

Modern C++ Programming

27. SOFTWARE DESIGN II [DRAFT]

DESIGN PATTERNS AND IDIOMS

Federico Busato

2026-01-06

Table of Contents

1 C++ Idioms

- Rule of Zero
- Rule of Three
- Rule of Five

2 Design Pattern

- Singleton
- Pointer to IMPLementation (PIMPL)
- Curiously Recurring Template Pattern
- Template Virtual Functions

C++ Idioms

Rule of Zero

The **Rule of Zero** is a rule of thumb for C++

Utilize the *value semantics* of existing types to avoid having to implement *custom* copy and move operations

Note: many classes (such as `std` classes) manage resources themselves and should not implement copy/move constructor and assignment operator

```
class X {  
public:  
    X(...); // constructor  
    // NO need to define copy/move semantic  
private:  
    std::vector<int> v; // instead raw allocation  
    std::unique_ptr<int> p; // instead raw allocation  
}; // see smart pointer
```

Rule of Three

The **Rule of Three** is a rule of thumb for C++(03)

If your class needs any of

- a copy constructor `X(const X&)`
- an assignment operator `X& operator=(const X&)`
- or a destructor `~X()`

defined explicitly, then it is likely to need all three of them

Some resources cannot or should not be copied. In this case, they should be declared as deleted

```
X(const X&) = delete
```

```
X& operator=(const X&) = delete
```

Rule of Five

The **Rule of Five** is a rule of thumb for C++11

If your class needs any of

- a copy constructor `X(const X&)`
- a move constructor `X(X&&)`
- an assignment operator `X& operator=(const X&)`
- an assignment operator `X& operator=(X&&)`
- or a destructor `~X()`

defined explicitly, then it is likely to need all five of them

Design Pattern

Singleton

Singleton is a software design pattern that restricts the instantiation of a class to one and only one object (a common application is for logging)

```
class Singleton {  
public:  
    static Singleton& get_instance() { // note "static"  
        static Singleton instance { ..init.. } ;  
        return instance; // destroyed at the end of the program  
    } // initialized at first use  
  
    Singleton(const Singleton&) = delete;  
    void operator=(const Singleton&) = delete;  
  
    void f() {}  
  
private:  
    T _data;  
    Singleton( ..args.. ) { ... } // used in the initialization  
}
```

Pointer to IMPLementation (PIMPL) - Compilation Firewalls

Pointer to IMPLementation (PIMPL) idiom allows decoupling the interface from the implementation in a clear way

header.hpp

```
class A {
public:
    A();
    ~A();
    void f();
private:
    class Impl; // forward declaration
    Impl* ptr; // opaque pointer
};
```

NOTE: The class does not expose internal data members or methods

PIMPL - Implementation

source.cpp (Impl actual implementation)

```
class A::Impl { // could be a class with a complex logic
public:
    void internal_f() {
        ..do something..
    }
private:
    int _data1;
    float _data2;
};

A::A() : ptr{new Impl()} {}
A::~A() { delete ptr; }
void A::f() { ptr->internal_f(); }
```

PIMPL - Advantages, Disadvantages

Advantages:

- ABI stability
- Hide private data members and methods
- Reduce compile time and dependencies

Disadvantages:

- Manual resource management
 - `Impl* ptr` can be replaced by `unique_ptr<impl> ptr` in C++11
- Performance: pointer indirection + dynamic memory
 - dynamic memory could be avoided by using a reserved space in the interface e.g.
`uint8_t data[1024]`

PIMPL - Implementation Alternatives

What parts of the class should go into the `Impl` object?

- *Put all private and protected members into `Impl`:*
Error prone. Inheritance is hard for opaque objects
- *Put all private members (but not functions) into `Impl`:*
Good. Do we need to expose all functions?
- *Put everything into `Impl`, and write the public class itself as only the public interface, each implemented as a simple forwarding function:*
Good

The **Curiously Recurring Template Pattern (CRTP)** is an idiom in which a class `X` derives from a class template instantiation using `X` itself as template argument

A common application is *static polymorphism*

```
template <class T>
struct Base {
    void my_method() {
        static_cast<T*>(this)->my_method_impl();
    }
};

class Derived : public Base<Derived> {
// void my_method() is inherited
    void my_method_impl() { ... } // private method
};
```

Curiously Recurring Template Pattern

2/3

```
#include <iostream>
template <typename T>
struct Writer {
    void write(const char* str) {
        static_cast<const T*>(this)->write_impl(str);
    }
};

class CerrWriter : public Writer<CerrWriter> {
    void write_impl(const char* str) { std::cerr << str; }
};

class CoutWriter : public Writer<CoutWriter> {
    void write_impl(const char* str) { std::cout << str; }
};

CoutWriter x;
CerrWriter y;
x.write("abc");
y.write("abc");
```

```
template <typename T>
void f(Writer<T>& writer) {
    writer.write("abc");
}
```

```
CoutWriter x;
CerrWriter y;
f(x);
f(y);
```

Virtual functions cannot have template arguments, but they can be emulated by using the following pattern

```
class Base {  
public:  
    template<typename T>  
    void method(T t) {  
        v_method(t);      // call the actual implementation  
    }  
protected:  
    virtual void v_method(int t)      = 0; // v_method is valid only  
    virtual void v_method(double t) = 0; // for "int" and "double"  
};
```

Actual implementations for derived class A and B

```
class AImpl : public Base {  
protected:  
    template<typename T>  
    void t_method(T t) { // template "method()" implementation for A  
        std::cout << "A " << t << std::endl;  
    }  
};  
  
class BImpl : public Base {  
protected:  
    template<typename T>  
    void t_method(T t) { // template "method()" implementation for B  
        std::cout << "B " << t << std::endl;  
    }  
};
```

Template Virtual Function

3/3

```
template<class Impl>
class DerivedWrapper : public Impl {
private:
    void v_method(int t) override {
        Impl::t_method(t);
    }
    void v_method(double t) override {
        Impl::t_method(t);
    } // call the base method
};

using A = DerivedWrapper<AImp>;
using B = DerivedWrapper<BImp>;
```

method() calls v_method() (pure virtual method of Base)
v_method() calls t_method() (actual implementation)

```
int main(int argc, char* argv[]) {
    A a;
    B b;
    Base* base = nullptr;

    base = &a;
    base->method(1);    // print "A 1"
    base->method(2.0); // print "A 2.0"

    base = &b;
    base->method(1);    // print "B 1"
    base->method(2.0); // print "B 2.0"
}
```