1)a) If biddernor occur in 2 successive bits, than there can be 4 different scenerios.

Kemal Yogia Daskiron 040210034

1) by -> b2 error: 23. D+ 22 D= 12D

2) $b_2 \rightarrow b_2$ error: $2^2 \triangle + 2\Delta = 6\Delta$

3) by -360 error: 20+ 0=30

(1) by > by error: D+23D=9D (lké 1001) post > 1000 0001)

resulting in a bobs error.

 $E[n_{\delta}^{2}] = \frac{1}{4} (144\Delta^{2} + 36\Delta^{2} + 9\Delta^{2} + 812\Delta) = 67.5\Delta^{2}$

6) Maximum Error in volts is the logby error resulting in a 1200

C) Gray Coding can be used to reduce the maximum error on a sangle.
Dropping the value to 81 from 121.

Decimal Gras Coding

15 1000

14 LOOL | Even if Dosto MSB error

137 LOLL Occurs, only 8NV error would occur. Therefore decreasing the

7 0100 \(
\) Maximum error.

d) 6362 error: 81, 6261 error: 60, 6260 error: 31

3 = 36,30² = 1087 = 36,30²

Noise has decreased with the usage of.
Gray Coding

2)
$$p(+) = \operatorname{Sinc}(\frac{+}{+}) \cdot \frac{\cos(\pi a + \frac{+}{+})}{1 - 4a^2(\frac{+}{+})^2}$$

$$\operatorname{Sinc}(x) = \underbrace{\operatorname{Sin}(\mathbb{T}(x))}_{X} \text{ ise } \operatorname{Sinc}(x-\frac{1}{2}) = \underbrace{\operatorname{Sin}(\mathbb{T}(x-\frac{1}{2}))}_{\mathbb{T}(x-\frac{1}{2})} = \underbrace{\operatorname{Sin}(\mathbb{T}(x-\frac{1}{2}))}_{\mathbb{T}(x-\frac{1}{2})}$$

$$Sin(\pi k - \frac{\pi}{2}) = -cos(\pi x)$$
 then $\rightarrow Sinc(x - \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{-cos(\pi x)}{\pi(x - \frac{1}{2})}$

2)
$$Sinc(x+\frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow Same as $Sinc(x-\frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow Sinc(x+\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{Cos(\pi x)}{77(x+\frac{1}{2})}$$$

$$SINC(x+\frac{1}{2}) + SINC(x-\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{\cos \pi x}{\pi} \left(\frac{-1}{x-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{x+\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

$$=\left(\frac{-\times-\frac{1}{2}+\times-\frac{1}{2}}{(\times-\frac{1}{2})(\times+\frac{1}{2})}\right)\cdot\frac{\cos t/x}{TT}=\frac{\cos t/x}{TT}\left(\frac{-1}{\times^2-\frac{1}{4}}\right)=\sin (x-\frac{1}{2})+\sin (x+\frac{1}{2})$$

$$= \underbrace{\cos tt \times}_{\pi(\frac{1}{4}\times^2)} \xrightarrow{\pi}_{4} \left(\operatorname{Sinc}(x - \frac{1}{2}) + \operatorname{Sinc}(x + \frac{1}{2}) \right) = \underbrace{\cos(tt \times)}_{L - 4 \times^2}$$

if we say
$$x = \alpha \stackrel{+}{+}$$
 then $\frac{\cos \pi \cdot \alpha \stackrel{+}{+}}{=} \frac{\pi}{4} \left(\operatorname{sinc} \left(\alpha \stackrel{+}{+} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \operatorname{sinc} \left(\alpha \stackrel{+}{+} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right)$

If we use this or our initial formula, then:

$$P_{RC}(\pm) = \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot \operatorname{sinc}(\pm) \left[\operatorname{sinc}(\alpha \pm - \frac{1}{2}) + \operatorname{sinc}(\alpha \pm + \frac{1}{2}) \right]$$

```
3. Soru
My MATLAB Code for the third question has shown below:
3.a)
N = 10000; % Number of bits
bits = randi([0,1], N,1);
3.b)
a_k = 2*bits - 1; % Mapping 0-1's to -1,1
3.c)
L = 4;
X_upsampled = upsample(a_k,L);
M = X_upsampled;
3.d)
gT = [1 1 1 1];
Xc = conv(X_upsampled,gT,'Same'); % Generating the Xc
nw = randn(40000,1); % Generating White Noise
y = Xc + nw;
3.f)
sampled_y = downsample(y,L);
3.g)
detected_bits = sampled_y > 0; % Created the Decision rule
DecisionRule_n_of_errors = sum(detected_bits ~= bits);
BER = DecisionRule_n_of_errors/length(bits);
 BER =
       0.1571
3.h)
Matched_Filter = [1 1 1 1];
r = conv(y, Matched_Filter, 'Same');
mf_sampled_y = downsample(r,L);
mf_detected_bits = mf_sampled_y > 0; % Created the MF Decision rule
MF_n_of_errors = sum(mf_detected_bits ~= bits) % Number of errors if we use a MF
MF_BER = MF_n_of_errors/length(bits)
```

```
MF_n_of_errors = 907

MF_BER = 0.0907
```

% BER found with a MF is reasonabily better than without the usage of a % matched filter.

3.i)

%V1 = 4 V0 =-4 Therefore d = 8

%N = 2

 $BER_I = qfunc(2)$

3.j)

%When we use MF on the Noise, N becomes 4

 $BER_J = qfunc(1)$

BER_J =

0.1587

Code, without any interruption looks like this:

N = 10000; % Number of bits

bits = randi([0,1], N,1);

a_k = 2*bits - 1; % Mapping 0-1's to -1,1

L = 4;

X_upsampled = upsample(a_k,L);

Name A	Value
a	0.1587
a_k	10000x1 double
BER	0.1632
BER_I	0.0228
∃ BER_J	0.1587
BER_MF	0.1587
BER_without	0.0228
bits	10000x1 double
DecisionRule	1632
✓ detected_bits	10000x1 logical
<u>∃</u> gT	[1,1,1,1]
L	4
M	40000x1 double
Matched_Filter	[1,1,1,1]
MF_BER	0.0904
✓ mf_detected_b	10000x1 logical
MF_n_of_errors	904
mf_sampled_y	10000x1 double
N	10000
nw	40000x1 double
r	40000x1 double
ampled_y	10000x1 double
₩ V1	[-1,-1,-1,-1]
X_upsampled	40000x1 double
Xc	40000x1 double
V	40000x1 double

```
Kemal Yağız Daşkıran 040210034
M = X_upsampled;
gT = [1 1 1 1];
Xc = conv(X_upsampled,gT,'Same'); % Generating the Xc
nw = randn(40000,1); % Generating White Noise
y = Xc + nw;
sampled_y = downsample(y,L);
detected_bits = sampled_y > 0; % Created the Decision rule
DecisionRule_n_of_errors = sum(detected_bits ~= bits);
BER = DecisionRule_n_of_errors/length(bits);
Matched_Filter = [1 1 1 1];
r = conv(y, Matched_Filter, 'Same');
mf_sampled_y = downsample(r,L);
mf_detected_bits = mf_sampled_y > 0; % Created the MF Decision rule
MF_n_of_errors = sum(mf_detected_bits ~= bits) % Number of errors if we use a MF
MF_BER = MF_n_of_errors/length(bits)
% BER found with a MF is reasonabily better than without the usage of a
% matched filter.
%V1 = 4 V0 =-4 Therefore d = 8
%N = 2
BER_I = qfunc(2)
```

%When we use MF on the Noise, N becomes 4

 $BER_J = qfunc(1)$