \leq n$ and $\pi'(x_{n+1})$ is some inverse image of g in S . This presentation does what we want.

(C1) \Rightarrow (A2): With nations as in (C1), if A is an R -algebra, then the set of solid arrows $S \rightarrow A/I$ making (L) commute is (by $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha(\text{image of } x_i \text{ in } S))_i$) equivalent to the set of solutions of $f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ in A/I . The set of dashed arrows $S \rightarrow A$ corresponds in the same way to the set of solutions of $f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ in A . It is well-known (Hensel's lemma) that the solution set in A maps injectively to the solutions in A/I when the Jacobian is a unit in A/I , which it is as it is in S^\times . \square

Remark 3. This also holds in the non-Noetherian situation, when S/R is of finite presentation.

Proposition 6. *If $X_0 \rightarrow X$ is a closed embedding defined by a nilpotent sheaf of ideals, then the functor*

$$\text{Étale } X\text{-schemes} \rightarrow \text{Étale } X_0\text{-schemes}, \quad Y \rightarrow Y_0 := X_0 \times_X Y$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. The assertion that this functor defines a bijection on morphisms (i.e. is fully faithful) is easily reduced to the situation where X, Y are affine, in which case it is an immediate consequence of Proposition 5(A). It remains to show essential surjectivity.

Let $X = \text{Spec } R$, $X_0 = \text{Spec } R/I$. If $Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$ is an étale morphism with affine Y_0 , by Proposition 5(C1) one can choose a representation $Y_0 = \text{Spec } S_0$, $S_0 = R_0[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_n)$. There are $\varphi_i \in R_0[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $\varphi_i \bmod I = f_i$ and the Jacobian of the φ_i is a unit in $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ because this is so modulo the nilpotent ideal IS . For general étale X_0 -schemes one chooses a covering by affine open subsets and by full faithfulness the gluing data module I lift to gluing data for the lifts of these affine étale X_0 -schemes. The case of general X is dealt with in the same way, lifting $\pi_0^{-1}(U)$, $\pi_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$ étale, for affine open subsets $U \subseteq X$, and using full faithfulness of the functor to get gluing data for these lifts. \square

Remark 4. Such $X_0 \rightarrow X$ are examples of universal homeomorphisms, i.e. morphisms $X_0 \rightarrow X$ such that $Y_0 = X_0 \times_X Y \rightarrow Y$ is a homeomorphism for any X -scheme Y . This condition can be checked by verifying universal injectivity, universal surjectivity, followed by universal closedness or universal openness.

Since for every pair of morphisms $\alpha : A \rightarrow S, \beta : B \rightarrow S$ of schemes (or locally ringed spaces) the canonical map

$$A \times_S B \rightarrow [A] \times_{[S]} [B] = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B, \alpha(a) = \beta(b)\}$$

is surjective, any surjective morphism is automatically universally surjective. If $X_0 \rightarrow X$ is injective one can show that it is universally injective if and only if for all $x_0 \in X_0$ with image x in X , $k(x_0)/k(x)$ is an algebraic and purely inseparable field extension.

For morphisms of finite type between Noetherian schemes, universal closedness is equivalent to properness. But such morphisms are quasi-finite if they are injective, and if they are also proper they are finite by an easy special case of Zariski's Main Theorem.

Proposition 7. *Proposition 6 also holds when $X_0 \rightarrow X$ is a universal homeomorphism (i.e. finite, bijective, $k(x_0)/k(x)$ always algebraic and purely inseparable) of finite type between locally Noetherian schemes.*

Example 2. This can be applied to Frobenius type morphisms, e.g. $F_X = \text{id}_X$, $F_X^*(\varphi) = \varphi^p$ in $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ if $\text{char}(X) = p$. Another example would be the relative Frobenius F_{X/\mathbb{F}_q} on $X \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q} \text{Spec } \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ sending (when X is quasi-projective) all coordinates to their q -th power.

Lemma 2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y, g : Y \rightarrow S$ be morphisms locally of finite type between locally Noetherian schemes with f étale, and let $x \in X$. Then $g \circ f$ is étale at x if and only if g is étale at $y = f(x)$.*

Proof. Since f is étale, hence flat, and $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ local, $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a faithfully flat $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ -algebra. The if-part is the fact that étaleness is stable under composition. For the "only if"-part, use the fact that $\text{Tor}_q^{\mathcal{O}_{S,s}}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}, T) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}} \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \cong \text{Tor}_q^{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, T) = 0$ (T any $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ -module) when $q > 0$ (as gf is flat) and deriving $\text{Tor}_q^{\mathcal{O}_{S,s}}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}, T) = 0$ as $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ is faithfully flat.

