

Group Rings of Infinite Groups

by Prof. Dr. Giles Gardam

notes by Stefan Albrecht

University Bonn – winter term 2023/24

Literature Passman: The algebraic structure of group rings

Contents

Lecture 1: The Kaplansky Conjectures – An Overview	2
Lecture 2: The Unit Conjecture	3

Lecture 1: The Kaplansky Conjectures – An Overview

Definition 1.1. Let R be a ring and G be a group. The *group ring*

$$R[G] = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n r_{g_i} g_i \mid g_i \in G, r_{g_i} \in R \right\}$$

is the ring consisting of finite formal R -linear combinations of the group elements with multiplication

$$\left(\sum r_g g \right) \left(\sum s_h h \right) = \sum r_g s_h gh = \sum_k \left(\sum_{gh=k} r_g s_h \right) k.$$

In this course, we will (almost) always have $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or $R = K$ a field. In the latter case, $K[G]$ is often called the group algebra.

Example 1.2. For $G = \mathbb{Z} = \langle t \rangle$, then $R[G]$ is the ring of Laurent polynomials in t over R , usually denoted $R[t, t^{-1}]$.

Viewpoint of Noether: Representations of groups are modules over group rings.

Warning: $K[G]$ is a non-commutative ring unless G is abelian. It is (left-)Noetherian only in special settings and it is never semisimple for infinite G . (cf. Masuhke's theorem).

Although group rings tend to have bad ring-theoretic properties, they conjecturally have nice elementary properties. Note first that for $k \in K^\times$ and $g \in G$, the element $kg \in K[G]$ is a unit, such units are called *trivial*. A group is called *torsion free* if it has no nontrivial elements of finite order.

Conjecture 1.3 (Kaplansky). *Let K be a field and G be a torsion free group. Then $K[G]$*

- *has no nontrivial units,*
- *has no non-zero zero divisors,*
- *has no non-trivial idempotents.*

Furthermore, for any group G (possibly with torsion), $K[G]$ is directly finite (=von Neumann-finite =Dedekind-finite), i.e. if $\alpha\beta = 1$, then $\beta\alpha = 1$.

Remark 1.4. Torsion-freeness is essential. Assume $g \in G$ has order $n \geq 2$. Then $0 = (1 - g)(1 + g + \dots + g^{n-1})$

Remark 1.5. The unit conjecture is false, the others are open.

Remark 1.6. These conjectures are "local" in the sense that they only depend on the finitely generated subgroups of G .

Proposition 1.7. *For a given field K and a group G , we have*

$$\text{unit conj.} \implies \text{zero divisor-conj.} \implies \text{idempotent conj.} \implies \text{direct finite-conj.}$$

Proof. The last 2 implications are easy ring theoretic statements. The first implication requires the following theorem by Connell (which we will prove later): $K[G]$ is prime (meaning $AB = 0$ implies $A = 0$ or $B = 0$ for two-sided ideals $A, B \subseteq K[G]$) if and only if G has no non-trivial finite normal subgroups. Since G is torsion-free, $K[G]$ is prime. Now suppose $\alpha\beta = 0$ for $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$. Then there exists some $\gamma \in K[G]$ with $\beta\gamma\alpha \neq 0$: Otherwise $(K[G]\beta K[G]) \cdot (K[G]\alpha K[G]) = 0$. Now $(1 -$

$\beta\gamma\alpha)(1 + \beta\gamma\alpha) = 1$ and $1 + \beta\gamma\alpha$ is a non-trivial unit, since if it were trivial then $\beta\gamma\alpha = kg - 1$, but $0 = (\beta\gamma\alpha)^2 = k^2g^2 - 2kg + 1$, which is absurd unless $g = 1$, in which case $\beta\gamma\alpha = k - 1$ again squares to zero, hence $\beta\gamma\alpha = 0$. \square

Definition 1.8. A group G is residually finite if for all $1 \neq g \in G$ there exists a homomorphism $\varphi_g : G \rightarrow Q$, Q finite, such that $\varphi_g(g) \neq 1$.

