Grading criteria for the final projects

Each project is graded according to the following criteria:

Overall Product (70%+10%)		Functional qualities (40%)	Required Functions	All required functions are implemented and demoed w/o bugs		
		Additional Functions (10%)	Extra Credit Extra Credit			
		Non- functional qualities (15%)	Object-oriented	The code is reasonably and		
			programming (2%)	appropriately structured with OOP		
			Readability of the	Proper naming convention and code		
			code (1%)	commenting		
			Overall Traceability (7%)	Code structure is the same as the class diagram (4%)		
				All required documents are provided with reasonable effort (3%)		
			Presentation	Demonstration of system functions		
			(5%)	clearly within a short period of time		
			Tastina	System has been tested with		
			Testing (8%)	reasonable coverage at various		
		Validation	(070)	levels		
		(15%)	Model checking (7%)	System checked for safety		
				requirements with reasonable		
				assumptions on the environment		
	Requirement (10%)	Requirement document (4%)	Completeness	Should cover all required and		
			(2%)	implemented system features		
			Traceability (2%)	Structure and naming should be consistent		
			Completeness	The user can get proper instructions		
		User manual (3%)	(2%)	on how to operate the system		
			Comprehensiveness	The document is well organized and		
			(1%)	easily referenced		
		Weekly	Completeness	All reports submitted on time		
		Meeting	(1%)	All reports submitted on time		
		Reports	Reasonable	Should contain comprehensive info		
		(3%)	(2%)	on progresses of the project		
Documentation	Development (10%)	Customer	Progresses are	Previously identified problems are		
(30%)		Consultations	made gradually	fixed in the next iteration		
		(2%)	Completeness	Another developer can implement		
		Specification (8%)	(3%)	your system w/o ambiguities		
			Traceability	Consistent with the requirement and		
			(5%)	code		
	Validation (10%)	Validation Report (10%)	Testing	The testing procedure and results		
			(4%)	are well documented		
			Risk Management (2%)	Risks are identified and mitigated		
			Model Checking (4%)	The models of the system and the environment are explained, and the properties are justified.		

The score of each student is calculated as the average of the overall product scores of the three projects plus the scores for each documentation duty assigned in the initial job allocation. The score is then multiplied by the project's percentage in the final score.

Example:

Project 1			Project 2			Project 3		
Overall Product		55	Overall Product		60	Overall Product		65
Document ation	Requirement	8	Docume ntation	Requirement	5	Docume ntation	Requirement	6
	Development	7		Development	4		Development	3
	Validation	5		Validation	9		Validation	7

The score for each student is calculated as follow:

	Score
Student 1 (1R2D3V)	(55+60+65)/3+8+4+7=79%
Student 2 (2R3D1V)	(55+60+65)/3+5+3+5=73%
Student 3 (3R1D2V)	(55+60+65)/3+6+7+9=82%