

# CS100 Lecture 26

## Templates II

# Contents

- Template specialization
- Variadic templates: an example
- Curiously Recurring Template Pattern (CRTP)
- Introduction to template metaprogramming

# Template specialization

Templates are for **generic programming**, but some things need special treatments.

# Specialization for a function template

```
template <typename T>
int compare(T const &lhs, T const &rhs) {
    if (lhs < rhs) return -1;
    else if (rhs < lhs) return 1;
    else return 0;
}
```

What happens for C-style strings?

```
const char *a = "hello", *b = "world";
auto x = compare(a, b);
```

This is comparing two pointers, instead of comparing the strings!

# Specialization for a function template

```
template <typename T>
int compare(T const &lhs, T const &rhs) {
    if (lhs < rhs) return -1;
    else if (rhs < lhs) return 1;
    else return 0;
}
template <> // specialized version for T = const char *
int compare<const char *>(const char *const &lhs, const char *const &rhs) {
    return std::strcmp(lhs, rhs);
}
```

Write a specialized version of that function with the template parameters taking a certain group of values.

The type `const T &` with `T = const char *` is `const char *const &`: A reference bound to a `const` pointer which points to `const char`.

## Specialization for a function template

It is also allowed to omit `<const char *>` following the name:

```
template <typename T>
int compare(T const &lhs, T const &rhs) {
    if (lhs < rhs) return -1;
    else if (rhs < lhs) return 1;
    else return 0;
}
template <>
int compare(const char *const &lhs, const char *const &rhs) {
    return std::strcmp(lhs, rhs);
}
```

## Specialization for a function template

Is this a specialization?

```
template <typename T>
int compare(T const &lhs, T const &rhs);
template <typename T>
int compare(const std::vector<T> &lhs, const std::vector<T> &rhs);
```

No! These functions constitute **overloading** (allowed).

# Specialization for a function template

Is this a specialization?

```
template <typename T>
int compare(T const &lhs, T const &rhs);
template <typename T>
int compare<std::vector<T>>(const std::vector<T> &lhs,
                             const std::vector<T> &rhs);
```

- Since we write `int compare<std::vector<T>>(...)`, this is a specialization.
- However, such specialization is a **partial specialization**: The specialized function is still a function template.
  - **Partial specialization for function templates is not allowed.**



# Specialization for a class template

It is allowed to write a specialization for class templates.

```
template <typename T>
struct Dynarray { /* ... */ };
template <> // specialization for T = bool
struct Dynarray<bool> { /* ... */ };
```

Partial specialization is also allowed:

```
template <typename T, typename Alloc>
class vector { /* ... */ };
// specialization for T = bool, while Alloc remains a template parameter.
template <typename Alloc>
class vector<bool, Alloc> { /* ... */ };
```

# Variadic templates: an example

# A `print` function

```
template <typename First, typename... Rest>
void print(std::ostream &os, const First &first, const Rest &...rest) {
    os << first;
    if (/* `rest` is not empty */) // How to test this?
        print(os, rest...);
}
std::string s = "hello"; double d = 3.14; int i = 42;
print(std::cout, s, d, i);
```

First, understand the different meanings of `...`.

- `typename... Rest` indicates that `Rest` is a template parameter pack.
- `const Rest &...rest` indicates that `rest` is a function parameter pack.
- `rest...` in `print(os, rest...)` is **pack expansion**.

# Compile-time recurrence

```
template <typename First, typename... Rest>
void print(std::ostream &os, const First &first, const Rest &...rest) {
    os << first;
    if (/* `rest` is not empty */) // How to test this?
        print(os, rest...);
}
std::string s = "hello"; double d = 3.14; int i = 42;
print(std::cout, s, d, i);
```

`print(std::cout, s, d, i)` leads to the instantiation of the following functions:

```
void print(std::ostream &os, const std::string &first, const double &rest0,
           const int &rest1);
void print(std::ostream &os, const double &first, const int &rest0);
void print(std::ostream &os, const int &first);
```

Note: `first` is not a parameter pack, so `print` must have at least *two* arguments.

## sizeof...(pack)

How many arguments are there in a pack? Use the `sizeof...` operator, which is evaluated at compile-time.

```
template <typename First, typename... Rest>
void print(std::ostream &os, const First &first, const Rest &...rest) {
    os << first;
    if (sizeof...(Rest) > 0)
        print(os, rest...);
}
std::string s = "hello"; double d = 3.14; int i = 42;
print(std::cout, s, d, i);
```

Looks good ... But a compile-error?

## sizeof...(pack)

Looks good ... But a compile-error?

```
b.cpp: In instantiation of 'void print(std::ostream&, const First&, const Rest& ...)
[with First = int; Rest = {}; std::ostream = std::basic_ostream<char>]':
b.cpp:11:8:   required from here
b.cpp:7:10: error: no matching function for call to 'print(std::ostream&)'
    7 |     print(os, rest...);
```

It says that when `First = int, Rest = {}`, we are trying to call `print(os)` (with nothing to print).