That $\mathfrak{m}_{S,s}\mathcal{O}_{Y,y} = \mathfrak{m}_{Y,y}$ can also be checked after $-\otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, as f is étale, $\mathfrak{m}_{Y,y}\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$ and the desired equality again follows from the fact that gf is étale at x (hence $\mathfrak{m}_{S,s}\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$). Trivially, separability of $k(y)/k(s)$ follows from $k(s) \subseteq k(y) \subseteq k(x)$ and $k(x)/k(s)$ separable. \square

1.5 The étale topology

Definition 1. Let X be a scheme.

- a) Let Et/X be the category of étale X -schemes. The étale topology on that category is the Grothendieck topology for which $S \neq U$ if and only if there are étale morphisms (of finite presentation) $U_i \rightarrow U$ belonging to S whose images cover U . This site (=category + Grothendieck topology) is called the small étale site $X_{\text{ét}}$.
- b) The étale topology of all (or all Noetherian) X -schemes is defined in the same way, dropping from a) the condition that $U \rightarrow X$ must be étale. This is the big étale site $X_{\text{ét}}$.

Remark 1. Let $(U_i \rightarrow U)_i$ be a family of étale morphisms such that their images cover U and each U_i is covered by its open subsets $W \subseteq U_i$ which are S -small. Then the sieve generated by these $W \rightarrow U$ is covering in the sense of definition 1 and contained in S , hence $S \neq X$. Therefore technical modifications as in Proposition 1.3.1 are not necessary in this case. The proof that one has a Grothendieck topology is simplified by étale morphisms being open.

Definition 2. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called weakly étale if it is flat and $\Delta_{X/Y} : X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ is also flat.

Example 1. Every étale morphism is weakly étale as it is flat and $\Delta_{X/Y}$ is an open embedding.

Theorem 1 (Bhatt,Scholze). *If A is a ring and B a weakly étale A -algebra, there is a faithfully flat weakly étale B -algebra \tilde{B} such that \tilde{B}/A is a direct limit of étale A -algebras.*

- Remark 2.**
- a) The proétale topology is defined by Proposition 1.3.1 using the class of weakly étale morphisms. One can, for instance, use this to study $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ directly rather than indirectly as $\varprojlim H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})$. The proof of the crucial results for Weil 1/2 still depend on the SGA 4 results on proper and smooth base change and Poincaré duality.
 - b) In between the β étale and the fppf topology there is the syntonic topology where the covering sieves are generated by flat morphisms that are local complete intersections.
 - c) One could sharpen the condition for $S \neq U$ in Definition 1 requiring that for every $x \in U$ there must be $i \in I$ and $\xi \in U_i$ mapping to x under $U_i \rightarrow U$ such that $k(\xi)/k(x)$ is trivial. (Then $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ is covered by $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{5}]$.)

1.6 The Étale Fundamental Group

Definition 1. Let FET_X be the category of finite étale morphisms $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$.

Definition 2. A geometric point of a scheme X is a morphism $\mathbf{x} : \text{Spec } K \rightarrow X$, where K is an algebraically closed field. The image under \mathbf{x} of the only point of $\text{Spec } K$ is called the support of \mathbf{x} , i.e. \mathbf{x} is supported at x if $\mathbf{x}(\text{Spec } K) = x$.

Remark 1. The condition that K is algebraically closed is sometimes relaxed to being separably closed. We follow O3P0 where K is required to be algebraically closed, which also seems to be mostly followed in SGA. Relaxing algebraically closed to separably closed leads to an essentially equivalent condition but it is a bit more awkward to study lifts of geometric points under finite non-étale surjective morphisms

$Y \rightarrow X$.

The category FET_X has cartesian products, equalizers and coproducts.

Definition 3. a) For a geometric point $\mathbf{x} : \text{Spec } K \rightarrow X$, let $\text{Fib}_{\mathbf{x}} : \text{FET}_X \rightarrow (\text{finite Sets})$ be given by

$$(\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X) \mapsto \{\tilde{\mathbf{x}} : \text{Spec } K \rightarrow \tilde{X} \mid \mathbf{x} = \pi\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\}.$$

b) Let $\Pi_1^{et}(X)$ be the category with objects are geometrix points of X and morphisms $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ are functor-isomorphisms $\text{Fib}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow \text{Fib}_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}}$.

c) For a geometric point \mathbf{x} , let $\Pi_1^{et}(X, \mathbf{x})$ be the group of automorphisms of \mathbf{x} in the groupoid $\Pi_1^{et}(X)$.