We will see later that the direct finiteness conjecture is true for $K = \mathbb{C}$. For now, we prove

Proposition 1.9. *Let G be residually finite. Then $K[G]$ is directly finite.*

Proof. A group homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow Q$ induces a ring homomorphism $K[G] \rightarrow K[Q]$. Thus $K[Q]$ is a $K[G]$ -module. Note that Q is a basis for the K -vector space $K[Q]$, so if Q is finite this is a finite dimensional representation of G on $V = K[Q]$.

Suppose $\alpha\beta = 1$ in $K[G]$. Let $A = \text{supp}(\alpha) := \{g \in G \mid (\alpha)_g \neq 0\}$, $B = \text{supp}(\beta)$. Let $C = BA$. By residual finiteness, there is a finite quotient $\varphi : G \rightarrow Q$ which is injective on C . Now the induced maps $\rho_\alpha, \rho_\beta \in \text{End}(V)$ satisfy $\rho_\alpha \circ \rho_\beta = \rho_{\alpha\beta} = \text{id}_V$ and thus – since V is finite-dimensional – we have $\rho_\beta \circ \rho_\alpha = \text{id}_V$ as well. Write $\beta_\alpha = \sum_{c \in C} (\beta\alpha)_c c$ and thus

$$\rho_{\beta\alpha}(1_Q) = \varphi(\beta\alpha) = \sum_{c \in C} (\beta\alpha)_c \varphi(c) = 1_Q$$

forces $(\beta\alpha)_c = 1$ if $c = 1$ and 0 else. \square

Lecture 2: The Unit Conjecture

There is only one known way to probe the unit conjecture for a given group G : the unique product property.

Definition 2.1. A group G has the *unique product property* (UPP, "has unique products", "has UP") if for all non-empty finite subsets $A, B \subseteq G$ there exists some $g \in G$ s.t. $g = ab$ for a unique pair $(a, b) \in A \times B$.

Example 2.2. In $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$, given finite $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, one can take $g = \max A + \max B$. Hence \mathbb{Z} has unique products.

Remark 2.3. A group with unique products is torsion-free: If $1 \neq H \leq G$, H finite, then take $A = B = H$. Each product now occurs exactly $|H|$ times.

Remark 2.4. It's difficult to produce torsion-free groups that don't have UP.

Proposition 2.5. *A group with UP satisfies the zero divisor conjecture for all fields K .*

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta \in K[G]$ with $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$, and set $A = \text{supp}(\alpha)$, $B = \text{supp}(\beta)$. Write $\alpha = \sum_{a \in A} \lambda_a a$ and $\beta = \sum_{b \in B} \mu_b b$. Then if $g = a_0 b_0$, $a_0 \in A$, $b_0 \in B$ is a unique product for A, B , then we have

$$(\alpha\beta)_g = \sum_{ab=g} \lambda_a \mu_b = \lambda_{a_0} \mu_{b_0} \neq 0.$$

Hence $\alpha\beta \neq 0$ in $K[G]$. \square

For the unit conjecture, we need something that is a priori stronger.

Definition 2.6. A group G has the *two unique products property* if for all finite subsets $A, B \subseteq G$ with $|A| \cdot |B| \geq 2$, there exist $g_0 \neq g_1 \in G$, such that $g_0 = a_0 b_0$ and $g_1 = a_1 b_1$ for unique pairs $(a_0, b_0), (a_1, b_1) \in A \times B$.

Proposition 2.7 (Strognowski). *The two unique products property is equivalent to the unique product property.*

Proof. If G satisfies 2UPP, it clearly satisfies UPP (if $|A| = |B| = 1$, the product is clearly unique).

Conversely, assume that G has UP but that there exist finite sets $A, B \subseteq G$ with $|A||B| \geq 2$ with only 1 unique product. Without loss (by translating A on the left and B on the right), we may assume that $1 = 1 \cdot 1$ is the unique unique product. Now let $C = B^{-1}A$ and $D = BA^{-1}$. We claim that now there is unique product for C and D . Every element of CD can be written as $b_1^{-1}a_1b_2a_2^{-1}$ for some $a_i \in A, b_i \in B$. If $(a_1, b_2) \neq (1, 1)$ then by assumption there is another pair a'_1, b'_2 s.t. $a_1b_2 = a'_1b'_2$ and thus $b_1^{-1}a_1b_2a_2^{-1} = b_1^{-1}a'_1b'_2a_2^{-1}$ is not a unique product for CD . If, on the other hand, $(a_1, b_2) = (1, 1)$, then unless $(a_2, b_1) = (1, 1)$, we find a'_2, b'_1 such that