## Compile-time `if`

Let's see what the function looks like when `Rest = {}`:

```
template <typename First>
void print(std::ostream &os, const First &first) {
    os << first;
    if (false)    // sizeof... (Rest) == 0
        print(os); // Ooops! `print` needs at least two arguments!
}
```

The problem is that `if` is a **run-time** control flow statement! The statements must *compile* even if the condition is 100% `false` !

We need a **compile-time** `if` .

## Compile-time `if`: `if constexpr`

```
if constexpr (condition)
    statement1
```

```
if constexpr (condition)
    statement1
else
    statement2
```

`condition` must be a compile-time constant.

Only when `condition` is `true` will `statement1` be compiled.

Only when `condition` is `false` will `statement2` be compiled.



## Use `if constexpr`

```
template <typename First, typename... Rest>
void print(std::ostream &os, const First &first, const Rest &...rest) {
    os << first;
    if constexpr (sizeof...(Rest) > 0)
        print(os, rest...);
}
```

Solution without `if constexpr`: overloading.

```
template <typename T>
void print(std::ostream &os, const T &x) { os << x; }
template <typename First, typename... Rest>
void print(std::ostream &os, const First &first, const Rest &...rest) {
    print(os, first);
    print(os, rest...);
}
```

# Curiously Recurring Template Pattern (CRTP)

## Example 1: Uncopyable

We have seen this `Uncopyable` in Homework 6:

```
class Uncopyable {  
    Uncopyable(const Uncopyable &) = delete;  
    Uncopyable &operator=(const Uncopyable &) = delete;  
  
public:  
    Uncopyable() = default;  
};  
  
class ComplexDevice : public Uncopyable { /* ... */ };
```

A class can be made uncopyable by inheriting `Uncopyable`.

# Example 1: Uncopyable

But if two classes inherit from `Uncopyable` publicly, odd things may happen ...

```
class Uncopyable {
    Uncopyable(const Uncopyable &) = delete;
    Uncopyable &operator=(const Uncopyable &) = delete;

public:
    Uncopyable() = default;
};

class Airplane : public Uncopyable {}; // Copying an airplane is too costly.
class MonaLisa : public Uncopyable {}; // An artwork is not copyable.

Uncopyable *foo1 = new Airplane();
Uncopyable *foo2 = new MonaLisa();
```

Ooops ... A `Uncopyable*` can point to two things that are **totally unrelated to each other!**

## Example 1: Uncopyable

```
template <typename Derived>
class Uncopyable {
    Uncopyable(const Uncopyable &) = delete;
    Uncopyable &operator=(const Uncopyable &) = delete;

public:
    Uncopyable() = default;
};

class Airplane : public Uncopyable<Airplane> {};
class MonaLisa : public Uncopyable<MonaLisa> {};
```

Now `Airplane` and `MonaLisa` inherit from **different bases**: `Uncopyable<Airplane>` and `Uncopyable<MonaLisa>` are different types.

## Example 2: Incrementable

```
template <typename T>
class Iterator {
    T *cur;

public:
    auto &operator++() {
        ++cur;
        return *this;
    }
    auto operator++(int) {
        auto tmp = *this;
        ++*this;
        return tmp;
    }
};
```

```
class Rational {
    int num;
    unsigned denom;

public:
    auto &operator++() {
        num += denom;
        return *this;
    }
    auto operator++(int) {
        auto tmp = *this;
        ++*this;
        return tmp;
    }
};
```

```
class AtomicCounter {
    int cnt;
    std::mutex m;

public:
    auto &operator++() {
        std::lock_guard l(m);
        ++cnt;
        return *this;
    }
    auto operator++(int) {
        auto tmp = *this;
        ++*this;
        return tmp;
    }
};
```

## Example 2: Incrementable

With the prefix incrementation operator `operator++` defined, the postfix version is always defined as follows:

```
auto operator++(int) {  
    auto tmp = *this;  
    ++*this;  
    return tmp;  
}
```

How can we avoid repeating ourselves?

## Example 2: Incrementable

```
template <typename Derived>
class Incrementable {
public:
    auto operator++(int) {
        // Since we are sure that the dynamic type of `*this` is `Derived`,
        // we can use `static_cast` here to perform the downcasting.
        auto real_this = static_cast<Derived *>(this);
        auto tmp = *real_this;
        ++*real_this;
        return tmp;
    }
};

class A : public Incrementable<A> {
public:
    A &operator++() { /* ... */ }
    // The operator++(int) is inherited from Incrementable<A>.
};
```



# Curiously Recurring Template Pattern

By writing the common parts of `X`, `Y`, `Z`, ... in a base class `Base`,

- we can avoid repeating ourselves.
- However, `X`, `Y` and `Z` have a common base (which may lead to weird things), and `Base` does not know *who* is inheriting from it.