Remark. a) If one uses the separably closed definition for geometric points, one gets an equivalent category $\Pi_1'(X)$. This is because for every $\mathbf{x} : \text{Spec } K \rightarrow X$, K separably closed, one has an algebraic closure $i : K \rightarrow \bar{K}$, and $\bar{\mathbf{x}} : \text{Spec } \bar{K} \rightarrow \text{Spec } K \rightarrow X$. If x is the support of $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fib}_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}}(Y) &\cong \{(y, \lambda) \mid y \in Y \text{ with image } x, \lambda \text{ an extension of } k(x) \xrightarrow{\bar{\mathbf{x}}^*} \bar{K} \text{ to } k(y) \rightarrow \bar{K}\} \\ &= \{(y, \lambda) \mid y \in Y \text{ with image } x, \lambda \text{ an extension of } k(x) \xrightarrow{\bar{\mathbf{x}}^*} \bar{K} \text{ to } k(y) \rightarrow K\}, \end{aligned}$$

since any λ in \bar{K} has image in K , as $k(y)/k(x)$ is separable. This gives an isomorphism from $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \Pi_1^{et}(X)$ to $\mathbf{x} \in \Pi_1'(X)$. Since $\Pi_1^{et}(X)$ is a full subcategory of $\Pi_1'(X)$ by definition, they are equivalent.

b) Note that an equivalent definition of a geometric point is to define is as a triple (K, x, \mathbf{x}) where K is an algebraically closed field, $x \in X$ and $\mathbf{x} : k(x) \rightarrow K$ a homomorphism.

c) One also has an equivalent subcategory $\Pi_1''(X) \subseteq \Pi_1^{et}(X)$ where objects are geometric points $\mathbf{x} : \text{Spec } K \rightarrow X$ such that K is algebraic over the image of $k(x) \rightarrow K$. If $\mathbf{x} : \text{Spec } K \rightarrow X$ is a geometric point in the sense of definition 2, and $\tilde{K} \subseteq K$ is the algebraic closure of $\mathbf{x}^*(k(x))$, then there is a unique morphism $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} : \text{Spec } \tilde{K} \rightarrow X$ whose composition with $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow \text{Spec } \tilde{K}$ equals \mathbf{x} , and a canonical isomorphism $\mathbf{x} \cong \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ in Π_1^{et} (for similar reasons as in a).

Remark 2. One introduces a Krull topology on the set of morphisms $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ in Π_1^{et} : A neighbourhood base of a morphism $\gamma : \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ is $\{\Omega_v \mid v \text{ any object of } \text{FET}_X\}$ where

$$\Omega_v = \{\tilde{\gamma} : \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \mid \gamma = \tilde{\gamma} \text{ on } \text{Fib}_{\mathbf{x}}(V) \rightarrow \text{Fib}_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}}(V)\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\Pi_1^{et}(X, \mathbf{x})$ is complete with this topology.

Example 1. Let $X = \text{Spec } K$ where K is a field. Then, étale X -schemes are automatically finite (essentially by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz) and up to isomorphism of the form $\text{Spec } A$ where A is a finite-dimensional étale K -algebra. Let \bar{K} be an algebraic closure of K , $K^s \subseteq \bar{K}$ the separable closure of K in \bar{K} , $G = \text{Aut}(\bar{K}/K) \cong \text{Gal}(K^s/K)$ equipped with the Krull topology. Let \mathbf{x} denote the geometric point of X given by $\text{Spec } \bar{K} \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$.

If Y is an object of FET_X , then $\text{Fib}_{\mathbf{x}}(Y)$ is in canonical bijection with the set of pairs (y, λ) where y is any point of Y and $\lambda : k(y) \rightarrow \bar{K}$ any ring homomorphism extending $K \rightarrow \bar{K}$. If $\theta \in G$, then θ acts on this set by $(y, \lambda) \mapsto (y, \theta\lambda)$.

One gets a functor $\text{FET}_X \rightarrow (\text{finite sets with continuous action by } G)$ where the continuity condition is imposed for the Krull topology on G and the discrete topology on the finite set. This functor is an equivalence of categories with inverse functor sending a finite G -set F to

$$\text{Spec}(\{f : F \rightarrow \bar{K} \mid \theta(f(x)) = f(\theta x)\}).$$

It follows that $\Pi_1^{et}(X, \mathbf{x}) \cong G$, canonically.

Remark. Note that for an étale $\text{Spec } K$ -scheme the morphism $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$ is finite if X is quasi-compact.