$$b_1^{-1}a_1b_2a_2^{-1} = b_1^{-1}a_2^{-1} = (a_2b_1)^{-1} = (a'_2b'_1)^{-1} = b'^{-1}_1a_1b_2a'^{-1}_2$$

is not a unique product. Finally, if $a_2 = b_1 = 1$, then our element of CD is $1 = 1 \cdot 1 = b^{-1}b = aa^{-1}$ for any $a \in A, b \in B$, and A or B has an element other than 1, which gives more than one factorisation. \square

Corollary 2.8. *A group with UP satisfies the unit conjecture.*

Proof. Exercise. \square

Most examples of groups with UP are left-orderable.

Definition 2.9. A group G is *(left-)orderable* if it admits a total order \prec that is left-invariant, i.e. if $g \prec h$, then $kg \prec kh$ for all $g, h, k \in G$.

Remark 2.10. Being left- and right-orderable are equivalent (define $g \prec' h$ iff $g^{-1} \prec h^{-1}$) but admitting a bi-invariant total order is much stronger.

Proposition 2.11. *A left-orderable group G has unique products.*

Proof. Fix a left-order \prec . Given finite subsets $A, B \subseteq G$, we show that the maximum of AB is a unique product. Let $b_0 = \max B$. Then for all $a \in A, b \in B \setminus \{b_0\}$, we have $b \prec b_0$, so $ab \prec ab_0$. Thus the maximum of AB can only be written as ab_0 for some $a \in A$, and thus must be unique. \square

Remark 2.12. It is not necessarily true that $\max(AB) = \max A \cdot \max B$.

Definition 2.13. For a left-ordered group (G, \prec) , the set $\mathcal{P} = \{g \in G \mid 1 \prec g\}$ is called its *positive cone*.

The positive cone clearly satisfies $\mathcal{P}^2 \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ (i.e. it's a subsemigroup) and $G = \mathcal{P} \sqcup \{1\} \sqcup \mathcal{P}^{-1}$. The converse is also true:

Lemma 2.14. *Left-orders are equivalent to choices of $\mathcal{P} \subseteq G$ satisfying $\mathcal{P}^2 \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $G = \mathcal{P} \sqcup \{1\} \sqcup \mathcal{P}^{-1}$.*

Proof. Exercise. \square

Lemma 2.15. *A group G is left-orderable if and only if for all $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G \setminus \{1\}$, there exists a choice of signs $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \{1, -1\}$ such that $1 \notin S(g_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \dots, g_n^{\varepsilon_n})$ (the subsemigroup generated by $g_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \dots, g_n^{\varepsilon_n}$).*

Proof. If G is left-ordered, set $\varepsilon_i = 1$ iff $g_i \in \mathcal{P}$.

For the other implication, we use compactness (slogan: the inverse limit of non-empty finite sets is non-empty). Let $X = \{1, -1\}^{G \setminus \{1\}}$ be the set of functions $G \setminus \{1\} \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$, and let $A \subseteq X$ be the set of those functions that define a positive cone. This is equivalent to satisfying (simultaneously) the condition on choice of sign for all possible $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G \setminus \{1\}$ (for $n = 3$). That is, if we denote such functions $A_{\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}} \subseteq X$, then

$$A = \bigcap_{\substack{S \subseteq G \setminus \{1\} \\ S \text{ finite}}} A_S$$

But X is compact by Tychonoff and all the A_S are closed. Furthermore, all finite intersections of the A_S are non-empty by assumption. So $A \neq \emptyset$. \square

We apply the lemma to prove

Theorem 2.16 (Burns-Hale, 1972). *Let G be a group. If every non-trivial finitely generated subgroup of G has a non-trivial left-orderable quotient, then G is left-orderable.*

In particular, a locally indicable group (i.e. every nontrivial finitely generated subgroup surjects onto \mathbb{Z}) is left-orderable.

Corollary 2.17 (Higman, 1940). *Locally indicable groups satisfy the unit conjecture.* \square