By letting `X`, `Y`, `Z`, ... inherit from `Base<X>`, `Base<Y>`, `Base<Z>`, ... respectively,

- each class inherits from a unique base class, and
- the base class knows what the derived class is, so a safe downcast can be performed.

CRTP idiom adopted in the standard library: `std::enable_shared_from_this`.

# Introduction to template metaprogramming

# Know whether two types are the same?

```
template <typename T, typename U>
struct is_same {
    static const bool result = false;
};
template <typename T> // specialization for U = T
struct is_same<T, T> {
    static const bool result = true;
};
```

- `is_same<int, double>::result` is false.
- `is_same<int, int>::result` is true.
- Are `int` and `signed` the same type? Let `is_same` tell you!

# Know whether a type is a pointer?

```
template <typename T>
struct is_pointer {
    static const bool result = false;
};
template <typename T>
struct is_pointer<T*> { // specialization for <T*> for some T.
    static const bool result = true;
};
```

- `is_pointer<int*>::result` is true.
- `is_pointer<int>::result` is false.
- Is `std::vector<int>::iterator` actually a pointer? Is `int[10]` the same thing as `int*`? Consult these "functions"!

## `<type_traits>`

`std::is_same`, `std::is_pointer`, as well as a whole bunch of other "functions": [Go to this standard library](#).

This is part of the **metaprogramming library**.

## Compute $n!$ in compile-time?

```
template <unsigned N>
struct Factorial {
    static const unsigned long long value = N * Factorial<N - 1>::value;
};
template <>
struct Factorial<0u> {
    static const unsigned long long value = 1;
};

int main() {
    int a[Factorial<5>::value]; // 120, which is a compile-time constant.
}
```

## Check whether an integer is a prime in compile-time?

```
template <unsigned N, unsigned Div> struct PrimeTest {  
    static const bool result = (N % Div != 0) && PrimeTest<N, Div + 1>::result;  
};  
template <unsigned N> struct PrimeTest<N, N> { // end  
    static const bool result = true;  
};  
template <unsigned N> struct IsPrime {  
    static const bool result = PrimeTest<N, 2>::result;  
};  
template <> struct IsPrime<1u> {  
    static const bool result = false;  
};  
  
static_assert(IsPrime<197>::result); // 197 is a prime  
static_assert(!IsPrime<42>::result); // 42 is not
```

## Seven basic quantities in physics

When performing computations in physics, the correctness in **dimensions** is important.

```
double mass = getMass();  
double acceleration = getAcc();  
double force = mass + acceleration; // Ooops! A mistake here!
```

Can we avoid such mistakes in **compile-time**? That is, to make mistakes in dimensions a **compile error**.



# Seven basic quantities in physics

Each of the seven basic quantities corresponds to a template parameter:

```
template <int mass, int length, int time, int charge,  
          int temperature, int intensity, int amount_of_substance>  
struct quantity { /* ... */ };  
  
using mass = quantity<1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0>;  
using force = quantity<1, 1, -2, 0, 0, 0, 0>;  
using pressure = quantity<1, -1, -2, 0, 0, 0, 0>;  
using acceleration = quantity<0, 1, -2, 0, 0, 0, 0>;  
  
mass m = getMass();  
acceleration a = getAcc();  
force f = m + a; // Error! No match operator+ for 'mass' and 'acceleration'!  
force f = m * a; // Correct.
```

If the arithmetic operations of different `quantity`s are defined correctly, we can avoid dimension mistakes in *compile-time*!

# Template metaprogramming

Template metaprogramming is a very special and powerful technique that makes use of the compile-time computation of C++ compilers. (It is [Turing-complete](#) and [pure functional programming](#).)

Learn a little bit more in recitations.

In modern C++, there are many more things that facilitate compile-time computations:

`constexpr`, `constexpr`, `constexpr`, `concept`, `requires`, ...

# Summary

## Template specialization

- Specializes the template function / class when the template argument satisfies certain properties.
- Partial specialization: The specialization still has template parameters.
- Full specialization: The specialization no longer has no template parameters.
- Function templates cannot have partial specializations.

# Summary

Variadic template example: A `print` function.

- `pack...` : pack expansion.
- `sizeof...(pack)` returns the number of arguments in a parameter pack. It is compile-time evaluated.
- `if constexpr` : compile-time `if` : *compile* statements conditioned on a compile-time boolean expression.

# Summary

## Curiously Recurring Template Pattern (CRTP)

- Let `x` inherit from `Base<X>`.
- Each class inherits from a unique base class.
- The base class knows what the derived class is, so a safe downcast can be performed.

## Template metaprogramming (TMP)

- TMP can shift work from runtime to compile-time, thus enabling earlier error detection and higher runtime performance.