Theorem 1 (SGA1.V). *Let X be a locally connected locally Noetherian scheme.*

a) *We have an equivalence of categories*

$$\text{FET}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, \quad (\pi : Y \rightarrow X) \mapsto (\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \text{Fib}_{\mathbf{x}} Y),$$

where \mathcal{C} is the category of functors F from $\Pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)$ to the category of finite sets such that

$$F(\mathbf{x}) \times \text{Hom}_{\Pi_1^{\text{ét}}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rightarrow F(\mathbf{y}), \quad (f, \gamma) \mapsto F(\gamma)f$$

is continuous, where $F(\mathbf{x})$ and $F(\mathbf{y})$ carry the discrete topology and $\text{Hom}_{\Pi_1^{\text{ét}}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ the Krull topology.

b) *If, in addition, X is connected, then $\Pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)$ is connected (in the sense that it has only one isomorphism class of objects). Thus, if \mathbf{x} is a geometrix point of X , then*

$$\text{FET}_X \rightarrow (\text{fin. sets with cont. } \Pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbf{x})\text{-action}), \quad Y \mapsto \text{Fib}_{\mathbf{x}} Y$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Remark 3. If X is a \mathbb{Q} -scheme, then, an alternative approach to an algebraically defined fundamental group would consider the Tannakian category of locally free coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules with a connection $\nabla : \mathcal{E}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1$ of vanishing curvature. This would play a similar role for H_{dR}^* compared with the role played by $\Pi_1^{\text{ét}}$ for $H^\bullet(X_{\text{ét}})$.

Definition 4. A principal G -covering (G a finite group) of X is an object Y of FET_X with a G -action such that the following equivalent conditions hold:

- a) $G \times Y = \coprod_{g \in G} Y \mapsto Y \times_X Y$, $(g, y) \mapsto (y, gy)$ is an isomorphism and $Y \rightarrow X$ is flat.
- b) The sieve on $X_{\text{ét}}$ or X_{Et} of all X -schemes U such that $U \times_X U \cong G \times U$ is the category of U -schemes with a G -action over X .

Fact 1. Let G be abelian. If X is connected and \mathbf{x} any geometric point, then $\text{Hom}(\Pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbf{x}), G)$ is in canonical bijection with the set of isomorphism classes of principal G -coverings.

Proposition 1 (Kummer theory for $\Pi_1^{\text{ét}}$). *Let X be connected, $\zeta \in \mu_n^*(X)$ (i.e. a morphism $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$, $R = (\mathbb{Z}[T]/(T^n - 1))[(T^d - 1)^{-1} \mid 1 < d < n, d|n]$). In particular, $n \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)^\times$.*

a) *If \mathcal{L} is a line bundle on X nad $\lambda \in (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})^*(X)$, then the functor*

$$(v : Y \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (\text{Sets}), \quad Y \mapsto \{l \in (v^* \mathcal{L})(Y) \mid l^n = v^* \lambda\}$$

is representable by an object of FET_X which is $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -principal for the action $k \bmod n : l \mapsto \zeta^k l$, and every $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -principal cover can be obtained in this way, giving us an equivalence of groupoids.

b) *Thus we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X)^* \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^n} \mathcal{O}_X(X)^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbf{x}), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \xrightarrow{n} \text{Pic}(X)$$

Proposition 2. *Let p be a prime and X a connected scheme over \mathbb{F}_p .*

a) Let F_X denote the absolute Frobenius. If \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{O}_X -torsor on X_{Zar} (i.e. a sheaf of sets on X_{Zar} on which the abelian group \mathcal{O}_X acts transitively) and let $\tau : F_X^* \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be an isomorphism. Then the functor on X -schemes

$$(v : Y \rightarrow X) \mapsto \{t \in (v^* \mathcal{T})(Y) \mid (v^* \tau)(F_Y^* t) = t\}$$

is representable by a principal $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -cover of X , giving an equivalence of groupoids.

b) Thus there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\Pi_1^{et}(X, \mathbf{x}), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \\ \rightarrow H^1(X_{Zar}, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(X_{Zar}, \mathcal{O}_X) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 (Zariski-Nagata). *Let X be regular Noetherian and let $U \subseteq X$ be an open subset such that $\mathrm{codim}(Y, X) > 1$ if Y is any irreducible component of $X \setminus U$. Then $\mathrm{FET}_X \rightarrow \mathrm{FET}_U$, $(\xi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X) \mapsto (\xi^* U \rightarrow U)$ is an equivalence of categories. Thus $\Pi_1^{et}(U, \mathbf{x}) \cong \Pi_1^{et}(X, \mathbf{x})$ where \mathbf{x} is any geometric point of U .*

Remark about the proof: If $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ is an object of FET_U , then $\tilde{U} = \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{A}$ where \mathcal{A} is an étale locally free \mathcal{O}_U -algebra, then by "basic" commutative algebra and by corollary 1.4.1 and proposition 1.4.2 the main problem is to extend the underlying locally free \mathcal{O}_U -module \mathcal{A} to a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module. This is (relatively) trivial when $\dim X = 2$ (then any vector bundle on U extends), but is hard when $\dim X \geq 3$.